Yahoo Group Archive: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo Group summary: ROS DIGITAL GROUP

These Yahoo Groups messages were archived in WARC format by ArchiveTeam in 2018. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the WARC files in 2026.

Date span: 2010-02-20 through 2016-10-27

Source WARC: rosdigitalmodemgroup.LwqVztP.warc.gz

Source item: https://archive.org/details/yahoo-groups-2018-08-02T01-40-15Z-953dba

Messages included in this PDF: 1250

Yahoo replaced the domain portions of email addresses with @... in the archived messages. Characters lost in Yahoo's archived text appear as ?.

Messages are presented in ascending Yahoo message-ID order. Gaps indicate records absent from the source WARC or messages omitted from this PDF as spam.

1. Wish List

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-20 23:17:00 UTC
From: dalite01@bellsouth.net
Topic: 1 Message: 1

I would like to see a provision for choosing other than the default Windows Sound Card.

I use an external sound card, and it has to be tricked into operation.

David
KD4NUE

2. newest suggested freq.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-20 23:29:49 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 2 Message: 2

Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (throughout these days we will change the list probably. Stay tuned to changes)

» 1.840
» 3.60360 (exclusive 1 baud)
» 3.60605 (exclusive 16 bauds)
» 7.053
» 14.101
» 28.300

ALSO LISTED IN THE FILES/SUGGESTIONS

DAVID/WD4KPD

3. Re: newest suggested freq.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-20 23:42:02 UTC
From: n9dsj
Topic: 2 Message: 3

Hi David,

I would think 3.600 and above using USB would be well into the US SSB allocation and hence out of band.

73,

Bill N9DSJ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@...> wrote:
>
> Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (throughout these days we will change the list probably. Stay tuned to changes)
>
> » 1.840
> » 3.60360 (exclusive 1 baud)
> » 3.60605 (exclusive 16 bauds)
> » 7.053
> » 14.101
> » 28.300
>
> ALSO LISTED IN THE FILES/SUGGESTIONS
>
> DAVID/WD4KPD
>

4. Re: newest suggested freq.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 00:02:41 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 2 Message: 4

can't argue that Bill.

???? is the entire lower band below limited to 500hz or less bw ?
is the auto section just below 3.6M ok for wide band ? since the winlink lives there? if that small section is ok for wide band, then ros could share that area.

we don't have to be automatic to use the band portion.

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "n9dsj" <n9dsj@...> wrote:
>
> Hi David,
>
> I would think 3.600 and above using USB would be well into the US SSB allocation and hence out of band.
>
> 73,
>
> Bill N9DSJ
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@> wrote:
> >
> > Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (throughout these days we will change the list probably. Stay tuned to changes)
> >
> > » 1.840
> > » 3.60360 (exclusive 1 baud)
> > » 3.60605 (exclusive 16 bauds)
> > » 7.053
> > » 14.101
> > » 28.300
> >
> > ALSO LISTED IN THE FILES/SUGGESTIONS
> >
> > DAVID/WD4KPD
> >
>

5. Re: newest suggested freq.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 00:05:05 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 2 Message: 5

what i am trying to say is that anywhere wide pactor3/winlink can go, we should be able to go.

hows that ?

david/wd4kpd

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "n9dsj" <n9dsj@...> wrote:
>
> Hi David,
>
> I would think 3.600 and above using USB would be well into the US SSB allocation and hence out of band.
>
> 73,
>
> Bill N9DSJ
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@> wrote:
> >
> > Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (throughout these days we will change the list probably. Stay tuned to changes)
> >
> > » 1.840
> > » 3.60360 (exclusive 1 baud)
> > » 3.60605 (exclusive 16 bauds)
> > » 7.053
> > » 14.101
> > » 28.300
> >
> > ALSO LISTED IN THE FILES/SUGGESTIONS
> >
> > DAVID/WD4KPD
> >
>

6. Re: newest suggested freq.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 00:13:39 UTC
From: n9dsj
Topic: 2 Message: 6

Hi David,

Fine by me:)

Just wanted to point out that due to the BW of ROS (and PactorIII and ALE 141A) that a dial freq of 3.600 when using USB would put US ops well out of the band. Need about a 2500 Hz cushion, at least for US ops.

73 and thanks for starting the group....

Bill, N9DSJ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@...> wrote:
>
> what i am trying to say is that anywhere wide pactor3/winlink can go, we should be able to go.
>
> hows that ?
>
> david/wd4kpd
>
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "n9dsj" <n9dsj@> wrote:
> >
> > Hi David,
> >
> > I would think 3.600 and above using USB would be well into the US SSB allocation and hence out of band.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Bill N9DSJ
> >
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (throughout these days we will change the list probably. Stay tuned to changes)
> > >
> > > » 1.840
> > > » 3.60360 (exclusive 1 baud)
> > > » 3.60605 (exclusive 16 bauds)
> > > » 7.053
> > > » 14.101
> > > » 28.300
> > >
> > > ALSO LISTED IN THE FILES/SUGGESTIONS

> > >
> > > DAVID/WD4KPD
> > >
> >
>

7. Re: newest suggested freq.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 00:11:48 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 2 Message: 7

forgot to add ALE....a very wide digital mode.

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@...> wrote:
>
> what i am trying to say is that anywhere wide pactor3/winlink can go, we should be able to go.
>
> hows that ?
>
> david/wd4kpd
>
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "n9dsj" <n9dsj@> wrote:
> >
> > Hi David,
> >
> > I would think 3.600 and above using USB would be well into the US SSB allocation and hence out of band.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Bill N9DSJ
> >
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (throughout these days we will change the list probably. Stay tuned to changes)
> > >
> > > » 1.840
> > > » 3.60360 (exclusive 1 baud)
> > > » 3.60605 (exclusive 16 bauds)
> > > » 7.053
> > > » 14.101
> > > » 28.300
> > >
> > > ALSO LISTED IN THE FILES/SUGGESTIONS
> > >
> > > DAVID/WD4KPD
> > >
> >
>

8. Another "debate": 2250 wide signal OK 30M USA ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 00:23:02 UTC
From: obrienaj
Topic: 8 Message: 8

Another "debate": 2250 wide signal OK 30M USA ?

Andy K3UK

9. How configure ROS for soundcard?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 00:25:00 UTC
From: k6jm_jim
Topic: 9 Message: 9

My PC has two soundcards. The one on the motherboard is the default soundcard and is used for PC sounds (CDs, DVDs etc).

I have an external USB sound device (Audigy) that I use for Ham digital modes. When using other software programs for Ham digital modes, there is a way to tell the program which soundcard to use.

I can't find that configuration window in ROS.

Jim - K6JM

10. Re: Another "debate": 2250 wide signal OK 30M USA ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 00:26:59 UTC
From: n9dsj
Topic: 8 Message: 10

Andy,

Legally or within the intent of a cw/digi only band?

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "obrienaj" <k3ukandy@...> wrote:
>
> Another "debate": 2250 wide signal OK 30M USA ?
>
> Andy K3UK
>

11. Re: How configure ROS for soundcard?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 00:31:02 UTC
From: n9dsj
Topic: 9 Message: 11

Hi Jim,

Suspect you will have to define it manually within your OS.
73,

Bill, N9DSJ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "k6jm_jim" <jim@...> wrote:
>
> My PC has two soundcards. The one on the motherboard is the default soundcard and is used for PC sounds (CDs, DVDs etc).
>
> I have an external USB sound device (Audigy) that I use for Ham digital modes. When using other software programs for Ham digital modes, there is a way to tell the program which soundcard to use.
>
> I can't find that configuration window in ROS.
>
> Jim - K6JM
>

12. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How configure ROS for soundcard?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 00:31:46 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 9 Message: 12

Not possible in early versions, supposedly will get added.

Andy K3UK

On Sat, Feb 20, 2010 at 7:25 PM, k6jm_jim <jim@...> wrote:

>
>
> My PC has two soundcards. The one on the motherboard is the default
> soundcard and is used for PC sounds (CDs, DVDs etc).
>
> I have an external USB sound device (Audigy) that I use for Ham digital
> modes. When using other software programs for Ham digital modes, there is a
> way to tell the program which soundcard to use.
>
> I can't find that configuration window in ROS.
>
> Jim - K6JM
>
> --
>

13. frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 00:31:52 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 13 Message: 13

will someone that knows for sure please post here...the frequencies in the various bands, where pactor3 and ALE (wide) are allowed.

these two modes are greater than 2kc wide, and data just like ROS.

i think ROS would be right at home on those frequencies.

david/wd4kpd

ps...i know it's comming quickly, but these frequencies are not exclusive to anyone, and useable when clear just like any other.
i am not sure if the "auto" sections have any restrictions as to
their useage except the bw of the signal. i don't see where they
are listed as "no manual controll"

standing by !

14. test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 00:31:50 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 14 Message: 14

just to see if it working Tnx

Russell NC5O
 1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

15. Fwd: Re: band plans

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 00:52:41 UTC
From: David Michael Gaytko // WD4KPD
Topic: 15 Message: 15

Gents....please read on the hflink web page about the ALE channels. is very informative.

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: Re: band plans
Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:24:54 -0000
From: expeditionradio <expeditionradio@...>
To: wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

Hi David,

In USA, there is no bandwidth restrictions for data or text digital modes. Instead, FCC rules are based on "content" of the transmission.

Here is a website about worldwide bandplans:
http://hflink.com/bandplans

Here is a chart of USA sub bands:
http://hflink.com/bandplans/USA_BANDCHART.jpg

73 Bonnie KQ6XA

16. Fwd: Re: band plans

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 00:56:11 UTC
From: n9dsj
Topic: 15 Message: 16

err...nevermind :)

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, David Michael Gaytko // WD4KPD <wd4kpd@...>
wrote:
>
> Gents....please read on the hflink web page about the ALE channels. is very
> informative.
>
>
>
> -------- Original Message --------
> Subject: Re: band plans
> Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:24:54 -0000
> From: expeditionradio <expeditionradio@...>
> To: wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
>
> Hi David,
>
> In USA, there is no bandwidth restrictions for data or text digital
> modes. Instead, FCC rules are based on "content" of the transmission.
>
> Here is a website about worldwide bandplans:
> http://hflink.com/bandplans
>
> Here is a chart of USA sub bands:
> http://hflink.com/bandplans/USA_BANDCHART.jpg
>
> 73 Bonnie KQ6XA
>

17. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How configure ROS for soundcard?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 01:04:46 UTC
From: J. Moen
Topic: 9 Message: 17

OK, thanks. Too much trouble to change the 2nd soundcard to be default, then find all the programs that use it and change them. I will wait for an updated ROS that supports soundcard specification. In the meantime, I'll read the mail and enjoy learning from everyone else.

Jim - K6JM

----- Original Message -----
From: Andy obrien
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 20, 2010 4:31 PM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How configure ROS for soundcard?
Not possible in early versions, supposedly will get added.

Andy K3UK

On Sat, Feb 20, 2010 at 7:25 PM, k6jm_jim <jim@...> wrote:

My PC has two soundcards. The one on the motherboard is the default soundcard and is used for PC sounds (CDs, DVDs etc).

I have an external USB sound device (Audigy) that I use for Ham digital modes. When using other software programs for Ham digital modes, there is a way to tell the program which soundcard to use.

I can't find that configuration window in ROS.

Jim - K6JM

18. Re: Another "debate": 2250 wide signal OK 30M USA ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 01:13:53 UTC
From: hillbillietrace
Topic: 8 Message: 18

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "n9dsj" <n9dsj@...> wrote:
>
>
> Andy,
>
> Legally or within the intent of a cw/digi only band?
>
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "obrienaj" <k3ukandy@> wrote:
> >
> > Another "debate": 2250 wide signal OK 30M USA ?
> >
> > Andy K3UK
> >
>

Hello Andy,
After all the discussion about the legality of Ros. Should we in the USA use it or no? I think that I will use it but with QRP power levels as not to interfere with other modes. I wonder if anyone would be interested in trying it on 160 meters?
Very 73 to all
Glenn (WB2LMV)

19. read carefully !

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 01:16:04 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 19 Message: 19

http://www.hflink.com/channels/

read carefully, and notice the footnotes very carefully !

20. so why not ROS here

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 01:18:16 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 20 Message: 20

This ALE channel list is in everyday use in the Amateur Radio service, for normal communication internationally, regionally, and locally. ALE channels are frequency coordinated internationally, and subject to the different rules, regulations, and bandplans of the region and local country of operation. It is the responsibility of every operator to maintain the highest standards for all transmissions. Some channels or modes in the list may not be available in every country. The HF spectrum is a shared resource, so there is no guarantee that a channel is clear in a local area or region. There are many channel frequencies; please select a different channel as needed whenever one is occupied

21. ROS Documentation

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 01:28:56 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 21 Message: 21

I've seen several questions on a couple of mailing lists about the bandwidth and other characteristics of this new Spread Spectrum mode.

A lot of the questions can be answered in the developer's own documentation, found at Download “ROS: Introduction to Spread Spectrum techniques v1.0″ on the Rosmodem site.

He has a nice PDF with a good description of the things he is doing with this mode.

Dave
K3DCW
Real radio bounces off the sky

22. VHF experiments?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 01:37:07 UTC
From: Joe Veldhuis
Topic: 22 Message: 22

Has anyone tried ROS on the VHF bands yet? I'm interested in seeing how it would cope with multipath, doppler and other effects that are commonplace on these bands.

If there's any interest, I'm available for skeds on 6 and 2 meters (432 beam is on the ground for lack of a place to mount it). I'll leave the rig on 50.296 USB with the beam at 165 degrees tonight through tomorrow, and post anything that decodes should the auto-email reply fail to work. I will have ROS set to 1 baud, 250 Hz AFC, with auto-baud enabled. If you don't want a sked per-se but want me to turn the beam in your direction, post your grid.

Station:
Rig: Yaesu FT-736R
Amps: TE Systems 0510G and 1410G
Antennas: 5el @ 25ft for 6m, 13el @ 30ft for 2m

-Joe, N8FQ
Grand Haven, MI (EN62wx)

23. RE Soundcard configuration for external soundcard.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 01:41:43 UTC
From: dalite01@bellsouth.net
Topic: 23 Message: 23

I will try posting directly from the Web and see if their New and Improved Yahoo says this is a non-entity

****

Your message did not reach some or all of the intended recipients.

Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How configure ROS for soundcard?
Sent: 2/20/2010 8:38 PM

The following recipient(s) could not be reached:

'ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com' on 2/20/2010 8:38 PM
551 not our customer

****
Trying this again.

Notice the carefully placed repetitions of sucessful delivery to the same address spread strategically throughtout the thread.

Go Figure

This is why friends don't let friends do Yahoo.

****

Your message did not reach some or all of the intended recipients.
Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How configure ROS for soundcard?
Sent: 2/20/2010 8:32 PM

The following recipient(s) could not be reached: 'ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com' on 2/20/2010 8:32 PM 551 not our customer

-----Original Message-----
From: David Little [mailto:dalite01@...]
Sent: Saturday, February 20, 2010 8:32 PM
To: 'ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com'
Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How configure ROS for soundcard?

FWIW, Try this:

Open ROS and observe the receive dial while you adjust the external card.

If no response, Open Sounds and Audio Devices from the Control Panel.

Make the Audigy the default card.

Close the sounds and audio devices and observe the volume dial. It should be responding to the external device

Re open Sounds and Audio Devices from the Control Panel and reset the default to the onboard sound card resources.

ROS will work with the external device until a reboot or something interferes with the USB definition of the port that it is connected to.

Not a very refined procedure, but it does work.

Hope this helps.

David
KD4NUE

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of k6jm_jim
Sent: Saturday, February 20, 2010 7:25 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How configure ROS for soundcard?

My PC has two soundcards. The one on the motherboard is the default soundcard and is used for PC sounds (CDs, DVDs etc).

I have an external USB sound device (Audigy) that I use for Ham digital modes. When using other software programs for Ham digital modes, there is a way to tell the program which soundcard to use.

I can't find that configuration window in ROS.

Jim - K6JM

24. Fwd: WD4KPD de VR2/KQ6XA

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 02:16:25 UTC
From: David Michael Gaytko // WD4KPD
Topic: 24 Message: 24

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: WD4KPD de VR2/KQ6XA
Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 17:35:31 -0800 (PST)
From: Bonnie <expeditionradio@...>
To: wd4kpd@...

Hi David,

Great to see the new ROS group and ROS activity. I noticed that you've been interested in developing new frequencies for ROS.

I've been involved in frequency coordination for digital modes and nets over the past 10 years (Olivia, JT65, WSPR, Propnet, HFpack, APRpack, ALE, Flying Hams, Selcall, etc. etc) so perhaps I can help a little by pointing you to some resources and info, and some suggestions on new ROS frequencies that won't step on other nets, and this can provide the best clear frequencies for experimenters in this new mode ROS.

To begin with, it is probably best to stay out of the "automatic sub bands" because it will be a headache for ROS operators trying to work weak signals. The auto stations simply will not hear the weak ROS sigs. The "automatic sub bands" are totally chock full of PACTOR and PACKET and ALE and PROPNET and WINMOR, and most of those modes are using ARQ. Not a good mix for a slow weak signal digi keyboarding mode, you don't want to be near them.

Some FAQs:
1. What is the bandwidth limit and sub bands in USA? There is no FCC bandwidth limit for USA stations running digital data/texting on HF.

2. What is the bandwidth limit and sub bands in Europe? Some european countries' hams are obliged to follow the IARU Bandplan for "IARU Region 1": Germany and Netherlands. That bandplan has bandwidth limits in it for those hams. You can download it at:
http://hflink.com/bandplans

Here are some suggestions for VFO dial frequencies that are clear, available, and legal for worldwide use of ROS:
3577.0 USA/North America/Asia
3603.0 Europe
7053.0 Worldwide
14112.5 Worldwide
21152.5 Worldwide
28225.5 Worldwide

Best Wishes,
Bonnie VR2/KQ6XA

.

25. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fwd: WD4KPD de VR2/KQ6XA

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 02:28:09 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 24 Message: 25

3577 will cause spatter on the common JT65A frequency 0f 3576.
14112.5 will cause problems for WINMOR commonly on 14112
7053 in subject to major CW activity , especially during contests (like
today)

Bonnie has been involved in frequency coordination no more than the average
ham. She has good knowledge, but she implies responsibility that she has
not been assigned or granted. Her primary interest is in keeping channels
clear for ALE operations,

Andy K3UK

On Sat, Feb 20, 2010 at 9:16 PM, David Michael Gaytko // WD4KPD <
wd4kpd@...> wrote:

>
>
>
>
> -------- Original Message --------
> Subject: WD4KPD de VR2/KQ6XA
> Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 17:35:31 -0800 (PST)
> From: Bonnie <expeditionradio@... <expeditionradio%40yahoo.com>>
> To: wd4kpd@... <wd4kpd%40suddenlink.net>
>
> Hi David,
>
> Great to see the new ROS group and ROS activity. I noticed that you've
> been interested in developing new frequencies for ROS.
>
> I've been involved in frequency coordination for digital modes and nets
> over the past 10 years (Olivia, JT65, WSPR, Propnet, HFpack, APRpack,
> ALE, Flying Hams, Selcall, etc. etc) so perhaps I can help a little by
> pointing you to some resources and info, and some suggestions on new ROS
> frequencies that won't step on other nets, and this can provide the best
> clear frequencies for experimenters in this new mode ROS.
>
> To begin with, it is probably best to stay out of the "automatic sub
> bands" because it will be a headache for ROS operators trying to work
> weak signals. The auto stations simply will not hear the weak ROS sigs.
> The "automatic sub bands" are totally chock full of PACTOR and PACKET
> and ALE and PROPNET and WINMOR, and most of those modes are using ARQ.
> Not a good mix for a slow weak signal digi keyboarding mode, you don't
> want to be near them.
>
> Some FAQs:

> 1. What is the bandwidth limit and sub bands in USA? There is no FCC
> bandwidth limit for USA stations running digital data/texting on HF.
>
> 2. What is the bandwidth limit and sub bands in Europe? Some european
> countries' hams are obliged to follow the IARU Bandplan for "IARU Region
> 1": Germany and Netherlands. That bandplan has bandwidth limits in it
> for those hams. You can download it at:
> http://hflink.com/bandplans
>
> Here are some suggestions for VFO dial frequencies that are clear,
> available, and legal for worldwide use of ROS:
> 3577.0 USA/North America/Asia
> 3603.0 Europe
> 7053.0 Worldwide
> 14112.5 Worldwide
> 21152.5 Worldwide
> 28225.5 Worldwide
>
> Best Wishes,
> Bonnie VR2/KQ6XA
>
> .
>
>
>

26. Frequency Coordination Re: Fwd: WD4KPD de VR2/KQ6XA

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 02:46:11 UTC
From: expeditionradio
Topic: 24 Message: 26

I find it odd that several of my private email conversations with David somehow ended up on this public group. It's a breach of netiquette, but it isn't a big deal, and there's nothing in the emails that I don't mind being released to the public.

The fact is, I worked with frequency coordination with many different nets and modes, and a few of them are listed on the previous posting. The best frequency coordination happens with good research and working together... not through arguments.

I also find it odd that Andy has dissed me here... especially since I did all the frequency coordination for Andy's Digitalradio group... perhaps he has a short memory. I also generated all the frequency charts that are presently on his Digitalradio group website :)

As the saying goes... "No good deed goes unpunished."

73 Bonnie VR2/KQ6XA

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...> wrote:
> Bonnie has been involved in frequency coordination no more than the average
> ham.
> Andy K3UK
>
> >
> > -------- Original Message --------
> > Subject: WD4KPD de VR2/KQ6XA
> > Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 17:35:31 -0800 (PST)
> > From: Bonnie <expeditionradio@... <expeditionradio >
> > To: wd4kpd@... <wd4kpd%40suddenlink.net>
> >
> > Hi David,
> >
> > Great to see the new ROS group and ROS activity. I noticed that you've
> > been interested in developing new frequencies for ROS.
> >
> > I've been involved in frequency coordination for digital modes and nets
> > over the past 10 years (Olivia, JT65, WSPR, Propnet, HFpack, APRpack,
> > ALE, Flying Hams, Selcall, etc. etc) so perhaps I can help a little by
> > pointing you to some resources and info, and some suggestions on new ROS
> > frequencies that won't step on other nets, and this can provide the best
> > clear frequencies for experimenters in this new mode ROS.
> > Here are some suggestions for VFO dial frequencies that are clear,
> > available, and legal for worldwide use of ROS:
> > 3577.0 USA/North America/Asia
> > 3603.0 Europe
> > 7053.0 Worldwide
> > 14112.5 Worldwide
> > 21152.5 Worldwide

> > 28225.5 Worldwide
> >
> > Best Wishes,
> > Bonnie VR2/KQ6XA
> >
> > .
> >
> >
> >
>

27. Bonnie etc.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 02:48:53 UTC
From: David Michael Gaytko // WD4KPD
Topic: 27 Message: 27

i fully realize Bonnie's agenda, perhaps not her methods.

i do think that the ale channels still could be used depending on prop and qth. i know she can't keep anyone off, if it was done.

even with the limitations you mention (on her suggestions), they are still viable depending on condx at the time, they should not just be thrown away.

i will post them soon, just to see what happens. got to face it, the entire world is compromised. just ask
Jesus.

david/wd4kpd

28. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Frequency Coordination Re: Fwd: WD4KPD de VR2/KQ6XA

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 03:00:07 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 24 Message: 28

That's my point Bonnie, , the frequencies are based on your "good" knowledge , but not any real capacity that comes close to official coordination, they are just suggestions. I'm not saying they are bad ones, but do feel you mireprsent your credentials in this arena.

Andy K3UK

29. Re: Fwd: WD4KPD de VR2/KQ6XA

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 04:01:11 UTC
From: n9dsj
Topic: 24 Message: 29

Actually it seems simple. ROS is a wide bandwidth digital mode. It belongs in the automatic sub bands with other wide BW modes. While at 1 baud one might consider it "weak signal", it is still over 2K wide. Am sure various wide band digital mode factions will disagreed in order to keep "their" turf clear (like Winlink directing Winmor off "their" freqs).

30. Re: VHF experiments?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 04:10:49 UTC
From: n9dsj
Topic: 22 Message: 30

Hi Joe,

Not as of yet, am slowed due to a recent stroke but hope to be operational again on 2 and 6 in a few weeks, Pretty much know know the path quality between us on other modes so should be a most interesting test.

73,

Bill N9DSJ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Joe Veldhuis <kd8atu@...> wrote:
>
> Has anyone tried ROS on the VHF bands yet? I'm interested in seeing how it would cope with multipath, doppler and other effects that are commonplace on these bands.
>
> If there's any interest, I'm available for skeds on 6 and 2 meters (432 beam is on the ground for lack of a place to mount it). I'll leave the rig on 50.296 USB with the beam at 165 degrees tonight through tomorrow, and post anything that decodes should the auto-email reply fail to work. I will have ROS set to 1 baud, 250 Hz AFC, with auto-baud enabled. If you don't want a sked per-se but want me to turn the beam in your direction, post your grid.
>
> Station:
> Rig: Yaesu FT-736R
> Amps: TE Systems 0510G and 1410G
> Antennas: 5el @ 25ft for 6m, 13el @ 30ft for 2m
>
> -Joe, N8FQ
> Grand Haven, MI (EN62wx)
>

31. Re: frequency coordination

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 04:11:03 UTC
From: expeditionradio
Topic: 24 Message: 31

Hi N9DSJ,

Actually, there are areas of the IARU bandplans which are recommended for 2700Hz digimode that are not recommended for automatic stations. That is the perfect places for ROS.

It's not rocket science. But it is time consuming work to sort through all of it.

But, don't take my word for it... do your own research... and come back with some meaningful results. There's a ton of research materials and URLs useful for frequency coordination on the web. You can start here:
http://hflink.com/bandplans

Bonnie VR2/KQ6XA

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "n9dsj" <n9dsj@...> wrote:
> Actually it seems simple. ROS is a wide bandwidth digital mode. It belongs in the automatic sub bands with other wide BW modes.

32. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: frequency coordination

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 04:39:13 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 24 Message: 32

Bonnie has a good point. Look at her link and read Region 1's plan and definitions. What would happen if we (digi ops) adopted it where possible? We Region 2 folks would have a definition we could refer and some common frequencies

On Sat, Feb 20, 2010 at 11:11 PM, expeditionradio <expeditionradio@...
> wrote:

>
>
> Hi N9DSJ,
>
> Actually, there are areas of the IARU bandplans which are recommended for
> 2700Hz digimode that are not recommended for automatic stations. That is the
> perfect places for ROS.
>
> It's not rocket science. But it is time consuming work to sort through all
> of it.
>
> But, don't take my word for it... do your own research... and come back
> with some meaningful results. There's a ton of research materials and URLs
> useful for frequency coordination on the web. You can start here:
> http://hflink.com/bandplans
>
> Bonnie VR2/KQ6XA
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>,
> "n9dsj" <n9dsj@...> wrote:
> > Actually it seems simple. ROS is a wide bandwidth digital mode. It
> belongs in the automatic sub bands with other wide BW modes.
>
>
>

33. test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 10:12:54 UTC
From: g3nrw
Topic: 14 Message: 33

```
hellooooooooooooooooooo ros
```

34. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 10:14:12 UTC
From: Ugo
Topic: 14 Message: 34

hi.
anyone on air now ?
73 - Ugo

Il 21/02/2010 11:12, g3nrw ha scritto:
>
> hellooooooooooooooooooo ros
>
>

35. Version 1.0.6 crashing

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 10:38:04 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 35 Message: 35

My copy of ROS version 1.0.6 keeps crashing with the error message
"Run-time error '5' invalid procedure call or argument"

Dave (G0DJA)

36. Future feature request

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 10:51:04 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 35 Message: 36

Would it be possible to add a feature where the station that initiates the email is CCed a copy of the message please? Maybe make it an option when you set up the program and add your email address, in case some people don't want to be CCed.

Then I would save the 'sent' emails in one folder to show who I have heard the email address and a 'from' file of the reports received from people who have heard me.

I know that I can read through the screen, but if you leave ROS running overnight on a busy band that can be a lot of information to read through.

Thanks.

Dave (G0DJA)

37. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 11:07:23 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 14 Message: 37

Hello

:-)

De: g3nrw <g3nrw@....uk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,21 febrero, 2010 11:12
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] test

helloooooooooooooo oooo ros

38. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Version 1.6.5 crashing

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 11:10:04 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 35 Message: 38

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> My copy of ROS version 1.0.6 keeps crashing with the error message
> "Run-time error '5' invalid procedure call or argument"

Sorry, that should, of course, be version 1.6.5!

I'm getting my program versions mixed up...

Dave (G0DJA)

39. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Future feature request

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 11:10:40 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 35 Message: 39

Good idea.

I want also save RX messages in .log files. But, apart from this, is a good idea Cced a copy.

Thanks

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,21 febrero, 2010 11:51
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Future feature request

Would it be possible to add a feature where the station that initiates the email is CCed a copy of the message please? Maybe make it an option when you set up the program and add your email address, in case some people don't want to be CCed.

Then I would save the 'sent' emails in one folder to show who I have heard the email address and a 'from' file of the reports received from people who have heard me.

I know that I can read through the screen, but if you leave ROS running overnight on a busy band that can be a lot of information to read through.

Thanks.

Dave (G0DJA)

40. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 11:19:53 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 14 Message: 40

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> Hello
>
> :-)

Hi Jose,

Seems busy on 20M today.

Sorry to anyone on 20M, I was replying to a station as well as checking email and forgot to hit the 'Stop' button. So, I have a red face at the moment for that one...

Jose, I see you have removed the option to not send the tone at the start of each message. Was there a reason why you took that option out I wonder?

Dave (G0DJA)

41. 1 baud test anyone?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 11:35:54 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 14 Message: 41

Does anyone want to try 1 baud?

I've not yet had a contact using 1 baud, or an email from anyone who has heard me when I CQ using 1 baud with my email address in the message, and I'd be interested to see how well it works.

Maybe 80M might be a good place to try? I can't manage 7MHz and I don't think my antenna (a doublet with about 20m of wire as the dipole section) will work too well on 160M.

I may also try using the Perseus SDR as the receiver today. Just to see how well that would work.

Cheers - Dave (G0DJA)

42. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 11:56:32 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 14 Message: 42

Tone it was only a reference to try see signal ROS on a WaterFall. Only that.

Tone is advisable to put him to call CQ, but in the rest of messages is unnecessary. I realized that people had always turned the tone for any kind of message and that create delays unnecessary.

At the end, I decided to automate it.

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,21 febrero, 2010 12:19
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] test

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> Hello
>
> :-)

Hi Jose,

Seems busy on 20M today.

Sorry to anyone on 20M, I was replying to a station as well as checking email and forgot to hit the 'Stop' button. So, I have a red face at the moment for that one...

Jose, I see you have removed the option to not send the tone at the start of each message. Was there a reason why you took that option out I wonder?

Dave (G0DJA)

43. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 12:02:56 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 14 Message: 43

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> Tone it was only a reference to try see signal ROS on a WaterFall. Only that.
>
> Tone is advisable to put him to call CQ, but in the rest of messages is unnecessary. I realized that people had always turned the tone for any kind of message and that create delays unnecessary.
>
> At the end, I decided to automate it.

Thanks for the explanation Jose,

Yes, I see why you changed the system now.

Cheers - Dave (G0DJA)

44. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Future feature request

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 12:16:55 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 35 Message: 44

Another nice thing would be a tune button

and 2 buttons to get direct to playback and record mixersettings .

Okay you can do this in your windowsmixer but direct buttons would be nice
I think .

And a display how □full□ the transmitbuffer is so you could see how long
you will have to wait

What about some more textbuttons??

Some that you can define on your own

Macro functions???

Okay okay enough hi hi surely there is a lot more things to do but for the
first start the user interface is okay .

How does the emailfunction is setup properly???

I want to receive with my trx and sent the reports in the internet

I know how to sent my own emaiaddy BUT how does the soft function on
receiving an emailaddy???

Is the commonly used emailsoft started?? (outlook or whatever)

Best 73´s de dg9bfc

Sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von jose alberto
nieto ros
Gesendet: Sonntag, 21. Februar 2010 12:11
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Future feature request

Good idea.

I want also save RX messages in .log files. But, apart from this, is a good
idea Cced a copy.

Thanks

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,21 febrero, 2010 11:51
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Future feature request

Would it be possible to add a feature where the station that initiates the email is CCed a copy of the message please? Maybe make it an option when you set up the program and add your email address, in case some people don't want to be CCed.

Then I would save the 'sent' emails in one folder to show who I have heard the email address and a 'from' file of the reports received from people who have heard me.

I know that I can read through the screen, but if you leave ROS running overnight on a busy band that can be a lot of information to read through.

Thanks.

Dave (G0DJA)

45. 10M anyone?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 12:26:05 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 14 Message: 45

Just worked a South African station on 10M using JT65A.

Does anyone want to try ROS on that band?

I'll monitor 28.300 for a while.

Dave (G0DJA)

46. Re: Version 1.6.5 crashing

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 12:39:15 UTC
From: laxlou2
Topic: 35 Message: 46

Hi all,

I have same problem now with WIN XP Pro. Every time I receive email address sw crashes immediately after <STOP>. It was running fine few hours today (few QSOs also) but then is start to do that.

I rebooted windows once and then it did'nt crash even there was email on the message, but after couple of received transmissions phenomenon comes back again. Just now it is sometimes crashing and sometimes not.
No problems with messages without email address.

73s de OH2GAX / Otso

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Dave Ackrill wrote:
> > My copy of ROS version 1.0.6 keeps crashing with the error message
> > "Run-time error '5' invalid procedure call or argument"
>
> Sorry, that should, of course, be version 1.6.5!
>
> I'm getting my program versions mixed up...
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

47. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Version 1.6.5 crashing

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 12:48:03 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 35 Message: 47

laxlou2 wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> I have same problem now with WIN XP Pro. Every time I receive email address sw crashes immediately after <STOP>. It was running fine few hours today (few QSOs also) but then is start to do that.
>
> I rebooted windows once and then it did'nt crash even there was email on the message, but after couple of received transmissions phenomenon comes back again. Just now it is sometimes crashing and sometimes not.
> No problems with messages without email address.

Mine seems OK with emails with the '>' at the end. It seemed to trip up when a transmission faded out and the final '>' was lost.

I uploaded a picture to the digital radio group but I'll upload it on here as well if there's anyone not subscribed to the other group who wants to see it?

Dave (G0DJA)

48. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Version 1.6.5 crashing

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 13:08:52 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 35 Message: 48

Problem solved with ROS v1.6.7 Beta

Thanks

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,21 febrero, 2010 13:48
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Version 1.6.5 crashing

laxlou2 wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> I have same problem now with WIN XP Pro. Every time I receive email address sw crashes immediately after <STOP>. It was running fine few hours today (few QSOs also) but then is start to do that.
>
> I rebooted windows once and then it did'nt crash even there was email on the message, but after couple of received transmissions phenomenon comes back again. Just now it is sometimes crashing and sometimes not.
> No problems with messages without email address.

Mine seems OK with emails with the '>' at the end. It seemed to trip up
when a transmission faded out and the final '>' was lost.

I uploaded a picture to the digital radio group but I'll upload it on
here as well if there's anyone not subscribed to the other group who
wants to see it?

Dave (G0DJA)

49. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 10M anyone?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 13:17:11 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 14 Message: 49

Hi dave

I am calling on 28.300 now.

73 de LA5VNA Steinar

On 21.02.2010 13:26, Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Just worked a South African station on 10M using JT65A.
>
> Does anyone want to try ROS on that band?
>
> I'll monitor 28.300 for a while.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>

50. 1.6.6 on Webpage but not in folder

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 13:23:28 UTC
From: n9vv
Topic: 50 Message: 50

Happy Sunday to all,
I see on the ROS webpage that 1.6.6 is advertised as the newest version. But the link is 404 (dead) and I do not see 1.6.6 anywhere here in the Yahoo group.

suggestions?
BK de Ken N9VV

51. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 1.6.6 on Webpage but not in folder

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 13:27:26 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 50 Message: 51

Hi Ken

1.6.7 is the latest ver

http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

73 de la5vna Steinar

On 21.02.2010 14:23, n9vv wrote:
> Happy Sunday to all,
> I see on the ROS webpage that 1.6.6 is advertised
> as the newest version. But the link is 404 (dead)
> and I do not see 1.6.6 anywhere here in the Yahoo
> group.
>
> suggestions?
> BK de Ken N9VV
>
>
>
>

52. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 1.6.6 on Webpage but not in folder

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 13:30:53 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 50 Message: 52

Just an hour before i installed 166 version . so new versions every few hours?!?

Jose is doing some hard work hi hi

73

Dg9bfc

Sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Steinar Aanesland
Gesendet: Sonntag, 21. Februar 2010 14:27
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 1.6.6 on Webpage but not in folder

Hi Ken

1.6.7 is the latest ver

http://rosmodem. <http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/> wordpress.com/

73 de la5vna Steinar

On 21.02.2010 14:23, n9vv wrote:
> Happy Sunday to all,
> I see on the ROS webpage that 1.6.6 is advertised
> as the newest version. But the link is 404 (dead)
> and I do not see 1.6.6 anywhere here in the Yahoo
> group.
>
> suggestions?
> BK de Ken N9VV
>
>
>
>

53. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 1.6.6 on Webpage but not in folder

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 13:31:31 UTC
From: Ken N9VV
Topic: 50 Message: 53

Mil Gracias dear Steinar,
yes that link is now on the webpage. I must have caught
it right as it was being updated :-)
thank you very much,
73 de Ken N9VV

On 2/21/2010 7:27 AM, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
>
>
>
> Hi Ken
>
> 1.6.7 is the latest ver
>
> http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/ <http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/>
>
> 73 de la5vna Steinar
>
> On 21.02.2010 14:23, n9vv wrote:
> > Happy Sunday to all,
> > I see on the ROS webpage that 1.6.6 is advertised
> > as the newest version. But the link is 404 (dead)
> > and I do not see 1.6.6 anywhere here in the Yahoo
> > group.
> >
> > suggestions?
> > BK de Ken N9VV
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

54. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 1.6.6 on Webpage but not in folder

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 13:33:16 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 50 Message: 54

```
n9vv wrote:
> Happy Sunday to all,
> I see on the ROS webpage that 1.6.6 is advertised
> as the newest version. But the link is 404 (dead)
> and I do not see 1.6.6 anywhere here in the Yahoo
> group.

It is probably because it's been replaced by v1.6.7 so I would guess
that 1.6.6 has been removed?

Dave (G0DJA)
```

55. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 1.6.6 on Webpage but not in folder

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 13:34:48 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 50 Message: 55

n9vv wrote:
> Happy Sunday to all,
> I see on the ROS webpage that 1.6.6 is advertised
> as the newest version. But the link is 404 (dead)
> and I do not see 1.6.6 anywhere here in the Yahoo
> group.

It's worth bookmarking the site were the latest release is make
available at http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

Dave (G0DJA)

56. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 10M anyone?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 13:39:12 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 14 Message: 56

Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> Hi dave
>
> I am calling on 28.300 now.
>

I've gone back to 28.300 again, but it's all quiet at the moment.

The contact with the ZS station might have been TEP of course, in which case, unless someone south of the equator comes up on ROS, then it probably will stay quiet as well. HI.

Nice to be away from the wall to wall contest stations anyway...

Dave (0DJA)

57. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 13:43:45 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 57

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v1.6.7 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : n9vv <n9vv@...>
Description : ROS v 1.6.7 Beta

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v1.6.
7%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

n9vv <n9vv@...>
```

58. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 10M anyone?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 13:52:36 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 14 Message: 58

"Nice to be away from the wall to wall contest stations anyway..." I could not agree more ;)

la5vna Steinar

On 21.02.2010 14:39, Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Steinar Aanesland wrote:
>
>> Hi dave
>>
>> I am calling on 28.300 now.
>>
>>
> I've gone back to 28.300 again, but it's all quiet at the moment.
>
> The contact with the ZS station might have been TEP of course, in which
> case, unless someone south of the equator comes up on ROS, then it
> probably will stay quiet as well. HI.
>
> Nice to be away from the wall to wall contest stations anyway...
>
> Dave (0DJA)
>
>

59. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M anyone?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 14:11:58 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 14 Message: 59

17M is open I have been working some EU on RTTY and not bad 18.105.28

Russell NC5O
1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, February 21, 2010 7:52:36 AM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 10M anyone?

"Nice to be away from the wall to wall contest stations anyway..." I could not agree more ;)

la5vna Steinar

On 21.02.2010 14:39, Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Steinar Aanesland wrote:
>
>> Hi dave
>>
>> I am calling on 28.300 now.
>>
>>
> I've gone back to 28.300 again, but it's all quiet at the moment.
>
> The contact with the ZS station might have been TEP of course, in which
> case, unless someone south of the equator comes up on ROS, then it
> probably will stay quiet as well. HI.
>
> Nice to be away from the wall to wall contest stations anyway...
>
> Dave (0DJA)
>
>

60. Additive macros

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 14:17:22 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 60 Message: 60

I have a feeling this is going to look like an idiot question, but I can't see how to append the contents of one button on to another, apart from the ones whose captions start with +.

I have seen stations reply with messages like CALL de MYCALL My name is ... but when I click the Me button it overwrites the text put there by the Call button, and after I've clicked the PTT button all the other buttons are grayed out.

BTW may I add my vote for the ability to use the non-default sound card?

Julian, G4ILO

61. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M anyone?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 14:19:27 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 14 Message: 61

Russell Blair wrote:
> 17M is open I have been working some EU on RTTY and not bad 18.105.28

What frequency?

Looking at the bandplan on the RSGB website it seems that somewhere between 18,111-18,120 as the suggested max bandwidth in that area is 2.7 kHz and the description is "All modes - automatically controlled data stations (unattended)"

How about 18.113MHz?

Dave (G0DJA)

62. Mode designator

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 14:19:25 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 62 Message: 62

What should I put as "Mode" in the log that will be accepted by ADIF compliant logs and sites such as eQSL?

Julian, G4ILO

63. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M anyone?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 14:25:44 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 14 Message: 63

Russell Blair wrote:
> 17M is open I have been working some EU on RTTY and not bad 18.105.28

OK - I've loaded the doublet on 17M and am monitoring 18.113MHz I'm hearing some SSB stations around, but none actually on that frequency, so it seems clear at this end.

Are there any other WARC-CC members on ROS?

If so, it could be that my first WCC member is worked using ROS on 17 or 12M :-)

If you are not aware of WARC-CC, as it's a very new club that Andy (K3UK) has started, the club website is currently at http://www.obriensweb.com/warc-cc.html but one of the members is currently working on an upgrade to the site, so it may move to another URL I guess.

Dave (G0DJA)

64. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M anyone?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 14:27:36 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 14 Message: 64

OK I will look for you there 18.113

Russell
1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, February 21, 2010 8:19:27 AM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M anyone?

Russell Blair wrote:
> 17M is open I have been working some EU on RTTY and not bad 18.105.28

What frequency?

Looking at the bandplan on the RSGB website it seems that somewhere between 18,111-18,120 as the suggested max bandwidth in that area is 2.7 kHz and the description is "All modes - automatically controlled data stations (unattended) "

How about 18.113MHz?

Dave (G0DJA)

65. 17M SSB 18.113 for ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 14:38:24 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 65 Message: 65

Yes I hr the SSB as well hr the band seems to be open if some other station would like to work ROS on 17m I still holding off till I feel better about getting on, Don't need a letter from the FCC. Asking me (WHY) !

Russell NC5O

1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

66. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Mode designator

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 14:40:02 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 62 Message: 66

g4ilo wrote:
> What should I put as "Mode" in the log that will be accepted by ADIF compliant logs and sites such as eQSL?

I don't think the current ADIF specification has anything that is suitable yet.

I've been logging it as USB but putting a note in the remarks column that it was ROS until an update to ADIF catches up.

Dave (G0DJA)

67. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M anyone?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 14:42:00 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 14 Message: 67

Russell Blair wrote:
> OK I will look for you there 18.113

I've actually moved up a bit to 18.115MHz, as there was a loud SSB station a bit below 18.113 and 2kHz is enough to take him right out of my passband.

Dave (G0DJA)

68. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M SSB 18.113 for ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 14:46:35 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 65 Message: 68

Russell Blair wrote:
> Yes I hr the SSB as well hr the band seems to be open if some other station would like to work ROS on 17m I still holding off till I feel better about getting on, Don't need a letter from the FCC. Asking me (WHY) !

On the basis that, if they do hear you and send you a letter asking
'why' and then they decide that a rule change is needed, then I would stop.

However, it's obviously up to individuals how they interpret the rules.

Dave (G0DJA)

69. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M anyone?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 14:46:54 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 14 Message: 69

Calling on 18.113 USB 1baud now

la5vna Steinar

On 21.02.2010 15:42, Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Russell Blair wrote:
>
>> OK I will look for you there 18.113
>>
> I've actually moved up a bit to 18.115MHz, as there was a loud SSB
> station a bit below 18.113 and 2kHz is enough to take him right out of
> my passband.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>

70. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M anyone?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 14:49:07 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 14 Message: 70

OK looking for you at 18.115 hr some cw there as well.

Russell NC5O
1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, February 21, 2010 8:42:00 AM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M anyone?

Russell Blair wrote:
> OK I will look for you there 18.113

I've actually moved up a bit to 18.115MHz, as there was a loud SSB station a bit below 18.113 and 2kHz is enough to take him right out of my passband.

Dave (G0DJA)

71. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M SSB 18.113 for ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 14:51:49 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 65 Message: 71

Yes David I hr you but you very lite.

Russell NC5O
1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, February 21, 2010 8:46:35 AM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M SSB 18.113 for ROS

Russell Blair wrote:
> Yes I hr the SSB as well hr the band seems to be open if some other station would like to work ROS on 17m I still holding off till I feel better about getting on, Don't need a letter from the FCC. Asking me (WHY) !

On the basis that, if they do hear you and send you a letter asking
'why' and then they decide that a rule change is needed, then I would stop.

However, it's obviously up to individuals how they interpret the rules.

Dave (G0DJA)

72. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M SSB 18.113 for ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 15:09:54 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 65 Message: 72

Russell Blair wrote:
> Yes David I hr you but you very lite.

I'm only running about 20W as I get RF problems if I run too much power on 18MHz - The PC shuts down!

I'll give 1 baud a go.

Dave (G0DJA)

73. Re: 17M SSB 18.113 for ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 15:51:19 UTC
From: tedworld1
Topic: 65 Message: 73

Listening in Texas 18.113 USB

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Russell Blair wrote:
> > Yes David I hr you but you very lite.
>
> I'm only running about 20W as I get RF problems if I run too much power
> on 18MHz - The PC shuts down!
>
> I'll give 1 baud a go.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

74. $.02

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 15:59:35 UTC
From: David Michael Gaytko // WD4KPD
Topic: 74 Message: 74

below is a cut/paste from a message on the group. i would say this is about
the most reasonable idea for the ROS ops.

i think we are now at the point of determining what freq to use, and all should
be considered.

honestly like it or not, the bands are crowded and all must accept the QRM and
such. so lets just do the best we can and see what happens.

new frequency suggestions will be placed in the group files. if you see a frequency
that may be illegal (Region2) please flag it with info. always remember that
ROS is a wide mode, regardless of the baud rate chosen.

NOW FOR SOMETHING COMPLETELY DIFFIRENT

is ROS mode legal ? I don't know, and probably no one else knows either
yet. there is a lot of traffic on the group regarding the subject. pse take
it and go person to person on your private email. all on the group know
about it, and shouldnt have to be bombarded by *repeats* in the end
the FCC must get involved and most likely the ARRL and we all will just
have to wait and see.

more to come i am sure !

Andy:

Your suggested band plan seems to me to be as good as any that I have
seen and better than most that I have seen. I think it is unfortunate to
have someone suggest a well thought out/workable plan and then watch it
die for lack of support. It seems to me that the problem has been the
lack of a organized group agreeing to stick with a band plan for long
enough period of time for other hams to discover the plan being used and
join with the original group.

The above gives us a starting place and measuring devices to see if
other hams will join us and follow the proposed (primarily digital
modes) band plan. If the group grows then the plan is being adequately
publicized and has become an established place for others to begin their
foray into the digital modes.

Recipe: Suggested plan published by someone on a well used forum > a dozen or more operators agreeing a head of time to try the suggested plan > keep the agreement to follow the suggested plan active for a month (adding users/followers along the way)> agree to meet at the end of the month in some prior arranged forum -- hash out the good and the bad for no longer than one week. If most people feel that the plan is a workable one, then keep the plan active for six months or so and publish the frequencies the frequencies agreed-upon on the forum on a daily basis.

At this point in time we are talking primarily of Region Two. Regions One and Three already have plans in operation. Unless there is a good reason(s) to deviate (example: legal frequencies) from plans already operational, then make the proposed band plan follow the rest of the world.

Dick,

KC4COP

75. dispersal of emails

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 16:16:19 UTC
From: David Michael Gaytko // WD4KPD
Topic: 75 Message: 75

Hello Bonnie....

please look no further than ME. I placed the emails in the rosmodem group, for all to participate. as i do think when considering public resources and and ideas that concern more than just just myself, the freedom of info is very important.

private means personal...nothing in the email like that. all of your ideas were simply put out for discussion.

76. SORRY

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 16:17:50 UTC
From: David Michael Gaytko // WD4KPD
Topic: 76 Message: 76

BONNIE....

please excuse me on my last...i forgot to add the signature.
no malice intended.

david/wd4kpd

77. Re: Additive macros

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 16:23:20 UTC
From: g0lgb
Topic: 60 Message: 77

Hi Julian, I have found the same thing here, I've simply typed my text in, as you say clicking another macro simply erases what was there before, very early days yet hopefully little bugs like this will get corrected as the program gets developed?

cheers
Gavin de G0LGB
Now with two ROS contacts under my belt hi hi :-)

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "g4ilo" <julian@...> wrote:
>
> I have a feeling this is going to look like an idiot question, but I can't see how to append the contents of one button on to another, apart from the ones whose captions start with +.
>
> I have seen stations reply with messages like CALL de MYCALL My name is ... but when I click the Me button it overwrites the text put there by the Call button, and after I've clicked the PTT button all the other buttons are grayed out.
>
> BTW may I add my vote for the ability to use the non-default sound card?
>
> Julian, G4ILO
>

78. Emails

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 16:27:32 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 78 Message: 78

So far I have not received any emailed report, even though I have included my email address in exchanges with stations I am working so I am pretty sure they received them. Has anyone with a Gmail account received an emailed report, even in the spam folder?

Julian, G4ILO

79. Re: Emails

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 16:47:33 UTC
From: tedworld1
Topic: 78 Message: 79

yes

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "g4ilo" <julian.g4ilo@...> wrote:
>
> So far I have not received any emailed report, even though I have included my email address in exchanges with stations I am working so I am pretty sure they received them. Has anyone with a Gmail account received an emailed report, even in the spam folder?
>
> Julian, G4ILO
>

80. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Emails

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 16:56:40 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 78 Message: 80

g4ilo wrote:
> So far I have not received any emailed report, even though I have included my email address in exchanges with stations I am working so I am pretty sure they received them. Has anyone with a Gmail account received an emailed report, even in the spam folder?

I've just worked ON3URT and I definately received his email address,
since he is using gmail I will ask him to confirm whether or not he gets
an email back.

Dave (G0DJA)

81. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Emails

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 16:59:55 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 78 Message: 81

g4ilo wrote:
> So far I have not received any emailed report, even though I have included my email address in exchanges with stations I am working so I am pretty sure they received them. Has anyone with a Gmail account received an emailed report, even in the spam folder?
>

I've not yet received one from Kurt (ON3URT) who I worked a few moments ago. Normally the confirmations come though quite quickly, so I wonder if Jose has it switched off at the moment to do some work on it?

Dave (G0DJA)

82. Re: Emails

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 16:57:10 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 78 Message: 82

I just saw a comment on the rosmodem site by Jose the author, that Gmail has deactivated his account, which seemed to imply that the emailed reports from everyone are being sent via his Gmail account. If so, that might explain why I haven't received any reports. If so then I would presume no-one has received any *recently*.

Julian, G4ILO

83. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Emails

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 17:03:02 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 78 Message: 83

g4ilo wrote:
> I just saw a comment on the rosmodem site by Jose the author, that Gmail has deactivated his account, which seemed to imply that the emailed reports from everyone are being sent via his Gmail account. If so, that might explain why I haven't received any reports. If so then I would presume no-one has received any *recently*.
>

That would explain it then Julian,

Let's hope he gets it back online or set up on a different system.

Dave (G0DJA)

84. help ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 17:09:06 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 84 Message: 84

i see a bit of a thread here on doing Macros in ROS.

can this be done v1.67, and if so how ?

where is the Operator Information info stored in the computer. erasing and installing the program always shows up with the old info.

if i fill in the Station info, the program looses all text
display in tx and rx.

ps: if you got the patience, 1baud seems to cut thru any amount of qrm, except in the case of severe AGC pumping in the rx.

david/wd4kpd

85. emails

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 17:32:32 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 85 Message: 85

just a thought...

the auto report via email is a neat idea, and i do use it.

but i might wonder about the ops without wide band and less than
perfect (perhaps expensive)internet mail.

we can of course send the report request through the ROS program,
but i think that the auto response be optionaly disabled on the
rx side of the link.

david/wd4kpd

86. QRV on 15 meters @ 21.111

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 17:37:18 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 86 Message: 86

Calling CQ with about 1.5 watts out at 1 baud.

This frequency appears to be within both Regions 1 and 2 band plans for ROS, and there is very little CW QRM here on that frequency.

73,

Tim - N3TL
Athens, Ga., USA - EM84ha

87. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] QRV on 15 meters @ 21.111

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 17:42:27 UTC
From: Randy Hall
Topic: 86 Message: 87

Listing on 15. I am getting a lot of false locks on 20M with all the CW signals.

Let's see if you receive an email from my station!

Randy
K7AGE

88. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] emails

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 17:44:55 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 85 Message: 88

wd4kpd wrote:
> just a thought...
>
> the auto report via email is a neat idea, and i do use it.
>
> but i might wonder about the ops without wide band and less than
> perfect (perhaps expensive)internet mail.
>
> we can of course send the report request through the ROS program,
> but i think that the auto response be optionaly disabled on the
> rx side of the link.

I seem to remember that many of the older email systems let you tell the server not to deliver emails from certain addresses. This was before the Spammers found ways round it, but I wonder if, for those in the position of having to pay when they receive emails or are on a pay per bandwidth account, they could tell their ISP not to deliver the ones with the rosmodem email address?

Dave (G0DJA)

89. Frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 17:48:35 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 85 Message: 89

After visiting the rosmodem website again, I see that Jose has posted some suggested frequencies where ROS might be heard. There is also a notice that these could change and to keep looking for updated information.

The suggested frequencies are as follows (cut and pasted from the site).

Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (throughout these days we will change the list probably. Stay tuned to changes)
? 1.840
? 3.60360 (exclusive 1 baud)
? 3.60605 (exclusive 16 bauds)
? 7.053
? 14.101
? 28.300

Dave (G0DJA)

90. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] QRV on 15 meters @ 21.111

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 17:52:31 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 86 Message: 90

Randy,

I don't believe the email feature is working just now, and I am not including my email address in the CQ. However, I really LIKE the fact that Jose has written the program so that users actually can use the 1 baud modem to have a true QSO instead of just exchanging short bursts with numbers, RRRs and OOOs ... hihi.

I believe this makes the mode potentially very useful in all kinds of applications.

Tim - N3TL

From: Randy Hall <listk7age@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, February 21, 2010 12:42:27 PM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] QRV on 15 meters @ 21.111

Listing on 15. I am getting a lot of false locks on 20M with all the CW signals.

Let's see if you receive an email from my station!

Randy
K7AGE

91. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] QRV on 15 meters @ 21.111

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 17:55:48 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 86 Message: 91

I'm there but don't hr any thing. 21.111 usb

Russell NC5O
1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

From: Randy Hall <listk7age@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, February 21, 2010 11:42:27 AM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] QRV on 15 meters @ 21.111

Listing on 15. I am getting a lot of false locks on 20M with all the CW signals.

Let's see if you receive an email from my station!

Randy
K7AGE

92. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] QRV on 15 meters @ 21.111

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 18:03:19 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 86 Message: 92

Upping power to about 4.5 watts.

From: Russell Blair <russell_blair86@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, February 21, 2010 12:55:48 PM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] QRV on 15 meters @ 21.111

I'm there but don't hr any thing. 21.111 usb

Russell NC5O
1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell. Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

From: Randy Hall <listk7age@gmail. com>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Sent: Sun, February 21, 2010 11:42:27 AM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] QRV on 15 meters @ 21.111

Listing on 15. I am getting a lot of false locks on 20M with all the CW signals.

Let's see if you receive an email from my station!

Randy
K7AGE

93. 20m frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 18:04:28 UTC
From: sholtofish
Topic: 93 Message: 93

All,

Would it be possible for ROS testers to agree on a different 20m frequency please? The 14,101 chosen is interfering with a very long established packet network NET105 (since 1986).

The actual tones on the packet network are approx. 14103.2 and 14103.4 +/- about 50Hz.

Even with a 300HZ IF filter centered on the packet frequency I am still hearing ROS tones.

73

Sholto
K7TMG

94. My 1st 1 baud QSO :-)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 18:00:26 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 85 Message: 94

Just completed my 1st 1 baud ROS contact with Johan (PA3AIN) on 3.603.600 MHz as follows

RX: <17:06 UTC> <1.0 Hz.> CQ PA3AIN JO32KJ <STOP>
TX <17:48 UTC> PA3AIN G0DJA IO93IF OOO <STOP>
RX: <17:51 UTC> <3.4 Hz.> G0DJA PA3AIN JO32KJ OOO <STOP>
TX <17:53 UTC> PA3AIN G0DJA RRR <STOP>
RX: <17:55 UTC> <3.4 Hz.> G0DJA PA3AIN RRR 73 <STOP>
TX <17:56 UTC> PA3AIN G0DJA 73 <STOP>

Thanks Johan.

Dave (G0DJA)

95. 15m 21.111 ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 18:30:43 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 95 Message: 95

WB2LMV was calling cq on 21.111 USB 1 baud.

Russell NC5O
1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

96. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 20m frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 18:32:56 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 93 Message: 96

Please, tell me frequencies you thing as soon as be possible.

Or better, tell me which is the range of clean frequencies that you see with your transceiver, and I will do the calculations for select the frecuency in that range.

De: sholtofish <sholto@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,21 febrero, 2010 19:04
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 20m frequency

All,

Would it be possible for ROS testers to agree on a different 20m frequency please? The 14,101 chosen is interfering with a very long established packet network NET105 (since 1986).

The actual tones on the packet network are approx. 14103.2 and 14103.4 +/- about 50Hz.

Even with a 300HZ IF filter centered on the packet frequency I am still hearing ROS tones.

73

Sholto
K7TMG

97. Re: 20m frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 18:33:47 UTC
From: sholtofish
Topic: 93 Message: 97

Hi David,

No offense taken! Well someone posted that the signal is similar to Olivia 2K, perhaps 14105 up to about 14107 dial frequency might be a better place to try your experiments? Or even perhaps 14079? not much RTTY on today. I'm no expert at frequency coordination David but the packet network I am a member of is mostly automatically controlled and that would seem mutually disadvantageous to both parties. It also may be possible for you to drop 500Hz and that might help? I really haven't studied the ROS waveform but I would guess it's only your top few tones which are causing the issue, maybe dropping down 500Hz would alleviate the problem?

I'm taking the liberty of posting this reply back to the ROS group David so I hope you don't mind but it might be useful to start the dialog on this?

WD4KPD wrote:
> not to be flippant sholto, but where would you suggest ?
> just trying to keep away from pactor as much as possible.
>
> if you got a probable, pass it to the group to get some trials going.
>
> david/wd4kpd
>
>

98. Re: 20m frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 18:39:09 UTC
From: sholtofish
Topic: 93 Message: 98

Hello Jose,

My radio dial is on 14104.81 LSB. My packet tones are MARK 1400Hz, SPACE 1600Hz. My transceiver is accurate +/20Hz. Packet needs about 500Hz of clear bandwidth for effective use.

I hope this helps.

73

Sholto
K7TMG

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> Please, tell meÂ frequencies you thingÂ as soon as be possible.
>
> Or better, tell me which is the range of clean frequencies that you seeÂ with your transceiver, Â and I will do the calculations for select the frecuency in that range.
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: sholtofish <sholto@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,21 febrero, 2010 19:04
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 20m frequency
>
> Â
> All,
>
> Would it be possible for ROS testers to agree on a different 20m frequency please? The 14,101 chosen is interfering with a very long established packet network NET105 (since 1986).
>
> The actual tones on the packet network are approx. 14103.2 and 14103.4 +/- about 50Hz.
>
> Even with a 300HZ IF filter centered on the packet frequency I am still hearing ROS tones.
>
> 73
>
> Sholto

> K7TMG
>

99. QRT here for now

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 18:49:52 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 99 Message: 99

I'm not decoding anything on 21.111 - and apparently no one has seen me - so will try another band after a quick lunch break. I'll leave the radio on 21.111 with ROS running while I'm away from the shack.

73,

Tim

100. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: 20m frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 18:56:53 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 93 Message: 100

Yes, but i need you told me where is a clean range of 2250 hz.

Thanks

_____
De: sholtofish <sholto@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,21 febrero, 2010 19:39
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: 20m frequency

Hello Jose,

My radio dial is on 14104.81 LSB. My packet tones are MARK 1400Hz, SPACE 1600Hz. My transceiver is accurate +/20Hz. Packet needs about 500Hz of clear bandwidth for effective use.

I hope this helps.

73

Sholto
K7TMG

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
>
> Please, tell meÂ frequencies you thingÂ as soon as be possible.
>
> Or better, tell me which is the range of clean frequencies that you seeÂ with your transceiver, Â and I will do the calculations for select the frecuency in that range.
>
>
>
>
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> De: sholtofish <sholto@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Enviado: dom,21 febrero, 2010 19:04
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 20m frequency
>
> Â
> All,
>
> Would it be possible for ROS testers to agree on a different 20m frequency please? The 14,101 chosen is interfering with a very long established packet network NET105 (since 1986).

>
> The actual tones on the packet network are approx. 14103.2 and 14103.4 +/- about 50Hz.
>
> Even with a 300HZ IF filter centered on the packet frequency I am still hearing ROS
tones.
>
> 73
>
> Sholto
> K7TMG
>

101. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] QRT here for now (TIM)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 18:56:14 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 99 Message: 101

Tim, I work WB2LMV on 21.111 just about 10 mins ago, I was running about 10w and he was running 5w. We were using 1 baud.

Russell NC5O
1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

From: Tim - N3TL <n3tl@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, February 21, 2010 12:49:52 PM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] QRT here for now

I'm not decoding anything on 21.111 - and apparently no one has seen me - so will try another band after a quick lunch break. I'll leave the radio on 21.111 with ROS running while I'm away from the shack.

73,

Tim

102. 20m freq.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 19:02:48 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 102 Message: 102

14100.5 USB DATA International QRZ All Regions

14109.0 USB DATA PRIMARY Global High Frequency Network and Emergency/Disaster Relief HFN Pilot All Regions

14112.0 USB DATA International QRZ All Regions

these are the freq claimed by hflink/ale/winlink etc. given 2.7kc bw, there is only one small hole at 14.106.5 that i can see, so we must go
into the ssb/digital shared area up to 14.115 to 14.150 or share with hflink.

nobody owns a freq, and where ever we go, there will be qrm and contention. pick your poison.

david/wd4kpd

ps: pse forgive if i have bad data.

103. Re: 20m frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 19:04:29 UTC
From: sholtofish
Topic: 93 Message: 103

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> Yes, but i need you told me where is a clean range of 2250 hz.
>
> Thanks

Jose, I don't think I can answer that as I don't spend much time cruising the 20m band.

I am sure someone on here must have some idea?

104. 3603.6 Khz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 19:10:39 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 104 Message: 104

Hi all

Captured on 3603.6

RX: <18:42 UTC> <-3.4 Hz.> IW1GJJ G0DJA IO93IF OOO <STOP>
RX: <19:00 UTC> <-2.4 Hz.> CQ TF3HZ HP94AD <STOP>

73 de LA5VNA Steinar

105. Re: 20m freq.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 19:38:14 UTC
From: sholtofish
Topic: 102 Message: 105

David,

I'm not a fan of ALE/141A but its bandwidth is not 2.7KHz. It's only 2KHz but I think you're right that Pactor-III requires about 2.6KHz.

Interesting comment about the range from 14115->14150. Other than some SSB QRM what are the disadvantages of using this area (at least the lower portion) ?

73

Sholto

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@...> wrote:
>
> 14100.5 USB DATA International QRZ All Regions
>
> 14109.0 USB DATA PRIMARY Global High Frequency Network and Emergency/Disaster Relief HFN Pilot All Regions
>
> 14112.0 USB DATA International QRZ All Regions
>
>
> these are the freq claimed by hflink/ale/winlink etc. given 2.7kc bw, there is only one small hole at 14.106.5 that i can see, so we must go
> into the ssb/digital shared area up to 14.115 to 14.150 or share with hflink.
>
> nobody owns a freq, and where ever we go, there will be qrm and contention. pick your poison.
>
> david/wd4kpd
>
> ps: pse forgive if i have bad data.
>

106. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 3603.6 Khz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 19:35:24 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 104 Message: 106

HI Steinar,

Long time...

Still using that miracle vertical?

What sort of signal strength are you getting for these
transmissions?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesla,
<saanes@...>
>Sent: Feb 21, 2010 11:10 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 3603.6 Khz
>
>Hi all
>
>Captured on 3603.6
>
>RX: <18:42 UTC> <-3.4 Hz.> IW1GJJ G0DJA IO93IF OOO <STOP>
>RX: <19:00 UTC> <-2.4 Hz.> CQ TF3HZ HP94AD <STOP>
>
>73 de LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

107. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 3603.6 Khz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 20:20:26 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 104 Message: 107

Have been watching 3.603.600MHz as well and decoded a couple of stations on 1 baud as follows.

RX: <18:12 UTC> <-4.4 Hz.> CQ IW1GJJ <STOP>
RX: <20:07 UTC> <1.5 Hz.> CQ TF3HZ HP94AD <STOP>

Dave (G0DJA)

108. 20M FREQUENCIES PROPOSAL

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 20:21:34 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 108 Message: 108

without objection (i hope !) the following 20m freq. are proposed.

by all objectivity, the frequencies are legal in the USA/REGION2.

14.115, 14.118 if needed.

david/wd4kpd

109. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 3603.6 Khz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 20:46:29 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 104 Message: 109

Hi Rein

Yes , long time but I am still around ;) No , this time I use a small 4
band horizontal dipole , 16m long .
I do not know the signal strength. It was heavy qrm on the freq.

la5vna Steinar

On 21.02.2010 20:35, rein0zn@... wrote:
> HI Steinar,
>
>
> Long time...
>
> Still using that miracle vertical?
>
> What sort of signal strength are you getting for these
> transmissions?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
>> From: Steinar Aanesla,
>>
> <saanes@...>
>
>> Sent: Feb 21, 2010 11:10 AM
>> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 3603.6 Khz
>>
>> Hi all
>>
>> Captured on 3603.6
>>
>> RX: <18:42 UTC> <-3.4 Hz.> IW1GJJ G0DJA IO93IF OOO <STOP>
>> RX: <19:00 UTC> <-2.4 Hz.> CQ TF3HZ HP94AD <STOP>
>>
>> 73 de LA5VNA Steinar
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ------------------------------------
>>

>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

110. 80m freq proposal

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 20:55:02 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 110 Message: 110

my info shows that in Region2,: 3600 - 3625 bw 2700 * All modes, digimode, automatically controlled data station (unattended) must a
station be Unattended to operate here?

3600 - 3650 bw 2700 All modes, SSB contest preferred, digital voice (DV) centre of activity 3630 kHz.

the suggested ARRL band plan excludes digimode (except image)above 3.600kc.

ale/p3 etc. do claim a data channel at 3.617kc

so how about 3.62 as a ROS home. most likely the only problem will be EXTRA class SSB. 3.617 also excludes General/Advanced class ops. (bad
luck for me too)

* denotes AM @ 6kc

what say ?

david/wd4kpd

111. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 20M FREQUENCIES PROPOSAL

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 20:53:21 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 108 Message: 111

What wrong with a little lower down 14101 to 14112? You are correct, what
you suggest is OK for all regions, but just "tradition"of being lower down.

On Sun, Feb 21, 2010 at 3:21 PM, wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...> wrote:

>
>
> without objection (i hope !) the following 20m freq. are proposed.
>
> by all objectivity, the frequencies are legal in the USA/REGION2.
>
> 14.115, 14.118 if needed.
>
> david/wd4kpd
>
>
>

112. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 3603.6 Khz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 21:00:47 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 104 Message: 112

Hi Steinar,

Thanks. I am wondering about the need for those 10/20/50
Watt transmissions people are reporting on using?.
Still difficult to work Northern EU from here, on WSJT or
WSPR.
Anyway,

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: Feb 21, 2010 3:46 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 3603.6 Khz
>
>
>Hi Rein
>
>Yes , long time but I am still around ;) No , this time I use a small 4
>band horizontal dipole , 16m long .
>I do not know the signal strength. It was heavy qrm on the freq.
>
>la5vna Steinar
>
>
>
>On 21.02.2010 20:35, rein0zn@... wrote:
>> HI Steinar,
>>
>>
>> Long time...
>>
>> Still using that miracle vertical?
>>
>> What sort of signal strength are you getting for these
>> transmissions?
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>>
>>> From: Steinar Aanesla,
>>>
>> <saanes@...>

```
>>
>>> Sent: Feb 21, 2010 11:10 AM
>>> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>>> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 3603.6 Khz
>>>
>>> Hi all
>>>
>>> Captured on 3603.6
>>>
>>> RX: <18:42 UTC> <-3.4 Hz.> IW1GJJ G0DJA IO93IF OOO <STOP>
>>> RX: <19:00 UTC> <-2.4 Hz.> CQ TF3HZ HP94AD <STOP>
>>>
>>> 73 de LA5VNA Steinar
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> ------------------------------------
>>>
>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
```

113. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 80m freq proposal

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 21:02:10 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 110 Message: 113

wd4kpd wrote:
> my info shows that in Region2,: 3600 - 3625 bw 2700 * All modes, digimode, automatically controlled data station (unattended) must a
> station be Unattended to operate here?
>

No, it's just a designation that unattended stations may be found there.

Otherwise, the band would be a wasteland when no unattended stations
were using it...

Dave (G0DJA)

114. 160m frequency proposal

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 21:09:33 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 114 Message: 114

160m is a nice big band ! you can almost do anything anywhere.

wideband digimode is ok from 1840kc to 1999kc. p3/ale etc. have one channel at 1840.5kc to be multi regional capable.

so would say something way up near the top would be ok.
1990kc and 1993kc.

david/wd4kpd

115. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 21:13:10 UTC
From: Ian Wade G3NRW
Topic: 14 Message: 115

```
From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
Date: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 Time: 11:07:23

>Hello
>
>:-)
>
>De: g3nrw <g3nrw@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: dom,21 febrero, 2010 11:12
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] test
>
>
>hellooooooooooooooo oooo ros
>

Wonderful! My very first ROS QSO .... :-) :-)

--
73
Ian, G3NRW
```

116. Re: 20M FREQUENCIES PROPOSAL

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 21:20:54 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 108 Message: 116

Andy..see message #102 !

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...> wrote:
>
> What wrong with a little lower down 14101 to 14112? You are correct, what
> you suggest is OK for all regions, but just "tradition"of being lower down.
>
>
>
> On Sun, Feb 21, 2010 at 3:21 PM, wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > without objection (i hope !) the following 20m freq. are proposed.
> >
> > by all objectivity, the frequencies are legal in the USA/REGION2.
> >
> > 14.115, 14.118 if needed.
> >
> > david/wd4kpd
> >
> >
> >
>

117. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 21:36:50 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 117

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /SUGGESTIONS/WD4KPD
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description : MY PROPOSALS

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/SUGGESTIONS/WD4KPD

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

118. a question

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 21:45:25 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 118 Message: 118

given that in the USA we seem to have three band plans.

ARRL/FCC/IARU R2

to whom are US ops bound too ?
my guess is that the FCC can supercede IARU R2 and ARRL. the ARRL can augment the FCC as to good operating practice but not over rule it.

david/wd4kpd

119. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] a question

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 21:49:57 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 118 Message: 119

US hams are bound by the regulations in part 97, as interpreted by the FCC.
The ARRL's role is "lobbyist".

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of wd4kpd
Sent: Sunday, February 21, 2010 4:45 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] a question

given that in the USA we seem to have three band plans.

ARRL/FCC/IARU R2

to whom are US ops bound too ?
my guess is that the FCC can supercede IARU R2 and ARRL. the ARRL can
augment the FCC as to good operating practice but not over rule it.

david/wd4kpd

120. v1.6.8

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 22:28:01 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 120 Message: 120

I have just downloaded v1.6.8. It has a frequency box which contains 7.053.00 MHz (I'm on 80m at the moment.) What's it for?

Julian, G4ILO

121. A short "release note"

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 22:29:55 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 93 Message: 121

Hi Jose

Nice mode you have made! :)

Is it possible to make a short "release note" on the web about was is new when you are releasing a new version ?

73 de LA5VNA Steinar

122. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] A short "release note"

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 22:34:59 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 93 Message: 122

Good idea, steinar.

De: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,21 febrero, 2010 23:29
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] A short "release note"

Hi Jose

Nice mode you have made! :)

Is it possible to make a short "release note" on the web about was is
new when you are releasing a new version ?

73 de LA5VNA Steinar

123. PINGJOCKEY

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 23:12:58 UTC
From: Keith
Topic: 123 Message: 123

How about a website like PING JOCKEY used for Meteor scatter and EME for ROS to help locate other stations and co-ordinate qso's.
Just my thoughts.

Keith AB8CL

124. New Version for ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 23:28:05 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 124 Message: 124

There is a New Version 1.6.8 Beta

Russell NC5O
1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

125. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] PINGJOCKEY

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 23:35:48 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 123 Message: 125

There is one already...

http://www.obriensweb.com/sked

click on the "digital" tab

Several ROS folks have used it

On Sun, Feb 21, 2010 at 6:12 PM, Keith <ab8cl@...> wrote:

>
>
> How about a website like PING JOCKEY used for Meteor scatter and EME for
> ROS to help locate other stations and co-ordinate qso's.
> Just my thoughts.
>
> Keith AB8CL
>
>
>

126. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] PINGJOCKEY

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 23:40:12 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 123 Message: 126

Thanks Andy, for your site. I believe that, in addition to it and Chris' (Ping Jockey and associated pages) site, Jose or someone should contact VK3AMA and request that ROS be added to his HamSpot.net site, which is my go-to site for HR digital spots. It's an all-in-1-place site, for those who haven't been there yet.

Andy - I will start using your site to post QRV/CQ notices from here. Thanks again!

73,

Tim - N3TL

From: Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, February 21, 2010 6:35:48 PM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] PINGJOCKEY

There is one already...

http://www.obriensweb.com/sked

click on the "digital" tab

Several ROS folks have used it

On Sun, Feb 21, 2010 at 6:12 PM, Keith <ab8cl@.... com> wrote:

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
>
>>
>
>>
>

>How about a website like PING JOCKEY used for Meteor scatter and EME for ROS to help locate other stations and co-ordinate qso's.
>>Just my thoughts.
>
>>Keith AB8CL
>
>

127. 2 station on 2m ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-21 23:50:28 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 127 Message: 127

On 14.101.00 2 station one 1 baud and the other 16 baud at the same time.

Russell NC5O
1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

128. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 15m 21.111 ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 00:19:33 UTC
From: Glenn L. Roeser
Topic: 95 Message: 128

NC5O answered me and we had a QSO!!! Call the KGB!!!

From: Russell Blair <russell_blair86@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, February 21, 2010 1:30:43 PM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 15m 21.111 ROS

WB2LMV was calling cq on 21.111 USB 1 baud.

Russell NC5O
1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell. Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

129. Re: a question

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 00:53:36 UTC
From: n9dsj
Topic: 118 Message: 129

The FCC...

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@...> wrote:
>
> given that in the USA we seem to have three band plans.
>
> ARRL/FCC/IARU R2
>
> to whom are US ops bound too ?
> my guess is that the FCC can supercede IARU R2 and ARRL. the ARRL can
> augment the FCC as to good operating practice but not over rule it.
>
> david/wd4kpd
>

131. FCC Technology Jail: ROS is Dead in USA for HF

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 01:02:57 UTC
From: expeditionradio
Topic: 131 Message: 131

Given the fact that ROS Modem has been advertised as Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS), it may be quite difficult for USA amateur radio operators to obtain a positive interpretation of rules by FCC to allow use of ROS on HF without some type of experimental license or waiver. Otherwise, hams will need an amendment of FCC rules to use it in USA.

Sadly, this may lead to the early death of ROS among USA hams.

If ROS Modem had simply provided the technical specifications of the emission, and not called it "Spread Spectrum", there would have been a chance for it to be easily adopted by Ham Radio operators in USA.

But, the ROS modem designer is rightfully proud of the design, and he lives in a country that is not bound by FCC rules, and probably had little or no knowledge of how his advertising might prevent thousands of hams from using it in USA.

But, as they say, "You cannot un-ring a bell, once it has been rung".

ROS signal can be viewed as a type of FSK, similar to various other types of n-ary-FSK presently in widespread use by USA hams. The specific algorithms for signal process and format could simply have been documented without calling it Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS). Since it is a narrowband signal (using the FCC and ITU definitions of narrowband emission = less than 3kHz) within the width of an SSB passband, it does not fit the traditional FHSS description as a conventional wideband technique.

It probably would not have been viewed as FHSS under the spirit and intention of the FCC rules. It doesn't hop the VFO frequency. It simply FSKs according to a programmable algorithm, and it meets the infamous 1kHz shift 300 baud rule.
http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/news/part97/d-305.html#307f3

This is a typical example of how outdated the present FCC rules are, keeping USA hams in "TECHNOLOGY JAIL" while the rest of the world's hams move forward with digital technology. It should come as no surprise that most of the new ham radio digital modes are not being developed in USA!

But, for a moment, let's put aside the issue of current FCC "prohibition" against Spread Spectrum and/or Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum, and how it relates to ROS mode. Let's look at "bandwidth".

There is the other issue of "bandwidth" that some misguided USA hams have brought up here and in other forums related to ROS. Some superstitious hams seem to erroneously think that there is an over-reaching "bandwidth limit" in the FCC rules for data/text modes on HF that might indicate what part of the ham band to operate it or not operate it.

FACT:
"There is currently no finite bandwidth limit on HF data/text emission in USA ham bands, except for the sub-band and band edges."

FACT:
"FCC data/text HF rules are still mainly based on "content" of the emission, not bandwidth."

New SDR radios have the potential to transmit and receive wider bandwidths than the traditional 3kHz SSB passband. We will see a lot more development in this area of technology in the future, and a lot more gray areas of 20th century FCC rules that inhibit innovation and progress for ham radio HF digital technology in the 21st century.

Several years ago, there was a proposal to FCC to provide regulation by bandwidth rather than content. However, it failed to be adopted, and ARRL's petition to limit bandwidth was withdrawn
http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2007/04/27/101/?nc=1

Thus, USA hams will continue to be in Technology Jail without access to many new modes in the foreseeable future :(

Best Wishes,
Bonnie Crystal KQ6XA

132. Re: New Version for ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 01:04:44 UTC
From: Keith
Topic: 124 Message: 132

I downloaded and unzipped the latest version then deleted
the folder containing the older version. The new version indicates that it has a signal "locked" but does not decode in the upper window and none of the buttons work except for the PTT. Tried going back to the old version but now same problems with it also.

Keith AB8CL

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Russell Blair <russell_blair86@...> wrote:
>
> There is a New Version 1.6.8 Beta
>
> Russell NC5O
> 1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
> 2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
> - Thomas Jefferson
>
>
> " IN GOD WE TRUST "
>
>
> Russell Blair (NC5O)
> Skype-Russell.Blair
> Hell Field #300
> DRCC #55
> 30m Dig-group #693
>

133. Re: New Version for ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 02:10:31 UTC
From: Keith
Topic: 124 Message: 133

Never Mind...I went back and cleaned up the system registry and rebooted....ever one is happy once again.

73
Keith AB8CL

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Keith" <ab8cl@...> wrote:
>
>
> I downloaded and unzipped the latest version then deleted
> the folder containing the older version. The new version indicates that it has a signal "locked" but does not decode in the upper window and none of the buttons work except for the PTT. Tried going back to the old version but now same problems with it also.
>
> Keith AB8CL
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Russell Blair <russell_blair86@> wrote:
> >
> > There is a New Version 1.6.8 Beta
> >
> > Russell NC5O
> > 1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
> > 2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
> > - Thomas Jefferson
> >
> >
> > " IN GOD WE TRUST "
> >
> >
> > Russell Blair (NC5O)
> > Skype-Russell.Blair
> > Hell Field #300
> > DRCC #55
> > 30m Dig-group #693
> >
>

134. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 02:24:42 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 134

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v1.6.9 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : n9vv <n9vv@...>
Description : ROS v1.6.9 Beta.zip

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v1.6.
9%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

n9vv <n9vv@...>
```

135. Re: New Version for ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 03:43:27 UTC
From: g0lgb
Topic: 124 Message: 135

Jose' is a busy bee, now v1.69 beta, it seems like only yesterday I cutting edge with v1.62 probably because i was :-) hi hi

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Russell Blair <russell_blair86@...> wrote:
>
> There is a New Version 1.6.8 Beta
>
> Russell NC5O
> 1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
> 2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
> - Thomas Jefferson
>
>
> " IN GOD WE TRUST "
>
>
> Russell Blair (NC5O)
> Skype-Russell.Blair
> Hell Field #300
> DRCC #55
> 30m Dig-group #693
>

136. 80m freq proposal problem

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 04:04:57 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 136 Message: 136

i think will have to withdraw my proposed 3620kc ROS channel.

although IARU REGION2 does allow it, the FCC plan seperates data and images. images like digital sstv are allowed (3713kc example) are ok,
but a digital file of text is not.

the hflink people have a data channel at 3617kc, and a data/voice at 3626kc...voice ok, but data not. i do not know how this is legal. additionaly, only Extra Class can operate in this area.

anyone knowing better than i, please respond, otherwise there may not be any ROS activity on 80m in the USA. it seems ok in REGION 1.

david/wd4kpd

137. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] v1.6.8

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 08:04:24 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 120 Message: 137

g4ilo wrote:
> I have just downloaded v1.6.8. It has a frequency box which contains 7.053.00 MHz (I'm on 80m at the moment.) What's it for?

You can change it to whatever you are on.

My guess would be that it's for some future logging system. That's what frequency displays in other digital modes programs are usually there for...

Dave (G0DJA)

138. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] PINGJOCKEY

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 08:11:35 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 123 Message: 138

Tim - N3TL wrote:
> Thanks Andy, for your site. I believe that, in addition to it and Chris' (Ping Jockey and associated pages) site, Jose or someone should contact VK3AMA and request that ROS be added to his HamSpot.net site, which is my go-to site for HR digital spots. It's an all-in-1-place site, for those who haven't been there yet.

Maybe I'm not logging on at the right times, but I find that, on the sked pages, both HamSpot and Andy's pages, I'm often the only person on a particular section. If there are others, there's often no more than two or three other people monitoring.

By contrast, the W6CQZ JT65 logger page usually has at least ten people logged on. Even if you might get the impression that half of them are possibly not watching the page.

Now, I'm not suggesting that we all start using the JT65 Logger page, there was a comment the other day about non-JT65 discussions on there, so how do we encourage more people to use the two other sked pages?

Dave (G0DJA)

139. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Latest version

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 08:16:11 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 139 Message: 139

Keith wrote:
> I downloaded the latest version 1.6.8. Now will not de-code a signal and none of the buttons work except for PTT.

Sounds strange, working OK here on an XP pro machine.

If it was just the RX I'd have guessed at a soundcard selection problem, but since the buttons don't work either it sounds like it did not install properly.

I would try deleting and unzipping again, or downloading again and unzipping.

BTW, I don't tend to delete the previous version, I unzip and install on top, accepting the 'do you want to replace...' messages when they pop up. It seems to work OK so far.

Dave (G0DJA)

140. Ask

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 08:38:15 UTC
From: yc3av
Topic: 140 Message: 140

First i wanna say thanks to the guys who made this ROS stuff ,and now i just want to try it ,and where i can find thus people who play with ROS mode ? mostly i'm work on 20m and 40m. Any reply and information will be appreciated , thanks and 73 ! :)

regards
YC3AV

141. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ask

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 08:53:42 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 140 Message: 141

yc3av wrote:
> First i wanna say thanks to the guys who made this ROS stuff ,and now i just want to try it ,and where i can find thus people who play with ROS mode ? mostly i'm work on 20m and 40m.
> Any reply and information will be appreciated , thanks and 73 ! :)

Try the following frequencies, but keep watching on here for updates.

HF Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (throughout these days we will change the
list probably. Stay tuned to changes)
? 1.840
? 3.60360 (exclusive 1 baud)
? 3.60605 (exclusive 16 bauds)
? 7.053
? 14.101
? 28.300

EME Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (Please, suggest more frequencies. Thanks)
? 144.160 USB (exclusive 1 baud)

Dave (G0DJA)

142. Re: Ask

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 08:56:34 UTC
From: yc3av
Topic: 140 Message: 142

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> yc3av wrote:
> > First i wanna say thanks to the guys who made this ROS stuff ,and now i just want to try it ,and where i can find thus people who play with ROS mode ? mostly i'm work on 20m and 40m.
> > Any reply and information will be appreciated , thanks and 73 ! :)
>
> Try the following frequencies, but keep watching on here for updates.
>
> HF Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (throughout these days we will change the
> list probably. Stay tuned to changes)
> » 1.840
> » 3.60360 (exclusive 1 baud)
> » 3.60605 (exclusive 16 bauds)
> » 7.053
> » 14.101
> » 28.300
>
> EME Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (Please, suggest more frequencies. Thanks)
> » 144.160 USB (exclusive 1 baud)
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

Thanks ! :-)

Allen
YC3AV

143. Re: Latest version

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 09:10:39 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 139 Message: 143

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:

> BTW, I don't tend to delete the previous version, I unzip and install on
> top, accepting the 'do you want to replace...' messages when they pop
> up. It seems to work OK so far.

All the new versions I've downloaded had the version number in the filename so it was more a question of unzipping alongside the old one and then deleting it.

Julian, G4ILO

144. Re: v1.6.8

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 09:13:32 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 120 Message: 144

Perhaps it appears in the emailed reception reports? I don't know since I have never received one. It could be a bit of a pain if you change frequency often. Most other programs that display frequency read it from the radio.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> My guess would be that it's for some future logging system. That's what
> frequency displays in other digital modes programs are usually there for...
>

145. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: v1.6.8

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 09:56:13 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 120 Message: 145

g4ilo wrote:
> Perhaps it appears in the emailed reception reports? I don't know since I have never received one. It could be a bit of a pain if you change frequency often. Most other programs that display frequency read it from the radio.

I suspect that, at some stage in the future, someone will ask for some form of automatic frequency update from the radio. There are various ways to do this of course, one popular way is to interface with Hamlib.

However, you have to bear in mind that Jose has only just released the program, the 1st recorded contacts took place on the 18th of this month, just 4 days ago, and he's been working fast on releasing updates that fix the things that we, the users, find to break it.

Of course, the downside of adding ever more complex and automatic features is that the size of the program increases, and the number of things that could go wrong increases.

Until the logging system, if that's what it's intended for, comes along there's actually no need, that I can see, to change the frequency displayed anyway.

Dave (G0DJA)

146. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: v1.6.8

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 09:59:40 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 120 Message: 146

g4ilo wrote:
> Perhaps it appears in the emailed reception reports? I don't know since I have never received one.

Sorry, I meant to also comment on the email messages.

Unfortunately, it seems that the automatic email system isn't working at present, but here's one I received before it stopped.

********** Please don't reply to this email **********

IW1GJJ has received your Radio Message sent at: 11:27 UTC

Received Message: 'IV3ZNK de G0DJA tnx fer QSO, 73. IV3ZNK de G0DJA sk
My email is: <dave@...> <dave@...> <dave@...>'

Operator Info:
Callsign: IW1GJJ
Name: Cristiano
E-mail: iw1gjj@...
QTH: Novara
Locator: JN45hk
Station: Icom IC-706 MKIIG - Ant. vert. - 5w
ROS Version: 1.6.3 Beta

Signal Info:
Symbol Rate: 16 bauds
Frame Acquisition: 20/20
Final Acquisition: 16/16
Frequency Shift: 23,4 Hz
Symbol Errors detected by Viterbi: 0/50
Metric: 0 dB
Vumeter Level: -12 dB
CPU Usage: 65 %

As you see, no reference to frequency, although I'm sure that could change in a future release if Jose decided it was a good idea to add it.

Dave (G0DJA)

147. Re: Ask

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 10:10:54 UTC
From: yc3av
Topic: 140 Message: 147

hmm , i was keep listening on 7.053 and 14.101 for more than 3 hours and no one was on air with ROS :)
pse anyone ,just call me on my YM (yc3av@yahoo.com) and we can do a test for fun on 40 or 20m :)

regards
YC3AV

148. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Ask

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 10:22:35 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 140 Message: 148

yc3av wrote:
> hmm , i was keep listening on 7.053 and 14.101 for more than 3 hours and no one was on air with ROS :)
> pse anyone ,just call me on my YM (yc3av@...) and we can do a test for fun on 40 or 20m :)
>

Propagation can be a funny thing!

At the moment I am seeing stations from Hungary, Germany, European Russia, Italy and Serbia on 14.101MHz

My doublet wont load up on 40M so can't try that band at the moment.

I'll look at the predictions for UK<>Indonesia and see what band/time might work.

Dave (G0DJA)

149. Email reactivated

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 10:54:14 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 140 Message: 149

Looks like good news on the automatic email front Just spotted this on the http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/ website.

"Press Release: E-mail service re-activated with ROS v1.6.8."

Dave (G0DJA)

150. My first contact with ROS :)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 10:55:22 UTC
From: yc3av
Topic: 150 Message: 150

Finaly i made my first contact with ROS :)

RX: <10:43 UTC> <-15.6 Hz.> YC3AV YC3AV de VK3JW VK3JW kk <STOP>
TX <10:44 UTC> VK3JW de YC3AV how are u ,this is my first ROS QSO K <STOP>
RX: <10:44 UTC> <-15.6 Hz.> YC3AV de VK3JW Thanks for the call.. My name John John and enche qth Trafalgar QF31BS how are you ? kk <STOP>

14.101 Mhz RST 559

btw its cool sw and give different syle on digital QSO :)

regards

YC3AV

151. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email reactivated

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 11:22:29 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 140 Message: 151

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Looks like good news on the automatic email front Just spotted this on
> the http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/ website.
>
> "Press Release: E-mail service re-activated with ROS v1.6.8."

Just had an email reception report, 1st since the system was returned to service, and I see that Jose's message about turning the audio level up has not yet got through.

This was the report from the other station.

Signal Info:
Symbol Rate: 16 bauds
Frame Acquisition: 20/20
Final Acquisition: 16/16
Frequency Shift: 7,8 Hz
Symbol Errors detected by Viterbi: 0/50
Metric: -6 dB
Vumeter Level: -20 dB

Jose suggests that -5dB is probably about right, but -20 is much too low.

I have mine hovering around -10 on no signal with a reasonably quiet band which comes up to about -5 to -7dB when someone is transmitting.

You don't want the needle on the VU meter going into the red section of course, but it's worth ensuring that the program is getting enough audio to be able to successfully work with.

Dave (G0DJA)

152. Worked on air

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 13:37:31 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 140 Message: 152

I'm sure others will have done better, but considering I don't usually use HF much, I'm pleased with the number of contacts and countries worked on ROS so far.

9 different countries and 13 different stations, in just 3 days.
For me that's good going. HI!

I guess not everyone has an internet connection? I don't seem to get too many confirmation emails. So, either my signals are getting corrupted and arn't getting through with enough information to be able to decode a valid email address, or most people don't have an internet connection on at the same time as running ROS?

DAve (G0DJA)

153. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Worked on air

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 13:49:24 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 140 Message: 153

Congratulations Dave!

Yours are fine accomplishments with ROS. I'm still waiting to make my first contact, and I hope it comes very soon. I believe it will ... maybe with G0DJA!

Good DX and 73,

Tim - N3TL

From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Mon, February 22, 2010 8:37:31 AM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Worked on air

I'm sure others will have done better, but considering I don't usually use HF much, I'm pleased with the number of contacts and countries worked on ROS so far.

9 different countries and 13 different stations, in just 3 days.
For me that's good going. HI!

I guess not everyone has an internet connection? I don't seem to get too many confirmation emails. So, either my signals are getting corrupted and arn't getting through with enough information to be able to decode a valid email address, or most people don't have an internet connection on at the same time as running ROS?

DAve (G0DJA)

154. Unattended receiver set up now

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 15:36:58 UTC
From: tedworld1
Topic: 154 Message: 154

I have enabled an unattended remote receiver for your testing pleasure.

It is a Icom PCR-1500, with a 10m dipole and VHF crossed dipole at about 50 ft. It has internet connectivity and is running the latest ROS rev, so should be able to report out. Right now it is on 14101 USB at 1 baud listening.

I plan to set up an automatic frequency change schedule which I will post later.

email me directly at kc5nyj@... if you want me to change it to a particular frequency for you.

No promises, but I may also port the radio interface out to the internet so you guys can change it yourselves..

155. Re: Unattended receiver set up now

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 16:08:36 UTC
From: tedworld1
Topic: 154 Message: 155

OK it's set to: (start at bottom of list) ALL Times today, 2/22 CST

02/22 16:06 <KC5NYJ> 12:15 and them will stay there til I change it again

02/22 16:05 <KC5NYJ> 12:00 18111[x]
02/22 16:05 <KC5NYJ> 11:45 28300[x]
02/22 16:05 <KC5NYJ> 11:30 14103[x]
02/22 16:05 <KC5NYJ> 11:15 18111[x]
02/22 16:05 <KC5NYJ> 11:00 28300[x]
02/22 16:05 <KC5NYJ> 11:00 28300[x]
02/22 16:05 <KC5NYJ> 11:00 28300[x]
02/22 16:04 <KC5NYJ> 10:45 14103[x]
02/22 16:04 <KC5NYJ> 10:30 28300[x]
02/22 16:04 <KC5NYJ> 10:15 18111[x]

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "tedworld1" <kc5nyj@...> wrote:
>
> I have enabled an unattended remote receiver for your testing pleasure.
>
> It is a Icom PCR-1500, with a 10m dipole and VHF crossed dipole at about 50 ft. It has internet connectivity and is running the latest ROS rev, so should be able to report out. Right now it is on 14101 USB at 1 baud listening.
>
> I plan to set up an automatic frequency change schedule which I will post later.
>
> email me directly at kc5nyj@... if you want me to change it to a particular frequency for you.
>
> No promises, but I may also port the radio interface out to the internet so you guys can change it yourselves..
>

156. Please consider this

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 16:53:40 UTC
From: sholtofish
Topic: 156 Message: 156

Guys I know you're all having fun with a new toy (I get it) but your choice of 14101 is playing havoc with our long established HF packet network. We have hams in very remote parts of the US and Canada who rely on the network to keep in touch. We also have automatic stations that will keep trying and retrying to send packets.

Please be considerate operators and work together to find a different 20m frequency? Or at the very least don't transmit if you hear ongoing packet transmissions. We have enough trouble with Pactor-III without adding another wide bandwidth mode into the mix.

How many of you realize that ROS has a wider bandwidth than MT63 2K, ALE & Olivia 2K - would you run these modes on 14101? Or not listen first before transmitting?

I ask you as fellow hams to respect our group and activities too.

Sholto
K7TMG

157. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Worked on air

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 17:00:33 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 140 Message: 157

HI Tim,

Want to try WSPR-QSO ? first on 14076 at 18:00 UTC?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Tim - N3TL <n3tl@...>
>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 5:49 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Worked on air
>
>Congratulations Dave!
>
>Yours are fine accomplishments with ROS. I'm still waiting to make my first contact, and I hope it comes very soon. I believe it will ... maybe with G0DJA!
>
>Good DX and 73,
>
>Tim - N3TL
>
>
>
>
>_____
>From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Mon, February 22, 2010 8:37:31 AM
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Worked on air
>
>
>I'm sure others will have done better, but considering I don't usually
>use HF much, I'm pleased with the number of contacts and countries
>worked on ROS so far.
>
>9 different countries and 13 different stations, in just 3 days.
>For me that's good going. HI!
>
>I guess not everyone has an internet connection? I don't seem to get
>too many confirmation emails. So, either my signals are getting
>corrupted and arn't getting through with enough information to be able
>to decode a valid email address, or most people don't have an internet
>connection on at the same time as running ROS?
>
>DAve (G0DJA)
>

158. 14.105

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 17:23:07 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 158 Message: 158

A new version 1.7.0 is out, together with a new recommended frequency 14.105.0 for 1 baud. I'm calling CQ there right now. Anyone want to try to make a cross-pond QRP contact?

Julian, G4ILO

159. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 17:38:38 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 159

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v1.7.0 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : n9vv <n9vv@...>
Description : ROS v1.7.0 Beta.zip

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v1.7.
0%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

n9vv <n9vv@...>
```

160. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.105

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 17:38:24 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 158 Message: 160

Julian,

I'd love to try, but the ZIP file won't extract for me here. I keep getting an error code during the extraction process, and I've downloaded from Jose's site twice.

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of g4ilo
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 12:23 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.105

A new version 1.7.0 is out, together with a new recommended frequency 14.105.0 for 1 baud. I'm calling CQ there right now. Anyone want to try to make a cross-pond QRP contact?

Julian, G4ILO

161. zip file 170.zip will not extract == error

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 17:47:07 UTC
From: n9vv
Topic: 161 Message: 161

Same problem here Tim. Unable to extract the zip file.
de Ken N9VV

162. Re: zip file 170.zip will not extract == error

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 18:28:09 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 161 Message: 162

Strange. The one I got was called "ROS v1.7.0 Beta.zip" and it opened fine with the unzipper in Windows.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "n9vv" <n9vv@...> wrote:
>
> Same problem here Tim. Unable to extract the zip file.
> de Ken N9VV
>

163. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: zip file 170.zip will not extract == error

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 19:00:01 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 161 Message: 163

HI all,

any 1 Baud station at .101?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: g4ilo <julian.g4ilo@...>
>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 6:28 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: zip file 170.zip will not extract == error
>
>Strange. The one I got was called "ROS v1.7.0 Beta.zip" and it opened fine with the unzipper in Windows.
>
>Julian, G4ILO
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "n9vv" <n9vv@...> wrote:
>>
>> Same problem here Tim. Unable to extract the zip file.
>> de Ken N9VV
>>
>
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

164. MH 14105.00 KhZ

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 19:09:01 UTC
From: David Little
Topic: 140 Message: 164

```
Monitored in EM91ED

$B!!(Bv1.7.0 Beta All 1 baud

<STOP>

RX: <18:38 UTC> <-9.8 Hz.> CQ WB2YDS FN30NW <STOP>

RX: <18:41 UTC> <-1.5 Hz.> CQ W6MSU CM98HB

RX: <18:42 UTC> <-13.2 Hz.> CQ PA3AIN JO32KJ <STOP>

RX: <18:45 UTC> <-14.6 Hz.> H <STOP>

RX: <18:54 UTC> <-39.6 Hz.> CQ WD4

RX: <18:55 UTC> <49.3 Hz.> CQ IW1GFN JN7YB

RX: <18:56 UTC> <-38.6 Hz.> CQ WD4KPD FM15MM <WD4KPD@...>
<STOP>
```

165. Re: zip file 170.zip will not extract == error

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 19:12:08 UTC
From: Gavin
Topic: 161 Message: 165

A re-upload is available on the ROS site to resolve this problem

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "n9vv" <n9vv@...> wrote:
>
> Same problem here Tim. Unable to extract the zip file.
> de Ken N9VV
>

166. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] MH 14105.00 KhZ

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 19:12:53 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 140 Message: 166

QRG david?

73 Rein W6SZ
DM14ed

-----Original Message-----
>From: David Little <dalite01@...>
>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 7:09 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] MH 14105.00 KhZ
>
>Monitored in EM91ED
>
> v1.7.0 Beta All 1 baud
>
><STOP>
>
>RX: <18:38 UTC> <-9.8 Hz.> CQ WB2YDS FN30NW <STOP>
>
>RX: <18:41 UTC> <-1.5 Hz.> CQ W6MSU CM98HB
>
>RX: <18:42 UTC> <-13.2 Hz.> CQ PA3AIN JO32KJ <STOP>
>
>RX: <18:45 UTC> <-14.6 Hz.> H <STOP>
>
>RX: <18:54 UTC> <-39.6 Hz.> CQ WD4
>
>RX: <18:55 UTC> <49.3 Hz.> CQ IW1GFN JN7YB
>
>RX: <18:56 UTC> <-38.6 Hz.> CQ WD4KPD FM15MM <WD4KPD@...>
><STOP>
>

167. qso lockon

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 19:17:24 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 167 Message: 167

anyone figured a way around the programs tenacity to lock onto another station in the middle your qso ?

hard to hold a conversation for sure !

david/wd4kpd

168. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] MH 14105.00 KhZ

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 19:22:20 UTC
From: David Little
Topic: 140 Message: 168

OOps,

14105.00 kHz

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
rein0zn@...
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 2:13 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] MH 14105.00 KhZ

QRG david?

73 Rein W6SZ
DM14ed

-----Original Message-----
>From: David Little <dalite01@bellsouth.
<mailto:dalite01%40bellsouth.net> net>
>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 7:09 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
P@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] MH 14105.00 KhZ
>
>Monitored in EM91ED
>
>$B!!(Bv1.7.0 Beta All 1 baud
>
><STOP>
>
>RX: <18:38 UTC> <-9.8 Hz.> CQ WB2YDS FN30NW <STOP>
>
>RX: <18:41 UTC> <-1.5 Hz.> CQ W6MSU CM98HB
>
>RX: <18:42 UTC> <-13.2 Hz.> CQ PA3AIN JO32KJ <STOP>
>
>RX: <18:45 UTC> <-14.6 Hz.> H <STOP>
>
>RX: <18:54 UTC> <-39.6 Hz.> CQ WD4
>
>RX: <18:55 UTC> <49.3 Hz.> CQ IW1GFN JN7YB
>
>RX: <18:56 UTC> <-38.6 Hz.> CQ WD4KPD FM15MM <WD4KPD@SUDDENLINK.
<mailto:WD4KPD%40SUDDENLINK.NET> NET>
><STOP>

>

169. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] MH 14105.00 KhZ

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 19:37:23 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 140 Message: 169

rr tnx

w6sz

-----Original Message-----
>From: David Little <dalite01@bellsouth.net>
>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 7:22 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] MH 14105.00 KhZ
>
>OOps,
>
>14105.00 kHz
>
>
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
>rein0zn@...
>Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 2:13 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] MH 14105.00 KhZ
>
>
>
>
>QRG david?
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>DM14ed
>
>-----Original Message-----
>>From: David Little <dalite01@bellsouth.
><mailto:dalite01%40bellsouth.net> net>
>>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 7:09 PM
>>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
>P@yahoogroups.com
>>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] MH 14105.00 KhZ
>>
>>Monitored in EM91ED
>>
>> v1.7.0 Beta All 1 baud
>>

>><STOP>
>>
>>RX: <18:38 UTC> <-9.8 Hz.> CQ WB2YDS FN30NW <STOP>
>>
>>RX: <18:41 UTC> <-1.5 Hz.> CQ W6MSU CM98HB
>>
>>RX: <18:42 UTC> <-13.2 Hz.> CQ PA3AIN JO32KJ <STOP>
>>
>>RX: <18:45 UTC> <-14.6 Hz.> H <STOP>
>>
>>RX: <18:54 UTC> <-39.6 Hz.> CQ WD4
>>
>>RX: <18:55 UTC> <49.3 Hz.> CQ IW1GFN JN7YB
>>
>>RX: <18:56 UTC> <-38.6 Hz.> CQ WD4KPD FM15MM <WD4KPD@SUDDENLINK.
><mailto:WD4KPD%40SUDDENLINK.NET> NET>
>><STOP>
>>
>
>
>
>
>

170. Re: zip file 170.zip will not extract == error

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 19:52:12 UTC
From: Keith
Topic: 161 Message: 170

I still cannot completely unzip the file. When I test the archive
it shows the problem file is "OCX\RICHTX32.OCX"

Keith AB8CL

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Gavin" <g0lgb@...> wrote:
>
> A re-upload is available on the ROS site to resolve this problem
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "n9vv" <n9vv@> wrote:
> >
> > Same problem here Tim. Unable to extract the zip file.
> > de Ken N9VV
> >
>

171. 14.105

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 19:55:38 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 158 Message: 171

Hi all

I am monitoring 14.105 USB this evening

73 la5vna Steinar

172. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.105

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 20:03:38 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 158 Message: 172

RX: <19:57 UTC> <28.3 Hz.> TF3HZ KB1PVH 73 <STOP>

73 la5vna Steinar

On 22.02.2010 20:55, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> Hi all
>
> I am monitoring 14.105 USB this evening
>
> 73 la5vna Steinar
>
>
>

173. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 19:59:22 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 173

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v1.7.0 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : n9vv <n9vv@...>
Description : ROS v1.7.0 Beta.zip (reload o.k.)

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v1.7.
0%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

n9vv <n9vv@...>
```

174. Don't forget to alter displayed frequency...

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 20:42:34 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 174

I forgot to change from 14.101.00 to 3.606.05 when I changed bands this evening, so some people will have email reports that show that I received them on 20M, which isn't true.

My apologies,I didn't realise that the displayed frequency in ROS is added to the email exchange now.

Dave (G0DJA)

175. Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 21:46:31 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 175

I'm receiving 16 baud confirmation emails again, which is great news, but I've not yet received an email for my 1 baud transmissions.

Has anyone received one from anyone else for 1 baud yet I wonder? I definitely decoded the email address of IW1GJJ in 1 baud, so I hope he has received a confirmation email for 1 baud on 80M by now.

Dave (G0DJA)

176. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 21:52:36 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 57 Message: 176

I have problems with email at 1 baud.

I am going to repair it.

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 22:46
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?

I'm receiving 16 baud confirmation emails again, which is great news,
but I've not yet received an email for my 1 baud transmissions.

Has anyone received one from anyone else for 1 baud yet I wonder? I
definitely decoded the email address of IW1GJJ in 1 baud, so I hope he
has received a confirmation email for 1 baud on 80M by now.

Dave (G0DJA)

177. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 21:55:55 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 57 Message: 177

Hi Dave,

I have received a total of 3 emails for 2 1 baud transmissions from here. My first transmission a few days ago was confirmed by EA1GIH. Then, earlier this afternoon, I sent a CQ that was confirmed by stations in California and New Hampshire.

Sadly, I have tried absolutely everything here, and ROS does not decode for me. Since first downloading version 1.6.2 less than 24 hours after Jose posted news of the first QSO on the QRZ.com Web site, I have only decoded one line of any transmission. It's very frsutrating, and I have tried everything I can think of.

Getting confirmations of my 1 baud CQs suggests that I have something impeding my decoding ability, but I don't know what it might be.

I hope you begin receiving those emails soon!

73,

Tim - N3TL

From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Mon, February 22, 2010 4:46:31 PM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?

I'm receiving 16 baud confirmation emails again, which is great news,
but I've not yet received an email for my 1 baud transmissions.

Has anyone received one from anyone else for 1 baud yet I wonder? I
definitely decoded the email address of IW1GJJ in 1 baud, so I hope he
has received a confirmation email for 1 baud on 80M by now.

Dave (G0DJA)

178. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 21:51:48 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 57 Message: 178

I think we have problems with gmail at 1 baud.

I am going to solve it.

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@....uk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 22:46
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?

I'm receiving 16 baud confirmation emails again, which is great news,
but I've not yet received an email for my 1 baud transmissions.

Has anyone received one from anyone else for 1 baud yet I wonder? I
definitely decoded the email address of IW1GJJ in 1 baud, so I hope he
has received a confirmation email for 1 baud on 80M by now.

Dave (G0DJA)

179. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 22:08:56 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 179 Message: 179

Hi Jose,

I wonder whether you can add the "seconds" to the clock on the modem?
At 14.101 kHz the IARU/NCDXA beaacons are visible and having the seconds
indication on the screen, makes it easy to identify every beacon and get
propagation info on a 3 minute cycle.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 4:51 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
>
>I think we have problems with gmail at 1 baud.
>
>I am going to solve it.
>
>
>
>
>_____
>De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 22:46
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
>
>
>I'm receiving 16 baud confirmation emails again, which is great news,
>but I've not yet received an email for my 1 baud transmissions.
>
>Has anyone received one from anyone else for 1 baud yet I wonder? I
>definitely decoded the email address of IW1GJJ in 1 baud, so I hope he
>has received a confirmation email for 1 baud on 80M by now.
>
>Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
>
>
>

180. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 22:26:14 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 179 Message: 180

I understand, but, i would prefer select another frequency it is possible better than wait to a cycle

_____
De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 23:08
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall

Hi Jose,

I wonder whether you can add the "seconds" to the clock on the modem?
At 14.101 kHz the IARU/NCDXA beaacons are visible and having the seconds
indication on the screen, makes it easy to identify every beacon and get
propagation info on a 3 minute cycle.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 4:51 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
>
>I think we have problems with gmail at 1 baud.
>
>I am going to solve it.
>
>
>
>
>_____ _____ _____ ___
>De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@tiscali. co.uk>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 22:46
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
>
>
>I'm receiving 16 baud confirmation emails again, which is great news,
>but I've not yet received an email for my 1 baud transmissions.
>
>Has anyone received one from anyone else for 1 baud yet I wonder? I
>definitely decoded the email address of IW1GJJ in 1 baud, so I hope he
>has received a confirmation email for 1 baud on 80M by now.
>
>Dave (G0DJA)

>
>
>
>
>

181. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 22:35:49 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 181

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> I have problems with email at 1 baud.
>
> I am going to repair it.

Thanks Jose,

I also have to apologise to IW1GJJ for my terrible 1 baud performance on 80M tonight.

He was calling and I replied and then my partner, Kate, came in to 'tidy up' the spare room that is also my shack.

I managed to then send the wrong report message and knock the VFO off frequency as well whilst 'helping' to put stuff in its right place.

Not one of my best performances, I am sorry to say.

Dave (G0DJA)

182. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 22:38:40 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 182

Tim - N3TL wrote:
> Hi Dave,
>
> I have received a total of 3 emails for 2 1 baud transmissions from here. My first transmission a few days ago was confirmed by EA1GIH. Then, earlier this afternoon, I sent a CQ that was confirmed by stations in California and New Hampshire.

Sounds like Jose has spotted a problem, Tim.

16 baud seems OK here on email reporting.

I am fortunate that I live in Europe, and there are more 'locals' about
that can hear me, I think.

Dave (G0DJA)

183. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 22:59:43 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 183

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v1.7.1 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v1.7.
1%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

184. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 23:06:45 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 184

ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> Hello,
>
> This email message is a notification to let you know that
> a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
> group.
>
> File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v1.7.1 Beta.zip

I see the frequency setting has gone again.

I'm sorry if that was due to my messing up earlier today and reporting hearing 80M stations as being on 20M.

It's not been one of my best days for getting things right, in more ways than on Amateur Radio...

Dave (G0DJA)

185. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 23:14:53 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 179 Message: 185

Jose,

The beacons run all for about 10 seconds on a 3 minute turn around
cycle, It has nothing to do with your program but, having seconds indicated
is more important than hours or perhaps even minutes!

The beacons provide very useful propagation info for many DX
locations. from the times in seconds and the traces, one can identify
which beacon is transmitting and whether there is propagation from and
to that beacon location.

Have been monitoring 14.101 here all afternoon and lots of signals
but nothing in ROS digital.

Regards,

Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 5:26 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
>
>I understand, but, i would prefer select another frequency it is possible better than wait
to a cycle
>
>
>
>
>_____
>De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 23:08
>Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
>
>
>Hi Jose,
>
>I wonder whether you can add the "seconds" to the clock on the modem?
>At 14.101 kHz the IARU/NCDXA beaacons are visible and having the seconds
>indication on the screen, makes it easy to identify every beacon and get
>propagation info on a 3 minute cycle.
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>

>-----Original Message-----
>>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
>>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 4:51 PM
>>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
>>
>>I think we have problems with gmail at 1 baud.
>>
>>I am going to solve it.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>_____ _____ _____ ___
>>De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@tiscali. co.uk>
>>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 22:46
>>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
>>
>>
>>I'm receiving 16 baud confirmation emails again, which is great news,
>>but I've not yet received an email for my 1 baud transmissions.
>>
>>Has anyone received one from anyone else for 1 baud yet I wonder? I
>>definitely decoded the email address of IW1GJJ in 1 baud, so I hope he
>>has received a confirmation email for 1 baud on 80M by now.
>>
>>Dave (G0DJA)
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

186. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 23:22:08 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 179 Message: 186

Other possiblity is use that other modes left a frequency for ROS. A mode cannot have 5 frequencies in the same band. Spectrum must be used by all ham radio.

_____
De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,23 febrero, 2010 00:14
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall

Jose,

The beacons run all for about 10 seconds on a 3 minute turn around cycle, It has nothing to do with your program but, having seconds indicated is more important than hours or perhaps even minutes!

The beacons provide very useful propagation info for many DX locations. from the times in seconds and the traces, one can identify which beacon is transmitting and whether there is propagation from and to that beacon location.

Have been monitoring 14.101 here all afternoon and lots of signals but nothing in ROS digital.

Regards,

Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 5:26 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
>
>I understand, but, i would prefer select another frequency it is possible better than wait to a cycle
>
>
>
>
>_____ _____ _____ ___
>De: "rein0zn@.... com" <rein0zn@.... com>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 23:08
>Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
>
>
>Hi Jose,

>
>I wonder whether you can add the "seconds" to the clock on the modem?
>At 14.101 kHz the IARU/NCDXA beaacons are visible and having the seconds
>indication on the screen, makes it easy to identify every beacon and get
>propagation info on a 3 minute cycle.
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>-----Original Message-----
>>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ yahoo. es>
>>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 4:51 PM
>>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
>>
>>I think we have problems with gmail at 1 baud.
>>
>>I am going to solve it.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>_____ _ _____ _____ ___
>>De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ tiscali. co.uk>
>>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 22:46
>>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
>>
>>
>>I'm receiving 16 baud confirmation emails again, which is great news,
>>but I've not yet received an email for my 1 baud transmissions.
>>
>>Has anyone received one from anyone else for 1 baud yet I wonder? I
>>definitely decoded the email address of IW1GJJ in 1 baud, so I hope he
>>has received a confirmation email for 1 baud on 80M by now.
>>
>>Dave (G0DJA)
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

187. First 1 baud email confirmation

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 23:34:20 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 179 Message: 187

```
Just received my 1st email confirmation for 1 baud. ;-)

********** Please don't reply to this email ********************

I3VWK has received your Radio Message sent at: 23:09 UTC

Received Message: 'CQ G0DJA IO93IF <DAVE@...>'

Operator Info:
Callsign: I3VWK
Name: rudy
E-mail: i3vwk@***
QTH: rosa'
Locator: jn55vr
Station: ft847 minibeam/vertical 50w
ROS Version: 1.6.5 Beta

Signal Info:
Symbol Rate: 1 bauds
Frame Acquisition: 12/20
Final Acquisition: 16/16
Frequency Shift: -187,5 Hz
Symbol Errors detected by Viterbi: 0/50
Metric: -16 dB
Vumeter Level: -9 dB
CPU Usage: 23 %

I've taken out his full email as I realised that some Yahoo Groups seem
to attract spammers and, whilst I don't care much as I spam filter them,
other people may not be so fortunate.

Dave (G0DJA)
```

188. Re: RX/TX passband and ROS modem

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 23:34:33 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 179 Message: 188

Jose,

I monitor my output here during transmitting and some of the
ROS modem tone frequencies fall close or outside my 2.1 kHz xtal
filter. Many amateurs use narrower filters certainly those of
us who are into weak signal work.
It means some frequencies are going to be attenuated against
others, that is going to have bad effects on the decoding process.
Why are you going so far out? What are advantages of doing that?

Regards,

Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> Other possiblity is use that other modes left a frequency for ROS. A mode cannot have 5
frequencies in the same band. Spectrum must be used by all ham radio.
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: mar,23 febrero, 2010 00:14
> Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
>
> Â
> Jose,
>
> The beacons run all for about 10 seconds on a 3 minute turn around
> cycle, It has nothing to do with your program but, having seconds indicated
> is more important than hours or perhaps even minutes!
>
> The beacons provide very useful propagation info for many DX
> locations. from the times in seconds and the traces, one can identify
> which beacon is transmitting and whether there is propagation from and
> to that beacon location.
>
> Have been monitoring 14.101 here all afternoon and lots of signals
> but nothing in ROS digital.
>
> Regards,
>

> Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
> >Sent: Feb 22, 2010 5:26 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
> >
> >I understand, but, i would prefer select another frequency it is possible better than wait to a cycle
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >_____ _____ _____ ___
> >De: "rein0zn@... com" <rein0zn@... com>
> >Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> >Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 23:08
> >Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
> >
> >Â
> >Hi Jose,
> >
> >I wonder whether you can add the "seconds" to the clock on the modem?
> >At 14.101 kHz the IARU/NCDXA beaacons are visible and having the seconds
> >indication on the screen, makes it easy to identify every beacon and get
> >propagation info on a 3 minute cycle.
> >
> >73 Rein W6SZ
> >
> >-----Original Message-----
> >>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ yahoo. es>
> >>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 4:51 PM
> >>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> >>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
> >>
> >>I think we have problems with gmail at 1 baud.
> >>
> >>I am going to solve it.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>_____ _ _____ _____ ___
> >>De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ tiscali. co.uk>
> >>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> >>Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 22:46
> >>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
> >>
> >>Â

> >>I'm receiving 16 baud confirmation emails again, which is great news,
> >>but I've not yet received an email for my 1 baud transmissions.
> >>
> >>Has anyone received one from anyone else for 1 baud yet I wonder? I
> >>definitely decoded the email address of IW1GJJ in 1 baud, so I hope he
> >>has received a confirmation email for 1 baud on 80M by now.
> >>
> >>Dave (G0DJA)
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

189. Anyone on 40, 80 or 160?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 23:54:22 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 189 Message: 189

Is anyone currently active on any of these three bands?

Please advise. Thanks!

Tim - N3TL

190. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone on 40, 80 or 160?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-22 23:58:11 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 189 Message: 190

Tim - N3TL wrote:
> Is anyone currently active on any of these three bands?

Here I'm on 80M but not 160 or 40M.

All due to antenna problems on the other two bands.

Dave (G0DJA)

191. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone on 40, 80 or 160?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 00:07:35 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 189 Message: 191

QRV here . 3.60635 @ 16 baud.

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Ackrill
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 6:58 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone on 40, 80 or 160?

Tim - N3TL wrote:
> Is anyone currently active on any of these three bands?

Here I'm on 80M but not 160 or 40M.

All due to antenna problems on the other two bands.

Dave (G0DJA)

192. Re: Anyone on 40, 80 or 160?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 00:11:39 UTC
From: Gavin
Topic: 189 Message: 192

My set up is monitoring 3.60360, not the best antenna for 80m but it works-ish...40m yet again has what sounds like winlink approx 400hz below the usual freq of 7.053, I'm starting to think the guy is doing it deliberately, he was one was mid afternoon Sunday until mide morning today, then back on again mid afternoon today & still going strong now!

Anyway, 80m 1 baud running here, a few stations drifting in too!

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Tim - N3TL wrote:
> > Is anyone currently active on any of these three bands?
>
> Here I'm on 80M but not 160 or 40M.
>
> All due to antenna problems on the other two bands.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

193. 1.7.1 beta.zip

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 00:12:02 UTC
From: jhaynesatalumni
Topic: 193 Message: 193

So far I haven't been able to download this file - a little bit of it comes across and then the transfer stalls.

194. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone on 40, 80 or 160?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 00:15:14 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 189 Message: 194

Tim - N3TL wrote:
> QRV here . 3.60635 @ 16 baud.

OK, I'll move up from 3.603.70 1 baud and see if I can hear you.

Bit of a long shot though. I don't have a great station on 80M other than for NVIS modes. HI.

Dave (G0DJA)

195. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 1.7.1 beta.zip

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 00:16:10 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 193 Message: 195

I'm running XP and it downloaded and running just fine for me.

Russell NC5O
1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Thomas Jefferson

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

From: jhaynesatalumni <jhhaynes@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Mon, February 22, 2010 6:12:02 PM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 1.7.1 beta.zip

So far I haven't been able to download this file - a little bit of it comes across and then the transfer stalls.

196. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone on 40, 80 or 160?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 00:29:04 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 189 Message: 196

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Tim - N3TL wrote:
>> QRV here . 3.60635 @ 16 baud.
>
> OK, I'll move up from 3.603.70 1 baud and see if I can hear you.

Moved back to 3.603.70 as nothing heard on the other 80M frequency.

I did call CQ a couple of times, but no response.

I'll select manual. so that any 16 bit transmissions don't swap the RX
back again in the night.

Dave (G0DJA)

197. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: RX/TX passband and ROS modem

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 00:33:28 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 179 Message: 197

Hi, Rein

The first rule to use ROS is deactivate any king of filter in the transceiver.

_____
De: Rein A <rein0zn@ix.netcom.com>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,23 febrero, 2010 00:34
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: RX/TX passband and ROS modem

Jose,

I monitor my output here during transmitting and some of the
ROS modem tone frequencies fall close or outside my 2.1 kHz xtal
filter. Many amateurs use narrower filters certainly those of
us who are into weak signal work.
It means some frequencies are going to be attenuated against
others, that is going to have bad effects on the decoding process.
Why are you going so far out? What are advantages of doing that?

Regards,

Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...>
wrote:
>
> Other possiblity is use that other modes left a frequency for ROS. A mode cannot have 5
frequencies in the same band. Spectrum must be used by all ham radio.
>
>
>
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> De: "rein0zn@... " <rein0zn@... >
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Enviado: mar,23 febrero, 2010 00:14
> Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
>
> Â
> Jose,
>
> The beacons run all for about 10 seconds on a 3 minute turn around
> cycle, It has nothing to do with your program but, having seconds indicated
> is more important than hours or perhaps even minutes!

>
> The beacons provide very useful propagation info for many DX
> locations. from the times in seconds and the traces, one can identify
> which beacon is transmitting and whether there is propagation from and
> to that beacon location.
>
> Have been monitoring 14.101 here all afternoon and lots of signals
> but nothing in ROS digital.
>
> Regards,
>
> Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ yahoo. es>
> >Sent: Feb 22, 2010 5:26 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
> >
> >I understand, but, i would prefer select another frequency it is possible better than
wait to a cycle
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >_____ _____ _____ ___
> >De: "rein0zn@... com" <rein0zn@... com>
> >Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> >Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 23:08
> >Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
> >
> >Â
> >Hi Jose,
> >
> >I wonder whether you can add the "seconds" to the clock on the modem?
> >At 14.101 kHz the IARU/NCDXA beaacons are visible and having the seconds
> >indication on the screen, makes it easy to identify every beacon and get
> >propagation info on a 3 minute cycle.
> >
> >73 Rein W6SZ
> >
> >-----Original Message-----
> >>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ yahoo. es>
> >>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 4:51 PM
> >>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> >>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
> >>
> >>I think we have problems with gmail at 1 baud.
> >>
> >>I am going to solve it.

> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>_____ _ _____ _____ ___
> >>De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ tiscali. co.uk>
> >>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> >>Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 22:46
> >>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
> >>
> >>Â
> >>I'm receiving 16 baud confirmation emails again, which is great news,
> >>but I've not yet received an email for my 1 baud transmissions.
> >>
> >>Has anyone received one from anyone else for 1 baud yet I wonder? I
> >>definitely decoded the email address of IW1GJJ in 1 baud, so I hope he
> >>has received a confirmation email for 1 baud on 80M by now.
> >>
> >>Dave (G0DJA)
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

198. Re: Anyone on 40, 80 or 160?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 00:35:48 UTC
From: Gavin
Topic: 189 Message: 198

Every time i check the site there's new freq's and another version released, i can hear something on 3.6037 but damn qrm, like a rocket screaming up the band keeps corrupting things!

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Dave Ackrill wrote:
> > Tim - N3TL wrote:
> >> QRV here . 3.60635 @ 16 baud.
> >
> > OK, I'll move up from 3.603.70 1 baud and see if I can hear you.
>
> Moved back to 3.603.70 as nothing heard on the other 80M frequency.
>
> I did call CQ a couple of times, but no response.
>
> I'll select manual. so that any 16 bit transmissions don't swap the RX
> back again in the night.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

199. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Anyone on 40, 80 or 160?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 00:39:44 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 189 Message: 199

3.6065 here now, 16 baud

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gavin
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 7:36 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Anyone on 40, 80 or 160?

Every time i check the site there's new freq's and another version released,
i can hear something on 3.6037 but damn qrm, like a rocket screaming up the
band keeps corrupting things!

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
P@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Dave Ackrill wrote:
> > Tim - N3TL wrote:
> >> QRV here . 3.60635 @ 16 baud.
> >
> > OK, I'll move up from 3.603.70 1 baud and see if I can hear you.
>
> Moved back to 3.603.70 as nothing heard on the other 80M frequency.
>
> I did call CQ a couple of times, but no response.
>
> I'll select manual. so that any 16 bit transmissions don't swap the RX
> back again in the night.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

200. ROS 1.7.1

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 00:44:00 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 200 Message: 200

```
I dont know if run OK v1.7.1
```

201. Re: Anyone on 40, 80 or 160?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 00:44:55 UTC
From: Gavin
Topic: 189 Message: 201

VE2DAR just popped up on that freq, a little corrupted but he's there, maybe "popped up" is the wrong choice of words for 1 baud, how about gradually started to appear? hi hi

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Dave Ackrill wrote:
> > Tim - N3TL wrote:
> >> QRV here . 3.60635 @ 16 baud.
> >
> > OK, I'll move up from 3.603.70 1 baud and see if I can hear you.
>
> Moved back to 3.603.70 as nothing heard on the other 80M frequency.
>
> I did call CQ a couple of times, but no response.
>
> I'll select manual. so that any 16 bit transmissions don't swap the RX
> back again in the night.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

202. Re: Anyone on 40, 80 or 160?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 00:44:55 UTC
From: Gavin
Topic: 189 Message: 202

VE2DAR just popped up on that freq, a little corrupted but he's there, maybe "popped up" is the wrong choice of words for 1 baud, how about gradually started to appear? hi hi

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Dave Ackrill wrote:
> > Tim - N3TL wrote:
> >> QRV here . 3.60635 @ 16 baud.
> >
> > OK, I'll move up from 3.603.70 1 baud and see if I can hear you.
>
> Moved back to 3.603.70 as nothing heard on the other 80M frequency.
>
> I did call CQ a couple of times, but no response.
>
> I'll select manual. so that any 16 bit transmissions don't swap the RX
> back again in the night.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

203. QRT here

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 00:54:07 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 203 Message: 203

Nothing heard. No confirmations of reception from transmit on 80, which I suspect is due to band conditions.

I am going to check 20 meters for any signals one time, then QRT until tomorrow.

73 all,

Tim - N3TL

204. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: RX/TX passband and ROS modem

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 01:30:35 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 179 Message: 204

Hi Jose,

I don't think that's practical in todays environment, at least
not here. Thanks.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 7:33 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: RX/TX passband and ROS modem
>
>Hi, Rein
>
>The first rule to use ROS is deactivate any king of filter in the transceiver.
>
>
>
>
>_____
>De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: mar,23 febrero, 2010 00:34
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: RX/TX passband and ROS modem
>
>
>
>
>Jose,
>
>I monitor my output here during transmitting and some of the
>ROS modem tone frequencies fall close or outside my 2.1 kHz xtal
>filter. Many amateurs use narrower filters certainly those of
>us who are into weak signal work.
>It means some frequencies are going to be attenuated against
>others, that is going to have bad effects on the decoding process.
>Why are you going so far out? What are advantages of doing that?
>
>Regards,
>
>Rein W6SZ
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...>

wrote:
>>
>> Other possiblity is use that other modes left a frequency for ROS. A mode cannot have 5 frequencies in the same band. Spectrum must be used by all ham radio.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> _____ _____ _____ __
>> De: "rein0zn@... " <rein0zn@... >
>> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> Enviado: mar,23 febrero, 2010 00:14
>> Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
>>
>> Â
>> Jose,
>>
>> The beacons run all for about 10 seconds on a 3 minute turn around
>> cycle, It has nothing to do with your program but, having seconds indicated
>> is more important than hours or perhaps even minutes!
>>
>> The beacons provide very useful propagation info for many DX
>> locations. from the times in seconds and the traces, one can identify
>> which beacon is transmitting and whether there is propagation from and
>> to that beacon location.
>>
>> Have been monitoring 14.101 here all afternoon and lots of signals
>> but nothing in ROS digital.
>>
>> Regards,
>>
>> Rein W6SZ
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ yahoo. es>
>> >Sent: Feb 22, 2010 5:26 PM
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
>> >
>> >I understand, but, i would prefer select another frequency it is possible better than wait to a cycle
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >_____ _____ _____ ___
>> >De: "rein0zn@... com" <rein0zn@... com>
>> >Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> >Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 23:08
>> >Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
>> >

>> >Â
>> >Hi Jose,
>> >
>> >I wonder whether you can add the "seconds" to the clock on the modem?
>> >At 14.101 kHz the IARU/NCDXA beaacons are visible and having the seconds
>> >indication on the screen, makes it easy to identify every beacon and get
>> >propagation info on a 3 minute cycle.
>> >
>> >73 Rein W6SZ
>> >
>> >-----Original Message-----
>> >>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ yahoo. es>
>> >>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 4:51 PM
>> >>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> >>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
>> >>
>> >>I think we have problems with gmail at 1 baud.
>> >>
>> >>I am going to solve it.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>_____ _ _____ _____ ___
>> >>De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ tiscali. co.uk>
>> >>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> >>Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 22:46
>> >>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
>> >>
>> >>Â
>> >>I'm receiving 16 baud confirmation emails again, which is great news,
>> >>but I've not yet received an email for my 1 baud transmissions.
>> >>
>> >>Has anyone received one from anyone else for 1 baud yet I wonder? I
>> >>definitely decoded the email address of IW1GJJ in 1 baud, so I hope he
>> >>has received a confirmation email for 1 baud on 80M by now.
>> >>
>> >>Dave (G0DJA)
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>

>
>
>
>
>
>

205. Listening on 7040 USB

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 01:29:56 UTC
From: tedworld1
Topic: 205 Message: 205

for a while

206. Problem

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 01:36:09 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 179 Message: 206

Hi,

I think i have problems with my WINzip compressor. Somebody could send me any?

_____
De: "rein0zn@....com" <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,23 febrero, 2010 02:30
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: RX/TX passband and ROS modem

Hi Jose,

I don't think that's practical in todays environment, at least
not here. Thanks.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 7:33 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: RX/TX passband and ROS modem
>
>Hi, Rein
>
>The first rule to use ROS is deactivate any king of filter in the transceiver.
>
>
>
>
>_____ _____ _____ ___
>De: Rein A <rein0zn@.... com>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Enviado: mar,23 febrero, 2010 00:34
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: RX/TX passband and ROS modem
>
>
>
>
>Jose,
>
>I monitor my output here during transmitting and some of the
>ROS modem tone frequencies fall close or outside my 2.1 kHz xtal
>filter. Many amateurs use narrower filters certainly those of
>us who are into weak signal work.
>It means some frequencies are going to be attenuated against

>others, that is going to have bad effects on the decoding process.
>Why are you going so far out? What are advantages of doing that?
>
>Regards,
>
>Rein W6SZ
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...>
wrote:
>>
>> Other possiblity is use that other modes left a frequency for ROS. A mode cannot have 5
frequencies in the same band. Spectrum must be used by all ham radio.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> _____ _____ _____ __
>> De: "rein0zn@... " <rein0zn@... >
>> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> Enviado: mar,23 febrero, 2010 00:14
>> Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
>>
>> Â
>> Jose,
>>
>> The beacons run all for about 10 seconds on a 3 minute turn around
>> cycle, It has nothing to do with your program but, having seconds indicated
>> is more important than hours or perhaps even minutes!
>>
>> The beacons provide very useful propagation info for many DX
>> locations. from the times in seconds and the traces, one can identify
>> which beacon is transmitting and whether there is propagation from and
>> to that beacon location.
>>
>> Have been monitoring 14.101 here all afternoon and lots of signals
>> but nothing in ROS digital.
>>
>> Regards,
>>
>> Rein W6SZ
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ yahoo. es>
>> >Sent: Feb 22, 2010 5:26 PM
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
>> >
>> >I understand, but, i would prefer select another frequency it is possible better than
wait to a cycle
>> >

>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >_____ _____ _____ ___
>> >De: "rein0zn@... com" <rein0zn@... com>
>> >Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> >Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 23:08
>> >Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] 14.100 beacons on waterfall
>> >
>> >Â
>> >Hi Jose,
>> >
>> >I wonder whether you can add the "seconds" to the clock on the modem?
>> >At 14.101 kHz the IARU/NCDXA beaacons are visible and having the seconds
>> >indication on the screen, makes it easy to identify every beacon and get
>> >propagation info on a 3 minute cycle.
>> >
>> >73 Rein W6SZ
>> >
>> >-----Original Message-----
>> >>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ yahoo. es>
>> >>Sent: Feb 22, 2010 4:51 PM
>> >>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> >>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
>> >>
>> >>I think we have problems with gmail at 1 baud.
>> >>
>> >>I am going to solve it.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>_____ _ _____ _____ ___
>> >>De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ tiscali. co.uk>
>> >>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> >>Enviado: lun,22 febrero, 2010 22:46
>> >>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Anyone received email confirmation for 1 baud?
>> >>
>> >>Â
>> >>I'm receiving 16 baud confirmation emails again, which is great news,
>> >>but I've not yet received an email for my 1 baud transmissions.
>> >>
>> >>Has anyone received one from anyone else for 1 baud yet I wonder? I
>> >>definitely decoded the email address of IW1GJJ in 1 baud, so I hope he
>> >>has received a confirmation email for 1 baud on 80M by now.
>> >>
>> >>Dave (G0DJA)
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>

>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

207. Listening on 1840 USB

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 01:48:20 UTC
From: tedworld1
Topic: 207 Message: 207

listening to 7040 USB (EL09sp) and for fun, 1840 USB (EL09rn)

Good luck.

208. kq7w monitoring14.105 (1 baud) - WA CN87QL

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 08:06:33 UTC
From: mats4d
Topic: 208 Message: 208

QRV now, have email notification turned on, going to bed, will be cool to see what comes up in the AM XD

Matt -

209. New suggested frequencies posted.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 08:31:15 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 208 Message: 209

There's a new, longer, list of frequencies that have been posted. I think these may fuel the 'anti' debate as the number of frequencies in each band seems to be growing longer.

Plus, if the intention is to move away from 14.100MHz, is 14.000.90 correct? Should it be 14.009.00 I wonder? It seems that 14.00090, although only a small move, is still a move in the wrong direction if we are avoiding getting close to 14.100.

The list as presently shown is as follows.

Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (throughout these days we will change the list probably. Stay tuned to changes)
? 1.840
? 3.6009
? 3.6038
? 3.6065
? 3.6095
? 3.6122
? 3.6149
? 3.6176
? 7.040 (USA)
? 7.050
? 7.0545
? 7.0572
? 10.140 (USA)
? 10.1433 (USA)
? 10.1466 (USA)
? 14.1009 (exclusive 16 baud)
? 14.1050 (exclusive 1 baud)
? 28.300
? 50.300 (exclusive 1 baud)
? 144.160 (exclusive 1 baud)

Dave G0DJA)

210. Re: Problem

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 08:47:59 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 179 Message: 210

There are plenty of free alternatives. Try PeaZip http://peazip.sourceforge.net/

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> Hi,
>
> I think i have problems with my WINzip compressor. Somebody could send me any?
>

211. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New suggested frequencies posted.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 08:50:12 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 208 Message: 211

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> There's a new, longer, list of frequencies that have been posted. I
> think these may fuel the 'anti' debate as the number of frequencies in
> each band seems to be growing longer.
>
> Plus, if the intention is to move away from 14.100MHz, is 14.000.90
> correct? Should it be 14.009.00 I wonder? It seems that 14.00090,
> although only a small move, is still a move in the wrong direction if we
> are avoiding getting close to 14.100.

I also seem to remember a group that used 14.105MHz that used to moan
when APRS stations used that frequency. Something like Netlink 105?

Are they still going and are they likely to moan about people using
'their' frequency as well I wonder?

I know they used to email the APRS forums from time to time, but that
was over 10 years ago, so maybe they don't use 14.105MHz now?

Dave (G0DJA)

212. Re: New suggested frequencies posted.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 08:53:54 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 208 Message: 212

7.040 and 10.140 are going to interfere with WSPR. One weak signal mode stomping over another weak signal mode is not going to make any friends at all. And 30m according to the RSGB band plan is only for narrow band modes.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> There's a new, longer, list of frequencies that have been posted. I
> think these may fuel the 'anti' debate as the number of frequencies in
> each band seems to be growing longer.
>
> Plus, if the intention is to move away from 14.100MHz, is 14.000.90
> correct? Should it be 14.009.00 I wonder? It seems that 14.00090,
> although only a small move, is still a move in the wrong direction if we
> are avoiding getting close to 14.100.
>
> The list as presently shown is as follows.
>
> Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (throughout these days we will change the list
> probably. Stay tuned to changes)
> » 1.840
> » 3.6009
> » 3.6038
> » 3.6065
> » 3.6095
> » 3.6122
> » 3.6149
> » 3.6176
> » 7.040 (USA)
> » 7.050
> » 7.0545
> » 7.0572
> » 10.140 (USA)
> » 10.1433 (USA)
> » 10.1466 (USA)
> » 14.1009 (exclusive 16 baud)
> » 14.1050 (exclusive 1 baud)
> » 28.300
> » 50.300 (exclusive 1 baud)
> » 144.160 (exclusive 1 baud)
>
> Dave G0DJA)
>

213. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New suggested frequencies posted.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 08:55:21 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 208 Message: 213

I think the mode is too wide for legal use on 30M in the USA, but not certain.. If 14101 is used, will this cause splatter on the 14100 beacons? 14105 is busy with packet constantly in NA, , what is wrong with 14109 or 14107 ? Why 14101 (141009) ?

Andy K3UK

On Tue, Feb 23, 2010 at 3:31 AM, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>wrote:

>
>
> There's a new, longer, list of frequencies that have been posted. I
> think these may fuel the 'anti' debate as the number of frequencies in
> each band seems to be growing longer.
>
> Plus, if the intention is to move away from 14.100MHz, is 14.000.90
> correct? Should it be 14.009.00 I wonder? It seems that 14.00090,
> although only a small move, is still a move in the wrong direction if we
> are avoiding getting close to 14.100.
>
> The list as presently shown is as follows.
>
> Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (throughout these days we will change the list
> probably. Stay tuned to changes)
> » 1.840
> » 3.6009
> » 3.6038
> » 3.6065
> » 3.6095
> » 3.6122
> » 3.6149
> » 3.6176
> » 7.040 (USA)
> » 7.050
> » 7.0545
> » 7.0572
> » 10.140 (USA)
> » 10.1433 (USA)
> » 10.1466 (USA)
> » 14.1009 (exclusive 16 baud)
> » 14.1050 (exclusive 1 baud)
> » 28.300
> » 50.300 (exclusive 1 baud)
> » 144.160 (exclusive 1 baud)
>
> Dave G0DJA)

>
>
>

214. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: New suggested frequencies posted.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 09:00:21 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 208 Message: 214

g4ilo wrote:
> 7.040 and 10.140 are going to interfere with WSPR. One weak signal mode stomping over another weak signal mode is not going to make any friends at all. And 30m according to the RSGB band plan is only for narrow band modes.

I think that's why it says 'USA' though.

Dave (G0DJA)

215. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: New suggested frequencies posted.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 09:14:44 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 208 Message: 215

Network 105 is still very active in North America.

216. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New suggested frequencies posted.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 09:23:03 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 208 Message: 216

Please, tell me alternative options to 14.101

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,23 febrero, 2010 09:31
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New suggested frequencies posted.

There's a new, longer, list of frequencies that have been posted. I think these may fuel the 'anti' debate as the number of frequencies in each band seems to be growing longer.

Plus, if the intention is to move away from 14.100MHz, is 14.000.90 correct? Should it be 14.009.00 I wonder? It seems that 14.00090, although only a small move, is still a move in the wrong direction if we are avoiding getting close to 14.100.

The list as presently shown is as follows.

Frequencies (Mhz) USB: (throughout these days we will change the list probably. Stay tuned to changes)
» 1.840
» 3.6009
» 3.6038
» 3.6065
» 3.6095
» 3.6122
» 3.6149
» 3.6176
» 7.040 (USA)
» 7.050
» 7.0545
» 7.0572
» 10.140 (USA)
» 10.1433 (USA)
» 10.1466 (USA)
» 14.1009 (exclusive 16 baud)
» 14.1050 (exclusive 1 baud)
» 28.300
» 50.300 (exclusive 1 baud)
» 144.160 (exclusive 1 baud)

Dave G0DJA)

217. Re: New suggested frequencies posted.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 10:21:32 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 208 Message: 217

Ah of course. Radio waves can't cross national boundaries. :)

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> g4ilo wrote:
> And 30m according to the RSGB band plan is only for narrow band modes.
>
> I think that's why it says 'USA' though.

218. Re: New suggested frequencies posted.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 10:33:04 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 208 Message: 218

In my opinion, there is not enough space in that part of 20m for there to be an exclusive 2KHz channel for any new mode. But there is lots of space in the All Modes section above 14.125MHz that could be used. Why not establish a centre of operation right at the top of the band, and recommend that people work above and below it in exact 2KHz increments? Sometimes there will be QRM from SSB, but when 20m is busy perhaps it is time to move up a couple of bands?

Also if people pick a frequency and announce themselves on K3UK's site, perhaps there would be more chance of them completing a contact than everyone piling on to 14.101?

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> Please, tell me alternative options to 14.101
>

219. 20m frequency above 14125

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 10:53:50 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 219 Message: 219

Why didn't we all think of that? Could it be that simple ? 14126 to 14148
with starting calling frequency at 14136 ?

On Tue, Feb 23, 2010 at 5:33 AM, g4ilo <julian.g4ilo@...> wrote:

>
>
> In my opinion, there is not enough space in that part of 20m for there to
> be an exclusive 2KHz channel for any new mode. But there is lots of space in
> the All Modes section above 14.125MHz that could be used. Why not establish
> a centre of operation right at the top of the band, and recommend that
> people work above and below it in exact 2KHz increments? Sometimes there
> will be QRM from SSB, but when 20m is busy perhaps it is time to move up a
> couple of bands?
>
> Also if people pick a frequency and announce themselves on K3UK's site,
> perhaps there would be more chance of them completing a contact than
> everyone piling on to 14.101?
>
> Julian, G4ILO
>
>

220. 15 meters suggestion

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 15:16:47 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 220 Message: 220

Good day all,

I see that we have yet to post any calling frequencies for 15 meters. I have looked at the IARU band plans for Regions 1 and 2, and would like to suggest what I believe will be a very easy-to-remember starting point that falls within our requirements:

21.2121

If anyone is aware of any reasons this will not work, please post. Otherwise, I suggest we start there, or close to it.

73 to all,

Tim - N3TL

221. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: New suggested frequencies posted.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 15:35:17 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 208 Message: 221

g4ilo wrote:
> Ah of course. Radio waves can't cross national boundaries. :)

Well, there are plenty of French stations on Packet at the top of 30M, so I guess it doesn't...

In the same way as, because ROS isn't illegal here, we can use it but people in the USA may not, depending how you read the rules. Without wanting to re-open the can of worms again, I think it may have been done to death.

So, if we were to only list those frequencies that everyone could use, we would end up listing none at all.

Dave (G0DJA)

222. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 20m frequency above 14125

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 15:37:08 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 219 Message: 222

Andy obrien wrote:
> Why didn't we all think of that? Could it be that simple ? 14126 to 14148
> with starting calling frequency at 14136 ?

It might be Andy,

But my experience over the years is not to hold my breath waiting for
the 'perfect' allocation to come along, as I'd end up going very blue!

Dave (G0DJA)

223. Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 15:50:11 UTC
From: Carol & Fred Darrah
Topic: 223 Message: 223

Gentleman,
This is the message from ARRL . Many of you are operating in violation of the FCC rules.
Good Luck to all
Fred N9GUE

--- On Tue, 2/23/10, Henderson, Dan N1ND <dhenderson@...> wrote:

From: Henderson, Dan N1ND <dhenderson@...>
Subject: RE: Spread Spectrum
To: "Carol & Fred Darrah" <cfdarrah@...>
Date: Tuesday, February 23, 2010, 7:13 AM

Hi Fred:

I ran this by our technical experts. They concur that
ROS is a spread spectrum mode and as such is not allowed by the FCC on bands
below 222 MHz. Remember that approved emissions vary from IARU Region at
times as well as between countries. So while the IARU Band Plan for Region
2 would allow it, SS is not permitted on the HF bands by the
FCC/

Thanks and 73

Dan Henderson, N1ND
Regulatory Information Manager
ARRL,
the national association for Amateur
Radio™
860-594-0236
dhenderson@...

From: Carol & Fred Darrah
[mailto:cfdarrah@...]
Sent: Sunday, February 21, 2010 5:32
PM
To: reginfo
Subject: Spread Spectrum

Dan,
Hope you can answer my question. The latest digital
mode ROS is causing some issues that need answering.
Is the mode
actually spread spectrum?
Is this mode legal to use in the HF
bands?
What frequencies can it be used?
Is Spread Spectrum still

limited to 420 to 454 and above?

Any help in answering these
questions will be appreciated.

Thanks
Fred Darrah
N9GUE

224. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 16:01:54 UTC
From: Glenn L. Roeser
Topic: 223 Message: 224

That's good enough for me, I will not be using ROS from now on. Thank you for this information.
Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)

From: Carol & Fred Darrah <cfdarrah@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tue, February 23, 2010 10:50:11 AM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Gentleman,
This is the message from ARRL . Many of you are operating in violation of the FCC rules.
Good Luck to all
Fred N9GUE

--- On Tue, 2/23/10, Henderson, Dan N1ND <dhenderson@arrl. org> wrote:

>From: Henderson, Dan N1ND <dhenderson@arrl. org>
>Subject: RE: Spread Spectrum
>To: "Carol & Fred Darrah" <cfdarrah@sbcglobal. net>
>Date: Tuesday, February 23, 2010, 7:13 AM
>
>
>Hi Fred:
>
>I ran this by our technical experts. They concur that ROS is a spread spectrum mode and as such is not allowed by the FCC on bands below 222 MHz. Remember that approved emissions vary from IARU Region at times as well as between countries. So while the IARU Band Plan for Region 2 would allow it, SS is not permitted on the HF bands by the FCC/
>
>Thanks and 73
>
>Dan Henderson, N1ND
>Regulatory Information Manager
>ARRL, the national association for Amateur Radio™
>860-594-0236
>dhenderson@arrl. org
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From: Carol & Fred Darrah [mailto:cfdarrah@ sbcglobal. net]

>Sent: Sunday, February 21, 2010 5:32 PM
>To: reginfo
>Subject: Spread Spectrum
>
>
>Dan,
>Hope you can answer my question. The latest digital mode ROS is causing some issues that need answering.
>Is the mode actually spread spectrum?
>Is this mode legal to use in the HF bands?
>What frequencies can it be used?
>Is Spread Spectrum still limited to 420 to 454 and above?
>
>Any help in answering these questions will be appreciated.
>
>Thanks
>Fred Darrah N9GUE
>
>

225. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 16:02:20 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 223 Message: 225

Carol & Fred Darrah wrote:
> Gentleman,
> This is the message from ARRL . Many of you are operating in violation of the FCC rules.
> Good Luck to all

Which, I guess, proves the old adage that 'if you ask someone in authority if something is allowed, they will almost always say "no".'

It was the same in the UK when we had more complicated regulations. Some people would go running round asking the Radio Communications Agency "Is such and such legal or illegal, because the rules are ambiguous" and the answer either came back as 'no', or later on, when they got fed up of people running round asking for clarifications all of the time, 'use your own common sense'. Quite where 'common sense' was ever going to be involved in these discussions, often eluded me...

I used to contrast that with experience in commerce, where companies looked at the regulations and said 'Oh good, that's ambiguous, so we can get away with that' until some regulator or politician stepped in to 'clarify' the rules. No lawyer would, they knew they would make more money arguing the case in court if it ever got that far...

Dave (G0DJA)

226. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 16:12:02 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 223 Message: 226

Glenn L. Roeser wrote:
> That's good enough for me, I will not be using ROS from now on. Thank you for this information.
> Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)

That's a shame.

I've now got an old song going through my head "It's illegal, it's immoral, or it makes you fat" which seems to be about how anything you might enjoy doing is going to end up with someone else telling you that you can't do it for some reason.

Dave (G0DJA)

227. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 16:14:26 UTC
From: George
Topic: 223 Message: 227

Just like when I worked for the US Army Corps of Engineers. People are just spring loaded for no. It is just so easy to say no.

Regards,
George, NJ3H

--- On Tue, 2/23/10, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:

From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Date: Tuesday, February 23, 2010, 11:02 AM

Carol & Fred Darrah wrote:
> Gentleman,
> This is the message from ARRL . Many of you are operating in violation of the FCC rules.
> Good Luck to all

Which, I guess, proves the old adage that 'if you ask someone in authority if something is allowed, they will almost always say "no".'

It was the same in the UK when we had more complicated regulations. Some people would go running round asking the Radio Communications Agency "Is such and such legal or illegal, because the rules are ambiguous" and the answer either came back as 'no', or later on, when they got fed up of people running round asking for clarifications all of the time, 'use your own common sense'. Quite where 'common sense' was ever going to be involved in these discussions, often eluded me...

I used to contrast that with experience in commerce, where companies looked at the regulations and said 'Oh good, that's ambiguous, so we can get away with that' until some regulator or politician stepped in to 'clarify' the rules. No lawyer would, they knew they would make more money arguing the case in court if it ever got that far...

Dave (G0DJA)

228. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 16:34:14 UTC
From: Glenn L. Roeser
Topic: 223 Message: 228

Hello Dave,
I couldn't agree with you more. It is a shame. I think that ROS is a very good mode and I know it will be around for a long time.
If in fact it is illegal, and if there are penalties to receive for using it, then I chose not to use it. It is a shame that "We the people" of the USA are falling behind in certain things but until things change we should all live by the laws that are in place.
I was hoping to be able to have worked you using ROS mode Dave.
Very 73, Glenn (WB2LMV)

From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tue, February 23, 2010 11:12:02 AM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Glenn L. Roeser wrote:
> That's good enough for me, I will not be using ROS from now on. Thank you for this information.
> Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)

That's a shame.

I've now got an old song going through my head "It's illegal, it's immoral, or it makes you fat" which seems to be about how anything you might enjoy doing is going to end up with someone else telling you that you can't do it for some reason.

Dave (G0DJA)

229. that ole legal thing

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 16:29:15 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 229 Message: 229

interesting to see that the ARRL says that ROS is SS.

that's ok, as I know that some of there engineer types are much
smarter than me.(no pun intended)

this is ok, now that the ARRL is aware of this breakthru mode of
operation on the ham bands and also aware that a goodly number of amateurs and probably
ARRL members are using it, will they be willing to lobby for it on our behalf with the FCC
to maybe get it approved and hopefully get some freq. coordination in the USA/World ?

david/wd4kpd

230. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 16:46:10 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 223 Message: 230

Good enough for me too !

Andy K3UK

On Tue, Feb 23, 2010 at 11:01 AM, Glenn L. Roeser <hillbillietrace@...
> wrote:

>
>
> That's good enough for me, I will not be using ROS from now on. Thank you
> for this information.
> Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)
>
> ----------
> *From:* Carol & Fred Darrah <cfdarrah@...>
> *To:* ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Tue, February 23, 2010 10:50:11 AM
> *Subject:* [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum
>
>
>
> Gentleman,
> This is the message from ARRL . Many of you are operating in violation of
> the FCC rules.
> Good Luck to all
> Fred N9GUE
>
> --- On *Tue, 2/23/10, Henderson, Dan N1ND <dhenderson@arrl. org>* wrote:
>
>
> From: Henderson, Dan N1ND <dhenderson@arrl. org>
> Subject: RE: Spread Spectrum
> To: "Carol & Fred Darrah" <cfdarrah@sbcglobal. net>
> Date: Tuesday, February 23, 2010, 7:13 AM
>
> Hi Fred:
>
> I ran this by our technical experts. They concur that ROS is a spread
> spectrum mode and as such is not allowed by the FCC on bands below 222 MHz.
> Remember that approved emissions vary from IARU Region at times as well as
> between countries. So while the IARU Band Plan for Region 2 would allow it,
> SS is not permitted on the HF bands by the FCC/
>
> Thanks and 73
>
>

> Dan Henderson, N1ND
> Regulatory Information Manager
> ARRL, the national association for Amateur Radio™
> 860-594-0236
> dhenderson@arrl. org
>
>
>
>
>
> ----------
> *From:* Carol & Fred Darrah [mailto:cfdarrah@ sbcglobal. net]
> *Sent:* Sunday, February 21, 2010 5:32 PM
> *To:* reginfo
> *Subject:* Spread Spectrum
>
> Dan,
> Hope you can answer my question. The latest digital mode ROS is causing
> some issues that need answering.
> Is the mode actually spread spectrum?
> Is this mode legal to use in the HF bands?
> What frequencies can it be used?
> Is Spread Spectrum still limited to 420 to 454 and above?
>
> Any help in answering these questions will be appreciated.
>
> Thanks
> Fred Darrah N9GUE
>
>
>
>

231. legal ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 16:49:37 UTC
From: David Michael Gaytko // WD4KPD
Topic: 231 Message: 231

```
God's law is set in stone
all else is negotiable
```

232. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] that ole legal thing

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 16:47:04 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 229 Message: 232

David and all,

I am a current ARRL member, and I mean no disrespect.

That being said, the ARRL did not issue my amateur radio license.

I have filed with the FCC an official request for clarification of the ROS mode's legality for use below 222 mHz by licensed U.S. amateurs, and provided Jose's user guide and introductory document in PDF form.

I will post here and on Jose's site whatever response I receive.

73 to all,

Tim - N3TL

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of wd4kpd
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2010 11:29 AM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] that ole legal thing

interesting to see that the ARRL says that ROS is SS.

that's ok, as I know that some of there engineer types are much smarter than me.(no pun intended)

this is ok, now that the ARRL is aware of this breakthru mode of operation on the ham bands and also aware that a goodly number of amateurs and probably ARRL members are using it, will they be willing to lobby for it on our behalf with the FCC to maybe get it approved and hopefully get some freq. coordination in the USA/World ?

david/wd4kpd

233. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 16:49:18 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 223 Message: 233

Hello All,

This from the WSJT Yahoo group:

In answer to my question about "Information Bandwidth"
See ARRL book on Spread Spectrum Source Book definition of
Spread Spectrum.

73 Rein W6SZ

Re: [wsjtgroup] Spread Spectrum

Information bandwidth is roughly the baud rate, that is, the rate at which the
modulation changes.
So, a 1 Hz modulation bandwidth with 1 bit per baud has an information bandwidth
of 1 Hz. If this
signal get spread over 100 Hz, the it has a spreading factor of 100, if spread
over 3500 Hz, a
spreading factor of 3500.

For voice spread spectrum in the Cellular bands, a digitized voice at 16 KHz
might get spread to 1.2
MHz of bandwidth.

Spreading can use frequency hopping, modulation, or a combination of the two.
For example, assuming
a 1 Hz modulation rate, the transmitter might change the transmitted frequency
for each bit to a
single tone somewhere in the voice range of 300 to 3000 Hz. This would "hop"
the transmitted
frequency.

Alternatively the 1 Hz data could modulate the phase of a pseudo-random noise
signal that fills the
300 to 3000 Hz bandwidth and this would be a direct sequence type signal.
Another way to look at
that method, for each 1 second long 1 Hz data bit, the transmitter would run
through a sequence of
1000 1 msec long tones (called chips because they're shorted than bits). The
value of the data bit
(0 or 1) would determine which sequence of chips to use.

hope this helps...

jeff, wa1hco

-----Original Message-----
>From: "Glenn L. Roeser" <hillbillietrace@...>

>Sent: Feb 23, 2010 11:34 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum
>
>Hello Dave,
>I couldn't agree with you more. It is a shame. I think that ROS is a very good mode and I know it will be around for a long time.
>If in fact it is illegal, and if there are penalties to receive for using it, then I chose not to use it. It is a shame that "We the people" of the USA are falling behind in certain things but until things change we should all live by the laws that are in place.
>I was hoping to be able to have worked you using ROS mode Dave.
>Very 73, Glenn (WB2LMV)
>
>
>
>
>_____
>From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@tiscali.co.uk>
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Tue, February 23, 2010 11:12:02 AM
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum
>
>
>Glenn L. Roeser wrote:
>> That's good enough for me, I will not be using ROS from now on. Thank you for this information.
>> Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)
>
>That's a shame.
>
>I've now got an old song going through my head "It's illegal, it's
>immoral, or it makes you fat" which seems to be about how anything you
>might enjoy doing is going to end up with someone else telling you that
>you can't do it for some reason.
>
>Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
>
>
>

234. Re: New suggested frequencies posted.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 16:50:11 UTC
From: sholtofish
Topic: 208 Message: 234

Andy,

The thing you've got to remember about the Packet Network 105 frequency is it is 14105 LSB. So using 14101 USB for ROS will cause QRM for us but 14105 USB for ROS wouldn't cause a problem.

I've tried to show this graphically here:

http://www.qsl.net/k7tmg/ros_packet.gif

73

Sholto

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...> wrote:
>
> I think the mode is too wide for legal use on 30M in the USA, but not
> certain.. If 14101 is used, will this cause splatter on the 14100 beacons?
> 14105 is busy with packet constantly in NA, , what is wrong with 14109 or
> 14107 ? Why 14101 (141009) ?
>
> Andy K3UK
>

235. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: New suggested frequencies posted.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 17:27:05 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 208 Message: 235

Good job

_____
De: sholtofish <sholto@probikekit.com>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,23 febrero, 2010 17:50
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: New suggested frequencies posted.

Andy,

The thing you've got to remember about the Packet Network 105 frequency is it is 14105 LSB. So using 14101 USB for ROS will cause QRM for us but 14105 USB for ROS wouldn't cause a problem.

I've tried to show this graphically here:

http://www.qsl. net/k7tmg/ ros_packet. gif

73

Sholto

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, Andy obrien <k3ukandy@.. .> wrote:
>
> I think the mode is too wide for legal use on 30M in the USA, but not
> certain.. If 14101 is used, will this cause splatter on the 14100 beacons?
> 14105 is busy with packet constantly in NA, , what is wrong with 14109 or
> 14107 ? Why 14101 (141009) ?
>
> Andy K3UK
>

236. Re: Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 17:35:55 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 223 Message: 236

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Which, I guess, proves the old adage that 'if you ask someone in
> authority if something is allowed, they will almost always say "no".'
>

Yeah. Rule number 1. If you are afraid you might not like the answer, better not ask the question.

Julian, G4ILO

237. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 17:50:47 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 223 Message: 237

I have stated it now a number of times, this protocol should have never been
called "Spread Spectrum" Big mistake.

Just watching 101 here and pieces of EU QSO's coming through all the time
This is rare here in So Cal. And that in the presence of broad signals which
are legal?
Don't understand that reasoning at all

73 Rein, W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: g4ilo <julian.g4ilo@...>
>Sent: Feb 23, 2010 12:35 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum
>
>
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>>
>> Which, I guess, proves the old adage that 'if you ask someone in
>> authority if something is allowed, they will almost always say "no".'
>>
>
>Yeah. Rule number 1. If you are afraid you might not like the answer, better not ask the
question.
>
>Julian, G4ILO
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

238. Re: Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 17:44:28 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 223 Message: 238

I think the regulatory tail is wagging the experimental dog here. I mean, what is more important to the spirit of amateur radio, allowing experimentation with different modes, or adhereing to some regulation dreamed up by a jobsworth bureaucrat.

The ARRL may have given its opinion that the mode doesn't fit within the rules, but what are they going to do about it? Shrug their shoulders and say that's that?

They were quick enough to act when it looked like an interpretation of the rules would affect the ability of people to take part in emcomm practises. But then we all know the ARRL is more interested in turning ham radio into a volunteer emergency service, just look at the number of pages in QST devoted to emcomms topics.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Hello All,
>
> This from the WSJT Yahoo group:
>
> In answer to my question about "Information Bandwidth"
> See ARRL book on Spread Spectrum Source Book definition of
> Spread Spectrum.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
> Re: [wsjtgroup] Spread Spectrum
>
> Information bandwidth is roughly the baud rate, that is, the rate at which the
> modulation changes.
> So, a 1 Hz modulation bandwidth with 1 bit per baud has an information bandwidth
> of 1 Hz. If this
> signal get spread over 100 Hz, the it has a spreading factor of 100, if spread
> over 3500 Hz, a
> spreading factor of 3500.
>
> For voice spread spectrum in the Cellular bands, a digitized voice at 16 KHz
> might get spread to 1.2
> MHz of bandwidth.
>
> Spreading can use frequency hopping, modulation, or a combination of the two.
> For example, assuming
> a 1 Hz modulation rate, the transmitter might change the transmitted frequency
> for each bit to a
> single tone somewhere in the voice range of 300 to 3000 Hz. This would "hop"

> the transmitted
> frequency.
>
> Alternatively the 1 Hz data could modulate the phase of a pseudo-random noise
> signal that fills the
> 300 to 3000 Hz bandwidth and this would be a direct sequence type signal.
> Another way to look at
> that method, for each 1 second long 1 Hz data bit, the transmitter would run
> through a sequence of
> 1000 1 msec long tones (called chips because they're shorted than bits). The
> value of the data bit
> (0 or 1) would determine which sequence of chips to use.
>
> hope this helps...
>
> jeff, wa1hco
>

239. Re: Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 18:26:51 UTC
From: fred_darrah
Topic: 223 Message: 239

Julian,
The rules in the USA do not pose a problem to you. You can continue on with this mode. Everyone has the free will in the USA to do as they want.I for one will not risk violating established rules.
I view this mode as wide band mode that will cause grief to other modes. I am not here to knock this mode as I am sure it has merit. We can knock authority and it seems we can all cry loudly when we think we are wronged. Without rules Chaos would be the norm. Can you have your cake and eat it too?? Only in fairy tales.
Have a good day.
73 Fred N9GUE

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "g4ilo" <julian.g4ilo@...> wrote:
>
> I think the regulatory tail is wagging the experimental dog here. I mean, what is more important to the spirit of amateur radio, allowing experimentation with different modes, or adhereing to some regulation dreamed up by a jobsworth bureaucrat.
>
> The ARRL may have given its opinion that the mode doesn't fit within the rules, but what are they going to do about it? Shrug their shoulders and say that's that?
>
> They were quick enough to act when it looked like an interpretation of the rules would affect the ability of people to take part in emcomm practises. But then we all know the ARRL is more interested in turning ham radio into a volunteer emergency service, just look at the number of pages in QST devoted to emcomms topics.
>
> Julian, G4ILO
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@ wrote:
> >
> > Hello All,
> >
> > This from the WSJT Yahoo group:
> >
> > In answer to my question about "Information Bandwidth"
> > See ARRL book on Spread Spectrum Source Book definition of
> > Spread Spectrum.
> >
> > 73 Rein W6SZ
> >
> >
> > Re: [wsjtgroup] Spread Spectrum
> >
> > Information bandwidth is roughly the baud rate, that is, the rate at which the
> > modulation changes.
> > So, a 1 Hz modulation bandwidth with 1 bit per baud has an information bandwidth

> > of 1 Hz. If this
> > signal get spread over 100 Hz, the it has a spreading factor of 100, if spread
> > over 3500 Hz, a
> > spreading factor of 3500.
> >
> > For voice spread spectrum in the Cellular bands, a digitized voice at 16 KHz
> > might get spread to 1.2
> > MHz of bandwidth.
> >
> > Spreading can use frequency hopping, modulation, or a combination of the two.
> > For example, assuming
> > a 1 Hz modulation rate, the transmitter might change the transmitted frequency
> > for each bit to a
> > single tone somewhere in the voice range of 300 to 3000 Hz. This would "hop"
> > the transmitted
> > frequency.
> >
> > Alternatively the 1 Hz data could modulate the phase of a pseudo-random noise
> > signal that fills the
> > 300 to 3000 Hz bandwidth and this would be a direct sequence type signal.
> > Another way to look at
> > that method, for each 1 second long 1 Hz data bit, the transmitter would run
> > through a sequence of
> > 1000 1 msec long tones (called chips because they're shorted than bits). The
> > value of the data bit
> > (0 or 1) would determine which sequence of chips to use.
> >
> > hope this helps...
> >
> > jeff, wa1hco
> >
>

240. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 18:28:40 UTC
From: J. Moen
Topic: 240 Message: 240

ARRL knows many of their members in the US have Technician licenses, and Emcomm is popular with many of these Hams. This leads to a big market for VHF/UHF radios, ads from the manufacturers, etc.

I think ARRL did some excellent work on the Broadband Over Powerline issue. They encourage programs that reach out to young people. They do a lot of things well. But it's true they are not all that proactive about emerging technologies.

Jim - K6JM
----- Original Message -----
From: g4ilo
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2010 9:44 AM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum
I think the regulatory tail is wagging the experimental dog here. I mean, what is more important to the spirit of amateur radio, allowing experimentation with different modes, or adhereing to some regulation dreamed up by a jobsworth bureaucrat.

The ARRL may have given its opinion that the mode doesn't fit within the rules, but what are they going to do about it? Shrug their shoulders and say that's that?

They were quick enough to act when it looked like an interpretation of the rules would affect the ability of people to take part in emcomm practises. But then we all know the ARRL is more interested in turning ham radio into a volunteer emergency service, just look at the number of pages in QST devoted to emcomms topics.

Julian, G4ILO

241. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 19:18:36 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 223 Message: 241

g4ilo wrote:
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>> Which, I guess, proves the old adage that 'if you ask someone in
>> authority if something is allowed, they will almost always say "no".'
>>
>
> Yeah. Rule number 1. If you are afraid you might not like the answer, better not ask the question.
>

Very true Julian,

Except that, at least in the UK, I got the impression in the past that the people asking the question were wanting the answer to be 'no', so that they could tell the other Amateurs to stop using a mode that they, personally, had taken against for some reason.

I remember this happening in the cases of the development of AX:25 (Packet), later the same thing about the use of APRS, the use of VHF to HF transponders on satellites by 'Class B' licencees and then PSK31.

Dave (G0DJA)

242. V1.7.3 (Rapidshare)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 19:24:33 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 240 Message: 242

Has anyone managed to download V1.7.3 yet please?

I've tried several times and the site, Rapidshare, keeps saying that there are "Currently a lot of users are downloading files. Please try again in 2 minutes or become a Premium Member".

No I may be an old cynic, but the other downloading system that Jose used didn't seem to have this problem...

Dave (G0DJA)

243. Re: V1.7.3 (Rapidshare)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 19:33:41 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 240 Message: 243

Why not download it from the files section here? The problem appears to be that Jose doesn't have a web server that allows him to host downloads. Free services tend to have limits on the number of downloads, or else they exist to try to make money.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Has anyone managed to download V1.7.3 yet please?
>
> I've tried several times and the site, Rapidshare, keeps saying that
> there are "Currently a lot of users are downloading files. Please try
> again in 2 minutes or become a Premium Member".
>
> No I may be an old cynic, but the other downloading system that Jose
> used didn't seem to have this problem...
>

244. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] V1.7.3 (Rapidshare)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 19:33:31 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 240 Message: 244

Try this link:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/

la5vna Steinar

On 23.02.2010 20:24, Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Has anyone managed to download V1.7.3 yet please?
>
> I've tried several times and the site, Rapidshare, keeps saying that
> there are "Currently a lot of users are downloading files. Please try
> again in 2 minutes or become a Premium Member".
>
> No I may be an old cynic, but the other downloading system that Jose
> used didn't seem to have this problem...
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>

245. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] V1.7.3 (Rapidshare)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 19:43:08 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 240 Message: 245

Hi,

Before i used Box.net until i realize BOX.net corrupt zip files. :-)
At least i know rapidshare dont do it that.

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,23 febrero, 2010 20:24
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] V1.7.3 (Rapidshare)

Has anyone managed to download V1.7.3 yet please?

I've tried several times and the site, Rapidshare, keeps saying that
there are "Currently a lot of users are downloading files. Please try
again in 2 minutes or become a Premium Member".

No I may be an old cynic, but the other downloading system that Jose
used didn't seem to have this problem...

Dave (G0DJA)

246. Re: Fw: RE: Spread Spectrum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 19:46:39 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 223 Message: 246

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
>
> Just watching 101 here and pieces of EU QSO's coming through all the time
> This is rare here in So Cal. And that in the presence of broad signals which
> are legal?
> Don't understand that reasoning at all

Yes, it's a great shame. I made contacts with two US states that I would not expect to be able to work using 40W to an attic dipole, before the curtain came down. So the mode was certainly living up to expectations.

I hope you folks can get this silly rule sorted out. I'm sure when they wrote that they didn't want spread spectrum they didn't want transmissions that jumped around all over the band. Nor would any of us, I think. It's just a stupid interpretation.

Over here we have a saying "the law is an ass." Ass in British English is a kind of donkey, meaning it's stupid and obstinate.

Julian, G4ILO

247. Monitoring 14.104

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 20:04:34 UTC
From: tedworld1
Topic: 247 Message: 247

Monitoring 14.104 USB for the time being

248. FCC request and response

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 20:58:13 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 248 Message: 248

Following is the complete text of the request I made to the FCC for a ruling on ROS use on HF by licensed U.S. amateurs, along with the response from the FCC I just received.

As part of my request, I provided PDF copies of two documents that Jose has prepared and made available on the ROS blog site:

1 - His user guide for the software.

2 - His description of the mode.

Please see below:

Summary* : Request for clarification of new amateur radio digital mode

Description* : Within the past week, a new digital mode - called ROS - has surfaced on the HR amateur bands. Its creator refers to it as spread spectrum, but there is some debate over whether the mode truly represents spread spectrum as defined by the FCC. I am writing to request a review of the creator's documentation, which I have attached, and a formal ruling on whether this mode is legal for use below 222 mHz by licensed U.S. amateurs. It would be very helpful if the FCC, upon completion of this review, would distribute a public announcement of its determination to appropriate amateur radio and media outlets. Thank you very much in advance for your time and prompt attention to this request. I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

Timothy J. Lilley - N3TL

Solution Details : Dear Mr. Lilley,

Section 97.305 is the rule that specifies where different emission types are allowed to be transmitted on different bands. "ROS" is viewed as "spread spectrum," and the creator of the system describes it as that. We assume that he knows what he created. 97.305 authorizes spread spectrum emission types (defined in Section 97.3) to be transmitted by FCC licensed amateur stations at places we regulate communications only on 222-225 MHz and higher frequency amateur bands. European telecommunication regulatory authorities may authorize amateur stations in Europe to use SS on the HF bands, but this is of no concern to us. The Commission does not determine if a particular mode "truly" represents spread spectrum as it is defined in the rules. The licensee of the station transmitting the emission is responsible for determining that the operation of the station complies with the rules. This would include determining the type of emission the station is transmitting and that the frequencies being used are authorized for that

type of emission.

Should you have any further questions, or need additional information, please contact the ULS Customer Support Hotline at (877) 480-3201, selecting option 2.

Sincerely,

Agent 3820

I have discontinued further experimentation with ROS.

73,

Tim - N3TL

249. Re: FCC request and response

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 21:28:34 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 248 Message: 249

Geee, it's not the FCC's decesion to determine if it is !
wow, what a government we have !

seems like if Jose changes the name, we can use it, and if an op decides its not SS, he can use it.

what a bunch of crap.

c u on the bands via ROS. as i don't think it is SS.

david/wd4kpd

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Tim - N3TL" <n3tl@...> wrote:
>
> Following is the complete text of the request I made to the FCC for a ruling
> on ROS use on HF by licensed U.S. amateurs, along with the response from the
> FCC I just received.
>
>
>
> As part of my request, I provided PDF copies of two documents that Jose has
> prepared and made available on the ROS blog site:
>
>
>
> 1 - His user guide for the software.
>
>
>
> 2 - His description of the mode.
>
>
>
> Please see below:
>
>
>
> Summary* : Request for clarification of new amateur radio digital
> mode
>
> Description* : Within the past week, a new digital mode - called ROS -
> has surfaced on the HR amateur bands. Its creator refers to it as spread
> spectrum, but there is some debate over whether the mode truly represents
> spread spectrum as defined by the FCC. I am writing to request a review of
> the creator's documentation, which I have attached, and a formal ruling on
> whether this mode is legal for use below 222 mHz by licensed U.S. amateurs.

> It would be very helpful if the FCC, upon completion of this review, would
> distribute a public announcement of its determination to appropriate amateur
> radio and media outlets. Thank you very much in advance for your time and
> prompt attention to this request. I look forward to hearing from you soon.
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
>
> Timothy J. Lilley - N3TL
>
> Solution Details : Dear Mr. Lilley,
>
>
>
> Section 97.305 is the rule that specifies where different emission types
> are allowed to be transmitted on different bands. "ROS" is viewed as
> "spread spectrum," and the creator of the system describes it as that. We
> assume that he knows what he created. 97.305 authorizes spread spectrum
> emission types (defined in Section 97.3) to be transmitted by FCC licensed
> amateur stations at places we regulate communications only on 222-225 MHz
> and higher frequency amateur bands. European telecommunication regulatory
> authorities may authorize amateur stations in Europe to use SS on the HF
> bands, but this is of no concern to us. The Commission does not determine if
> a particular mode "truly" represents spread spectrum as it is defined in the
> rules. The licensee of the station transmitting the emission is responsible
> for determining that the operation of the station complies with the rules.
> This would include determining the type of emission the station is
> transmitting and that the frequencies being used are authorized for that
> type of emission.
>
>
>
> Should you have any further questions, or need additional information,
> please contact the ULS Customer Support Hotline at (877) 480-3201, selecting
> option 2.
>
>
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Agent 3820
>
>
>
> I have discontinued further experimentation with ROS.
>
>
>
> 73,

>
>
> Tim - N3TL
>

250. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: New suggested frequencies posted.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 21:51:15 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 208 Message: 250

I have to say that, and this is not to 'have a go' at Jose, the number of different frequencies has me confused.

I now don't know which were intended for 16 or 1 baud and I've been listening on 3.606.50 which seems empty now.

Either people have not been transmitting, or they are transmitting on frequencies that I am not listening on.

Dave (G0DJA)

251. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: FCC request and response

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 21:51:15 UTC
From: Tim - N3TL
Topic: 248 Message: 251

We may not believe it is ROS, but Jose repeatedly refers to it as such in his documentation. So . if someone who has already stated publicly that it is illegal (not mentioning any names) chooses to do so, he or she would appear to have a strong case, based on what the FCC said in its response to me.

I will not transmit using ROS again below 222 mHz unless/until Jose states emphatically that ROS is NOT SS, and he revises all of his documentation to reflect that.

When it comes to risking my amateur license/operating privileges, I will err on the side of caution. As you said to me the other day, it's your choice.

Mine is to play with ROS on 432 mHz moving forward - for now.

73,

Tim

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of wd4kpd
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2010 4:29 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: FCC request and response

Geee, it's not the FCC's decesion to determine if it is !
wow, what a government we have !

seems like if Jose changes the name, we can use it, and if an op decides its not SS, he can use it.

what a bunch of crap.

c u on the bands via ROS. as i don't think it is SS.

david/wd4kpd

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
P@yahoogroups.com, "Tim - N3TL" <n3tl@...> wrote:
>
> Following is the complete text of the request I made to the FCC for a
ruling
> on ROS use on HF by licensed U.S. amateurs, along with the response from
the
> FCC I just received.
>

>
>
> As part of my request, I provided PDF copies of two documents that Jose
has
> prepared and made available on the ROS blog site:
>
>
>
> 1 - His user guide for the software.
>
>
>
> 2 - His description of the mode.
>
>
>
> Please see below:
>
>
>
> Summary* : Request for clarification of new amateur radio digital
> mode
>
> Description* : Within the past week, a new digital mode - called ROS -
> has surfaced on the HR amateur bands. Its creator refers to it as spread
> spectrum, but there is some debate over whether the mode truly represents
> spread spectrum as defined by the FCC. I am writing to request a review of
> the creator's documentation, which I have attached, and a formal ruling on
> whether this mode is legal for use below 222 mHz by licensed U.S.
amateurs.
> It would be very helpful if the FCC, upon completion of this review, would
> distribute a public announcement of its determination to appropriate
amateur
> radio and media outlets. Thank you very much in advance for your time and
> prompt attention to this request. I look forward to hearing from you soon.

>
> Sincerely,
>
>
>
> Timothy J. Lilley - N3TL
>
> Solution Details : Dear Mr. Lilley,
>
>
>
> Section 97.305 is the rule that specifies where different emission types
> are allowed to be transmitted on different bands. "ROS" is viewed as
> "spread spectrum," and the creator of the system describes it as that. We

> assume that he knows what he created. 97.305 authorizes spread spectrum
> emission types (defined in Section 97.3) to be transmitted by FCC licensed
> amateur stations at places we regulate communications only on 222-225 MHz
> and higher frequency amateur bands. European telecommunication regulatory
> authorities may authorize amateur stations in Europe to use SS on the HF
> bands, but this is of no concern to us. The Commission does not determine
if
> a particular mode "truly" represents spread spectrum as it is defined in
the
> rules. The licensee of the station transmitting the emission is
responsible
> for determining that the operation of the station complies with the rules.
> This would include determining the type of emission the station is
> transmitting and that the frequencies being used are authorized for that
> type of emission.
>
>
>
> Should you have any further questions, or need additional information,
> please contact the ULS Customer Support Hotline at (877) 480-3201,
selecting
> option 2.
>
>
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Agent 3820
>
>
>
> I have discontinued further experimentation with ROS.
>
>
>
> 73,
>
>
> Tim - N3TL
>

252. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] V1.7.3 (Rapidshare)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 21:48:24 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 240 Message: 252

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> Hi,
>
> Before i used Box.net until i realize BOX.net corrupt zip files. :-)
> At least i know rapidshare dont do it that.

Another member of the list forwarded a copy of V1.7.3, so thank you for that.

In future I guess I'll download updates from the Yahoo Group instead as Rapidshare doesn't seem to like me. HI.

Dave (G0DJA)

253. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] FCC request and response

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 21:57:35 UTC
From: J. Moen
Topic: 248 Message: 253

Interesting. Two comments:

1. I have been unable to find FCC's technical description of spread spectrum, or a reference in their regulations to a definition with which they agree. I wish the FCC response had contained such a description. I think I have read every note about this on this reflector, but all I've seen are emission mode designations, which do not in my mind constitute a technical definition. (I also think we won't get that in the foreseeable future.)

2. I do like the tone of the FCC response, which puts the responsibility on the amateur operator to determine what mode is being used. Of course, that determination would be easier if we had a more explicit definition of SS from FCC.

In cases like this, I fall back on what's written, and fill in the gaps with what's logical. Logically, it would appear ROS is spread spectrum within the bandwidth of a SSB voice signal, and 97.305 therefore prohibits its use on bands below 222 mHz. Not what I wanted to conclude, but there you are.

Hopefully this reflector can move forward with reports of what legal users are doing with ROS, and drop discussion of US legal issues. I will read the mail.

Jim - K6JM

----- Original Message -----
From: Tim - N3TL
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2010 12:58 PM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] FCC request and response

Following is the complete text of the request I made to the FCC for a ruling on ROS use on HF by licensed U.S. amateurs, along with the response from the FCC I just received.

As part of my request, I provided PDF copies of two documents that Jose has prepared and made available on the ROS blog site:

1 - His user guide for the software.

2 - His description of the mode.

Please see below:

Summary* : Request for clarification of new amateur radio digital mode

Description* : Within the past week, a new digital mode - called ROS - has surfaced on the HR amateur bands. Its creator refers to it as spread spectrum, but there is some debate over whether the mode truly represents spread spectrum as defined by the FCC. I am writing to request a review of the creator's documentation, which I have attached, and a formal ruling on whether this mode is legal for use below 222 mHz by licensed U.S. amateurs. It

would be very helpful if the FCC, upon completion of this review, would distribute a public announcement of its determination to appropriate amateur radio and media outlets. Thank you very much in advance for your time and prompt attention to this request. I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

Timothy J. Lilley - N3TL

Solution Details : Dear Mr. Lilley,

Section 97.305 is the rule that specifies where different emission types are allowed to be transmitted on different bands. "ROS" is viewed as "spread spectrum," and the creator of the system describes it as that. We assume that he knows what he created. 97.305 authorizes spread spectrum emission types (defined in Section 97.3) to be transmitted by FCC licensed amateur stations at places we regulate communications only on 222-225 MHz and higher frequency amateur bands. European telecommunication regulatory authorities may authorize amateur stations in Europe to use SS on the HF bands, but this is of no concern to us. The Commission does not determine if a particular mode "truly" represents spread spectrum as it is defined in the rules. The licensee of the station transmitting the emission is responsible for determining that the operation of the station complies with the rules. This would include determining the type of emission the station is transmitting and that the frequencies being used are authorized for that type of emission.

Should you have any further questions, or need additional information, please contact the ULS Customer Support Hotline at (877) 480-3201, selecting option 2.

Sincerely,

Agent 3820

I have discontinued further experimentation with ROS.

73,

Tim - N3TL

254. FCC RESPONSE

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 22:13:30 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 254 Message: 254

it all depends on your definition of "IS" is.

have heard this before somewhere.

david/wd4kpd

255. Re: FCC request and response

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 22:26:59 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 248 Message: 255

Wikipedia has quite a good article on it. It doesn't help me decide whether or not ROS is spread spectrum as determined by the FCC, but there are certainly statements there that could be interpreted as saying it is.

It would help if we knew the reason why spread spectrum techniques were denied to US amateurs in the first place. If we (or you) are granted licenses for the purposes of experimentation then surely this is a legitimate area to experiment in? It seems to me that the legislators are frightened by claims such as spread spectrum can be used for secure communications and is resistant to jamming and they don't want anyone to have this capability outside the military. I am surprised this is the US of A taking this attitude not Soviet Russia, but perhaps I shouldn't be.

Saying that you can experiment above 222MHz is not an answer because propagation conditions are different on the lower frequencies and if the mode was developed to allow weak signal communication on HF then it should be permitted to use it as such, as long as no detriment occurs to other users. And since the signal occupies the same space as a phone signal and the carrier frequency remains the same as in a phone signal and is actually transmitted using an unmodified SSB transmitter I don't see how its use can be any more harmful than SSB is. I would hope that someone can make a case for changing the rules to allow this particular form of spread spectrum since I can see no logical case for prohibiting it.

Although I am not in the US and therefore not bound by FCC rules I do not have much interest in experimenting with a DX mode when a region containing much of the DX I would hope to work with the mode is prohibited from using it. I therefore will not be making any more use of this mode until such time as our friends in the USA are permitted to use it.

Julian, G4ILO

256. 1.8.0 Beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 22:30:59 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 256 Message: 256

I see that a new beta has just appeared on the site. The technical guide has gone (I presume temporarily, to change the terminology) and the mode has been renamed "144FSK Modem for Ham Radio Operators." I'm afraid this may be a case of shutting the stable door after the horse has bolted, but I hope I'm wrong in this.

Julian, G4ILO

257. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] FCC RESPONSE

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 22:45:36 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 254 Message: 257

Maybe now is a good time to suggest two things.

1. Those in the USA, or who are interested in the debate about FHSS in the USA, start their own Yahoo Group to debate what is, or isn't Spread Spectrum and whether it is, or isn't, legal under FCC rules and how it might be made legal, if it isn't now, in the future and

2. Those of us left on here talk about using ROS and suggest developments to the program that Jose might want to consider for future releases.

I predict that, at some stage, a separate group will also be needed for the debate with other people, who may also not be keen on ROS, or modes like ROS, in the UK, Europe and maybe other areas in the world once the word gets around that there's a new mode to hate. (With apologies to R A Heinlein...)

Dave (G0DJA)

258. Anyone for 5Mhz/60M ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 22:42:25 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 258 Message: 258

Hi,

I shall be at home on Wednesday during the day time.

Would anyone like to try on sked on 60M

please state your frequency

Regards

Alan G8XLH Peterborough UK

259. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 1.8.0 Beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 22:51:26 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 256 Message: 259

g4ilo wrote:
> I see that a new beta has just appeared on the site. The technical guide has gone (I presume temporarily, to change the terminology) and the mode has been renamed "144FSK Modem for Ham Radio Operators." I'm afraid this may be a case of shutting the stable door after the horse has bolted, but I hope I'm wrong in this.
>

I suspect that you may well be correct, again, Julian,

A case of the politics of Amateur Radio overshadowing the 'technical investigation and experimentation' that once underpinned the idea that we were trying to discover things to do with radio communication. In my opinion.

I do still come back to the idea that the whole 'it's illegal, it's immoral, or it makes you fat' seems to come down to some Radio Amateurs deciding that other Radio Amateurs should not do something, well ahead of the authorities actually 'giving a damn'.

Dave (G0DJA)

260. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Anyone for 5Mhz/60M ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 22:54:47 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 258 Message: 260

g8xlh wrote:
> Hi,
>
> I shall be at home on Wednesday during the day time.
>
> Would anyone like to try on sked on 60M
>
> please state your frequency
>
> Regards
>
> Alan G8XLH Peterborough UK

Hi Alan,

I too have a 60M permit, so I'll look at having a go.

I'll email you direct to suggest which frequency. Bearing in mind the need to keep within a certain bandwidth and avoid the beacons etc., but I'm sure it can be done.

Cheers - Dave (G0DJA)

261. Sound Card Won't Init

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-23 23:53:43 UTC
From: comms_engr
Topic: 261 Message: 261

All,

Small plea for help. I have downloaded the 1.8.0 version, and while the software runs fine on my computer, it gives me an error message (in Spanish) that it cannot initialize the sound card. I have no other applications running, and have checked the task manager process list to verify that nothing is running that would need access to the sound card. All my other soundcard-related software seems run just fine when I try; streaming audio, PSK software, etc., works without a hitch when I try those programs. I have checked the soundcard settings and can't find anything that might be a problem. José says he has no clue. Any ideas to try would be appreciated.

TI3/W7RI

262. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Sound Card Won't Init

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 00:02:20 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 261 Message: 262

reset the computer.

De: comms_engr <comms_engr@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,24 febrero, 2010 00:53
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Sound Card Won't Init

All,

Small plea for help. I have downloaded the 1.8.0 version, and while the software runs fine on my computer, it gives me an error message (in Spanish) that it cannot initialize the sound card. I have no other applications running, and have checked the task manager process list to verify that nothing is running that would need access to the sound card. All my other soundcard-related software seems run just fine when I try; streaming audio, PSK software, etc., works without a hitch when I try those programs. I have checked the soundcard settings and can't find anything that might be a problem. José says he has no clue. Any ideas to try would be appreciated.

TI3/W7RI

263. Is it legal etc.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 00:14:17 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 263 Message: 263

lets get back to basics guys...

the group was created to relieve the traffic on the World Press blog.
and to get away from all the "is it legal etc." that was cluttering
up the digitalradio group.

as the nominal group owner, i ask that the postings about the "legal
stuff" be dropped.

i too got rubbed the wrong way and did some posts of my own. especially about the FCC
response to N3TL. but now am over it and would like to get back to operating ROS.

so operating/helping others/skeds/freq are what it's all about now.
requesting try-outs or notices about other modes is ok too.

david/wd4kpd

264. FCC Technology Jail: ROS is Dead in USA for HF

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 00:51:19 UTC
From: expeditionradio
Topic: 131 Message: 264

As I previously predicted, an FCC agent has
interpreted FCC Rules, saying that they assume
ROS is Spread Spectrum, and not allowed on HF
in USA. ARRL staff have also done the same.

In the FCC response to an clarification inquiry
initiated by Timothy J. Lilley - N3TL,
The FCC Agent 3820 stated this:

""ROS" is viewed as "spread spectrum," and the creator
of the system describes it as that. We assume that
he knows what he created."

That statement by FCC Agent 3820 is all any ham
in USA needs to know. Use ROS on HF, and you risk
fines for breaking the FCC Rules.

There are now only 4 options, for USA hams who still
want to use ROS on HF bands:

1. Operate ROS... knowing that you are breaking the
FCC Rules, and roll the dice, hoping you don't get caught.

2. Go on an uphill battle to change the FCC Rules, and
possibly win or lose after a year or more of legal work.

3. When the FCC sends you an enforcement letter
"Notice of Apparent Liability", and asks you to
show cause or risk citation and/or payment
of fine, simply tell the FCC "please forgive me,
I didn't know it is illegal to use Spread Spectrum
on HF, and honestly I won't do it again."

4. Simply give up, realize that you are in a
Technology Jail, and don't use ROS on HF.

Several years ago, I started writing about how hams
in USA are falling behind in technology due to
antiquated FCC rules. I pointed to several excellent
modes and methods of operation that USA hams don't
have the freedom to use, but hams in most other countries
are at liberty to use. This situation is all due to
FCC rules that were forged in the 20th century and
based upon old methods of using radio.

Boxed-in by early limitations, there is no way to
think out of the box. Some hams laughed and said:

"PSK31 and RTTY is all we need; why should we care?
Why should we want to use any new modes?"

Well, USA hams... Welcome to our Technology Jail!

Best Wishes,
Bonnie Crystal KQ6XA

> 22 Feb 2010, KQ6XA wrote:
>
> Given the fact that ROS Modem has been advertised as Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS), it may be quite difficult for USA amateur radio operators to obtain a positive interpretation of rules by FCC to allow use of ROS on HF without some type of experimental license or waiver. Otherwise, hams will need an amendment of FCC rules to use it in USA.
>
> Sadly, this may lead to the early death of ROS among USA hams.
>
> If ROS Modem had simply provided the technical specifications of the emission, and not called it "Spread Spectrum", there would have been a chance for it to be easily adopted by Ham Radio operators in USA.
>
> But, the ROS modem designer is rightfully proud of the design, and he lives in a country that is not bound by FCC rules, and probably had little or no knowledge of how his advertising might prevent thousands of hams from using it in USA.
>
> But, as they say, "You cannot un-ring a bell, once it has been rung".
>
> ROS signal can be viewed as a type of FSK, similar to various other types of n-ary-FSK presently in widespread use by USA hams. The specific algorithms for signal process and format could simply have been documented without calling it Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS). Since it is a narrowband signal (using the FCC and ITU definitions of narrowband emission = less than 3kHz) within the width of an SSB passband, it does not fit the traditional FHSS description as a conventional wideband technique.
>
> It probably would not have been viewed as FHSS under the spirit and intention of the FCC rules. It doesn't hop the VFO frequency. It simply FSKs according to a programmable algorithm, and it meets the infamous 1kHz shift 300 baud rule.
> http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/news/part97/d-305.html#307f3
>
> This is a typical example of how outdated the present FCC rules are, keeping USA hams in "TECHNOLOGY JAIL" while the rest of the world's hams move forward with digital technology. It should come as no surprise that most of the new ham radio digital modes are not being developed in USA!
>
> But, for a moment, let's put aside the issue of current FCC "prohibition" against Spread Spectrum and/or Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum, and how it relates to ROS mode. Let's look at "bandwidth".
>
> There is the other issue of "bandwidth" that some misguided USA hams have brought up here and in other forums related to ROS. Some superstitious hams seem to erroneously think that there is an over-reaching "bandwidth limit" in the FCC rules for data/text modes on HF that

might indicate what part of the ham band to operate it or not operate it.
>
> FACT:
> "There is currently no finite bandwidth limit on HF data/text emission in USA ham bands, except for the sub-band and band edges."
>
> FACT:
> "FCC data/text HF rules are still mainly based on "content" of the emission, not bandwidth."
>
> New SDR radios have the potential to transmit and receive wider bandwidths than the traditional 3kHz SSB passband. We will see a lot more development in this area of technology in the future, and a lot more gray areas of 20th century FCC rules that inhibit innovation and progress for ham radio HF digital technology in the 21st century.
>
> Several years ago, there was a proposal to FCC to provide regulation by bandwidth rather than content. However, it failed to be adopted, and ARRL's petition to limit bandwidth was withdrawn
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2007/04/27/101/?nc=1
>
> Thus, USA hams will continue to be in Technology Jail without access to many new modes in the foreseeable future :(
>
> Best Wishes,
> Bonnie Crystal KQ6XA
>

265. SS techniques

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 01:15:06 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 265 Message: 265

Hi All

Now that the legal or not question has been settled, I suggest you
all get a copy of the "SPREAD SPECTRUM SOURCE BOOK", published by ARRL
with lots of good help from AMRAD.
It is the most technical book, ever published by the League.

I was going to put a link here to a piece that I extracted from the introduction and that gives a definition of SPREAD SPECTRUM, given the new directions however, I will not do that. If you are interested, contact me.

If Jose, had not brought up the "SS" word, the situation would be quite different, in my opinion at least. I told him that a few days ago.
But then, it is his work. Jose also told me that I should do away with all the filtering in my receivers and transmitter to be able to do real SS. I asked him about the discrimination of the very low and high modulation frequencies.

Quite frankly I was impressed this morning with the method when I
saw EU stations here, as they came through on peaks in propagation,
easy to see in the waterfall, very nice.
I am 100% WSJT .

73 Rein W6SZ

266. Re: FCC Technology Jail: ROS is Dead in USA for HF

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 01:26:44 UTC
From: fred_darrah
Topic: 131 Message: 266

Hello all,
The answer is to let all the complaining about ROS on HF bands stop..Use it where it is legal.There are some great narrow modes that can used. 150+ QSO's in the same band width as ROS. WSPR, JT2, JT4A. JT4B are good examples. We let great modes like Olivia go by the wayside to operate every new mode that comes along. We go from one fad to another. If we did more operating and less complaining, we would all be acting in the best interest of all op's.
73 Fred N9GUE

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "expeditionradio" <expeditionradio@...>
wrote:
>
> As I previously predicted, an FCC agent has
> interpreted FCC Rules, saying that they assume
> ROS is Spread Spectrum, and not allowed on HF
> in USA. ARRL staff have also done the same.
>
> In the FCC response to an clarification inquiry
> initiated by Timothy J. Lilley - N3TL,
> The FCC Agent 3820 stated this:
>
> ""ROS" is viewed as "spread spectrum," and the creator
> of the system describes it as that. We assume that
> he knows what he created."
>
> That statement by FCC Agent 3820 is all any ham
> in USA needs to know. Use ROS on HF, and you risk
> fines for breaking the FCC Rules.
>
> There are now only 4 options, for USA hams who still
> want to use ROS on HF bands:
>
> 1. Operate ROS... knowing that you are breaking the
> FCC Rules, and roll the dice, hoping you don't get caught.
>
> 2. Go on an uphill battle to change the FCC Rules, and
> possibly win or lose after a year or more of legal work.
>
> 3. When the FCC sends you an enforcement letter
> "Notice of Apparent Liability", and asks you to
> show cause or risk citation and/or payment
> of fine, simply tell the FCC "please forgive me,
> I didn't know it is illegal to use Spread Spectrum
> on HF, and honestly I won't do it again."
>

> 4. Simply give up, realize that you are in a
> Technology Jail, and don't use ROS on HF.
>
> Several years ago, I started writing about how hams
> in USA are falling behind in technology due to
> antiquated FCC rules. I pointed to several excellent
> modes and methods of operation that USA hams don't
> have the freedom to use, but hams in most other countries
> are at liberty to use. This situation is all due to
> FCC rules that were forged in the 20th century and
> based upon old methods of using radio.
>
> Boxed-in by early limitations, there is no way to
> think out of the box. Some hams laughed and said:
> "PSK31 and RTTY is all we need; why should we care?
> Why should we want to use any new modes?"
>
> Well, USA hams... Welcome to our Technology Jail!
>
> Best Wishes,
> Bonnie Crystal KQ6XA
>
>
> > 22 Feb 2010, KQ6XA wrote:
> >
> > Given the fact that ROS Modem has been advertised as Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS), it may be quite difficult for USA amateur radio operators to obtain a positive interpretation of rules by FCC to allow use of ROS on HF without some type of experimental license or waiver. Otherwise, hams will need an amendment of FCC rules to use it in USA.
> >
> > Sadly, this may lead to the early death of ROS among USA hams.
> >
> > If ROS Modem had simply provided the technical specifications of the emission, and not called it "Spread Spectrum", there would have been a chance for it to be easily adopted by Ham Radio operators in USA.
> >
> > But, the ROS modem designer is rightfully proud of the design, and he lives in a country that is not bound by FCC rules, and probably had little or no knowledge of how his advertising might prevent thousands of hams from using it in USA.
> >
> > But, as they say, "You cannot un-ring a bell, once it has been rung".
> >
> > ROS signal can be viewed as a type of FSK, similar to various other types of n-ary-FSK presently in widespread use by USA hams. The specific algorithms for signal process and format could simply have been documented without calling it Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS). Since it is a narrowband signal (using the FCC and ITU definitions of narrowband emission = less than 3kHz) within the width of an SSB passband, it does not fit the traditional FHSS description as a conventional wideband technique.
> >
> > It probably would not have been viewed as FHSS under the spirit and intention of the

FCC rules. It doesn't hop the VFO frequency. It simply FSKs according to a programmable algorithm, and it meets the infamous 1kHz shift 300 baud rule.
> > http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/news/part97/d-305.html#307f3
> >
> > This is a typical example of how outdated the present FCC rules are, keeping USA hams in "TECHNOLOGY JAIL" while the rest of the world's hams move forward with digital technology. It should come as no surprise that most of the new ham radio digital modes are not being developed in USA!
> >
> > But, for a moment, let's put aside the issue of current FCC "prohibition" against Spread Spectrum and/or Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum, and how it relates to ROS mode. Let's look at "bandwidth".
> >
> > There is the other issue of "bandwidth" that some misguided USA hams have brought up here and in other forums related to ROS. Some superstitious hams seem to erroneously think that there is an over-reaching "bandwidth limit" in the FCC rules for data/text modes on HF that might indicate what part of the ham band to operate it or not operate it.
> >
> > FACT:
> > "There is currently no finite bandwidth limit on HF data/text emission in USA ham bands, except for the sub-band and band edges."
> >
> > FACT:
> > "FCC data/text HF rules are still mainly based on "content" of the emission, not bandwidth."
> >
> > New SDR radios have the potential to transmit and receive wider bandwidths than the traditional 3kHz SSB passband. We will see a lot more development in this area of technology in the future, and a lot more gray areas of 20th century FCC rules that inhibit innovation and progress for ham radio HF digital technology in the 21st century.
> >
> > Several years ago, there was a proposal to FCC to provide regulation by bandwidth rather than content. However, it failed to be adopted, and ARRL's petition to limit bandwidth was withdrawn
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2007/04/27/101/?nc=1
> >
> > Thus, USA hams will continue to be in Technology Jail without access to many new modes in the foreseeable future :(
> >
> > Best Wishes,
> > Bonnie Crystal KQ6XA
> >
>

267. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] SS techniques

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 01:35:31 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 265 Message: 267

Hi, rein

About filter i refer that ROS go from 400 to 2650 hz. Then you have to left pass this range.

De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,24 febrero, 2010 02:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] SS techniques

Hi All

Now that the legal or not question has been settled, I suggest you
all get a copy of the "SPREAD SPECTRUM SOURCE BOOK", published by ARRL
with lots of good help from AMRAD.
It is the most technical book, ever published by the League.

I was going to put a link here to a piece that I extracted from the introduction and that gives a definition of SPREAD SPECTRUM, given the new directions however, I will not do that. If you are interested, contact me.

If Jose, had not brought up the "SS" word, the situation would be quite different, in my opinion at least. I told him that a few days ago.
But then, it is his work. Jose also told me that I should do away with all the filtering in my receivers and transmitter to be able to do real SS. I asked him about the discrimination of the very low and high modulation frequencies.

Quite frankly I was impressed this morning with the method when I
saw EU stations here, as they came through on peaks in propagation,
easy to see in the waterfall, very nice.
I am 100% WSJT .

73 Rein W6SZ

268. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: FCC Technology Jail: ROS is Dead in USA for HF

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 01:38:24 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 131 Message: 268

I dont know why CHiP64 is legal and ROS is "illegal"?

somebody can explain me?

Thnaks

De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,24 febrero, 2010 02:26
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: FCC Technology Jail: ROS is Dead in USA for HF

Hello all,
The answer is to let all the complaining about ROS on HF bands stop..Use it where it is legal.There are some great narrow modes that can used. 150+ QSO's in the same band width as ROS. WSPR, JT2, JT4A. JT4B are good examples. We let great modes like Olivia go by the wayside to operate every new mode that comes along. We go from one fad to another. If we did more operating and less complaining, we would all be acting in the best interest of all op's.
73 Fred N9GUE

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "expeditionradio" <expeditionradio@ ...> wrote:
>
> As I previously predicted, an FCC agent has
> interpreted FCC Rules, saying that they assume
> ROS is Spread Spectrum, and not allowed on HF
> in USA. ARRL staff have also done the same.
>
> In the FCC response to an clarification inquiry
> initiated by Timothy J. Lilley - N3TL,
> The FCC Agent 3820 stated this:
>
> ""ROS" is viewed as "spread spectrum," and the creator
> of the system describes it as that. We assume that
> he knows what he created."
>
> That statement by FCC Agent 3820 is all any ham
> in USA needs to know. Use ROS on HF, and you risk
> fines for breaking the FCC Rules.
>
> There are now only 4 options, for USA hams who still
> want to use ROS on HF bands:
>
> 1. Operate ROS... knowing that you are breaking the

> FCC Rules, and roll the dice, hoping you don't get caught.
>
> 2. Go on an uphill battle to change the FCC Rules, and
> possibly win or lose after a year or more of legal work.
>
> 3. When the FCC sends you an enforcement letter
> "Notice of Apparent Liability", and asks you to
> show cause or risk citation and/or payment
> of fine, simply tell the FCC "please forgive me,
> I didn't know it is illegal to use Spread Spectrum
> on HF, and honestly I won't do it again."
>
> 4. Simply give up, realize that you are in a
> Technology Jail, and don't use ROS on HF.
>
> Several years ago, I started writing about how hams
> in USA are falling behind in technology due to
> antiquated FCC rules. I pointed to several excellent
> modes and methods of operation that USA hams don't
> have the freedom to use, but hams in most other countries
> are at liberty to use. This situation is all due to
> FCC rules that were forged in the 20th century and
> based upon old methods of using radio.
>
> Boxed-in by early limitations, there is no way to
> think out of the box. Some hams laughed and said:
> "PSK31 and RTTY is all we need; why should we care?
> Why should we want to use any new modes?"
>
> Well, USA hams... Welcome to our Technology Jail!
>
> Best Wishes,
> Bonnie Crystal KQ6XA
>
>
> > 22 Feb 2010, KQ6XA wrote:
> >
> > Given the fact that ROS Modem has been advertised as Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS), it may be quite difficult for USA amateur radio operators to obtain a positive interpretation of rules by FCC to allow use of ROS on HF without some type of experimental license or waiver. Otherwise, hams will need an amendment of FCC rules to use it in USA.
> >
> > Sadly, this may lead to the early death of ROS among USA hams.
> >
> > If ROS Modem had simply provided the technical specifications of the emission, and not called it "Spread Spectrum", there would have been a chance for it to be easily adopted by Ham Radio operators in USA.
> >
> > But, the ROS modem designer is rightfully proud of the design, and he lives in a country that is not bound by FCC rules, and probably had little or no knowledge of how his

advertising might prevent thousands of hams from using it in USA.
> >
> > But, as they say, "You cannot un-ring a bell, once it has been rung".
> >
> > ROS signal can be viewed as a type of FSK, similar to various other types of n-ary-FSK presently in widespread use by USA hams. The specific algorithms for signal process and format could simply have been documented without calling it Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS). Since it is a narrowband signal (using the FCC and ITU definitions of narrowband emission = less than 3kHz) within the width of an SSB passband, it does not fit the traditional FHSS description as a conventional wideband technique.
> >
> > It probably would not have been viewed as FHSS under the spirit and intention of the FCC rules. It doesn't hop the VFO frequency. It simply FSKs according to a programmable algorithm, and it meets the infamous 1kHz shift 300 baud rule.
> > http://www.arrl. org/FandES/ field/regulation s/news/part97/ d-305.html# 307f3
> >
> > This is a typical example of how outdated the present FCC rules are, keeping USA hams in "TECHNOLOGY JAIL" while the rest of the world's hams move forward with digital technology. It should come as no surprise that most of the new ham radio digital modes are not being developed in USA!
> >
> > But, for a moment, let's put aside the issue of current FCC "prohibition" against Spread Spectrum and/or Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum, and how it relates to ROS mode. Let's look at "bandwidth".
> >
> > There is the other issue of "bandwidth" that some misguided USA hams have brought up here and in other forums related to ROS. Some superstitious hams seem to erroneously think that there is an over-reaching "bandwidth limit" in the FCC rules for data/text modes on HF that might indicate what part of the ham band to operate it or not operate it.
> >
> > FACT:
> > "There is currently no finite bandwidth limit on HF data/text emission in USA ham bands, except for the sub-band and band edges."
> >
> > FACT:
> > "FCC data/text HF rules are still mainly based on "content" of the emission, not bandwidth."
> >
> > New SDR radios have the potential to transmit and receive wider bandwidths than the traditional 3kHz SSB passband. We will see a lot more development in this area of technology in the future, and a lot more gray areas of 20th century FCC rules that inhibit innovation and progress for ham radio HF digital technology in the 21st century.
> >
> > Several years ago, there was a proposal to FCC to provide regulation by bandwidth rather than content. However, it failed to be adopted, and ARRL's petition to limit bandwidth was withdrawn
> > http://www.arrl. org/news/ stories/2007/ 04/27/101/ ?nc=1
> >
> > Thus, USA hams will continue to be in Technology Jail without access to many new modes in the foreseeable future :(
> >

> > Best Wishes,
> > Bonnie Crystal KQ6XA
> >
>

269. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: FCC Technology Jail: ROS is Dead in USA for HF

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 01:42:02 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 131 Message: 269

Jose,

The short answer is that Chip64 is likely illegal as well. Perhaps someone should ask the ARRL and the VA NTS net why they are using a DSSS signal on HF?

==========
Dave
K3DCW
Real radio bounces off the sky

On 23 Feb, at 8:38 PM, jose alberto nieto ros wrote:

>
> I dont know why CHiP64 is legal and ROS is "illegal"?
>
> somebody can explain me?
>
> Thnaks
>
> De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: mié,24 febrero, 2010 02:26
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: FCC Technology Jail: ROS is Dead in USA for HF
>
>
> Hello all,
> The answer is to let all the complaining about ROS on HF bands stop..Use it where it is legal.There are some great narrow modes that can used. 150+ QSO's in the same band width as ROS. WSPR, JT2, JT4A. JT4B are good examples. We let great modes like Olivia go by the wayside to operate every new mode that comes along. We go from one fad to another. If we did more operating and less complaining, we would all be acting in the best interest of all op's.
> 73 Fred N9GUE
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "expeditionradio" <expeditionradio@ ...> wrote:
> >
> > As I previously predicted, an FCC agent has
> > interpreted FCC Rules, saying that they assume
> > ROS is Spread Spectrum, and not allowed on HF
> > in USA. ARRL staff have also done the same.
> >
> > In the FCC response to an clarification inquiry
> > initiated by Timothy J. Lilley - N3TL,
> > The FCC Agent 3820 stated this:

> >
> > ""ROS" is viewed as "spread spectrum," and the creator
> > of the system describes it as that. We assume that
> > he knows what he created."
> >
> > That statement by FCC Agent 3820 is all any ham
> > in USA needs to know. Use ROS on HF, and you risk
> > fines for breaking the FCC Rules.
> >
> > There are now only 4 options, for USA hams who still
> > want to use ROS on HF bands:
> >
> > 1. Operate ROS... knowing that you are breaking the
> > FCC Rules, and roll the dice, hoping you don't get caught.
> >
> > 2. Go on an uphill battle to change the FCC Rules, and
> > possibly win or lose after a year or more of legal work.
> >
> > 3. When the FCC sends you an enforcement letter
> > "Notice of Apparent Liability", and asks you to
> > show cause or risk citation and/or payment
> > of fine, simply tell the FCC "please forgive me,
> > I didn't know it is illegal to use Spread Spectrum
> > on HF, and honestly I won't do it again."
> >
> > 4. Simply give up, realize that you are in a
> > Technology Jail, and don't use ROS on HF.
> >
> > Several years ago, I started writing about how hams
> > in USA are falling behind in technology due to
> > antiquated FCC rules. I pointed to several excellent
> > modes and methods of operation that USA hams don't
> > have the freedom to use, but hams in most other countries
> > are at liberty to use. This situation is all due to
> > FCC rules that were forged in the 20th century and
> > based upon old methods of using radio.
> >
> > Boxed-in by early limitations, there is no way to
> > think out of the box. Some hams laughed and said:
> > "PSK31 and RTTY is all we need; why should we care?
> > Why should we want to use any new modes?"
> >
> > Well, USA hams... Welcome to our Technology Jail!
> >
> > Best Wishes,
> > Bonnie Crystal KQ6XA
> >
> >
> > > 22 Feb 2010, KQ6XA wrote:
> > >

> > > Given the fact that ROS Modem has been advertised as Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS), it may be quite difficult for USA amateur radio operators to obtain a positive interpretation of rules by FCC to allow use of ROS on HF without some type of experimental license or waiver. Otherwise, hams will need an amendment of FCC rules to use it in USA.
> > >
> > > Sadly, this may lead to the early death of ROS among USA hams.
> > >
> > > If ROS Modem had simply provided the technical specifications of the emission, and not called it "Spread Spectrum", there would have been a chance for it to be easily adopted by Ham Radio operators in USA.
> > >
> > > But, the ROS modem designer is rightfully proud of the design, and he lives in a country that is not bound by FCC rules, and probably had little or no knowledge of how his advertising might prevent thousands of hams from using it in USA.
> > >
> > > But, as they say, "You cannot un-ring a bell, once it has been rung".
> > >
> > > ROS signal can be viewed as a type of FSK, similar to various other types of n-ary-FSK presently in widespread use by USA hams. The specific algorithms for signal process and format could simply have been documented without calling it Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS). Since it is a narrowband signal (using the FCC and ITU definitions of narrowband emission = less than 3kHz) within the width of an SSB passband, it does not fit the traditional FHSS description as a conventional wideband technique.
> > >
> > > It probably would not have been viewed as FHSS under the spirit and intention of the FCC rules. It doesn't hop the VFO frequency. It simply FSKs according to a programmable algorithm, and it meets the infamous 1kHz shift 300 baud rule.
> > > http://www.arrl. org/FandES/ field/regulation s/news/part97/ d-305.html# 307f3
> > >
> > > This is a typical example of how outdated the present FCC rules are, keeping USA hams in "TECHNOLOGY JAIL" while the rest of the world's hams move forward with digital technology. It should come as no surprise that most of the new ham radio digital modes are not being developed in USA!
> > >
> > > But, for a moment, let's put aside the issue of current FCC "prohibition" against Spread Spectrum and/or Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum, and how it relates to ROS mode. Let's look at "bandwidth".
> > >
> > > There is the other issue of "bandwidth" that some misguided USA hams have brought up here and in other forums related to ROS. Some superstitious hams seem to erroneously think that there is an over-reaching "bandwidth limit" in the FCC rules for data/text modes on HF that might indicate what part of the ham band to operate it or not operate it.
> > >
> > > FACT:
> > > "There is currently no finite bandwidth limit on HF data/text emission in USA ham bands, except for the sub-band and band edges."
> > >
> > > FACT:
> > > "FCC data/text HF rules are still mainly based on "content" of the emission, not

bandwidth."
> > >
> > > New SDR radios have the potential to transmit and receive wider bandwidths than the traditional 3kHz SSB passband. We will see a lot more development in this area of technology in the future, and a lot more gray areas of 20th century FCC rules that inhibit innovation and progress for ham radio HF digital technology in the 21st century.
> > >
> > > Several years ago, there was a proposal to FCC to provide regulation by bandwidth rather than content. However, it failed to be adopted, and ARRL's petition to limit bandwidth was withdrawn
> > > http://www.arrl. org/news/ stories/2007/ 04/27/101/ ?nc=1
> > >
> > > Thus, USA hams will continue to be in Technology Jail without access to many new modes in the foreseeable future :(
> > >
> > > Best Wishes,
> > > Bonnie Crystal KQ6XA
> > >
> >
>
>
>
>
>

270. Re: FCC Technology Jail: ROS is Dead in USA for HF

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 01:57:52 UTC
From: expeditionradio
Topic: 131 Message: 270

Dear Jose,

It is very simple:

1. You say "ROS is Spread Spectrum".

2. FCC says "ROS designer says ROS is Spread Spectrum" so we believe this is true.

3. Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum is not allowed below 222MHz for hams in USA.

Sad, but true.

Bonnie Crystal KQ6XA

271. TIME TO CHANGE THE SUBJECT

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 02:27:14 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 271 Message: 271

lets get back to basics guys...

the group was created to relieve the traffic on the World Press blog.
and to get away from all the "is it legal etc." that was cluttering
up the digitalradio group.

as the nominal group owner, i ask that the postings about the "legal
stuff" be dropped.

i too got rubbed the wrong way and did some posts of my own. especially about
the FCC response to N3TL. but now am over it and would like to get back to
operating ROS.

so operating/helping others/skeds/freq are what it's all about now.
requesting try-outs or notices about other modes is ok too.

david/wd4kpd

272. Re: TIME TO CHANGE THE SUBJECT

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 02:39:30 UTC
From: expeditionradio
Topic: 271 Message: 272

> "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@...> wrote:
> but now am over it and would like to get back to
> operating ROS.
> david/wd4kpd

David,

I hope you have deep pockets for legal representation :)

73 Bonnie KQ6XA

273. Re: FCC Technology Jail: ROS is Dead in USA for HF

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 07:03:52 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 131 Message: 273

Hi Jose,

I don't think ROS is illegal as a protocol. The FCC person assumed
that you know as the programmer, what SS represents and as you say
it is SS, then it is illegal under US rules in the US below 450 MHz
I believe the frequency is.

Before using the Spread Spectrum term, you should have studied the
definition of SS, my opinion only.

I see it that the FCC person looked to your WEB page and concluded
that it states Spread Spectrum and SS is illegal, as simple as that.

He did not look really into the matter I believe. I can understand
that very well because if he stated otherwise, this would have all kind of consequences. I
don't think there is any reason to bad mouse the FCC person or the FCC.

The question should have been presented as:
Here is my system, so and so bandwidth, detection threshold, etc, etc, is this legal under
FCC rules and where does it belong under 14.100 or above it? (20 m)

I have been trying to get an answer to the question "IS IT SS?"
What is the definition of SS?

WSJT is legal, there is no question about and apart from the coding
etc, ROS occupies more spectrum but that does not make it SS, AND
WSJT is not promoted as SS, Period.

Not being an expert, I really can't answer the question with certainty, though I have
received answers that confirms what I think.

There might be a problem that is is just a hair to wide, for SSB.

If you want to see it used or tested, DO NOT CALL IT SS. Go to
the YAHOO WSJT reflector and the answer is there.

I would like you to show how it is more sensitive than WSJT65A, the - 35 dB detection
limit, and why. That is a more interesting question, I think.

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> I dont know why CHiP64 is legal and ROS is "illegal"?
>
> somebody can explain me?
>

> Thnaks
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: miÃ©,24 febrero, 2010 02:26
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: FCC Technology Jail: ROS is Dead in USA for HF
>
> Â
> Hello all,
> The answer is to let all the complaining about ROS on HF bands stop..Use it where it is legal.There are some great narrow modes that can used. 150+ QSO's in the same band width as ROS. WSPR, JT2, JT4A. JT4B are good examples. We let great modes like Olivia go by the wayside to operate every new mode that comes along. We go from one fad to another. If we did more operating and less complaining, we would all be acting in the best interest of all op's.
> 73 Fred N9GUE
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "expeditionradio" <expeditionradio@ ...> wrote:
> >
> > As I previously predicted, an FCC agent has
> > interpreted FCC Rules, saying that they assume
> > ROS is Spread Spectrum, and not allowed on HF
> > in USA. ARRL staff have also done the same.
> >
> > In the FCC response to an clarification inquiry
> > initiated by Timothy J. Lilley - N3TL,
> > The FCC Agent 3820 stated this:
> >
> > ""ROS" is viewed as "spread spectrum," and the creator
> > of the system describes it as that. We assume that
> > he knows what he created."
> >
> > That statement by FCC Agent 3820 is all any ham
> > in USA needs to know. Use ROS on HF, and you risk
> > fines for breaking the FCC Rules.
> >
> > There are now only 4 options, for USA hams who still
> > want to use ROS on HF bands:
> >
> > 1. Operate ROS... knowing that you are breaking the
> > FCC Rules, and roll the dice, hoping you don't get caught.
> >
> > 2. Go on an uphill battle to change the FCC Rules, and
> > possibly win or lose after a year or more of legal work.
> >

> > 3. When the FCC sends you an enforcement letter
> > "Notice of Apparent Liability", and asks you to
> > show cause or risk citation and/or payment
> > of fine, simply tell the FCC "please forgive me,
> > I didn't know it is illegal to use Spread Spectrum
> > on HF, and honestly I won't do it again."
> >
> > 4. Simply give up, realize that you are in a
> > Technology Jail, and don't use ROS on HF.
> >
> > Several years ago, I started writing about how hams
> > in USA are falling behind in technology due to
> > antiquated FCC rules. I pointed to several excellent
> > modes and methods of operation that USA hams don't
> > have the freedom to use, but hams in most other countries
> > are at liberty to use. This situation is all due to
> > FCC rules that were forged in the 20th century and
> > based upon old methods of using radio.
> >
> > Boxed-in by early limitations, there is no way to
> > think out of the box. Some hams laughed and said:
> > "PSK31 and RTTY is all we need; why should we care?
> > Why should we want to use any new modes?"
> >
> > Well, USA hams... Welcome to our Technology Jail!
> >
> > Best Wishes,
> > Bonnie Crystal KQ6XA
> >
> >
> > > 22 Feb 2010, KQ6XA wrote:
> > >
> > > Given the fact that ROS Modem has been advertised as Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS), it may be quite difficult for USA amateur radio operators to obtain a positive interpretation of rules by FCC to allow use of ROS on HF without some type of experimental license or waiver. Otherwise, hams will need an amendment of FCC rules to use it in USA.
> > >
> > > Sadly, this may lead to the early death of ROS among USA hams.
> > >
> > > If ROS Modem had simply provided the technical specifications of the emission, and not called it "Spread Spectrum", there would have been a chance for it to be easily adopted by Ham Radio operators in USA.
> > >
> > > But, the ROS modem designer is rightfully proud of the design, and he lives in a country that is not bound by FCC rules, and probably had little or no knowledge of how his advertising might prevent thousands of hams from using it in USA.
> > >
> > > But, as they say, "You cannot un-ring a bell, once it has been rung".
> > >

> > > ROS signal can be viewed as a type of FSK, similar to various other types of n-ary-FSK presently in widespread use by USA hams. The specific algorithms for signal process and format could simply have been documented without calling it Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS). Since it is a narrowband signal (using the FCC and ITU definitions of narrowband emission = less than 3kHz) within the width of an SSB passband, it does not fit the traditional FHSS description as a conventional wideband technique.
> > >
> > > It probably would not have been viewed as FHSS under the spirit and intention of the FCC rules. It doesn't hop the VFO frequency. It simply FSKs according to a programmable algorithm, and it meets the infamous 1kHz shift 300 baud rule.
> > > http://www.arrl. org/FandES/ field/regulation s/news/part97/ d-305.html# 307f3
> > >
> > > This is a typical example of how outdated the present FCC rules are, keeping USA hams in "TECHNOLOGY JAIL" while the rest of the world's hams move forward with digital technology. It should come as no surprise that most of the new ham radio digital modes are not being developed in USA!
> > >
> > > But, for a moment, let's put aside the issue of current FCC "prohibition" against Spread Spectrum and/or Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum, and how it relates to ROS mode. Let's look at "bandwidth".
> > >
> > > There is the other issue of "bandwidth" that some misguided USA hams have brought up here and in other forums related to ROS. Some superstitious hams seem to erroneously think that there is an over-reaching "bandwidth limit" in the FCC rules for data/text modes on HF that might indicate what part of the ham band to operate it or not operate it.
> > >
> > > FACT:
> > > "There is currently no finite bandwidth limit on HF data/text emission in USA ham bands, except for the sub-band and band edges."
> > >
> > > FACT:
> > > "FCC data/text HF rules are still mainly based on "content" of the emission, not bandwidth."
> > >
> > > New SDR radios have the potential to transmit and receive wider bandwidths than the traditional 3kHz SSB passband. We will see a lot more development in this area of technology in the future, and a lot more gray areas of 20th century FCC rules that inhibit innovation and progress for ham radio HF digital technology in the 21st century.
> > >
> > > Several years ago, there was a proposal to FCC to provide regulation by bandwidth rather than content. However, it failed to be adopted, and ARRL's petition to limit bandwidth was withdrawn
> > > http://www.arrl. org/news/ stories/2007/ 04/27/101/ ?nc=1
> > >
> > > Thus, USA hams will continue to be in Technology Jail without access to many new modes in the foreseeable future :(
> > >
> > > Best Wishes,
> > > Bonnie Crystal KQ6XA
> > >

> >
>

274. No RX with ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 11:41:00 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 274 Message: 274

Hi All,

Yesterday I had my first QSO with ROS on version 1.7.3 and then upgraded to 1.8.0 and had another QSO.

Today I have tried to RX a strong station in the UK on 7.050 and can see the tones on the waterfall, the pilot tone is in the centre of the red lines, but nothing appears on the text window, apart from my own outgoing message.

Any ideas ? I am using USB-D on an Icom 756 pro3 and wide filter etc. The station is S9+20 db.

Regards

Alan

275. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No RX with ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 12:03:19 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 274 Message: 275

Hi,

Some people are using an old ROS version (3 weeks ago) that is not compatible with the new versions. So you cannot receive.

De: g8xlh <a.ralph4@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,24 febrero, 2010 12:41
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No RX with ROS

Hi All,

Yesterday I had my first QSO with ROS on version 1.7.3 and then upgraded to 1.8.0 and had another QSO.

Today I have tried to RX a strong station in the UK on 7.050 and can see the tones on the waterfall, the pilot tone is in the centre of the red lines, but nothing appears on the text window, apart from my own outgoing message.

Any ideas ? I am using USB-D on an Icom 756 pro3 and wide filter etc. The station is S9+20 db.

Regards

Alan

276. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No RX with ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 12:11:39 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 274 Message: 276

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> Hi,
>
> Some people are using an old ROS version (3 weeks ago) that is not compatible with the new versions. So you cannot receive.

I take it the same issue when you use the new version to TX?

I have been calling several stations, who seem to be decoded OK, but am getting no replies.

I even swapped back to JT65-HF to ensure that it wasn't a problem with my transmission or antenna...

Dave (G0DJA)

277. Re: No RX with ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 13:13:02 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 274 Message: 277

Hi,

Thanks for the reply. Some other stations have now come up on freq and all is working OK. So I guess they must have been running an older version. I have not seen the strong station again.

73 de Alan G8XLH

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> Hi,
>
> Some people are using an old ROS version (3 weeks ago) that is not compatible with the new versions. So you cannot receive.
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: g8xlh <a.ralph4@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: miÃ©,24 febrero, 2010 12:41
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No RX with ROS
>
> Â
> Hi All,
>
> Yesterday I had my first QSO with ROS on version 1.7.3 and then upgraded to 1.8.0 and had another QSO.
>
> Today I have tried to RX a strong station in the UK on 7.050 and can see the tones on the waterfall, the pilot tone is in the centre of the red lines, but nothing appears on the text window, apart from my own outgoing message.
>
> Any ideas ? I am using USB-D on an Icom 756 pro3 and wide filter etc. The station is S9+20 db.
>
> Regards
>
> Alan
>

278. Please check your settings...

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 13:16:31 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 274 Message: 278

I saw a station today who it appears had missed a part of his email out in his ROS settings. So as not to embarrass him, I'll use my own call as an example of what was happening.

The email that was going out, and I saw it several times so it wasn't a decode error, was, lets say "g0dj@..." when it should have been "g0dja@..." (that is a fictitious email address, please don't try to use it to email me!!) that missing letter will be stopping him receiving automatic ROS reports of course.

I have not been getting any automatic email reports, so I was hoping that I might receive one from the station that I spoke to with the error in his email address, but I wonder if his error is causing the automatic emails to bounce? As I've not seen one for a long time.

Dave (G0DJA)

279. Automatic Emails

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 13:23:36 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 274 Message: 279

Is anyone receiving automatic emails from rosmodem today?

I'm still wondering if my copy of V1.8.0 is transmitting OK and I've not seen an automatic email for a while. So, either my transmissions are not being received by any stations with an internet connection, or the automatic emails may not be working and I don't know which is going to be more likely.

Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)

280. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: No RX with ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 13:19:44 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 274 Message: 280

g8xlh wrote:
> Hi,
>
> Thanks for the reply. Some other stations have now come up on freq and all is working OK. So I guess they must have been running an older version. I have not seen the strong station again.
>
> 73 de Alan G8XLH

HI Alan,

Do you want to try 80M with V1.8.0 sometime?

I went back to 1.7.3 and worked a station, but I can't be sure that wasn't just a fluke and 1.8.0 is actually working OK, but I have not yet managed to get anyone to reply when I call them nor get a reply to a CQ call.

Dave (G0DJA)

281. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Automatic Emails

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 13:33:29 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 274 Message: 281

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Is anyone receiving automatic emails from rosmodem today?

Just received an automatic email from a station in Sweden, so it is
working OK. :-)

I guess not many people are connected to the internet at the same time
as using ROS?

Dave (G0DJA)

282. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: No RX with ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 13:35:11 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 274 Message: 282

g8xlh wrote:
> Hi,
>
> Thanks for the reply. Some other stations have now come up on freq and all is working OK. So I guess they must have been running an older version. I have not seen the strong station again.
>

Just managed to have a contact with a station in Finland, so it looks as
if my fears about not getting out were unfounded.

I guess either too many people all TXing at the same time, or no one
wants to talk to me. HI.

Dave (G0DJA)

283. Moving to 14.107MHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 13:40:14 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 274 Message: 283

I'm going to take the plunge and move up to 14.107MHz to avoid the beacons and Packet around 14.101MHz.

I'm also a bit wary of using 14.104 USB due to that HFlink stuff that's around 14.105MHz. It seems that the ROS tones, if I use 14.104 USB, would actually be mainly on and around 14.105MHz.

Dave (G0DJA)

284. G0DJA has received your Radio Message

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 17:11:52 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 284 Message: 284

```
Hi Dave

Thanks for the report ;)

73 LA5VNA Steinar

-------------------------------------------------------

G0DJA has received your Radio Message sent at: 22:00 UTC

Received Message: 'CQ LA5VNA JO59JQ <SAANES@...>'

Operator Info:
Callsign: G0DJA
Name: Dave
E-mail: dave@...
QTH: Bolsover
Locator: IO93if
Station: Radio: TS2000X - antenna: doublet
ROS Version: 1.7.3 Beta

Signal Info:
Symbol Rate: 1 bauds
Frame Acquisition: 20/20
Final Acquisition: 16/16
Frequency Shift: 2.9 Hz
Symbol Errors detected by Viterbi: 1/50
Metric: -11 dB
Vumeter Level: -8 dB
CPU Usage: 100 %

Congratulations for the QSO
```

285. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] G0DJA has received your Radio Message

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 17:22:38 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 284 Message: 285

Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> Hi Dave
>
> Thanks for the report ;)
>

That must have been a couple of days ago Steinar. HI.

Glad to see it's working though.

Dave (G0DJA)

286. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] G0DJA has received your Radio Message

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 17:25:36 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 284 Message: 286

Yes , it was captured in my spam filter ;)

la5vna Steinar

On 24.02.2010 18:22, Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Steinar Aanesland wrote:
>
>> Hi Dave
>>
>> Thanks for the report ;)
>>
>>
> That must have been a couple of days ago Steinar. HI.
>
> Glad to see it's working though.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>

287. ROS signal reporting system

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 19:10:09 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 284 Message: 287

When reporting signals, rather than 599 (RST or RSQ) why not use the Metric figure from the ROS display instead?

As a user of WSJT modes, where the use of the SNR value in dB is increasingly used, it would indicate to the other station the relative level of signal that has been received.

Dave (G0DJA)

288. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS signal reporting system

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 19:29:35 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 284 Message: 288

good idea

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@tiscali.co.uk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,24 febrero, 2010 20:10
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS signal reporting system

When reporting signals, rather than 599 (RST or RSQ) why not use the
Metric figure from the ROS display instead?

As a user of WSJT modes, where the use of the SNR value in dB is
increasingly used, it would indicate to the other station the relative
level of signal that has been received.

Dave (G0DJA)

289. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-24 20:20:10 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 289

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v1.9.1 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v1.9.1%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

290. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ask

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-25 00:53:41 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 140 Message: 290

Go to the k3uk skedpage

http://www.obriensweb.com/sked/

or look on the homepage of ros

there you will find a frequency list

dg9bfc

sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von yc3av
Gesendet: Montag, 22. Februar 2010 09:38
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ask

First i wanna say thanks to the guys who made this ROS stuff ,and now i just
want to try it ,and where i can find thus people who play with ROS mode ?
mostly i'm work on 20m and 40m.
Any reply and information will be appreciated , thanks and 73 ! :)

regards
YC3AV

291. Re: ROS signal reporting system

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-25 08:55:14 UTC
From: dl6xaz
Topic: 284 Message: 291

Hi Dave and José,
that's what I did since the first QSO but as nobody returned similar info, I then also started giving the standard RST read from my s-meter. Question: could the "metric" instrument be made less "nervous", i.e. levelled out so it would permit a more average reading for this purpose? Similar behaviour would suit me for the VU-meter, too.
In any case, when you review the macro button texts, maybe you could include an automatic reading of the metric-meter, e.g. "your signal is -12 dB" ?
Thanks for your attention - vy73 Fred DL6XAZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> good idea
>
>
>
> _____
> De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: miÃ©,24 febrero, 2010 20:10
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS signal reporting system
>
> Â
>
> When reporting signals, rather than 599 (RST or RSQ) why not use the
> Metric figure from the ROS display instead?
>
> As a user of WSJT modes, where the use of the SNR value in dB is
> increasingly used, it would indicate to the other station the relative
> level of signal that has been received.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

292. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS signal reporting system

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-25 09:12:20 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 284 Message: 292

Hi Fred,

Refresh time is right. So, you can see ionospheric variations.

Vumeter is normal would be nervous. Has you seen any old audio amplifier anytime?

_____
De: dl6xaz <dl6xaz@....uk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: jue,25 febrero, 2010 09:55
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS signal reporting system

Hi Dave and José,
that's what I did since the first QSO but as nobody returned similar info, I then also started giving the standard RST read from my s-meter. Question: could the "metric" instrument be made less "nervous", i.e. levelled out so it would permit a more average reading for this purpose? Similar behaviour would suit me for the VU-meter, too.
In any case, when you review the macro button texts, maybe you could include an automatic reading of the metric-meter, e.g. "your signal is -12 dB" ?
Thanks for your attention - vy73 Fred DL6XAZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...>
wrote:
>
> good idea
>
>
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Enviado: miÃ©,24 febrero, 2010 20:10
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS signal reporting system
>
> Â
>
> When reporting signals, rather than 599 (RST or RSQ) why not use the
> Metric figure from the ROS display instead?
>
> As a user of WSJT modes, where the use of the SNR value in dB is
> increasingly used, it would indicate to the other station the relative
> level of signal that has been received.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

293. Re: ROS signal reporting system

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-25 16:09:24 UTC
From: dl6xaz
Topic: 284 Message: 293

```
quote
Has you seen any old audio amplifier anytime?
unquote

OH Yessir ...! ;-))
73 Fred
```

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Fred,
>
> Refresh time isÂ right. So, you can see ionospheric variations.
>
> Vumeter is normal would be nervous. Has you seen any old audio amplifier anytime?
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: dl6xaz <dl6xaz@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: jue,25 febrero, 2010 09:55
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS signal reporting system
>
> Â
> Hi Dave and JosÃ©,
> that's what I did since the first QSO but as nobody returned similar info, I then also started giving the standard RST read from my s-meter. Question: could the "metric" instrument be made less "nervous", i.e. levelled out so it would permit a more average reading for this purpose? Similar behaviour would suit me for the VU-meter, too.
> In any case, when you review the macro button texts, maybe you could include an automatic reading of the metric-meter, e.g. "your signal is -12 dB" ?
> Thanks for your attention - vy73 Fred DL6XAZ
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
> >
> > good idea
> >
> >
> >
> > _____ _____ _____ __
> > De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...>
> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > Enviado: miÃ□Â©,24 febrero, 2010 20:10
> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS signal reporting system
> >

> > Ã□Â
> >
> > When reporting signals, rather than 599 (RST or RSQ) why not use the
> > Metric figure from the ROS display instead?
> >
> > As a user of WSJT modes, where the use of the SNR value in dB is
> > increasingly used, it would indicate to the other station the relative
> > level of signal that has been received.
> >
> > Dave (G0DJA)
> >
>

294. 12 meter 17 meter ROS_16 http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-25 17:31:04 UTC
From: Porter Rockwell
Topic: 294 Message: 294

Gentlemen,

Anything decided for freqs? 101410 right on top of Olivia. 15 meter, a serious frequency 212121 or something else being considered? Es 10 meter 28300 a sure thing?

rgrds

Porter

Your E-mail and More On-the-Go. Get Windows Live Hotmail Free.
http://clk.atdmt.com/GBL/go/201469229/direct/01/

295. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 12 meter 17 meter ROS_16 http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-25 18:07:52 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 294 Message: 295

Porter Rockwell wrote:
>
> Gentlemen,
>
> Anything decided for freqs? 101410 right on top of Olivia. 15 meter, a serious frequency 212121 or something else being considered? Es 10 meter 28300 a sure thing?

Latest suggestions can be found at http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

Currently the list goes like this.

ROS Frequencies (Mhz) USB version 1.5 (stay tuned to the changes at
this site, please)
? 1.840
? 3.6009
? 3.6038
? 3.6065
? 3.6095
? 3.6122
? 3.6149
? 3.6176
? 7.0402 (USA)
? 7.050
? 7.0545
? 7.0572
? 10.141 (USA)
? 10.144 (USA)
? 10.147 (USA)
? 14.098 (exclusive 16 baud)
? 14.1011 (exclusive 16 baud)
? 14.104 (exclusive 1 baud)
? 14.107 (exclusive 16 baud)
? 21.2121
? 28.300
? 50.300 METEOR (exclusive 1 baud)
? 144.160 EME (exclusive 1 baud)

Dave (G0DJA)

296. ROS v2.1.0 Beta and the PCSAT Telemetry V2.42 Decoder

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-25 19:01:15 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 294 Message: 296

Hi all,

When I am using the latest beta of ROS (2.1.0) and hitting the new
E-mail button the program insist on installing the file "pcdec242.msi".
The only pcdec242.msi I know is the" PCSAT Telemetry V2.42 Decoder"
found on this page http://www.ui-view.org/ .

Is the anyone else out there who also get this massage?

73 de LA5VNA Steinar

297. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.1.0 Beta and the PCSAT Telemetry V2.42 Decoder

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-25 19:03:55 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 294 Message: 297

Uppps ! message :)

On 25.02.2010 20:01, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> When I am using the latest beta of ROS (2.1.0) and hitting the new
> E-mail button the program insist on installing the file "pcdec242.msi".
> The only pcdec242.msi I know is the" PCSAT Telemetry V2.42 Decoder"
> found on this page http://www.ui-view.org/ .
>
> Is the anyone else out there who also get this massage?
>
> 73 de LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>

298. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 12 meter 17 meter ROS_16 http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-25 19:42:50 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 294 Message: 298

There are more than just one qrg on 80m 40m 30m 20m ... but hard to store them in the brain

I would like to make "small" adjustments to them ..so they are easier to memory

See below in that list and write your thoughts to the group

Dg9bfc

ROS Frequencies (Mhz) USB version 1.5 (stay tuned to the changes at this site, please)
> 1.840
> 3.6009---3.6
> 3.6038 ---3.603
> 3.6065---3.606
> 3.6095---3.609
> 3.6122---3.612
> 3.6149---3.615
> 3.6176---3.618
> 7.0402 (USA)----7040 (usa)
> 7.050---7050
--------7053

> 7.0545---7056
> 7.0572---7059
> 10.141 (USA)
> 10.144 (USA)
> 10.147 (USA)
> 14.098 (exclusive 16 baud)
> 14.1011 (exclusive 16 baud)---14.101
> 14.104 (exclusive 1 baud)---14.104
> 14.107 (exclusive 16 baud)---14.107
> 21.2121---21.212
> 28.300
> 50.300 METEOR (exclusive 1 baud)
> 144.160 EME (exclusive 1 baud)

So my idea is to have easier numbers and 3khz Stepps ..

What do other group members think??????

Dg9bfc

Sigi

299. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.1.0 Beta and the PCSAT Telemetry V2.42 Decoder

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-25 20:26:01 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 294 Message: 299

Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> When I am using the latest beta of ROS (2.1.0) and hitting the new
> E-mail button the program insist on installing the file "pcdec242.msi".
> The only pcdec242.msi I know is the" PCSAT Telemetry V2.42 Decoder"
> found on this page http://www.ui-view.org/ .

No, that didn't happen when I clicked on the email options menu.

Dave (G0DJA)

300. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 12 meter 17 meter ROS_16 http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-25 21:28:10 UTC
From: Ugo
Topic: 300 Message: 300

It sounds good in my opinion.
But it's only the opinion of an swl.
Bye bye.

73 de Ugo - SWL 1281/VE

(sent with iPhone)

Il giorno 25/feb/2010, alle ore 20.42, Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...
> ha scritto:

> There are more than just one qrg on 80m 40m 30m 20m ….. but hard to
> store them in the brain
>
> I would like to make “small” adjustments to them ….so they are
> easier to memory
>
> See below in that list and write your thoughts to the group
>
> Dg9bfc
>
>
>
> ROS Frequencies (Mhz) USB version 1.5 (stay tuned to the changes at
> this site, please)
> » 1.840
> » 3.6009---3.6
> » 3.6038 ---3.603
> » 3.6065---3.606
> » 3.6095---3.609
> » 3.6122---3.612
> » 3.6149---3.615
> » 3.6176---3.618
> » 7.0402 (USA)----7040 (usa)
> » 7.050---7050
> --------7053
>
> » 7.0545---7056
> » 7.0572---7059
> » 10.141 (USA)
> » 10.144 (USA)
> » 10.147 (USA)
> » 14.098 (exclusive 16 baud)
> » 14.1011 (exclusive 16 baud)---14.101
> » 14.104 (exclusive 1 baud)---14.104

> » 14.107 (exclusive 16 baud)---14.107
> » 21.2121---21.212
> » 28.300
> » 50.300 METEOR (exclusive 1 baud)
> » 144.160 EME (exclusive 1 baud)
>
>
>
>
> So my idea is to have easier numbers and 3khz Stepps ….
>
> What do other group members think??????
>
> Dg9bfc
>
> Sigi
>
>
>
>

301. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 00:33:14 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 301

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /CURRENT DOCS/ROS User Guide v1.1.pdf
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/CURRENT%20DOCS/ROS%20User%20Guide
%20v1.1.pdf

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

302. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 00:31:52 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 302

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.1.2 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.1.
2%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

303. Email setup

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 08:21:58 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 303

I left my version 2.1.0 running last night and came back to find an error message "Runtime error '40006' - Wrong protocol or connection state for the requested transaction or request".

The program had just decoded another station sending their email address. I took a screen grab before accepting the error and closing the program.

I've just downloaded V2.1.2 and I have set up the email putting "smtp.tiscali.co.uk" in the SMTP box. This is how I have my email client set up to send and receive emails.

However, I do not see how ROS will communicate with SMTP without user name and password? Or, will it send messages through the normal email client? If so, how will it choose which one, as I use two. I use both Thunderbird and Outlook Express. Do I have to leave the email client open as well?

I will try the gmail option, as I also have a gmail account.

Dave (G0DJA)

304. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email setup

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 11:03:29 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 57 Message: 304

Same problem here

Dg9bfc

Sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Dave Ackrill
Gesendet: Freitag, 26. Februar 2010 09:22
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email setup

I left my version 2.1.0 running last night and came back to find an error message "Runtime error '40006' - Wrong protocol or connection state for the requested transaction or request".

The program had just decoded another station sending their email address. I took a screen grab before accepting the error and closing the program.

I've just downloaded V2.1.2 and I have set up the email putting "smtp.tiscali.co.uk" in the SMTP box. This is how I have my email client set up to send and receive emails.

However, I do not see how ROS will communicate with SMTP without user name and password? Or, will it send messages through the normal email client? If so, how will it choose which one, as I use two. I use both Thunderbird and Outlook Express. Do I have to leave the email client open as well?

I will try the gmail option, as I also have a gmail account.

Dave (G0DJA)

305. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email setup

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 11:31:08 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 305

Siegfried Jackstien wrote:
> Same problem here
>
> Dg9bfc
>
> Sigi

Version 1.2.1 seems OK at the moment for me Sigi.

However, I have not seen anyone sending an email to see if my gmail system will send a message to them.

Dave (G0DJA)

306. v2.1.0

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 14:46:42 UTC
From: ham-forum
Topic: 306 Message: 306

Hello,

I'm new in this group and like to experiment
digital modes.

I've tried V2.1.0 beta and have remarked the following
thing:
-1- The "CANCEL FRAME" button is not present.
-2- Most of receiving messages are "automatically" ended
with <CANCEL> ; all levels seems to be correct
and waterfall content too.

It's like the not present CANCEL FRAME command
were invoked by some reason(s) internally by the software???

Returning to v1.6.5 and all is 100%.

What i'm doing wrong?

My best 73,

Rudi, hb9ari

307. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] v2.1.0

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 15:02:17 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 306 Message: 307

Now cancel is automatic when you receive trash characters.

You are not well in use an old version. You will go problems of compatiblity in a future.

De: ham-forum <ham-forum@...>
Para: ROS <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
Enviado: vie,26 febrero, 2010 15:46
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] v2.1.0

Hello,

I'm new in this group and like to experiment
digital modes.

I've tried V2.1.0 beta and have remarked the following
thing:
-1- The "CANCEL FRAME" button is not present.
-2- Most of receiving messages are "automatically" ended
with <CANCEL> ; all levels seems to be correct
and waterfall content too.

It's like the not present CANCEL FRAME command
were invoked by some reason(s) internally by the software???

Returning to v1.6.5 and all is 100%.

What i'm doing wrong?

My best 73,

Rudi, hb9ari

308. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] v2.1.0

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 15:18:48 UTC
From: Ugo - SWL 1281/VE
Topic: 306 Message: 308

Hi All.
Just a question : to remove an old version is enough to delete the
folder and all files or it's necessary to do anything ?
73 de Ugo

Il 26/02/2010 16.02, jose alberto nieto ros ha scritto:
> Now cancel is automatic when you receive trash characters.
> You are not well in use an old version. You will go problems of
> compatiblity in a future.
>
> ---
> *De:* ham-forum <ham-forum@...>
> *Para:* ROS <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
> *Enviado:* vie,26 febrero, 2010 15:46
> *Asunto:* [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] v2.1.0
>
> Hello,
>
> I'm new in this group and like to experiment
> digital modes.
>
> I've tried V2.1.0 beta and have remarked the following
> thing:
> -1- The "CANCEL FRAME" button is not present.
> -2- Most of receiving messages are "automatically" ended
> with <CANCEL> ; all levels seems to be correct
> and waterfall content too.
>
> It's like the not present CANCEL FRAME command
> were invoked by some reason(s) internally by the software???
>
> Returning to v1.6.5 and all is 100%.
>
> What i'm doing wrong?
>
> My best 73,
>
> Rudi, hb9ari
>
>
>

--
73 de Ugo - SWL 1281/VE
il mio blog su http://99righe.it

309. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] v2.1.0

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 15:31:07 UTC
From: ham-forum
Topic: 306 Message: 309

Ok Jose and many thanks for sharing
your big and nice work !

Will return to V2.1.0!

73 QRO,
Rudi, hb9ari

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
>
> Now cancel is automatic when you receive trash characters.
>
> You are not well in use an old version. You will go problems of
> compatiblity in a future.
>
> ---
> *De:* ham-forum <ham-forum@...>
> *Para:* ROS <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
> *Enviado:* vie,26 febrero, 2010 15:46
> *Asunto:* [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] v2.1.0
>
>
>
> Hello,
>
> I'm new in this group and like to experiment
> digital modes.
>
> I've tried V2.1.0 beta and have remarked the following
> thing:
> -1- The "CANCEL FRAME" button is not present.
> -2- Most of receiving messages are "automatically" ended
> with <CANCEL> ; all levels seems to be correct
> and waterfall content too.
>
> It's like the not present CANCEL FRAME command
> were invoked by some reason(s) internally by the software???
>
> Returning to v1.6.5 and all is 100%.
>
> What i'm doing wrong?
>
> My best 73,
>
> Rudi, hb9ari
>

>
>

310. RE: Mensaje urgente para el colega Jose

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 17:42:32 UTC
From: acoro33100
Topic: 310 Message: 310

Saludos !
URGENTE .... Jose, es necesario que suprima a la mayor brevedad posible la indicacion de utilizar frecuencias en 20 metros muy , pero muy cercanas a la red mundial de radiofaros sincronizados para estudio de la radiopropagacion en las bandas decametricas que opera en 14.101
Estamos captando en Europa y aqui en America interferencia de estaciones utilizando ROS que obliteran la recepcion de la red de radiofaros NCDXF.
Le envie un mensaje mas explicito a su cuenta en HOTMAIL.
Por otra parte , le comunico que he percibido una gran confusion entre los colegas que emplean los modos digitales, pues muchos opinan que
ROS NO PUEDE SER UTILIZADO EN LAS BANDAS DE ONDAS DECAMETRICAS, segun
los reglamentos de los servicios de radioaficionados de sus respectivos paises.
Estoy a su disposicion para ayudarlo en todo lo posible a la maduracion de su modo digital ROS , el cual puede constituir una importante contribucion a las comunicaciones digitales de aficionados , siempre y cuando se respeten una serie de normas reconocidas internacionalmente

Atentamente
Prof. Arnaldo Coro Antich, coordinador de emergencias IARU Region II Area C
CO2KK

311. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] RE: Mensaje urgente para el colega Jose

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 18:20:00 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 310 Message: 311

Hello All

ROS at 14.101 inferring with NCDXF beacons!

http://www.ncdxf.org/beacons.html

73 Rein, W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: acoro33100 <acoro33100@...>
>Sent: Feb 26, 2010 12:42 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] RE: Mensaje urgente para el colega Jose
>
>Saludos !
>URGENTE .... Jose, es necesario que suprima a la mayor brevedad posible la indicacion de utilizar frecuencias en 20 metros muy , pero muy cercanas a la red mundial de radiofaros sincronizados para estudio de la radiopropagacion en las bandas decametricas que opera en 14.101
>Estamos captando en Europa y aqui en America interferencia de estaciones utilizando ROS que obliteran la recepcion de la red de radiofaros NCDXF.
>Le envie un mensaje mas explicito a su cuenta en HOTMAIL.
>Por otra parte , le comunico que he percibido una gran confusion entre los colegas que emplean los modos digitales, pues muchos opinan que
>ROS NO PUEDE SER UTILIZADO EN LAS BANDAS DE ONDAS DECAMETRICAS, segun
>los reglamentos de los servicios de radioaficionados de sus respectivos paises.
>Estoy a su disposicion para ayudarlo en todo lo posible a la maduracion de su modo digital ROS , el cual puede constituir una importante contribucion a las comunicaciones digitales de aficionados , siempre y cuando se respeten una serie de normas reconocidas internacionalmente
>
>Atentamente
>Prof. Arnaldo Coro Antich, coordinador de emergencias IARU Region II Area C
>CO2KK
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

312. Re: Mensaje urgente para el colega Jose

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 18:19:24 UTC
From: sholtofish
Topic: 310 Message: 312

I have attempted to translate Arnie Coro's message into English (below). It is a vitally important issue and I would ask all amateurs (regardless of country) to find a different 20m frequency.

Surely it wouldn't be impossible to go to 14105 USB or 14107 USB or even higher?

73 Sholto
K7TMG

Translation

Greetings!
URGENT .... Jose, it is necessary to remove as soon as possible
indication of frequencies on 20 meters using very, very close to the network synchronized global beacons to study radio propagation in the
HF bands operating in 14,101 We are capturing in Europe and here in America station interference using ROS that obliterated the reception of the NCDXF beacon network.

We send a message to his more explicit account HOTMAIL.

Moreover, I inform you that I have perceived a great confusion among the colleagues who used digital modes, as many believe that
ROS CAN NOT BE USED IN HF bands, according regulations of the amateur services in their respective countries.

I am available to help as much as possible to the maturation of their
ROS digital mode, which can make an important contribution to the
amateur digital communications, provided they observe a number
internationally recognized standards

Sincerely
Prof. Arnaldo Coro Antich, emergency management coordinator IARU Region II Area C
CO2KK

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "acoro33100" <acoro33100@...> wrote:
>
> Saludos !
> URGENTE .... Jose, es necesario que suprima a la mayor brevedad posible la indicacion de utilizar frecuencias en 20 metros muy , pero muy cercanas a la red mundial de radiofaros sincronizados para estudio de la radiopropagacion en las bandas decametricas que opera en 14.101
> Estamos captando en Europa y aqui en America interferencia de estaciones utilizando ROS que obliteran la recepcion de la red de radiofaros NCDXF.
> Le envie un mensaje mas explicito a su cuenta en HOTMAIL.
> Por otra parte , le comunico que he percibido una gran confusion entre los colegas que emplean los modos digitales, pues muchos opinan que

> ROS NO PUEDE SER UTILIZADO EN LAS BANDAS DE ONDAS DECAMETRICAS, segun
> los reglamentos de los servicios de radioaficionados de sus respectivos paises.
> Estoy a su disposicion para ayudarlo en todo lo posible a la maduracion de su modo digital ROS , el cual puede constituir una importante contribucion a las comunicaciones digitales de aficionados , siempre y cuando se respeten una serie de normas reconocidas internacionalmente
>
> Atentamente
> Prof. Arnaldo Coro Antich, coordinador de emergencias IARU Region II Area C
> CO2KK
>

313. Please take note

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 18:47:26 UTC
From: g3zjo
Topic: 306 Message: 313

When using ROS please be sure to check the frequencies being used in order to avoid interference to the beacons and low power modes. In particular the International Beacon Project Chain. The following message has been posted elsewhere.

The "secretary of the RSGB's Propagation Studies Committee, says that ROS has been heard wiping out weak beacon signals on 14.100MHz."

Eddie

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.733 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2710 - Release Date: 02/25/10 19:57:00

314. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 18:47:25 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 314

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /CURRENT DOCS/ROS 144 FSK technical.pdf
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/CURRENT%20DOCS/ROS%20144%20FSK%20
technical.pdf

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

315. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 18:44:07 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 315

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.1.3 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.1.
3%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

316. Screen size

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 20:04:54 UTC
From: fred_darrah
Topic: 316 Message: 316

I am wondering if there is any way to activate the maximize button to size the full screen down to 800x600 mode for Lap top use? It would also help to be able to reduce the window size to open other programs in a window on the same display'
Thanks Fred N9GUE

317. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Screen size

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 20:20:44 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 316 Message: 317

Hello All.

Perhaps the "gauges" can be made smaller or changed into bar graph's
this would free up a lot of space and might even help with the information.
Just an idea,

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
>Sent: Feb 26, 2010 3:04 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Screen size
>
>I am wondering if there is any way to activate the maximize button to size the full screen down to 800x600 mode for Lap top use? It would also help to be able to reduce the window size to open other programs in a window on the same display'
>Thanks Fred N9GUE
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

318. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email setup

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 20:11:41 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 318

> I will try the gmail option, as I also have a gmail account.
>

It seems to be working :-)

I opened my GMAIL account and, looking in the 'Sent' folder, I found 3 messages had gone out similar to this one (email addresses removed as I know from experience that spambots regularly trawl through Yahoogroup messages for emails in plain view)

********** Please don't reply to this email **********

G0DJA has received your Radio Message sent at: 08:50 UTC

Received Message: 'F6HZR de G0VXC My email is: <g0vxc@***> <g0vxc@***> <g0vxc@***>MłtP '

Operator Info:
Callsign: G0DJA
Name: Dave
E-mail: dave@***
QTH: Bolsover
Locator: IO93if
Station: Radio: TS2000X - antenna: doublet
ROS Version: 2.1.2 Beta

Signal Info:
Symbol Rate: 16 bauds
Frame Acquisition: 12/20
Final Acquisition: 13/16
Frequency Shift: 7.8 Hz
Symbol Errors detected by Viterbi: 13/50
Metric: -13 dB
Vumeter Level: -9 dB
CPU Usage: 100 %

Congratulations for the QSO

and later

G0DJA has received your Radio Message sent at: 19:57 UTC

Received Message: 'ZL1LRS WD4KPD FM15MM OOO <WD4KPD@***>'

Operator Info:
Callsign: G0DJA
Name: Dave
E-mail: dave@***

QTH: Bolsover
Locator: IO93if
Station: Radio: TS2000X - antenna: doublet
ROS Version: 2.1.3 Beta

Signal Info:
Symbol Rate: 1 bauds
Frame Acquisition: 20/20
Final Acquisition: 16/16
Frequency Shift: -28.3 Hz
Symbol Errors detected by Viterbi: 0/50
Metric: -16 dB
Vumeter Level: -8 dB
CPU Usage: 100 %

Congratulations for the QSO

I'm not sure how the SMTP system works yet.

Dave (G0DJA)

319. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Screen size

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 20:29:30 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 316 Message: 319

You have an email, Rein

De: "rein0zn@ix.netcom.com" <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,26 febrero, 2010 21:20
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Screen size

Hello All.

Perhaps the "gauges" can be made smaller or changed into bar graph's
this would free up a lot of space and might even help with the information.
Just an idea,

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@sbcglobal. net>
>Sent: Feb 26, 2010 3:04 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Screen size
>
>I am wondering if there is any way to activate the maximize button to size the full screen down to 800x600 mode for Lap top use? It would also help to be able to reduce the window size to open other programs in a window on the same display'
>Thanks Fred N9GUE
>
>
>
>---------- --------- --------- -------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

320. regarding the auto email response

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 20:42:08 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 320 Message: 320

it is neat to see email sig reports coming in from so many stations.

i wonder if this idea could be somehow incorporated in WSPR and JT65/JT65HF. i know the info can be gotten off the respective
web pages.

anyway is still neat.

david/wd4kpd

321. AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email setup

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 21:06:20 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 57 Message: 321

I also made a gmail accout . or tryed to but i do not know i fit is working
. cause in dl gmail ´s name is googlemail

Room for tests

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Dave Ackrill
Gesendet: Freitag, 26. Februar 2010 21:12
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email setup

> I will try the gmail option, as I also have a gmail account.
>

It seems to be working :-)

I opened my GMAIL account and, looking in the 'Sent' folder, I found 3
messages had gone out similar to this one (email addresses removed as I
know from experience that spambots regularly trawl through Yahoogroup
messages for emails in plain view)

********** Please don't reply to this email **********

G0DJA has received your Radio Message sent at: 08:50 UTC

Received Message: 'F6HZR de G0VXC My email is: <g0vxc@***> <g0vxc@***>
<g0vxc@***>MłtP '

Operator Info:
Callsign: G0DJA
Name: Dave
E-mail: dave@***
QTH: Bolsover
Locator: IO93if
Station: Radio: TS2000X - antenna: doublet
ROS Version: 2.1.2 Beta

Signal Info:
Symbol Rate: 16 bauds
Frame Acquisition: 12/20
Final Acquisition: 13/16
Frequency Shift: 7.8 Hz
Symbol Errors detected by Viterbi: 13/50
Metric: -13 dB
Vumeter Level: -9 dB
CPU Usage: 100 %

Congratulations for the QSO

and later

G0DJA has received your Radio Message sent at: 19:57 UTC

Received Message: 'ZL1LRS WD4KPD FM15MM OOO <WD4KPD@***>'

Operator Info:
Callsign: G0DJA
Name: Dave
E-mail: dave@***
QTH: Bolsover
Locator: IO93if
Station: Radio: TS2000X - antenna: doublet
ROS Version: 2.1.3 Beta

Signal Info:
Symbol Rate: 1 bauds
Frame Acquisition: 20/20
Final Acquisition: 16/16
Frequency Shift: -28.3 Hz
Symbol Errors detected by Viterbi: 0/50
Metric: -16 dB
Vumeter Level: -8 dB
CPU Usage: 100 %

Congratulations for the QSO

I'm not sure how the SMTP system works yet.

Dave (G0DJA)

322. AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email setup

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 21:10:04 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 57 Message: 322

Just opene gmail sent folder . okay form e . semms to work . nice done Jose

---

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Siegfried
Jackstien
Gesendet: Freitag, 26. Februar 2010 22:06
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email setup

I also made a gmail accout . or tryed to but i do not know i fit is working
. cause in dl gmail ´s name is googlemail

Room for tests

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Dave Ackrill
Gesendet: Freitag, 26. Februar 2010 21:12
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email setup

> I will try the gmail option, as I also have a gmail account.
>

It seems to be working :-)

I opened my GMAIL account and, looking in the 'Sent' folder, I found 3
messages had gone out similar to this one (email addresses removed as I
know from experience that spambots regularly trawl through Yahoogroup
messages for emails in plain view)

********** Please don't reply to this email **********

G0DJA has received your Radio Message sent at: 08:50 UTC

Received Message: 'F6HZR de G0VXC My email is: <g0vxc@***> <g0vxc@***>
<g0vxc@***>MłtP '

Operator Info:
Callsign: G0DJA
Name: Dave
E-mail: dave@***
QTH: Bolsover
Locator: IO93if
Station: Radio: TS2000X - antenna: doublet

ROS Version: 2.1.2 Beta

Signal Info:
Symbol Rate: 16 bauds
Frame Acquisition: 12/20
Final Acquisition: 13/16
Frequency Shift: 7.8 Hz
Symbol Errors detected by Viterbi: 13/50
Metric: -13 dB
Vumeter Level: -9 dB
CPU Usage: 100 %

Congratulations for the QSO

and later

G0DJA has received your Radio Message sent at: 19:57 UTC

Received Message: 'ZL1LRS WD4KPD FM15MM OOO <WD4KPD@***>'

Operator Info:
Callsign: G0DJA
Name: Dave
E-mail: dave@***
QTH: Bolsover
Locator: IO93if
Station: Radio: TS2000X - antenna: doublet
ROS Version: 2.1.3 Beta

Signal Info:
Symbol Rate: 1 bauds
Frame Acquisition: 20/20
Final Acquisition: 16/16
Frequency Shift: -28.3 Hz
Symbol Errors detected by Viterbi: 0/50
Metric: -16 dB
Vumeter Level: -8 dB
CPU Usage: 100 %

Congratulations for the QSO

I'm not sure how the SMTP system works yet.

Dave (G0DJA)

323. ROS v2.1.3

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 14:19:56 UTC
From: g3zjo
Topic: 323 Message: 323

Trying v2.1.3
The email information stays filled in the box once entered which seems better, the right, expected response.

I have not yet seen any results from the gmail account entered.

A good tip from Jose now on the ROS Site :- Re Start the computer after installing a new version of the software.

324. RSGB Propagation Studies Committee Secretary and Southgate News

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 21:51:08 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 324 Message: 324

I understand that Steve Nicols (G0KYA), Secretary of the RSGB Propagations Studies Committee (to which I am a contributing member via email) has said, and I quote from his email to the RSGB PSC as sent to the RSGB for inclusion on the RSGB News and to the Southgate amateur radio newsite.

"A new digital mode is causing havoc to reception of the NCDXF international beacon chain on 14.100MHz. The culprit is ROS - a new spread-spectrum multi-tone mode that is already being considered as illegal in the US where spread spectrum cannot be used below 222MHz according to FCC rules.

The ROS frequencies have been declared as 14.098MHz and 14.1011MHz, but Steve Nichols, secretary of the RSGB's Propagation Studies Committee, says that ROS has been heard wiping out weak beacon signals on 14.100MHz.

"This is a real shame," Steve said. "The international beacon chain is a very important tool for understanding HF propagation. Many people around the world use it and a lot of effort has gone into automated monitoring using programs such as FAROS. The weaker beacons are being wiped out by S9 signals at times.

"I would urge the originators of ROS to think again about their choice of frequency - or at least ensure that users are not interfering with 14.100MHz."

Now, I have also been trying to get ROS moved up from the 14.101 area, but I did not think to 'go global' after only one week of the new mode coming into operation and I know that other people have been suggesting that 14.101 was not a good frequency to choose for ROS 16 baud.

However, I personally am not happy that the Secretary of the RSGB PSC decided to go so public with his announcement after the new mode was only on the air for about 1 week.

I'm sure he did not know that I was involved with ROS, after all, it is very new, but I would have hoped that he might let the RSGB PSC group know that he was about to go public within 8 days of a new signal appearing in case there might be people, on the PSC email list, who actually knew what the mode was and might have been trying to help move it out of the way of the beacons he seems so keen to protect...

Dave (G0DJA)

325. Thanks for the Technical Description, Jose!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 21:48:40 UTC
From: SilversMJ
Topic: 325 Message: 325

Thanks for the Technical Description, Jose!

73 de Mike KB6WFC

326. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Thanks for the Technical Description, Jose!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 21:59:04 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 325 Message: 326

Not at all.

If you see something "illegal" notify me please.

De: SilversMJ <silversmj@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,26 febrero, 2010 22:48
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Thanks for the Technical Description, Jose!

Thanks for the Technical Description, Jose!

73 de Mike KB6WFC

327. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] regarding the auto email response

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 21:40:58 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 320 Message: 327

David,

WSPR has this this reverse beacon feature, not via direct e-mail though

http://wsprnet.org/drupal/

Hope I don't upset anybody in writing this.....

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
>Sent: Feb 26, 2010 3:42 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] regarding the auto email response
>
>it is neat to see email sig reports coming in from so many stations.
>
>i wonder if this idea could be somehow incorporated in WSPR and JT65/JT65HF. i know the info can be gotten off the respective
>web pages.
>
>anyway is still neat.
>
>david/wd4kpd
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

328. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.1.3

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 23:08:04 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 323 Message: 328

Hi, when you fill the data you have to click on SAVE. Then data will be saved.

De: g3zjo <g3zjo@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,26 febrero, 2010 15:19
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.1.3

Trying v2.1.3
The email information stays filled in the box once entered which seems better, the right, expected response.

I have not yet seen any results from the gmail account entered.

A good tip from Jose now on the ROS Site :- Re Start the computer after installing a new version of the software.

329. Re: regarding the auto email response

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 23:38:01 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 320 Message: 329

Personally I would not want to receive an email for every WSPR spot. The nice thing about using a web site is that everyone can see the contacts that are being made and you can view them on a map. ROS could fairly easily report spots to the pskreporter.info site, there is a DLL that makes it easy for a software developer. I'm sure Jose has more important things to do at the moment but perhaps in the future...

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@...> wrote:
>
> it is neat to see email sig reports coming in from so many stations.
>
> i wonder if this idea could be somehow incorporated in WSPR and JT65/JT65HF. i know the info can be gotten off the respective
> web pages.
>
> anyway is still neat.
>
> david/wd4kpd
>

330. Re: RSGB Propagation Studies Committee Secretary and Southgate News

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-26 23:42:45 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 324 Message: 330

You mean, radio amateurs communicate with one another? That's a bit radical, Dave!

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> I would have hoped that he might let the RSGB PSC group
> know that he was about to go public within 8 days of a new signal
> appearing in case there might be people, on the PSC email list, who
> actually knew what the mode was and might have been trying to help move
> it out of the way of the beacons he seems so keen to protect...
>

331. I think I've just been told that I don't know what it is to be a Radio Amateur...

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 00:02:20 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 320 Message: 331

Since I've been licenced since the early 1980s, I'm not sure that I've been either so insulted, or so patronised, by a professional politician or radio amateur...

"I don't know if you are aware that one of the golden merits of the amateur radio service is that those who obtain licenses to use it are in a large
percentage compliant with the rules and regulations of that telecommunications service in the countries that issue the license and of course with the ITU Rules and Regulations that is an Annex to the International Telecommunications Convention that practically all United Nations member countries have subscribed.
You may be very motivated by a new mode that is only 8 days old, but if you don't take into consideration that some national telecommunications administrations do not allow the use of the spread spectrum technologies at all or on certain specific frequencies, encouraging the use of the "new mode" without warning prospective users about first checking that they are authorized by their telecommunications administration to use it.
As you must realize,we must by all means to avoid that the inadvertent use of the " new mode " my cause trouble to radio amateurs that may start using it without knowing that is not an authorized emission mode.
My personal approach to experimentation is to always carry it out within the framework of existing rules and regulations, and in some particular cases this may lead to request for special temporary authorizations that have been , in most cases granted to amateur radio operators that can fully justify the nature of the experiments.
No David, you are totally wrong with your ending sentence...
Experiment yes, but do the experiments without causing damages to other services and other modes."

That seems to put me in my place.

However, it does not allow for the fact that there is another, different, data mode between ROS on 14.101.4 and the beacons on 14.100MHz.

Now. If you had been another 'normal' radio amateur that I was communicating with, as I thought, instead of a much higher authority, that I had no realisation that I was in contact with, I wouldn't have posted it here.

However, we are, unfortunately, talking with people who have agendas and positions well above my pay scale here.

I am an ordinary radio amateur. I do not belong to the RSGB, ARRL or any other organisation. I am an email member of the RSGB Propagation Studies Committee, so I do want to keep 14.100MHz clear if at all possible.

I am not paranoid that some new mode will block out the CW signal on
14.100MHz any more than the other, obvious, signals that are LF of ROS.

I will copy this reply if I choose and, I'm sure as I'm a lesser being
in terms of IARU you will all ignore me as being just another pleb.
Look it up, I'm sure one of your undergraduates will tell you what it means.

Dave (G0DJA)

332. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: regarding the auto email response

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 00:24:51 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 320 Message: 332

Yes Julian, in the future it would be a good idea. In fact, i think WSPR.net is a wonderfull project. WSPR could have also emails like a option more. I think both options are not incompatibles. As more information, better.

De: g4ilo <julian.g4ilo@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,27 febrero, 2010 00:38
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: regarding the auto email response

Personally I would not want to receive an email for every WSPR spot. The nice thing about using a web site is that everyone can see the contacts that are being made and you can view them on a map. ROS could fairly easily report spots to the pskreporter. info site, there is a DLL that makes it easy for a software developer. I'm sure Jose has more important things to do at the moment but perhaps in the future...

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@...> wrote:
>
> it is neat to see email sig reports coming in from so many stations.
>
> i wonder if this idea could be somehow incorporated in WSPR and JT65/JT65HF. i know the info can be gotten off the respective
> web pages.
>
> anyway is still neat.
>
> david/wd4kpd
>

333. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: RSGB Propagation Studies Committee Secretary and Southgate News

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 00:13:56 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 324 Message: 333

g4ilo wrote:
> You mean, radio amateurs communicate with one another? That's a bit radical, Dave!

Yes Julian,

I was 'told' by someone who was above me intellectuality and, as they saw it, from an Amateur Radio point of view my superior that I was

"I don't know if you are aware that one of the golden merits of the amateur radio service is that those who obtain licenses to use it are in a large
percentage compliant with the rules and regulations of that telecommunications service in the countries that issue the license and of course with the ITU Rules and Regulations that is an Annex to the International Telecommunications Convention that practically all United Nations member countries have subscribed.
You may be very motivated by a new mode that is only 8 days old, but if you don't take into consideration that some national telecommunications administrations do not allow the use of the spread spectrum technologies at all or on certain specific frequencies, encouraging the use of the "new mode" without warning prospective users about first checking that they are authorized by their telecommunications administration to use it.
As you must realize,we must by all means to avoid that the inadvertent use of the " new mode " my cause trouble to radio amateurs that may start using it without knowing that is not an authorized emission mode.
My personal approach to experimentation is to always carry it out within the framework of existing rules and regulations, and in some particular cases this may lead to request for special temporary authorizations that have been , in most cases granted to amateur radio operators that can fully justify the nature of the experiments.
No David, you are totally wrong with your ending sentence...
Experiment yes, but do the experiments without causing damages to other services and other modes."

That put me in my place, except that I was further up the band than some other mode that might have been interfering that I could see in the sectrum...

Dave (G0DJA)

334. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 01:29:52 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 334

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.1.4 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description : v2.14

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.1.
4%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

335. EXTRA CLASS ONLY WARNING

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 01:56:00 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 335 Message: 335

unless i dont't compute right, only Extra Class may transmit ROS on any of the 3.6Mhz frequencies in USA. i may be even wrong about that, as ROS is a text/data signal, and not image/data.

david/wd4kpd

336. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] EXTRA CLASS ONLY WARNING

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 02:10:48 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 335 Message: 336

I think this point has been made several times, that's why I suggested 3590
in the USA.

Andy K3Uk

On Fri, Feb 26, 2010 at 8:56 PM, wd4kpd <wd4kpd@suddenlink.net> wrote:

>
>
> unless i dont't compute right, only Extra Class may transmit ROS on any of
> the 3.6Mhz frequencies in USA. i may be even wrong about that, as ROS is a
> text/data signal, and not image/data.
>
> david/wd4kpd
>
>
>

337. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: RSGB Propagation Studies Committee Secretary and Southgate News

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 02:06:46 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 324 Message: 337

While I do not use 14101 and have always suggested 14109, I would challenge this bloke's use of the term "havoc". I think he exaggerates. Frustration perhaps, annoyance maybe, but havoc...no

Andy K3UK

On Fri, Feb 26, 2010 at 7:13 PM, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>wrote:

>
>
> g4ilo wrote:
> > You mean, radio amateurs communicate with one another? That's a bit
> radical, Dave!
>
>
>

338. Re: regarding the auto email response

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 08:50:19 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 320 Message: 338

WSPR only transmits limited information so the receiving station does not know the transmitter's email. I think that is true of JT65 as well.

But I agree that you can get more information in an email than you would see on a site, so it's good to have the email option in ROS.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> Yes Julian, in the future it would be a good idea. In fact, i think WSPR.net is a wonderfull project. WSPR could have also emails like a option more. I think both options are not incompatibles. As more information, better.
>

339. Re: EXTRA CLASS ONLY WARNING

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 08:54:00 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 335 Message: 339

There is usually SSB just above 3600 here. Whenever I heard ROS on that frequency it didn't seem to be affected by the voice signals too much, but it probably isn't the best choice of frequency.

Julian G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...> wrote:
>
> I think this point has been made several times, that's why I suggested 3590
> in the USA.
>

340. Re: RSGB Propagation Studies Committee Secretary and Southgate News

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 08:59:41 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 324 Message: 340

The person who wrote that is a journalist by profession...

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...> wrote:
>
> While I do not use 14101 and have always suggested 14109, I would challenge
> this bloke's use of the term "havoc". I think he exaggerates. Frustration
> perhaps, annoyance maybe, but havoc...no
>

341. 14.101

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 09:28:07 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 341 Message: 341

I'm still hearing a lot of activity on 14.101 this morning.

I'm not sure how anything on 14.101 USB can interfere with beacons on 14.100 CW but perhaps someone will enlighten me.

Julian, G4ILO

342. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] RSGB Propagation Studies Committee Secretary and Southgate News

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 09:35:28 UTC
From: Derek G3OFA
Topic: 324 Message: 342

Perhaps someone can explain how transmitting ROS on 14.101 can interfere with a beacon operating on 14..100 With a VFO setting of 14.101 and the rig setup for ROS (ie USB) the nearest part of the output signal will be at least 1.2 KHz higher in frequency than the beacon.
Derek G3OFA

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> I understand that Steve Nicols (G0KYA), Secretary of the RSGB
> Propagations Studies Committee (to which I am a contributing member via
> email) has said, and I quote from his email to the RSGB PSC as sent to
> the RSGB for inclusion on the RSGB News and to the Southgate amateur
> radio newsite.
>
> "A new digital mode is causing havoc to reception of the NCDXF
> international beacon chain on 14.100MHz. The culprit is ROS - a new
> spread-spectrum multi-tone mode that is already being considered as
> illegal in the US where spread spectrum cannot be used below 222MHz
> according to FCC rules.
>
> The ROS frequencies have been declared as 14.098MHz and 14.1011MHz, but
> Steve Nichols, secretary of the RSGB's Propagation Studies Committee,
> says that ROS has been heard wiping out weak beacon signals on 14.100MHz.
>
> "This is a real shame," Steve said. "The international beacon chain is a
> very important tool for understanding HF propagation. Many people around
> the world use it and a lot of effort has gone into automated monitoring
> using programs such as FAROS. The weaker beacons are being wiped out by
> S9 signals at times.
>
> "I would urge the originators of ROS to think again about their choice
> of frequency - or at least ensure that users are not interfering with
> 14.100MHz."
>
> Now, I have also been trying to get ROS moved up from the 14.101 area,
> but I did not think to 'go global' after only one week of the new mode
> coming into operation and I know that other people have been suggesting
> that 14.101 was not a good frequency to choose for ROS 16 baud.
>
> However, I personally am not happy that the Secretary of the RSGB PSC
> decided to go so public with his announcement after the new mode was
> only on the air for about 1 week.
>
> I'm sure he did not know that I was involved with ROS, after all, it is
> very new, but I would have hoped that he might let the RSGB PSC group

> know that he was about to go public within 8 days of a new signal
> appearing in case there might be people, on the PSC email list, who
> actually knew what the mode was and might have been trying to help move
> it out of the way of the beacons he seems so keen to protect...
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
> ---
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

343. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: regarding the auto email response

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 09:43:57 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 320 Message: 343

g4ilo wrote:
> WSPR only transmits limited information so the receiving station does not know the transmitter's email. I think that is true of JT65 as well.

Yes, both WSJT Version 7, which is the suite of programs that includes WSPR in QSO mode and the 'JT' modes and the stand alone WSPR beacon programs do not send out emails. What happens is that the receiving station sends a message to a central server that processes the information on the received signal, which contains the callsign as received and the locator, and plots the station on a map. The user has to set themselves up on the system with details of their location, antenna etc. This is also the case with the stand alone JT65A program used on HF called JT65-HF which is projected for general release in March, as well.

Also, when you set up a WSPR beacon, you add in details of the power level that you are using, in dBm, and the receiving station passes this to the central server and the power is added to the information displayed as well as a database of all stations heard.

Dave (G0DJA)

344. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: RSGB Propagation Studies Committee Secretary and Southgate News

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 09:48:26 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 324 Message: 344

g4ilo wrote:
> The person who wrote that is a journalist by profession...

Yes, and I wish he had said something on the PSC email reflector before going into print.

To say I am not happy is an understatement, but I am no longer a 'full' member of the PSC and only input to the debate via the email system.

I do not think he passed his comments by the full PSC either, as they only meet every few months. I rather think he wrote it and signed it off without finding out much more than that signals had been heard on 14.101 and assumed it was ROS and that the 'originators' needed to be stamped upon.

He seems to have conveniently ignored the fact that there is also another data mode operating below 14.101MHz as well...

Dave (G0DJA)

345. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 09:53:09 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 345

ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com wrote:

> File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.1.4 Beta.zip
> Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
> Description : v2.14

I'm getting a message that "File 'Teletype.FTT is a password protected.
Please enter the password in the box below'

Does anyone else get this message and, if so, does anyone know the
password please?

Thanks

Dave (G0DJA)

346. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.101

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 10:21:32 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 341 Message: 346

g4ilo wrote:
> I'm still hearing a lot of activity on 14.101 this morning.

I'm listening on 14.100MHz USB and I downloaded a copy of MixW, set it up to monitor and decoded this on a audio frequency of about +1400Hz. I didn't hear any ROS at the time, but I will go to Spectrum Labs or use my Perseus to record a chunk of time and frequency to see what happens later.

Here are the decodes that I saw setting 'Packet' in MixW with the radio dial set at 14.100MHz and the decoder to +1400Hz...

IZ4EFV-14/TRACE3-3>APU25N>UI,C,F0:
=/85hDQ\l/# Bfacebook:radio club il passatore 14.103.5 {UIV32}

IW4EGP-4/WIDE4-4>APU25N>UI,C,F0:
=/8586Q]u9& BVHF-HF<10.147.03 USB<14.103.5 LSB< {UIV32}

IW4EGP-4/WIDE4-3>APU25N>UI,C,F0:
=/8586Q]u9& BVHF-HF<10.147.03 USB<14.103.5 LSB< {UIV32}

IS0ANU-14/WIDE1-1/WIDE4-4>APU25N>UI,C,F0:
=3912.91N\00907.38E-StazioneHF 14.103LSB {UIV32}

I'm sure others could have used the same method to find out what was using the frequency.

For those that have not seen this before, it's a system known as APRS and it's using AX:25 (Packet)

I then opened Spectrum Labs, monitored on USB again for a while, and then set to CW.

If anyone would like a copy of the WAV files I recorded I will either send them direct or will upload them to the files area.

Dave (G0DJA)

347. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.101

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 10:58:57 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 341 Message: 347

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> g4ilo wrote:
>> I'm still hearing a lot of activity on 14.101 this morning.
>
> I then opened Spectrum Labs, monitored on USB again for a while, and
> then set to CW.
>
> If anyone would like a copy of the WAV files I recorded I will either
> send them direct or will upload them to the files area.

Unfortunately, even after conversion to MP3, the recordings are above 5.1kB which is the limit placed upon the Sounds folder in the ROSDIGITALMODEM files area.

So, I am prepared to send either the WAV or MP3 files on request. I will, however, upload some screen grabs showing what I was hearing and seeing when tuned to 14.100 in both USB and CW mode (CW settings on TS2000 = SHIFT 600 WIDTH 2000 and USB LOCUT = 0Hz and HICUT 5000Hz)

In the audio you can hear when I swap from USB to CW and back again.

I did not hear even the Packet signals on CW, even with the filter set to the widest setting possible with my TS2000 and I could only detect ROS, above the Packet, when I tuned to 14.101MHz.

So, is this a scare story or are the Anti-ROS people actually hearing the AX:25 Packet stations?

PS - At 10:57 I am now hearing some SSB Voice stations. I can hear them with either CW or USB when tuned to 14.100MHz. I still can't hear ROS unless I tune up to 14.101MHz.

Dave (G0DJA)

348. Idling ROS pattern repeat it self

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 11:03:51 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 348 Message: 348

Hi all

There is a pattern in a idling ROS signal and it clearly repeat it self.

http://home.broadpark.no/~saanes/bilder/ROS2.jpg

73 de LA5VNA Steinar

349. Re: 14.101

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 11:22:48 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 341 Message: 349

Dave,

The bandwidth of a 300 baud packet signal is 200Hz. Therefore if you are seeing and decoding packet with the dial on 14.100 and the centre frequency at 1400Hz (I am hearing it too) then it should cause no more interference to the beacons than ROS at 14.101 would.

I entirely agree that the beacon frequencies should be kept clear, however I think it is reasonable to expect beacon monitors to be using narrow filters in order to reject signals on adjacent frequencies.

I seem to remember seeing a frequency of 14.109.something was on the list for a while, so that might have been the cause of the problem. Another possibility is that there are some ROS users with badly calibrated transceivers.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> g4ilo wrote:
> > I'm still hearing a lot of activity on 14.101 this morning.
>
> I'm listening on 14.100MHz USB and I downloaded a copy of MixW, set it
> up to monitor and decoded this on a audio frequency of about +1400Hz. I
> didn't hear any ROS at the time, but I will go to Spectrum Labs or use
> my Perseus to record a chunk of time and frequency to see what happens
> later.
>
> Here are the decodes that I saw setting 'Packet' in MixW with the radio
> dial set at 14.100MHz and the decoder to +1400Hz...
>
> IZ4EFV-14/TRACE3-3>APU25N>UI,C,F0:
> =/85hDQ\l/# Bfacebook:radio club il passatore 14.103.5 {UIV32}
>
> IW4EGP-4/WIDE4-4>APU25N>UI,C,F0:
> =/8586Q]u9& BVHF-HF<10.147.03 USB<14.103.5 LSB< {UIV32}
>
> IW4EGP-4/WIDE4-3>APU25N>UI,C,F0:
> =/8586Q]u9& BVHF-HF<10.147.03 USB<14.103.5 LSB< {UIV32}
>
> IS0ANU-14/WIDE1-1/WIDE4-4>APU25N>UI,C,F0:
> =3912.91N\00907.38E-StazioneHF 14.103LSB {UIV32}
>
> I'm sure others could have used the same method to find out what was
> using the frequency.
>
> For those that have not seen this before, it's a system known as APRS

> and it's using AX:25 (Packet)
>
> I then opened Spectrum Labs, monitored on USB again for a while, and
> then set to CW.
>
> If anyone would like a copy of the WAV files I recorded I will either
> send them direct or will upload them to the files area.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

350. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 11:46:09 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 350

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /Screen Grabs/Spectrum Labs 270210 1113 CW 300Hz 14100MHz dial.jpg
Uploaded by : g0dja <dave.g0dja@...>
Description : Spectrum Labs 270210 1113 CW 300Hz 14100MHz dial

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/Screen%20Grabs/Spectrum%20Labs%20
270210%201113%20CW%20300Hz%2014100MHz%20dial.jpg

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

g0dja <dave.g0dja@...>
```

351. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 11:49:00 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 351

ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> Hello,
>
> This email message is a notification to let you know that
> a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
> group.
>
> File : /Screen Grabs/Spectrum Labs 270210 1113 CW 300Hz 14100MHz dial.jpg
> Uploaded by : g0dja <dave.g0dja@...>
> Description : Spectrum Labs 270210 1113 CW 300Hz 14100MHz dial

This is the last in a series of screen grabs, but I did not want to
flood the group with announcements of each uploaded file.

Any requests for copies of the WAV or MP3 files direct to me, off list,
please. Again, so as not to flood the group with requests.

Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)

352. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: 14.101

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 11:40:24 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 341 Message: 352

g4ilo wrote:
> Dave,
>
> The bandwidth of a 300 baud packet signal is 200Hz. Therefore if you are seeing and decoding packet with the dial on 14.100 and the centre frequency at 1400Hz (I am hearing it too) then it should cause no more interference to the beacons than ROS at 14.101 would.
>
> I entirely agree that the beacon frequencies should be kept clear, however I think it is reasonable to expect beacon monitors to be using narrow filters in order to reject signals on adjacent frequencies.

After posting the message I did some more monitoring using Spectrum Labs.

I set my filters from widest available (USB = LOCUT 0Hz HICUT = 5000Hz and CW SHIFT = 600 and WIDTH 2000) and then in CW I started to try some more normal filter widths, such as 600Hz and then 300Hz. 600 is, I believe, quite common and 250Hz is a 'standard' add on filter for even quite old radios.

The biggest problems I saw were the thermostat and realsys on my boiler clicking in and out! I couldn't even see the Packet signal at 600Hz, let alone hear it...

Although the audio files are a bit too big, unless I trim them down a bit, I am uploading the screen grabs of various USB and CW settings to show what I was detecting this morning on either 14.100 or 14.101MHz.

Dave (G0DJA)

353. Re: Thanks for the Technical Description, Jose!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 16:11:55 UTC
From: dl6xaz
Topic: 325 Message: 353

Hi José and Mike,
yes, I too say thank you for the interesting summary which explained some of the questions I had.
May I ask José about the statement "You can use Class C Amplifiers" whether there might not possibly arise disputes on this point; we already observe the use of some non-linear, overdriven or otherwise distorting amplifiers by some hams in digimodes; if they read that statement they might cause even more QRM, for misunderstanding the meaning and not respecting proper ALC settings.
Maybe you could elucidate us at given opportunity.
Thank you for your work, vy73 Fred DL6XAZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "SilversMJ" <silversmj@...> wrote:
>
> Thanks for the Technical Description, Jose!
>
> 73 de Mike KB6WFC
>

354. Jose is correct: Evidence ROS meets USA requirements

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 17:44:06 UTC
From: obrienaj
Topic: 354 Message: 354

On the digitalradio Yahoo group.
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/digitalradio/message/35009
contains an analysis by several well known hams with good technical background . Their findings support those of Jose. My view is that their opinions are strong enough for me to be comfortable using this mode in the USA and not be in fear that the mode violates any FCC rules.

Andy K3UK

355. 17M and 12M Frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 18:00:25 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 325 Message: 355

To try to avoid anymore conflicts, is it time to revisit the frequencies to suggest for 17 and 12M?

The ones *To Avoid* on these bands are any around 18.110 and 24.930 MHz, as that's where the NCDXF beacons are located on those bands.

So, looking at the RSGB band plan, and thinking about where wide band digital modes might be best located, it seems that there are the band sections between

18.111 to 18.120MHz
and
24.931 to 24.940MHz

as being the areas for 2700Hz maximum width and data modes stations.

Applying the same logic as on 20M, where the beacon watchers actually want another 1kHz separation in addition to the 1kHz that is reserved above and below the nominated frequencies for the NCDXF beacons, may I suggest the following?

18.112MHz 16 baud
18.116MHz 1 baud

and
24.932MHz 16 baud
24.936MHz 1 baud

Now, let's not rush into placing any of these onto any website yet. Let's think about who else may already have staked a claim on these frequencies and whether we can amend our suggestions to fit in round any other existing users.

Does anyone know of any other system, mode or user group that may already need/want or appear to have squatters rights on any of these four frequencies?

Dave (G0DJA)

356. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.101

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 18:30:33 UTC
From: porterockwell@hotmail.com
Topic: 341 Message: 356

Julian, G4ILO

Today RTTY has taken over, 3 in vy close proximity with the beacon. What a crock, the sky is not falling. [I'm not sure how
anything on 14.101 USB] ditto

Porter

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of g4ilo
Sent: Saturday, February 27, 2010 2:28 AM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.101

I'm still hearing a lot of activity on 14.101 this morning.

I'm not sure how anything on 14.101 USB can interfere with beacons on 14.100 CW but perhaps someone will enlighten me.

Julian, G4ILO

357. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M and 12M Frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 18:34:22 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 325 Message: 357

I know of no squatters on those freqs...but the USA band plan lists "RTTY and Data" from 18068 to 18110 and 24890 to 24930 . So, I would suggest something other than the ones you mentioned. Would 18105 and 24935 work for Europe ? I am on 18105 now..

Andy K3UK

On Sat, Feb 27, 2010 at 1:00 PM, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>wrote:

>
>
> To try to avoid anymore conflicts, is it time to revisit the frequencies
> to suggest for 17 and 12M?
>
> The ones *To Avoid* on these bands are any around 18.110 and 24.930 MHz,
> as that's where the NCDXF beacons are located on those bands.
>
> So, looking at the RSGB band plan, and thinking about where wide band
> digital modes might be best located, it seems that there are the band
> sections between
>
> 18.111 to 18.120MHz
> and
> 24.931 to 24.940MHz
>
> as being the areas for 2700Hz maximum width and data modes stations.
>
> Applying the same logic as on 20M, where the beacon watchers actually
> want another 1kHz separation in addition to the 1kHz that is reserved
> above and below the nominated frequencies for the NCDXF beacons, may I
> suggest the following?
>
> 18.112MHz 16 baud
> 18.116MHz 1 baud
>
> and
> 24.932MHz 16 baud
> 24.936MHz 1 baud
>
> Now, let's not rush into placing any of these onto any website yet.
> Let's think about who else may already have staked a claim on these
> frequencies and whether we can amend our suggestions to fit in round any
> other existing users.
>
> Does anyone know of any other system, mode or user group that may
> already need/want or appear to have squatters rights on any of these

> four frequencies?
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>

358. Re: 17M and 12M Frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 18:58:25 UTC
From: Svein
Topic: 325 Message: 358

The IARU region 1 bandplan states narrow band mode (max 500 Hz) in the frequency areas you suggests.
ROS is 2250 Hz wide and Dave G0DJA suggested frequencies is within the 2700 Hz digimode areas.

73 de LA1BNA Svein

359. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.101

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 18:59:48 UTC
From: Dave Sparks
Topic: 341 Message: 359

Perhaps someone was acidentally using LSB rathe than USB?

--
Dave - AF6AS
----- Original Message -----
From: g4ilo
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 27, 2010 1:28 AM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.101

I'm still hearing a lot of activity on 14.101 this morning.

I'm not sure how anything on 14.101 USB can interfere with beacons on 14.100 CW but perhaps someone will enlighten me.

Julian, G4ILO

360. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M and 12M Frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 19:06:40 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 325 Message: 360

Andy obrien wrote:
> I know of no squatters on those freqs...but the USA band plan lists "RTTY
> and Data" from 18068 to 18110 and 24890 to 24930 . So, I would suggest
> something other than the ones you mentioned. Would 18105 and 24935 work for
> Europe ? I am on 18105 now..

Those are the 'narrow' data modes areas in UK Andy.

See http://www.rsgb.org/spectrumforum/bandplans/rsgb_band_plan_2010.htm

Do you have a similar link to the USA band plans by any chance, please
Andy? I'll then have a look and see if there's any overlap for wider
data modes.

The RSGB version goes like this for data modes

18,105-18,109 500 Hz Narrow band modes
18,111-18,120 2.7 kHz All modes

24,925-24,929 500 Hz Narrow band modes
24,931-24,940 2700 All modes

Which is why I suggested above 18.111 and 24.931MHz

Dave (G0DJA)

361. Re: 17M and 12M Frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 19:02:46 UTC
From: Svein
Topic: 325 Message: 361

Correction from my previous reply:
24935 is inside the 2700 Hz area i Region 1. So that would work.

73 de LA1BNA

362. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: 17M and 12M Frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 19:19:08 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 325 Message: 362

Svein wrote:
> The IARU region 1 bandplan states narrow band mode (max 500 Hz) in the frequency areas you suggests.
> ROS is 2250 Hz wide and Dave G0DJA suggested frequencies is within the 2700 Hz digimode areas.

Svein,

Looking at the USA Band plans it seems as if they are only allowed 'Phone and Image' above 18.110MHz in USA. I expect they did not think about wider band data modes when that was agreed?

Same problem with 24.930 and above in USA.

http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/Hambands_color.pdf

I am considering if I should run split so that I can TX in Region 1 area and Andy can TX in USA allowed area.

Dave (G0DJA)

363. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M and 12M Frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 19:28:58 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 325 Message: 363

Andy obrien wrote:
> I know of no squatters on those freqs...but the USA band plan lists "RTTY
> and Data" from 18068 to 18110 and 24890 to 24930 . So, I would suggest
> something other than the ones you mentioned. Would 18105 and 24935 work for
> Europe ? I am on 18105 now..

Looking at the USA band plan Andy, did you mean 24.935MHz? That looks,
to my eyes, as being in the Phone and Image area...

But I could be wrong.

Dave (G0DJA)

364. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: 17M and 12M Frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 19:34:39 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 325 Message: 364

Hi Svein. Lang time!

Have you tried this new mode ?

73 de LA5VNA Steinar

On 27.02.2010 20:02, Svein wrote:
> Correction from my previous reply:
> 24935 is inside the 2700 Hz area i Region 1. So that would work.
>
> 73 de LA1BNA
>
>
>
>

365. Re: 17M and 12M Frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 20:07:49 UTC
From: Svein
Topic: 325 Message: 365

Hey Steinar
Yes indeed i have. Interesting new mode !!
Heard you on 40m right now 1 baud, but had other thing to do at the moment.
vi tar den igjen senere vil jeg tro.

73 de LA1BNA Svein

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Svein. Lang time!
>
> Have you tried this new mode ?
>
> 73 de LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
> On 27.02.2010 20:02, Svein wrote:
> > Correction from my previous reply:
> > 24935 is inside the 2700 Hz area i Region 1. So that would work.
> >
> > 73 de LA1BNA
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

366. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Jose is correct: Evidence ROS meets USA requirements

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 20:41:04 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 354 Message: 366

Andy,

Thanks. I think many like myself want to use ROS and might have been doing this already....

Could you perhaps direct us to your information sources that brought you to this conclusion?

As it is up to us, it seems, to determine whether we can use it or not, I in my own mind would not rally be able to explain it to an other amateur. (or to the FCC, I know it is very unlike)

Perhaps you can link to some of the info. I have always felt that it was no SS, but after all the back and forth I have gotten confused.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: obrienaj <k3ukandy@...>
>Sent: Feb 27, 2010 12:44 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Jose is correct: Evidence ROS meets USA requirements
>
>On the digitalradio Yahoo group.
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/digitalradio/message/35009
>contains an analysis by several well known hams with good technical background . Their findings support those of Jose. My view is that their opinions are strong enough for me to be comfortable using this mode in the USA and not be in fear that the mode violates any FCC rules.
>
>
>Andy K3UK
>
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

367. Re: 14.101

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 20:27:17 UTC
From: SilversMJ
Topic: 341 Message: 367

Hello Gentlemen,

I have a American RTTY Test Op that has his Mark set right on 14100kHz, luckily the local beacon and another can be copied because they are not exactly this QRG. As I said on the other group, RTTY Test Days are rough. Good day to read a book or build an antenna or something.

Cheers,
Mike KB6WFC

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, <porterockwell@...> wrote:
>
>
> Julian, G4ILO
>
> Today RTTY has taken over, 3 in vy close proximity with the beacon. What a crock, the sky is not falling. [I'm not sure how
> anything on 14.101 USB] ditto
>
> Porter
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of g4ilo
> Sent: Saturday, February 27, 2010 2:28 AM
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.101
>
>
>
> I'm still hearing a lot of activity on 14.101 this morning.
>
> I'm not sure how anything on 14.101 USB can interfere with beacons on 14.100 CW but perhaps someone will enlighten me.
>
> Julian, G4ILO
>

368. Re: 14.101

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-27 23:25:11 UTC
From: sholtofish
Topic: 341 Message: 368

Julian I think the problem probably arose because some bright spark suggested using 14.098MHz USB which would put the ROS signal right on top of the NCDXF beacon frequency. I was watching Andy's sked page and saw a few posts about 14.098MHz so I know it was being used.

BUT, 14.101 USB does interfere with the Net 105 packet network - it's just the top few tones of ROS that kill it but several coincide directly with the packet tones.

There just doesn't seem to be much point in either system competing with each other. The automatic packet stations will just keep retrying and cause problems for your ROS decoding and the ROS transmissions cause problems for us.

There are so many stations on the packet network it would be a massive effort to re-coordinate a new frequency and there have been stations on there since 1986 so you can imagine they don't particularly feel like moving either.

Of course with (another!) RTTY contest going on there's no chance of anybody doing anything this weekend but I really don't see why the range of 14.115MHz to 14.150MHz couldn't be used (at least in the US). Are these frequencies not available for data in Europe? If not then why don't you guys work split? Maybe TX on 14.120MHz in the US but receive on 14.106MHz USB? Just an idea. It could also help to relieve the ROS & Pactor-III congestion too.

73

Sholto
K7TMG

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Sparks" <dsparks@...> wrote:
>
> Perhaps someone was acidentally using LSB rathe than USB?
>
> --
> Dave - AF6AS
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: g4ilo
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, February 27, 2010 1:28 AM
> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 14.101
>
>
>
> I'm still hearing a lot of activity on 14.101 this morning.
>
> I'm not sure how anything on 14.101 USB can interfere with beacons on 14.100 CW but perhaps someone will enlighten me.
>

> Julian, G4ILO
>

369. The use of 14.101MHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-28 00:21:27 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 341 Message: 369

I have just asked that I be removed from the RSGB PSC email forum.

The reason being that, again, a member of the PSC decided to go out against a mode without asking if any member of that group had any experience or knowledge of the mode or frequency in use.

The Secretary of the RSGB PSC went out to a news feed on the Southgate ARS website stating that ROS was 'obliterating' the 20M beacons on 14.100MHz and also decided that he had persuaded Jose to suggest that the mode be moved, when I know that many people on here were instrumental in lobbying for ROS modes to avoid the 14.100MHz beacon frequency.

Not only was this a member of the PSC, this was the Secretary of the PSC and he used this title to suggest that ROS was 'obliterating' the beacons and was reported as being illegal in the USA, which we all know now was probably only an initial opinion.

As I am not even a corresponding member of the RSGB PSC I have decided to sever any remaining connection with that committee and abandon any work that I was undertaking to help their works, such as that might be.

Dave (G0DJA)

370. ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-28 14:04:27 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 370 Message: 370

Jose,

First CONGRATS and very nice to see a new weak signal digital mode for the digital Ham community, great work! There is a lot of interest so this is good and the new ROS mode will prove to be a great addition for digital operators thank you for your hard work!

As far as the ROS HF frequency list for consideration is the listing of 10mhz (30 Meter) band frequencies
10.141 (USA), 10.144 (USA), 10.147 (USA)
Please note that 10.141 or 10.140 □ 10.142 is an area for most PSK and very busy in Region1 and Region2 listing of 10.144 and 10.147 good areas for 10mhz 30m (ROS on 10.141 10mhz 30m would be like listing 14.070 for 14mhz 20m). Consider only if you will be so kind but just an observation when looking at the ROS HF frequency list.

One more question Jose, for 10mhz or 30 meter digital ops from Region2 USA there is no limitations on digital bandwidth but there are limitations in Region1 which is <500hz for 10mhz 30m (other Countries have limitations also, up to all operators to check their own Countries regulations). Will there be a narrower bandwidth ROS option of <500hz in the future so to be used by all in all Regions for 10mhz 30 meter band?

Very interesting the stations and activity copied in ROS and also you're posting of the EME ROS contact great things for ROS. Thank you again for all your work, time and working with the Amateur community to use this new digital mode!

Best Health and DX 73
Don kb9umt
http://www.30mdg.net

371. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-28 15:26:04 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 370 Message: 371

Thanks for your observations.

I have deleted 10141 of the list.

About ROS option <500 Hz it could be possible, but i think people would use always ROS 500 Hz thinking it is better than ROS 2250 Hz and that is not true. It is not easy explain in a few words, but, basically Digital Communications Systems are divided in two bigs groups:

Wideband efficient systems (PSK modulation)

Power efficient systems (FSK Modulation)

The propose of ROS is minimize power requirements for a Character Rate determinate, in this case 300 characters/minute. So, if you want transmit to 300 characters/minute with the minimum of power you should use ROS 16. Otherwise, if you want transmit to something less than 300 characters/minute with the minimum WideBand you should use PSK31. However, you will need more power.

De: Don <dhobson123@aol.com>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,28 febrero, 2010 15:04
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS - 10mhz 30m

Jose,

First CONGRATS and very nice to see a new weak signal digital mode for the digital Ham community, great work! There is a lot of interest so this is good and the new ROS mode will prove to be a great addition for digital operators…thank you for your hard work!

As far as the ROS HF frequency list for consideration is the listing of 10mhz (30 Meter) band frequencies
10.141 (USA), 10.144 (USA), 10.147 (USA)
Please note that 10.141 or 10.140 – 10.142 is an area for most PSK and very busy in Region1 and Region2… listing of 10.144 and 10.147 good areas for 10mhz 30m (ROS on 10.141 10mhz 30m would be like listing 14.070 for 14mhz 20m). Consider only if you will be so kind but just an observation when looking at the ROS HF frequency list.

One more question Jose, for 10mhz or 30 meter digital ops from Region2 USA there is no limitations on digital bandwidth but there are limitations in Region1 which is <500hz for 10mhz 30m (other Countries have limitations also, up to all operators to check their own Countries regulations) . Will there be a narrower bandwidth ROS option of <500hz in the future so to be used by all in all Regions for 10mhz 30 meter band?

Very interesting the stations and activity copied in ROS and also you're posting of the EME ROS contact…great things for ROS. Thank you again for all your work, time and working with the Amateur community to use this new digital mode!

Best Health and DX 73
Don kb9umt
http://www.30mdg. net

372. Re: ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-28 18:21:36 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 370 Message: 372

Jose,

Many thanks for consideration about 10mhz 30m.

> Wideband efficient systems (PSK modulation)Â
>
> Power efficient systems (FSK Modulation)

Agreed and understand.

I think that there are many that experiment on the 10mhz (30m) band and would use variations of bandwidths within a mode like Olivia,Thor,Throb,etc has (as you already pointed out PSK is not a good weak signal mode)...many do experiment with good results on 30m the different variations. It would give more 30m digital ops a chance to use and experiment with ROS on 30m getting to understand the program knowing if successful would only be more successful using ROS 16 on other bands too.

Since there are limitations in most Countries on bandwidth allowable and also those that follow the IARU Region1,2,3 band plans having an option in ROS <500 would allow ALL to use ROS on the 10mhz (30m) band. 10mhz 30m has unique propagation since it is between 20m and 40m but with also unique regulations (i.e. power,modes,width,etc may differ by Country...also secondary use by most). Just a thought and suggestion but thanks again for all you have done thus far, very good and job very well done!

To understand this below some more details for 10mhz (30m).

Thanks & 73

de kb9umt Don
http://www.30mdg.net

IARU band plans (10mhz - 30m)

-----Region 1 -----
10 MHz:
10100 - 10140 200 CW, 10116 kHz - QRP Centre of Activity
10140 - 10150 [500hz] Narrow band modes ☐ digimodes
SSB may be used during emergencies involving the immediate safety of life and property and only by stations actually involved in the handling of emergency traffic.

The band segment 10120 kHz to 10140 kHz may be used for SSB transmissions in the area of Africa south of the equator during local daylight hours.

News bulletins on any mode should not be transmitted on the 10 MHz band.

-----Region 2 ------

10100 ☐ 10130 200 CW
CW QRP Centre of activity 10116 kHz
10130 ☐ 10140 [500hz] All narrow band digimodes
30 m Band
10140 ☐ 10150 [2700hz] All modes digimodes, no phone (SSB, AM or DV)

```
----- Region 3 -------

10100 - 10150 CW
10140 = 10150 NB
note:
NB: Narrow band modes including CW, RTTY, Packet and modes with similar bandwidth not
exceeding 2 kHz.
Phone: Phone operation includes SSTV, FAX and modes with similar bandwidth not exceeding 2
kHz.

-------------------------------------------------------

i.e. few by Country Band Plans

----
UK
10MHz (30m)
Max
Bandwidth [Hz] UK Usage
10.100-10.140 200 Telegraphy (CW)
10.116kHz - QRP (low power) centre of activity
10.140-10.150 [500hz] All narrow band modes
--------
Canada
Frequency (MHz)Lower edgeFrequency (MHz)Upper edge Maximum Bandwidth Qualifications
10.1 10.15 [1000hz] B and 5
Notes:
"B" means an Amateur Operators Certificate with Basic Qualification
"5" means an Amateur Operators Certificate with Morse Code (5 w.p.m.) Qualification
---------
USA
10.1 10.150 [no limit]
Notes:
<200pep,10.140-10.150 Auto,avoid interference to fixed services outside USA
----------
Spain
Frecuencia (kHz) Ancho de banda
máximo (Hz) Usos: Notas:
10100 - 10140 [200hz] CW 10116 kHz: Centro de actividad CW
10140 - 10150 [500hz] Modos digitales 10140.050 kHz: Centro de actividad QRSs/QRPp

------------
New Zealand
10.1 10.14 cw [1khz]
10.14 10.15 Data/Packet [<6000hz]
note:
```

```
Region 3 bandplans are at IARU Region 3 web site
----------
Australia
10.1 10.150 CW
10.115 10.140 SSB [<4000hz SSTV/Fax]
10.115 WICEN Frequency
10.14 10.150 Digital modes [<2000hz]
----------
```

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> Thanks for your observations.
>
> I have deleted 10141 of the list.
>
> About ROS option <500 Hz it could be possible, but i think people would use always ROS 500 Hz thinking it is better than ROS 2250 Hz and that is not true. It is not easy explain in a few words, but,Â basicallyÂ Digital Communications Systems are divided in two bigs groups:
>
> Wideband efficient systems (PSK modulation)Â
>
> Power efficient systems (FSK Modulation)
>
> The propose of ROS is minimize power requirements for a Character Rate determinate, in this case 300 characters/minute. So, if you wantÂ transmit to 300 characters/minute with the minimum of powerÂ you should use ROS 16. Otherwise, if you wantÂ transmit to something less than 300 characters/minute with the minimum WideBand you should use PSK31. However, you will need more power.
>
>
> Â
>
> _____
> De: Don <dhobson123@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,28 febrero, 2010 15:04
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS - 10mhz 30m
>
> Â
> Jose,
>
> First CONGRATS and very nice to see a new weak signal digital mode for the digital Ham community, great work! There is a lot of interest so this is good and the new ROS mode will prove to be a great addition for digital operatorsâ□¦thank you for your hard work!
>
> As far as the ROS HF frequency list for consideration is the listing of 10mhz (30 Meter) band frequencies
> 10.141 (USA), 10.144 (USA), 10.147 (USA)
> Please note that 10.141 or 10.140 â□" 10.142 is an area for most PSK and very busy in Region1 and Region2â□¦ listing of 10.144 and 10.147 good areas for 10mhz 30m (ROS on 10.141 10mhz 30m would be like listing 14.070 for 14mhz 20m). Consider only if you will be so kind but just an observation when looking at the ROS HF frequency list.

>
> One more question Jose, for 10mhz or 30 meter digital ops from Region2 USA there is no limitations on digital bandwidth but there are limitations in Region1 which is <500hz for 10mhz 30m (other Countries have limitations also, up to all operators to check their own Countries regulations) . Will there be a narrower bandwidth ROS option of <500hz in the future so to be used by all in all Regions for 10mhz 30 meter band?
>
> Very interesting the stations and activity copied in ROS and also you're posting of the EME ROS contactâ☐¦great things for ROS. Thank you again for all your work, time and working with the Amateur community to use this new digital mode!
>
> Best Health and DX 73
> Don kb9umt
> http://www.30mdg. net
>

373. ROS 1 contact

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-28 18:49:17 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 370 Message: 373

Just had a good ROS 1 baud contact with Halldor (TF3HZ) on 14.109 using
1 baud as follows.

TX <18:34 UTC> CQ G0DJA IO93IF <DAVE@...> PSE K <STOP>
RX: <18:37 UTC> <5.4 Hz.> <STOP>
RX: <18:38 UTC> <4.4 Hz.> G0DJA TF3HZ HP94AD OOO <STOP>
TX <18:40 UTC> TF3HZ G0DJA RO <STOP>
RX: <18:42 UTC> <4.9 Hz.> G0DJA TF3HZ RRR <STOP>
TX <18:43 UTC> TF3HZ G0DJA 73 <STOP>
RX: <18:45 UTC> <4.9 Hz.> G0DJA TF3AZ 73 <STOP>

So it is working well at the moment.

Dave (G0DJA)

374. Re: E-mail reception messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-28 19:11:58 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 374 Message: 374

Hello All

I do all my e-mail. gmail account included via web mail (with Browser)
This allows me the access my email from every computer I get my hands on.

Is there a possibility to add (?) this feature to ROS?

73 Rein W6SZ

375. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: E-mail reception messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-28 19:58:30 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 374 Message: 375

rein0zn@... wrote:
> Hello All
>
> I do all my e-mail. gmail account included via web mail (with Browser)
> This allows me the access my email from every computer I get my hands on.
>
> Is there a possibility to add (?) this feature to ROS?
>

In Version 2.1.4 if you enter your gmail address and password in the 'Email' menu under "I have a gmail account" the program will send reception emails out via your gmail account.

In the settings under "Operator" you put your gmail account address into the box called "email" then that is the address you will transmit using the button marked +@ so you will get reports back into your gmail account as well.

You can then see the emails going out and coming in under your online Gmail system.

Cheers - Dave (G0DJA)

376. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: E-mail reception messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-28 20:17:21 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 374 Message: 376

Hi David

Great info, probably I missed all that, nevertheless
Thanks.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
>Sent: Feb 28, 2010 2:58 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: E-mail reception messages
>
>rein0zn@... wrote:
>> Hello All
>>
>> I do all my e-mail. gmail account included via web mail (with Browser)
>> This allows me the access my email from every computer I get my hands on.
>>
>> Is there a possibility to add (?) this feature to ROS?
>>
>
>In Version 2.1.4 if you enter your gmail address and password in the
>'Email' menu under "I have a gmail account" the program will send
>reception emails out via your gmail account.
>
>In the settings under "Operator" you put your gmail account address into
>the box called "email" then that is the address you will transmit using
>the button marked +@ so you will get reports back into your gmail
>account as well.
>
>You can then see the emails going out and coming in under your online
>Gmail system.
>
>Cheers - Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
>-----
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

377. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-28 23:11:29 UTC
From: Phil Williams
Topic: 370 Message: 377

I vote for power efficiency. I would say, that if one has the need to gain some ROS experience, take a break from 30 meters and head for the bands that promote this exciting new mode.

If ROS1/16 cannot fit within with 30 meter band due regional regulations, then so be it . Change the regulations or continue with status quo. Ninety percent of the digital activity on 30 meters involves modes that use less the 250Hz.

Asking to hobble a mode to in order accommodate one band is folly at best.

philw de ka1gmn

On Sun, Feb 28, 2010 at 12:21 PM, Don <dhobson123@...> wrote:

>
>
> Jose,
>
> Many thanks for consideration about 10mhz 30m.
>
> > Wideband efficient systems (PSK modulation)Â
> >
> > Power efficient systems (FSK Modulation)
>
> Agreed and understand.
>
> I think that there are many that experiment on the 10mhz (30m) band and
> would use variations of bandwidths within a mode like Olivia,Thor,Throb,etc
> has (as you already pointed out PSK is not a good weak signal mode)...many
> do experiment with good results on 30m the different variations. It would
> give more 30m digital ops a chance to use and experiment with ROS on 30m
> getting to understand the program knowing if successful would only be more
> successful using ROS 16 on other bands too.
>
> Since there are limitations in most Countries on bandwidth allowable and
> also those that follow the IARU Region1,2,3 band plans having an option in
> ROS <500 would allow ALL to use ROS on the 10mhz (30m) band. 10mhz 30m has
> unique propagation since it is between 20m and 40m but with also unique
> regulations (i.e. power,modes,width,etc may differ by Country...also
> secondary use by most). Just a thought and suggestion but thanks again for
> all you have done thus far, very good and job very well done!
>
> To understand this below some more details for 10mhz (30m).
>
> Thanks & 73

>
> de kb9umt Don
> http://www.30mdg.net
>
>
> IARU band plans (10mhz - 30m)
>
> -----Region 1 -----
> 10 MHz:
> 10100 - 10140 200 CW, 10116 kHz - QRP Centre of Activity
> 10140 - 10150 [500hz] Narrow band modes – digimodes
> SSB may be used during emergencies involving the immediate safety of life
> and property and only by stations actually involved in the handling of
> emergency traffic.
>
> The band segment 10120 kHz to 10140 kHz may be used for SSB transmissions
> in the area of Africa south of the equator during local daylight hours.
>
> News bulletins on any mode should not be transmitted on the 10 MHz band.
>
> -----Region 2 ------
>
> 10100 – 10130 200 CW
> CW QRP Centre of activity 10116 kHz
> 10130 – 10140 [500hz] All narrow band digimodes
> 30 m Band
> 10140 – 10150 [2700hz] All modes digimodes, no phone (SSB, AM or DV)
>
> ----- Region 3 -------
>
> 10100 - 10150 CW
> 10140 = 10150 NB
> note:
> NB: Narrow band modes including CW, RTTY, Packet and modes with similar
> bandwidth not exceeding 2 kHz.
> Phone: Phone operation includes SSTV, FAX and modes with similar bandwidth
> not exceeding 2 kHz.
>
> ----------
>
> i.e. few by Country Band Plans
>
> ----
> UK
> 10MHz (30m)
> Max
> Bandwidth [Hz] UK Usage
> 10.100-10.140 200 Telegraphy (CW)
> 10.116kHz - QRP (low power) centre of activity
> 10.140-10.150 [500hz] All narrow band modes

> --------
> Canada
> Frequency (MHz)Lower edgeFrequency (MHz)Upper edge Maximum Bandwidth
> Qualifications
> 10.1 10.15 [1000hz] B and 5
> Notes:
> "B" means an Amateur Operators Certificate with Basic Qualification
> "5" means an Amateur Operators Certificate with Morse Code (5 w.p.m.)
> Qualification
> ---------
> USA
> 10.1 10.150 [no limit]
> Notes:
> <200pep,10.140-10.150 Auto,avoid interference to fixed services outside USA
> ----------
> Spain
> Frecuencia (kHz) Ancho de banda
> máximo (Hz) Usos: Notas:
> 10100 - 10140 [200hz] CW 10116 kHz: Centro de actividad CW
> 10140 - 10150 [500hz] Modos digitales 10140.050 kHz: Centro de actividad
> QRSs/QRPp
>
> ------------
> New Zealand
> 10.1 10.14 cw [1khz]
> 10.14 10.15 Data/Packet [<6000hz]
> note:
> Region 3 bandplans are at IARU Region 3 web site
> ----------
> Australia
> 10.1 10.150 CW
> 10.115 10.140 SSB [<4000hz SSTV/Fax]
> 10.115 WICEN Frequency
> 10.14 10.150 Digital modes [<2000hz]
> ----------
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>,
> jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
> >
> > Thanks for your observations.
> >
> > I have deleted 10141 of the list.
> >
> > About ROS option <500 Hz it could be possible, but i think people would
> use always ROS 500 Hz thinking it is better than ROS 2250 Hz and that is not
> true. It is not easy explain in a few words, but,Â basicallyÂ Digital
> Communications Systems are divided in two bigs groups:
> >
> > Wideband efficient systems (PSK modulation)Â
> >

> > Power efficient systems (FSK Modulation)
> >
> > The propose of ROS is minimize power requirements for a Character Rate
> determinate, in this case 300 characters/minute. So, if you wantÂ transmit
> to 300 characters/minute with the minimum of powerÂ you should use ROS 16.
> Otherwise, if you wantÂ transmit to something less than 300
> characters/minute with the minimum WideBand you should use PSK31. However,
> you will need more power.
> >
> >
> > Â
> >
> > _____
> > De: Don <dhobson123@...>
> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > Enviado: dom,28 febrero, 2010 15:04
> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS - 10mhz 30m
> >
> > Â
> > Jose,
> >
> > First CONGRATS and very nice to see a new weak signal digital mode for
> the digital Ham community, great work! There is a lot of interest so this is
> good and the new ROS mode will prove to be a great addition for digital
> operatorsâ€¦thank you for your hard work!
> >
> > As far as the ROS HF frequency list for consideration is the listing of
> 10mhz (30 Meter) band frequencies
> > 10.141 (USA), 10.144 (USA), 10.147 (USA)
> > Please note that 10.141 or 10.140 â€" 10.142 is an area for most PSK and
> very busy in Region1 and Region2â€¦ listing of 10.144 and 10.147 good areas
> for 10mhz 30m (ROS on 10.141 10mhz 30m would be like listing 14.070 for
> 14mhz 20m). Consider only if you will be so kind but just an observation
> when looking at the ROS HF frequency list.
> >
> > One more question Jose, for 10mhz or 30 meter digital ops from Region2
> USA there is no limitations on digital bandwidth but there are limitations
> in Region1 which is <500hz for 10mhz 30m (other Countries have limitations
> also, up to all operators to check their own Countries regulations) . Will
> there be a narrower bandwidth ROS option of <500hz in the future so to be
> used by all in all Regions for 10mhz 30 meter band?
> >
> > Very interesting the stations and activity copied in ROS and also you're
> posting of the EME ROS contactâ€¦great things for ROS. Thank you again for
> all your work, time and working with the Amateur community to use this new
> digital mode!
> >
> > Best Health and DX 73
> > Don kb9umt
> > http://www.30mdg. net

> >
>
>
>

--
Philw de KA1GMN

378. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-28 23:52:12 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 370 Message: 378

I agree with Phil. lets leave 30M alone... use a different mode if 30M is the only band suitable on a given day. There are several modes that fit within the guidelines for 30M that will perform as well as ROS.

Andy K3UK

On Sun, Feb 28, 2010 at 6:11 PM, Phil Williams <ka1gmn@...> wrote:

>
>
> I vote for power efficiency. I would say, that if one has the need to gain
> some ROS experience, take a break from 30 meters and head for the bands that
> promote this exciting new mode.
>
> If ROS1/16 cannot fit within with 30 meter band due regional regulations,
> then so be it . Change the regulations or continue with status quo. Ninety
> percent of the digital activity on 30 meters involves modes that use less
> the 250Hz.
>
> Asking to hobble a mode to in order accommodate one band is folly at best.
>
> philw de ka1gmn
>
>
> On Sun, Feb 28, 2010 at 12:21 PM, Don <dhobson123@...> wrote:
>

379. Re: ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-02-28 23:48:42 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 370 Message: 379

Phil ka1gmn,

Good point. Have you used ROS and if so what is your experience...bands use and contacts thus far? I know you experiment with most all modes and all very interesting.

Thanks
de kb9umt Don
http://www.30mdg.net

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Phil Williams <ka1gmn@...> wrote:
>
> I vote for power efficiency. I would say, that if one has the need to gain
> some ROS experience, take a break from 30 meters and head for the bands that
> promote this exciting new mode.
>
> If ROS1/16 cannot fit within with 30 meter band due regional regulations,
> then so be it . Change the regulations or continue with status quo. Ninety
> percent of the digital activity on 30 meters involves modes that use less
> the 250Hz.
>
> Asking to hobble a mode to in order accommodate one band is folly at best.
>
> philw de ka1gmn
>
> On Sun, Feb 28, 2010 at 12:21 PM, Don <dhobson123@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Jose,
> >
> > Many thanks for consideration about 10mhz 30m.
> >
> > > Wideband efficient systems (PSK modulation)Â
> > >
> > > Power efficient systems (FSK Modulation)
> >
> > Agreed and understand.
> >
> > I think that there are many that experiment on the 10mhz (30m) band and
> > would use variations of bandwidths within a mode like Olivia,Thor,Throb,etc
> > has (as you already pointed out PSK is not a good weak signal mode)...many
> > do experiment with good results on 30m the different variations. It would
> > give more 30m digital ops a chance to use and experiment with ROS on 30m
> > getting to understand the program knowing if successful would only be more
> > successful using ROS 16 on other bands too.

> >
> > Since there are limitations in most Countries on bandwidth allowable and
> > also those that follow the IARU Region1,2,3 band plans having an option in
> > ROS <500 would allow ALL to use ROS on the 10mhz (30m) band. 10mhz 30m has
> > unique propagation since it is between 20m and 40m but with also unique
> > regulations (i.e. power,modes,width,etc may differ by Country...also
> > secondary use by most). Just a thought and suggestion but thanks again for
> > all you have done thus far, very good and job very well done!
> >
> > To understand this below some more details for 10mhz (30m).
> >
> > Thanks & 73
> >
> > de kb9umt Don
> > http://www.30mdg.net
> >
> >
> > IARU band plans (10mhz - 30m)
> >
> > -----Region 1 -----
> > 10 MHz:
> > 10100 - 10140 200 CW, 10116 kHz - QRP Centre of Activity
> > 10140 - 10150 [500hz] Narrow band modes ☐ digimodes
> > SSB may be used during emergencies involving the immediate safety of life
> > and property and only by stations actually involved in the handling of
> > emergency traffic.
> >
> > The band segment 10120 kHz to 10140 kHz may be used for SSB transmissions
> > in the area of Africa south of the equator during local daylight hours.
> >
> > News bulletins on any mode should not be transmitted on the 10 MHz band.
> >
> > -----Region 2 ------
> >
> > 10100 ☐ 10130 200 CW
> > CW QRP Centre of activity 10116 kHz
> > 10130 ☐ 10140 [500hz] All narrow band digimodes
> > 30 m Band
> > 10140 ☐ 10150 [2700hz] All modes digimodes, no phone (SSB, AM or DV)
> >
> > ----- Region 3 -------
> >
> > 10100 - 10150 CW
> > 10140 = 10150 NB
> > note:
> > NB: Narrow band modes including CW, RTTY, Packet and modes with similar
> > bandwidth not exceeding 2 kHz.
> > Phone: Phone operation includes SSTV, FAX and modes with similar bandwidth
> > not exceeding 2 kHz.

> >
> > ----------
> >
> > i.e. few by Country Band Plans
> >
> > ----
> > UK
> > 10MHz (30m)
> > Max
> > Bandwidth [Hz] UK Usage
> > 10.100-10.140 200 Telegraphy (CW)
> > 10.116kHz - QRP (low power) centre of activity
> > 10.140-10.150 [500hz] All narrow band modes
> > --------
> > Canada
> > Frequency (MHz)Lower edgeFrequency (MHz)Upper edge Maximum Bandwidth
> > Qualifications
> > 10.1 10.15 [1000hz] B and 5
> > Notes:
> > "B" means an Amateur Operators Certificate with Basic Qualification
> > "5" means an Amateur Operators Certificate with Morse Code (5 w.p.m.)
> > Qualification
> > ---------
> > USA
> > 10.1 10.150 [no limit]
> > Notes:
> > <200pep,10.140-10.150 Auto,avoid interference to fixed services outside USA
> > ----------
> > Spain
> > Frecuencia (kHz) Ancho de banda
> > máximo (Hz) Usos: Notas:
> > 10100 - 10140 [200hz] CW 10116 kHz: Centro de actividad CW
> > 10140 - 10150 [500hz] Modos digitales 10140.050 kHz: Centro de actividad
> > QRSs/QRPp
> >
> > ----------
> > New Zealand
> > 10.1 10.14 cw [1khz]
> > 10.14 10.15 Data/Packet [<6000hz]
> > note:
> > Region 3 bandplans are at IARU Region 3 web site
> > ----------
> > Australia
> > 10.1 10.150 CW
> > 10.115 10.140 SSB [<4000hz SSTV/Fax]
> > 10.115 WICEN Frequency
> > 10.14 10.150 Digital modes [<2000hz]
> > ----------
> >

> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>,
> > jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Thanks for your observations.
> > >
> > > I have deleted 10141 of the list.
> > >
> > > About ROS option <500 Hz it could be possible, but i think people would
> > use always ROS 500 Hz thinking it is better than ROS 2250 Hz and that is not
> > true. It is not easy explain in a few words, but,Â basicallyÂ Digital
> > Communications Systems are divided in two bigs groups:
> > >
> > > Wideband efficient systems (PSK modulation)Â
> > >
> > > Power efficient systems (FSK Modulation)
> > >
> > > The propose of ROS is minimize power requirements for a Character Rate
> > determinate, in this case 300 characters/minute. So, if you wantÂ transmit
> > to 300 characters/minute with the minimum of powerÂ you should use ROS 16.
> > Otherwise, if you wantÂ transmit to something less than 300
> > characters/minute with the minimum WideBand you should use PSK31. However,
> > you will need more power.
> > >
> > >
> > > Â
> > >
> > > _____
> > > De: Don <dhobson123@>
> > > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > Enviado: dom,28 febrero, 2010 15:04
> > > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS - 10mhz 30m
> > >
> > > Â
> > > Jose,
> > >
> > > First CONGRATS and very nice to see a new weak signal digital mode for
> > the digital Ham community, great work! There is a lot of interest so this is
> > good and the new ROS mode will prove to be a great addition for digital
> > operatorsâ□¦thank you for your hard work!
> > >
> > > As far as the ROS HF frequency list for consideration is the listing of
> > 10mhz (30 Meter) band frequencies
> > > 10.141 (USA), 10.144 (USA), 10.147 (USA)
> > > Please note that 10.141 or 10.140 â□" 10.142 is an area for most PSK and
> > very busy in Region1 and Region2â□¦ listing of 10.144 and 10.147 good areas
> > for 10mhz 30m (ROS on 10.141 10mhz 30m would be like listing 14.070 for
> > 14mhz 20m). Consider only if you will be so kind but just an observation
> > when looking at the ROS HF frequency list.
> > >

> > > One more question Jose, for 10mhz or 30 meter digital ops from Region2
> > USA there is no limitations on digital bandwidth but there are limitations
> > in Region1 which is <500hz for 10mhz 30m (other Countries have limitations
> > also, up to all operators to check their own Countries regulations) . Will
> > there be a narrower bandwidth ROS option of <500hz in the future so to be
> > used by all in all Regions for 10mhz 30 meter band?
> > >
> > > Very interesting the stations and activity copied in ROS and also you're
> > posting of the EME ROS contactâ□¦great things for ROS. Thank you again for
> > all your work, time and working with the Amateur community to use this new
> > digital mode!
> > >
> > > Best Health and DX 73
> > > Don kb9umt
> > > http://www.30mdg. net
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Philw de KA1GMN
>

380. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 00:21:09 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 370 Message: 380

Don, mostly 20M so far (14109 and 14106). I have found the mode to be quite good, the lack of error correction takes some getting used to, modes like JT65A have error correction.

Andy K3UK

On Sun, Feb 28, 2010 at 6:48 PM, Don <dhobson123@...> wrote:

>
>
> Phil ka1gmn,
>
> Good point. Have you used ROS and if so what is your experience...bands use
> and contacts thus far? I know you experiment with most all modes and all
> very interesting.
>
> Thanks
>
> de kb9umt Don
> http://www.30mdg.net
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>,
> Phil Williams <ka1gmn@...> wrote:
> >
> > I vote for power efficiency. I would say, that if one has the need to
> gain
> > some ROS experience, take a break from 30 meters and head for the bands
> that
> > promote this exciting new mode.
> >
> > If ROS1/16 cannot fit within with 30 meter band due regional regulations,
> > then so be it . Change the regulations or continue with status quo.
> Ninety
> > percent of the digital activity on 30 meters involves modes that use less
> > the 250Hz.
> >
> > Asking to hobble a mode to in order accommodate one band is folly at
> best.
> >
> > philw de ka1gmn
> >
> > On Sun, Feb 28, 2010 at 12:21 PM, Don <dhobson123@...> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > Jose,

> > >
> > > Many thanks for consideration about 10mhz 30m.
> > >
> > > > Wideband efficient systems (PSK modulation)Â
> > > >
> > > > Power efficient systems (FSK Modulation)
> > >
> > > Agreed and understand.
> > >
> > > I think that there are many that experiment on the 10mhz (30m) band and
> > > would use variations of bandwidths within a mode like
> Olivia,Thor,Throb,etc
> > > has (as you already pointed out PSK is not a good weak signal
> mode)...many
> > > do experiment with good results on 30m the different variations. It
> would
> > > give more 30m digital ops a chance to use and experiment with ROS on
> 30m
> > > getting to understand the program knowing if successful would only be
> more
> > > successful using ROS 16 on other bands too.
> > >
> > > Since there are limitations in most Countries on bandwidth allowable
> and
> > > also those that follow the IARU Region1,2,3 band plans having an option
> in
> > > ROS <500 would allow ALL to use ROS on the 10mhz (30m) band. 10mhz 30m
> has
> > > unique propagation since it is between 20m and 40m but with also unique
> > > regulations (i.e. power,modes,width,etc may differ by Country...also
> > > secondary use by most). Just a thought and suggestion but thanks again
> for
> > > all you have done thus far, very good and job very well done!
> > >
> > > To understand this below some more details for 10mhz (30m).
> > >
> > > Thanks & 73
> > >
> > > de kb9umt Don
> > > http://www.30mdg.net
> > >
> > >
> > > IARU band plans (10mhz - 30m)
> > >
> > > -----Region 1 -----
> > > 10 MHz:
> > > 10100 - 10140 200 CW, 10116 kHz - QRP Centre of Activity
> > > 10140 - 10150 [500hz] Narrow band modes – digimodes
> > > SSB may be used during emergencies involving the immediate safety of
> life

> > > and property and only by stations actually involved in the handling of
> > > emergency traffic.
> > >
> > > The band segment 10120 kHz to 10140 kHz may be used for SSB
> transmissions
> > > in the area of Africa south of the equator during local daylight hours.
> > >
> > > News bulletins on any mode should not be transmitted on the 10 MHz
> band.
> > >
> > > -----Region 2 ------
> > >
> > > 10100 – 10130 200 CW
> > > CW QRP Centre of activity 10116 kHz
> > > 10130 – 10140 [500hz] All narrow band digimodes
> > > 30 m Band
> > > 10140 – 10150 [2700hz] All modes digimodes, no phone (SSB, AM or DV)
> > >
> > > ----- Region 3 -------
> > >
> > > 10100 - 10150 CW
> > > 10140 = 10150 NB
> > > note:
> > > NB: Narrow band modes including CW, RTTY, Packet and modes with similar
> > > bandwidth not exceeding 2 kHz.
> > > Phone: Phone operation includes SSTV, FAX and modes with similar
> bandwidth
> > > not exceeding 2 kHz.
> > >
> > > ---
> > >
> > > i.e. few by Country Band Plans
> > >
> > > ----
> > > UK
> > > 10MHz (30m)
> > > Max
> > > Bandwidth [Hz] UK Usage
> > > 10.100-10.140 200 Telegraphy (CW)
> > > 10.116kHz - QRP (low power) centre of activity
> > > 10.140-10.150 [500hz] All narrow band modes
> > > --------
> > > Canada
> > > Frequency (MHz)Lower edgeFrequency (MHz)Upper edge Maximum Bandwidth
> > > Qualifications
> > > 10.1 10.15 [1000hz] B and 5
> > > Notes:
> > > "B" means an Amateur Operators Certificate with Basic Qualification
> > > "5" means an Amateur Operators Certificate with Morse Code (5 w.p.m.)
> > > Qualification

> > > ---------
> > > USA
> > > 10.1 10.150 [no limit]
> > > Notes:
> > > <200pep,10.140-10.150 Auto,avoid interference to fixed services outside
> USA
> > > ----------
> > > Spain
> > > Frecuencia (kHz) Ancho de banda
> > > máximo (Hz) Usos: Notas:
> > > 10100 - 10140 [200hz] CW 10116 kHz: Centro de actividad CW
> > > 10140 - 10150 [500hz] Modos digitales 10140.050 kHz: Centro de
> actividad
> > > QRSs/QRPp
> > >
> > > ------------
> > > New Zealand
> > > 10.1 10.14 cw [1khz]
> > > 10.14 10.15 Data/Packet [<6000hz]
> > > note:
> > > Region 3 bandplans are at IARU Region 3 web site
> > > ----------
> > > Australia
> > > 10.1 10.150 CW
> > > 10.115 10.140 SSB [<4000hz SSTV/Fax]
> > > 10.115 WICEN Frequency
> > > 10.14 10.150 Digital modes [<2000hz]
> > > ----------
> > >
> > > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>,
>
> > > jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Thanks for your observations.
> > > >
> > > > I have deleted 10141 of the list.
> > > >
> > > > About ROS option <500 Hz it could be possible, but i think people
> would
> > > use always ROS 500 Hz thinking it is better than ROS 2250 Hz and that
> is not
> > > true. It is not easy explain in a few words, but,Â basicallyÂ Digital
> > > Communications Systems are divided in two bigs groups:
> > > >
> > > > Wideband efficient systems (PSK modulation)Â
> > > >
> > > > Power efficient systems (FSK Modulation)
> > > >
> > > > The propose of ROS is minimize power requirements for a Character

> Rate
> > > determinate, in this case 300 characters/minute. So, if you wantÂ
> transmit
> > > to 300 characters/minute with the minimum of powerÂ you should use ROS
> 16.
> > > Otherwise, if you wantÂ transmit to something less than 300
> > > characters/minute with the minimum WideBand you should use PSK31.
> However,
> > > you will need more power.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Â
> > > >
> > > > _____
> > > > De: Don <dhobson123@>
> > > > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
>
> > > > Enviado: dom,28 febrero, 2010 15:04
> > > > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS - 10mhz 30m
> > > >
> > > > Â
> > > > Jose,
> > > >
> > > > First CONGRATS and very nice to see a new weak signal digital mode
> for
> > > the digital Ham community, great work! There is a lot of interest so
> this is
> > > good and the new ROS mode will prove to be a great addition for digital
> > > operatorsâ€¦thank you for your hard work!
> > > >
> > > > As far as the ROS HF frequency list for consideration is the listing
> of
> > > 10mhz (30 Meter) band frequencies
> > > > 10.141 (USA), 10.144 (USA), 10.147 (USA)
> > > > Please note that 10.141 or 10.140 â€" 10.142 is an area for most PSK
> and
> > > very busy in Region1 and Region2â€¦ listing of 10.144 and 10.147 good
> areas
> > > for 10mhz 30m (ROS on 10.141 10mhz 30m would be like listing 14.070
> for
> > > 14mhz 20m). Consider only if you will be so kind but just an
> observation
> > > when looking at the ROS HF frequency list.
> > > >
> > > > One more question Jose, for 10mhz or 30 meter digital ops from
> Region2
> > > USA there is no limitations on digital bandwidth but there are
> limitations
> > > in Region1 which is <500hz for 10mhz 30m (other Countries have

> limitations
> > > also, up to all operators to check their own Countries regulations) .
> Will
> > > there be a narrower bandwidth ROS option of <500hz in the future so to
> be
> > > used by all in all Regions for 10mhz 30 meter band?
> > > >
> > > > Very interesting the stations and activity copied in ROS and also
> you're
> > > > posting of the EME ROS contactâ€¦great things for ROS. Thank you again
> for
> > > > all your work, time and working with the Amateur community to use this
> new
> > > > digital mode!
> > > > >
> > > > > Best Health and DX 73
> > > > > Don kb9umt
> > > > > http://www.30mdg. net
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Philw de KA1GMN
> >
>
>
>

381. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 00:37:40 UTC
From: Phil Williams
Topic: 370 Message: 381

I have used it on 20 and 17 meters. There are two data rates; 1 and 16 baud. VE2FXL has copied me on 30 meters at transmit power levels of less that 1 watt with tan SNR (referred to as Metric in ROS terms) in the -20 to -24 dB SNR range using 1 baud.

If one include their e-mail in their transmission than any station decoding you can sent a signal report to your e-mail address automatically.

The data covers an approximate 2 KHz spectrum offering some frequency diversity and immunity to QRM. I see an opportunity here for some experimentation as to how "QRM proof" ROS is when it is subject to vary other types of emission. Of special interest, would be how ROS impacts the other digital modes. I suspect that one could have a number of stations running PSK or other narrow digital modes and operate quite successfully while in the presence of a couple of ROS stations.

I have had some very good luck with working EU stations on 20 and 18 meters using 16, but I can't really say how well it work in comparison to say, Olivia or MT63 as I think I need more experience under my belt before I can come to any real conclusions.

We need more ops using this mode and sharing their experiences.

philw de ka1gmn

On Sun, Feb 28, 2010 at 5:48 PM, Don <dhobson123@...> wrote:

>
>
> Phil ka1gmn,
>
> Good point. Have you used ROS and if so what is your experience...bands use
> and contacts thus far? I know you experiment with most all modes and all
> very interesting.
>
> Thanks
>
> de kb9umt Don
> http://www.30mdg.net
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@...m<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>,
> Phil Williams <ka1gmn@...> wrote:
> >
> > I vote for power efficiency. I would say, that if one has the need to
> gain
> > some ROS experience, take a break from 30 meters and head for the bands
> that

> > promote this exciting new mode.
> >
> > If ROS1/16 cannot fit within with 30 meter band due regional regulations,
> > then so be it . Change the regulations or continue with status quo.
> Ninety
> > percent of the digital activity on 30 meters involves modes that use less
> > the 250Hz.
> >
> > Asking to hobble a mode to in order accommodate one band is folly at
> best.
> >
> > philw de ka1gmn
> >
> > On Sun, Feb 28, 2010 at 12:21 PM, Don <dhobson123@...> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > Jose,
> > >
> > > Many thanks for consideration about 10mhz 30m.
> > >
> > > > Wideband efficient systems (PSK modulation)Â
> > > >
> > > > Power efficient systems (FSK Modulation)
> > >
> > > Agreed and understand.
> > >
> > > I think that there are many that experiment on the 10mhz (30m) band and
> > > would use variations of bandwidths within a mode like
> Olivia,Thor,Throb,etc
> > > has (as you already pointed out PSK is not a good weak signal
> mode)...many
> > > do experiment with good results on 30m the different variations. It
> would
> > > give more 30m digital ops a chance to use and experiment with ROS on
> 30m
> > > getting to understand the program knowing if successful would only be
> more
> > > successful using ROS 16 on other bands too.
> > >
> > > Since there are limitations in most Countries on bandwidth allowable
> and
> > > also those that follow the IARU Region1,2,3 band plans having an option
> in
> > > ROS <500 would allow ALL to use ROS on the 10mhz (30m) band. 10mhz 30m
> has
> > > unique propagation since it is between 20m and 40m but with also unique
> > > regulations (i.e. power,modes,width,etc may differ by Country...also
> > > secondary use by most). Just a thought and suggestion but thanks again
> for

> > > all you have done thus far, very good and job very well done!
> > >
> > > To understand this below some more details for 10mhz (30m).
> > >
> > > Thanks & 73
> > >
> > > de kb9umt Don
> > > http://www.30mdg.net
> > >
> > >
> > > IARU band plans (10mhz - 30m)
> > >
> > > -----Region 1 -----
> > > 10 MHz:
> > > 10100 - 10140 200 CW, 10116 kHz - QRP Centre of Activity
> > > 10140 - 10150 [500hz] Narrow band modes – digimodes
> > > SSB may be used during emergencies involving the immediate safety of
> life
> > > and property and only by stations actually involved in the handling of
> > > emergency traffic.
> > >
> > > The band segment 10120 kHz to 10140 kHz may be used for SSB
> transmissions
> > > in the area of Africa south of the equator during local daylight hours.
> > >
> > > News bulletins on any mode should not be transmitted on the 10 MHz
> band.
> > >
> > > -----Region 2 ------
> > >
> > > 10100 – 10130 200 CW
> > > CW QRP Centre of activity 10116 kHz
> > > 10130 – 10140 [500hz] All narrow band digimodes
> > > 30 m Band
> > > 10140 – 10150 [2700hz] All modes digimodes, no phone (SSB, AM or DV)
> > >
> > > ----- Region 3 -------
> > >
> > > 10100 - 10150 CW
> > > 10140 = 10150 NB
> > > note:
> > > NB: Narrow band modes including CW, RTTY, Packet and modes with similar
> > > bandwidth not exceeding 2 kHz.
> > > Phone: Phone operation includes SSTV, FAX and modes with similar
> bandwidth
> > > not exceeding 2 kHz.
> > >
> > > ----------
> > >
> > > i.e. few by Country Band Plans

> > >
> > > ----
> > > UK
> > > 10MHz (30m)
> > > Max
> > > Bandwidth [Hz] UK Usage
> > > 10.100-10.140 200 Telegraphy (CW)
> > > 10.116kHz - QRP (low power) centre of activity
> > > 10.140-10.150 [500hz] All narrow band modes
> > > --------
> > > Canada
> > > Frequency (MHz)Lower edgeFrequency (MHz)Upper edge Maximum Bandwidth
> > > Qualifications
> > > 10.1 10.15 [1000hz] B and 5
> > > Notes:
> > > "B" means an Amateur Operators Certificate with Basic Qualification
> > > "5" means an Amateur Operators Certificate with Morse Code (5 w.p.m.)
> > > Qualification
> > > ---------
> > > USA
> > > 10.1 10.150 [no limit]
> > > Notes:
> > > <200pep,10.140-10.150 Auto,avoid interference to fixed services outside
> USA
> > > ----------
> > > Spain
> > > Frecuencia (kHz) Ancho de banda
> > > máximo (Hz) Usos: Notas:
> > > 10100 - 10140 [200hz] CW 10116 kHz: Centro de actividad CW
> > > 10140 - 10150 [500hz] Modos digitales 10140.050 kHz: Centro de
> actividad
> > > QRSs/QRPp
> > >
> > > ------------
> > > New Zealand
> > > 10.1 10.14 cw [1khz]
> > > 10.14 10.15 Data/Packet [<6000hz]
> > > note:
> > > Region 3 bandplans are at IARU Region 3 web site
> > > ----------
> > > Australia
> > > 10.1 10.150 CW
> > > 10.115 10.140 SSB [<4000hz SSTV/Fax]
> > > 10.115 WICEN Frequency
> > > 10.14 10.150 Digital modes [<2000hz]
> > > ----------
> > >
> > > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>,
>

> > > jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Thanks for your observations.
> > > >
> > > > I have deleted 10141 of the list.
> > > >
> > > > About ROS option <500 Hz it could be possible, but i think people
> would
> > > use always ROS 500 Hz thinking it is better than ROS 2250 Hz and that
> is not
> > > true. It is not easy explain in a few words, but,Â basicallyÂ Digital
> > > Communications Systems are divided in two bigs groups:
> > > >
> > > > Wideband efficient systems (PSK modulation)Â
> > > >
> > > > Power efficient systems (FSK Modulation)
> > > >
> > > > The propose of ROS is minimize power requirements for a Character
> Rate
> > > determinate, in this case 300 characters/minute. So, if you wantÂ
> transmit
> > > to 300 characters/minute with the minimum of powerÂ you should use ROS
> 16.
> > > Otherwise, if you wantÂ transmit to something less than 300
> > > characters/minute with the minimum WideBand you should use PSK31.
> However,
> > > you will need more power.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Â
> > > >
> > > > _____
> > > > De: Don <dhobson123@>
> > > > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
>
> > > > Enviado: dom,28 febrero, 2010 15:04
> > > > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS - 10mhz 30m
> > > >
> > > > Â
> > > > Jose,
> > > >
> > > > First CONGRATS and very nice to see a new weak signal digital mode
> for
> > > the digital Ham community, great work! There is a lot of interest so
> this is
> > > good and the new ROS mode will prove to be a great addition for digital
> > > operatorsâ€¦thank you for your hard work!
> > > >
> > > > As far as the ROS HF frequency list for consideration is the listing

> of
> > > 10mhz (30 Meter) band frequencies
> > > > 10.141 (USA), 10.144 (USA), 10.147 (USA)
> > > > Please note that 10.141 or 10.140 â€" 10.142 is an area for most PSK
> and
> > > very busy in Region1 and Region2â€¦ listing of 10.144 and 10.147 good
> areas
> > > for 10mhz 30m (ROS on 10.141 10mhz 30m would be like listing 14.070
> for
> > > 14mhz 20m). Consider only if you will be so kind but just an
> observation
> > > when looking at the ROS HF frequency list.
> > > >
> > > > One more question Jose, for 10mhz or 30 meter digital ops from
> Region2
> > > USA there is no limitations on digital bandwidth but there are
> limitations
> > > in Region1 which is <500hz for 10mhz 30m (other Countries have
> limitations
> > > also, up to all operators to check their own Countries regulations) .
> Will
> > > there be a narrower bandwidth ROS option of <500hz in the future so to
> be
> > > used by all in all Regions for 10mhz 30 meter band?
> > > >
> > > > Very interesting the stations and activity copied in ROS and also
> you're
> > > posting of the EME ROS contactâ€¦great things for ROS. Thank you again
> for
> > > all your work, time and working with the Amateur community to use this
> new
> > > digital mode!
> > > >
> > > > Best Health and DX 73
> > > > Don kb9umt
> > > > http://www.30mdg. net
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Philw de KA1GMN
> >
>
>
>

--
Philw de KA1GMN

382. Re: ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 03:06:29 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 370 Message: 382

Andy K3UK and Phil KA1GMN,

Thanks again for your replies.

Phil I don't expect Jose to change anything but the question was thrown out about those with those with limited restrictions on bandwidth thus the question on variations if possible on ROS like the other modes of Olivia, Thor, Contestia etc...but no problem if this isn't possible and like you say there are other bands but the listing of the 10mhz 30m band on the ROS site and frequencies to use is why the question was asked of Jose.

Andy wrote>
>I agree with Phil. lets leave 30M alone... use a different mode if >30M is the only band suitable on a given day. There are several >modes that fit within the guidelines for 30M that will perform as >well as ROS.

Andy a couple things to keep in mind that Jose listed 10mhz frequencies for ROS and since it was the only WARC band listed I thought he might want to know about the activity on 10.141 and thus was kind enough to consider taking that off his list (thanks again Jose). But the 10.144 and 10.147 are still listed so I will assume that folks will give ROS a try on the 30 meter band and that's fine...it all sounds interesting, BUT also keeping in mind there are other Countries unlike the USA that are bandwidth restricted on 10mhz 30m...that is all I was pointing out. I also don't know how well ROS works or have any experience with it to say how it will preform against other modes...but again it all sounds interesting to experiment with.

Actually my question came about from a message post from Fred DL6XAZ and some observations from his side in EU (a few other emails but those were personal, this one was public so I listed and asked my question here in this forum for ROS).

Thanks
Don kb9umt
http://www.30mdg.net

****
Re: ROS 16 on 30M ?

Hi folks,
although the question of ROS on 30m for the US seems to have been clarified,here in Europe there appear quite strong ROS signals at times e.g. today twice.I succeeded taking a screen shot (see under photos) and it will be clear to everybody that the signal is way too large for the small digital segment we got,apart from legal regulations in most EU countries which will speak against it.
I have not observed ALE yet on 30m.
73s Fred DL6XAZ #988

--- In 30MDG@yahoogroups.com, Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...> wrote:
>

> The users of ROS 1 and ROS 16 are suggesting some 30M frequencies.
> Since ROS 16 is 2250 Hz wide, is that "legal" on 30M for USA hams ?
> Are USA hams limited to 500 hz or below in terms of bandwidth ?
> That would also prohibit some Olivia and ALE .
>
> Andy K3UK
****

ROS Frequencies (Mhz) USB version 2.2 (stay tuned to the changes at this site, please)

» 1.840
» 3.600
» 3.605
» 3.610
» 3.615
» 7.0402 (USA)
» 7.050
» 7.0545
» 7.0572
» 10.144 (USA)
» 10.147 (USA)
» 14.102 (exclusive 16 baud)
» 14.106 (exclusive 16 baud)
» 14.109 (exclusive 1 baud)
» 21.2121
» 28.300
» 50.300 METEOR (exclusive 1 baud)
» 144.160 EME (exclusive 1 baud)

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...> wrote:
>
> I agree with Phil. lets leave 30M alone... use a different mode if 30M is
> the only band suitable on a given day. There are several modes that fit
> within the guidelines for 30M that will perform as well as ROS.
>
> Andy K3UK
>
> On Sun, Feb 28, 2010 at 6:11 PM, Phil Williams <ka1gmn@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > I vote for power efficiency. I would say, that if one has the need to gain
> > some ROS experience, take a break from 30 meters and head for the bands that
> > promote this exciting new mode.
> >
> > If ROS1/16 cannot fit within with 30 meter band due regional regulations,
> > then so be it . Change the regulations or continue with status quo. Ninety
> > percent of the digital activity on 30 meters involves modes that use less
> > the 250Hz.
> >
> > Asking to hobble a mode to in order accommodate one band is folly at best.

> >
> > philw de ka1gmn
> >
> >
> > On Sun, Feb 28, 2010 at 12:21 PM, Don <dhobson123@...> wrote:
> >
>

383. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 04:49:23 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 383

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.1.5 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.1.5%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

384. Re: ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 03:11:04 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 370 Message: 384

Phil ka1gmn and Andy ku3k,

Thank you both for your replies to this and your information...very much appreicated and very interesting.

Phil, I don't think I have my email setup right either...I copied a few stations the other day on 20 meters but I'm guilty of that also of not have things maybe setup correctly because I don't think any emails went out. I will have to look at the users guide that Jose has or in the past messages here to see if I'm setup correctly on that or how to do that.

Thanks
Don kb9umt
http://www.30mdg.net

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Phil Williams <ka1gmn@...> wrote:
>
> I have used it on 20 and 17 meters. There are two data rates; 1 and 16
> baud. VE2FXL has copied me on 30 meters at transmit power levels of less
> that 1 watt with tan SNR (referred to as Metric in ROS terms) in the -20 to
> -24 dB SNR range using 1 baud.
>
> If one include their e-mail in their transmission than any station decoding
> you can sent a signal report to your e-mail address automatically.
>
> The data covers an approximate 2 KHz spectrum offering some frequency
> diversity and immunity to QRM. I see an opportunity here for some
> experimentation as to how "QRM proof" ROS is when it is subject to vary
> other types of emission. Of special interest, would be how ROS impacts the
> other digital modes. I suspect that one could have a number of stations
> running PSK or other narrow digital modes and operate quite successfully
> while in the presence of a couple of ROS stations.
>
> I have had some very good luck with working EU stations on 20 and 18 meters
> using 16, but I can't really say how well it work in comparison to say,
> Olivia or MT63 as I think I need more experience under my belt before I can
> come to any real conclusions.
>
> We need more ops using this mode and sharing their experiences.
>
> philw de ka1gmn
>
> On Sun, Feb 28, 2010 at 5:48 PM, Don <dhobson123@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >

> > Phil ka1gmn,
> >
> > Good point. Have you used ROS and if so what is your experience...bands use
> > and contacts thus far? I know you experiment with most all modes and all
> > very interesting.
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > de kb9umt Don
> > http://www.30mdg.net
> >
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>,
> > Phil Williams <ka1gmn@> wrote:
> > >
> > > I vote for power efficiency. I would say, that if one has the need to
> > gain
> > > some ROS experience, take a break from 30 meters and head for the bands
> > that
> > > promote this exciting new mode.
> > >
> > > If ROS1/16 cannot fit within with 30 meter band due regional regulations,
> > > then so be it . Change the regulations or continue with status quo.
> > Ninety
> > > percent of the digital activity on 30 meters involves modes that use less
> > > the 250Hz.
> > >
> > > Asking to hobble a mode to in order accommodate one band is folly at
> > best.
> > >
> > > philw de ka1gmn
> > >
> > > On Sun, Feb 28, 2010 at 12:21 PM, Don <dhobson123@> wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Jose,
> > > >
> > > > Many thanks for consideration about 10mhz 30m.
> > > >
> > > > > Wideband efficient systems (PSK modulation)Â
> > > > >
> > > > > Power efficient systems (FSK Modulation)
> > > >
> > > > Agreed and understand.
> > > >
> > > > I think that there are many that experiment on the 10mhz (30m) band and
> > > > would use variations of bandwidths within a mode like
> > Olivia,Thor,Throb,etc
> > > > has (as you already pointed out PSK is not a good weak signal

> > mode)...many
> > > > do experiment with good results on 30m the different variations. It
> > would
> > > > give more 30m digital ops a chance to use and experiment with ROS on
> > 30m
> > > > getting to understand the program knowing if successful would only be
> > more
> > > > successful using ROS 16 on other bands too.
> > > >
> > > > Since there are limitations in most Countries on bandwidth allowable
> > and
> > > > also those that follow the IARU Region1,2,3 band plans having an option
> > in
> > > > ROS <500 would allow ALL to use ROS on the 10mhz (30m) band. 10mhz 30m
> > has
> > > > unique propagation since it is between 20m and 40m but with also unique
> > > > regulations (i.e. power,modes,width,etc may differ by Country...also
> > > > secondary use by most). Just a thought and suggestion but thanks again
> > for
> > > > all you have done thus far, very good and job very well done!
> > > >
> > > > To understand this below some more details for 10mhz (30m).
> > > >
> > > > Thanks & 73
> > > >
> > > > de kb9umt Don
> > > > http://www.30mdg.net
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > IARU band plans (10mhz - 30m)
> > > >
> > > > -----Region 1 -----
> > > > 10 MHz:
> > > > 10100 - 10140 200 CW, 10116 kHz - QRP Centre of Activity
> > > > 10140 - 10150 [500hz] Narrow band modes ☐ digimodes
> > > > SSB may be used during emergencies involving the immediate safety of
> > life
> > > > and property and only by stations actually involved in the handling of
> > > > emergency traffic.
> > > >
> > > > The band segment 10120 kHz to 10140 kHz may be used for SSB
> > transmissions
> > > > in the area of Africa south of the equator during local daylight hours.
> > > >
> > > > News bulletins on any mode should not be transmitted on the 10 MHz
> > band.
> > > >
> > > > -----Region 2 ------
> > > >

> > > > 10100 ☐ 10130 200 CW
> > > > CW QRP Centre of activity 10116 kHz
> > > > 10130 ☐ 10140 [500hz] All narrow band digimodes
> > > > 30 m Band
> > > > 10140 ☐ 10150 [2700hz] All modes digimodes, no phone (SSB, AM or DV)
> > > >
> > > > ----- Region 3 -------
> > > >
> > > > 10100 - 10150 CW
> > > > 10140 = 10150 NB
> > > > note:
> > > > NB: Narrow band modes including CW, RTTY, Packet and modes with similar
> > > > bandwidth not exceeding 2 kHz.
> > > > Phone: Phone operation includes SSTV, FAX and modes with similar
> > bandwidth
> > > > not exceeding 2 kHz.
> > > >
> > > > ----------
> > > >
> > > > i.e. few by Country Band Plans
> > > >
> > > > ----
> > > > UK
> > > > 10MHz (30m)
> > > > Max
> > > > Bandwidth [Hz] UK Usage
> > > > 10.100-10.140 200 Telegraphy (CW)
> > > > 10.116kHz - QRP (low power) centre of activity
> > > > 10.140-10.150 [500hz] All narrow band modes
> > > > --------
> > > > Canada
> > > > Frequency (MHz)Lower edgeFrequency (MHz)Upper edge Maximum Bandwidth
> > > > Qualifications
> > > > 10.1 10.15 [1000hz] B and 5
> > > > Notes:
> > > > "B" means an Amateur Operators Certificate with Basic Qualification
> > > > "5" means an Amateur Operators Certificate with Morse Code (5 w.p.m.)
> > > > Qualification
> > > > ---------
> > > > USA
> > > > 10.1 10.150 [no limit]
> > > > Notes:
> > > > <200pep,10.140-10.150 Auto,avoid interference to fixed services outside
> > USA
> > > > ----------
> > > > Spain
> > > > Frecuencia (kHz) Ancho de banda
> > > > máximo (Hz) Usos: Notas:
> > > > 10100 - 10140 [200hz] CW 10116 kHz: Centro de actividad CW

> > > > 10140 - 10150 [500hz] Modos digitales 10140.050 kHz: Centro de
> > actividad
> > > > QRSs/QRPp
> > > >
> > > > ----------
> > > > New Zealand
> > > > 10.1 10.14 cw [1khz]
> > > > 10.14 10.15 Data/Packet [<6000hz]
> > > > note:
> > > > Region 3 bandplans are at IARU Region 3 web site
> > > > ----------
> > > > Australia
> > > > 10.1 10.150 CW
> > > > 10.115 10.140 SSB [<4000hz SSTV/Fax]
> > > > 10.115 WICEN Frequency
> > > > 10.14 10.150 Digital modes [<2000hz]
> > > > ----------
> > > >
> > > > --- In
ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>,
> >
> > > > jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks for your observations.
> > > > >
> > > > > I have deleted 10141 of the list.
> > > > >
> > > > > About ROS option <500 Hz it could be possible, but i think people
> > would
> > > > use always ROS 500 Hz thinking it is better than ROS 2250 Hz and that
> > is not
> > > > true. It is not easy explain in a few words, but,Â basicallyÂ Digital
> > > > Communications Systems are divided in two bigs groups:
> > > > >
> > > > > Wideband efficient systems (PSK modulation)Â
> > > > >
> > > > > Power efficient systems (FSK Modulation)
> > > > >
> > > > > The propose of ROS is minimize power requirements for a Character
> > Rate
> > > > determinate, in this case 300 characters/minute. So, if you wantÂ
> > transmit
> > > > to 300 characters/minute with the minimum of powerÂ you should use ROS
> > 16.
> > > > Otherwise, if you wantÂ transmit to something less than 300
> > > > characters/minute with the minimum WideBand you should use PSK31.
> > However,
> > > > you will need more power.

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Â
> > > > >
> > > > > _____
> > > > > De: Don <dhobson123@>
> > > > > Para:
ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> >
> > > > > Enviado: dom,28 febrero, 2010 15:04
> > > > > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS - 10mhz 30m
> > > > >
> > > > > Â
> > > > > Jose,
> > > > >
> > > > > First CONGRATS and very nice to see a new weak signal digital mode
> > for
> > > > > the digital Ham community, great work! There is a lot of interest so
> > this is
> > > > > good and the new ROS mode will prove to be a great addition for digital
> > > > > operatorsâ□¦thank you for your hard work!
> > > > >
> > > > > As far as the ROS HF frequency list for consideration is the listing
> > of
> > > > > 10mhz (30 Meter) band frequencies
> > > > > 10.141 (USA), 10.144 (USA), 10.147 (USA)
> > > > > Please note that 10.141 or 10.140 â□" 10.142 is an area for most PSK
> > and
> > > > > very busy in Region1 and Region2â□¦ listing of 10.144 and 10.147 good
> > areas
> > > > > for 10mhz 30m (ROS on 10.141 10mhz 30m would be like listing 14.070
> > for
> > > > > 14mhz 20m). Consider only if you will be so kind but just an
> > observation
> > > > > when looking at the ROS HF frequency list.
> > > > >
> > > > > One more question Jose, for 10mhz or 30 meter digital ops from
> > Region2
> > > > > USA there is no limitations on digital bandwidth but there are
> > limitations
> > > > > in Region1 which is <500hz for 10mhz 30m (other Countries have
> > limitations
> > > > > also, up to all operators to check their own Countries regulations) .
> > Will
> > > > > there be a narrower bandwidth ROS option of <500hz in the future so to
> > be
> > > > > used by all in all Regions for 10mhz 30 meter band?
> > > > > >

> > > > > Very interesting the stations and activity copied in ROS and also
> > you're
> > > > posting of the EME ROS contactâ□¦great things for ROS. Thank you again
> > for
> > > > all your work, time and working with the Amateur community to use this
> > new
> > > > digital mode!
> > > > >
> > > > > Best Health and DX 73
> > > > > Don kb9umt
> > > > > http://www.30mdg. net
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Philw de KA1GMN
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Philw de KA1GMN
>

385. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 10:57:10 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 370 Message: 385

Don wrote:
> Phil ka1gmn and Andy ku3k,
>
> Thank you both for your replies to this and your information...very much appreicated and very interesting.
>
> Phil, I don't think I have my email setup right either...I copied a few stations the other day on 20 meters but I'm guilty of that also of not have things maybe setup correctly because I don't think any emails went out. I will have to look at the users guide that Jose has or in the past messages here to see if I'm setup correctly on that or how to do that.

How have you got your email settings set up Don?

I am not sure that the SMTP will work as it is currently set up, but I could be wrong, in that it asks for just your ISPs SMTP setting but not your email address (which is usually also your Username when logging into the ISP mail system) or your password, which is what you have to also put into Outlook Express/Thunderbird/whatever other email program that youuse, to allow you to send emails through your ISP.

However, the gail system does work, as I've seen emails going out from my gmail account.

You then need to copy an email address from someone else, and not many people seem to send their email address in their messages, so until you see a valid address inside the chevrons, like this <dave@...>
(this is not a real email address - it's just an example of how it should look when you receive an email address) then ROS will not even attempt to send a message.

When you do get it set up, then you will need to look in your "Sent Messages" folder to see what you have sent out, of course, but when you do they look like this (email address altered)

********** Please don't reply to this email **********

G0DJA has received your Radio Message sent at: 17:13 UTC

Received Message: ' ZR4LP de IZ0INX tnx fer QSO, 73 My email is: <iz0inx@...> <iz0inx@...> <iz0inx@...> 73 73 ciao ciao ZR4LP de IZ0INX sk'

Operator Info:
Callsign: G0DJA
Name: Dave
E-mail: dave@...

QTH: Bolsover
Locator: IO93if
Station: Radio: TS2000X - antenna: doublet
ROS Version: 2.1.4 Beta

Signal Info:
Symbol Rate: 16 bauds
Frame Acquisition: 19/20
Final Acquisition: 16/16
Frequency Shift: 0.0 Hz
Symbol Errors detected by Viterbi: 1/50
Metric: 0 dB
Vumeter Level: -7 dB
CPU Usage: 100 %

Congratulations for the QSO

Dave (G0DJA)

386. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 11:23:12 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 370 Message: 386

Dave Ackrill wrote:

> I am not sure that the SMTP will work as it is currently set up, but I
> could be wrong, in that it asks for just your ISPs SMTP setting but not
> your email address (which is usually also your Username when logging
> into the ISP mail system) or your password, which is what you have to
> also put into Outlook Express/Thunderbird/whatever other email program
> that youuse, to allow you to send emails through your ISP.

Looking more closely at the settings for my email programs, I see that
the password seems to only be used by the 'incoming email' side of
Outlook Express/Thunderbird etc.

However, I wonder if ROS is also assuming that the email address to send
messages from is also the same as the email address you use in the User
setup? If so, that may be where I was going wrong as I have more than
one email address and ISP...

I have now set the SMTP address to be the one that the email address
that I send out on air are for the same SMTP address and I hope to copy
an email address 'off air' soon to see if it sends an email out.

Not yet seen an email address, so i may have to leave it monitoring for
a while.

Dave (G0DJA)

387. Email settings

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 12:24:44 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 370 Message: 387

I have got the gmail system working OK, and it sends confirmation emails out, but I cannot get the SMTP system to work.

I have put "smtp.easily.co.uk" into the Email "I have a Mail client" box. This is the same email system as my email address used in the Operator "Email" settings.

I then waited to see an email address off air inside the chevrons. Then I looked in the 'Sent Items' in Outlook Express but there are no sent emails with reception reports.

Has anyone else got the 'I have a Mail client' system to work please? If so, how did you set it up?

Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)

388. Re: ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 17:00:29 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 370 Message: 388

Dave (and others.)

Because of abuse by spammers many ISPs have additional controls over use of their outgoing SMTP relays. They may require authentication to send mail (typically the same login name and password you use to receive email.) Another common control is send after receive authentication. This works on the basis that the popular mail clients always try to download mail (for which authentication is required) before they send any, so they don't require authentication to be set up for sending as long as you only send mail immediately after trying to receive some.

Therefore attempting to send mail without authentication may fail some or all of the time for some people.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Dave Ackrill wrote:
>
> > I am not sure that the SMTP will work as it is currently set up, but I
> > could be wrong, in that it asks for just your ISPs SMTP setting but not
> > your email address (which is usually also your Username when logging
> > into the ISP mail system) or your password, which is what you have to
> > also put into Outlook Express/Thunderbird/whatever other email program
> > that youuse, to allow you to send emails through your ISP.
>
> Looking more closely at the settings for my email programs, I see that
> the password seems to only be used by the 'incoming email' side of
> Outlook Express/Thunderbird etc.
>
> However, I wonder if ROS is also assuming that the email address to send
> messages from is also the same as the email address you use in the User
> setup? If so, that may be where I was going wrong as I have more than
> one email address and ISP...
>
> I have now set the SMTP address to be the one that the email address
> that I send out on air are for the same SMTP address and I hope to copy
> an email address 'off air' soon to see if it sends an email out.
>
> Not yet seen an email address, so i may have to leave it monitoring for
> a while.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

389. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email settings

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 17:23:45 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 370 Message: 389

Dave Ackrill wrote:

> Has anyone else got the 'I have a Mail client' system to work please?
> If so, how did you set it up?

Talking to a couple of other ROS users on the digital sked pages at http://www.obriensweb.com/sked/ I have discovered that it is probably only going to work for some people.

It seems that the ISPs that I am using require authentication when I send emails, so I am only able to use the gmail system at the moment.

Which is OK for me, but not for people who don't have a gmail account.

I also wonder how many people have added an SMTP setting but do not realise that they are not sending confirmations.

Dave (G0DJA)

390. Re: Email settings

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 17:04:52 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 370 Message: 390

It isn't using Outlook Express to send the mail so why should it appear in Sent Items? It is sending it direct to the SMTP server. I haven't tried this method (I use Gmail) but you won't see a copy of the email unless Jose coded it to CC a copy to your own email address, in which case you will see it in your inbox.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@...m, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> I have got the gmail system working OK, and it sends confirmation emails
> out, but I cannot get the SMTP system to work.
>
> I have put "smtp.easily.co.uk" into the Email "I have a Mail client"
> box. This is the same email system as my email address used in the
> Operator "Email" settings.
>
> I then waited to see an email address off air inside the chevrons. Then
> I looked in the 'Sent Items' in Outlook Express but there are no sent
> emails with reception reports.
>
> Has anyone else got the 'I have a Mail client' system to work please?
> If so, how did you set it up?
>
> Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)
>

391. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS - 10mhz 30m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 17:40:51 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 370 Message: 391

g4ilo wrote:
> Dave (and others.)

> Therefore attempting to send mail without authentication may fail some or all of the time for some people.
>
> Julian, G4ILO

That's the conclusion I came to as well Julian,

At least Gmail works OK for me, so I'll leave that switched on.

Cheers - Dave (G0DJA)

392. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Email settings

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 17:44:52 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 370 Message: 392

g4ilo wrote:
> It isn't using Outlook Express to send the mail so why should it appear in Sent Items? It is sending it direct to the SMTP server. I haven't tried this method (I use Gmail) but you won't see a copy of the email unless Jose coded it to CC a copy to your own email address, in which case you will see it in your inbox.
>

OK Julian,

With Gmail you do get a copy in the 'Sent' folder, which is useful if you leave ROS monitoring over a period of time and want to see how many confirmations you have sent out.

I'll have to ask on the sked pages if someone who can see me gets an email confirmation when they send their email address with SMTP switched 'on' at my end.

However, I still think it wont work for me as the 'you have sent an email' message comes up with Gmail set to on, but not SMTP.

Dave (G0DJA)

393. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 23:17:54 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 393

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.2.0 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description : v2.2

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.2.
0%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

394. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-01 23:37:12 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 394

The new soundcard option seems to work very well here.

Thank you Jose, I won't now have to alter the Windows Settings to be default in Windows every time I want to use ROS.

I've been playing with my US Interface Navigator CH1 settings to give too high and too low audio levels just to prove that it works. LOL. ;-)

Dave (G0DJA)

395. New Dedicated ROS Spot Page

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 05:44:26 UTC
From: n4qlb
Topic: 395 Message: 395

http://www.hamspots.net/ros

396. R: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New Dedicated ROS Spot Page

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 06:34:15 UTC
From: Marco Zollinger, HB9CAT
Topic: 395 Message: 396

Nice one, congrats !

HB9CAT

Da: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@...m
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Per conto di n4qlb
Inviato: martedì, 2. marzo 2010 06:44
A: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Oggetto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New Dedicated ROS Spot Page

http://www.hamspots.net/ros

397. ROS for EME ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 06:56:41 UTC
From: Marco Zollinger, HB9CAT
Topic: 397 Message: 397

All,

as ROS came out, one of the most attracted groups was the EME community: the -35 dB benchmark of the 1 baud mode was potentially opening new horizons for single yagi stations, just like mine.

Many tests and some qsos have been done, I tried that too; I was personally disappointed for one simple reason: it's very hard to tune into the received signal.

Catching the initial 4sec tone is virtually impossible in EME conditions, unless you know exactly where to tune; and considering doppler shifts and transceiver offsets that is NOT a trivial task.

Would there be any possibility to expand the protocol to add some kind of reference tone that can be tracked during the whole trasmission ? It may be something like the same tone being repeated every, say, 5 seconds; this would be much easier to recognize on a spectral view.

I'm aware that this would probably have a strong impact on the protocol's performance; still, if I can't recognize and tune into the signal, ROS would be of no use to me on EME.

Best 73s

Marco, HB9CAT

398. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS for EME ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 10:29:32 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 397 Message: 398

Hi, Marco

I have tried to do some questions to EME operators but either I have not been able to explain or they will not have understood me. As you know, ROS allows a margin of error of +-200Hz. I do not know if the astronomical prediction software that mistake is enough or would be increased. Besides, I suppose that people know they should turn off the RX filters in the transceiver.

email me to nietoros@..., please

De: "Marco Zollinger, HB9CAT" <hb9cat@thezollingers.org>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,2 marzo, 2010 07:56
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS for EME ?

All,

as ROS came out, one of the most attracted groups was the EME community: the -35 dB benchmark of the 1 baud mode was potentially opening new horizons for single yagi stations, just like mine.

Many tests and some qsos have been done, I tried that too; I was personally disappointed for one simple reason: it’s very hard to tune into the received signal.

Catching the initial 4sec tone is virtually impossible in EME conditions, unless you know exactly where to tune; and considering doppler shifts and transceiver offsets that is NOT a trivial task.

Would there be any possibility to expand the protocol to add some kind of reference tone that can be tracked during the whole trasmission ? It may be something like the same tone being repeated every, say, 5 seconds; this would be much easier to recognize on a spectral view.

I’m aware that this would probably have a strong impact on the protocol’s performance; still, if I can’t recognize and tune into the signal, ROS would be of no use to me on EME.

Best 73s

Marco, HB9CAT

399. ROS v2.2.1 released

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 11:51:53 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 397 Message: 399

Available on the website http://rosmodem.wordpress.com

400. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 11:56:42 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 400

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.2.1 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : g0dja <dave.g0dja@...>
Description : Version 2.1.1 Beta

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.2.
1%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

g0dja <dave.g0dja@...>
```

401. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.2.1 released

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 12:03:24 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 397 Message: 401

There seems to be a problem with 2.2.1 - I select my soundcard and 'Save' but it goes to the default windows soundcard when I transmit.

Dave (G0DJA)

402. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.2.1 released

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 12:09:41 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 397 Message: 402

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> There seems to be a problem with 2.2.1 - I select my soundcard and
> 'Save' but it goes to the default windows soundcard when I transmit.

I'm now getting the same problem when I try to return to previous
versions that did work OK.

I am reinstalling by deleting the previous folders.

Dave (G0DJA)

403. Re: ROS v2.2.1 released

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 12:32:54 UTC
From: dl6xaz
Topic: 397 Message: 403

Hi José and Dave,
the same happens to me with my XP machine: settings are not saved in any way, and after transmitting I have to select soundcards again as it has fallen back to the standard s/c.
73 Fred DL6XAZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Dave Ackrill wrote:
> > There seems to be a problem with 2.2.1 - I select my soundcard and
> > 'Save' but it goes to the default windows soundcard when I transmit.
>
> I'm now getting the same problem when I try to return to previous
> versions that did work OK.
>
> I am reinstalling by deleting the previous folders.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

404. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.2.1 released

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 12:36:30 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 397 Message: 404

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Dave Ackrill wrote:
>> There seems to be a problem with 2.2.1 - I select my soundcard and
>> 'Save' but it goes to the default windows soundcard when I transmit.
>
> I'm now getting the same problem when I try to return to previous
> versions that did work OK.

It seems to be a problem with my USB connected unit - I can select virtual cable but if I select USB Codec it goes back to the default soundcard.

The selection of the USB Codec was working in version 2.2.0 but not now so I will try reloading the USB Codec drivers and see if that clears the problem.

Dave (G0DJA)

405. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS v2.2.1 released

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 13:19:53 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 397 Message: 405

dl6xaz wrote:
> Hi Jos? and Dave,
> the same happens to me with my XP machine: settings are not saved in any way, and after transmitting I have to select soundcards again as it has fallen back to the standard s/c.

Yes, I'm using XP pro here.

Dave (G0DJA)

406. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.2.1 released

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 16:38:16 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 397 Message: 406

Hi All

Anyone else who get a message saying that I have to "restart the PC to complete the installation" after launching the "ROS v2.2.1 Beta.exe" for the first time?

LA5VNA Steinar

On 02.03.2010 12:51, Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Available on the website http://rosmodem.wordpress.com
>
>

407. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.2.1 released

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 16:46:00 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 397 Message: 407

Steiner,

I think it is now part of the installation, message appearing.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: Mar 2, 2010 8:38 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.2.1 released
>
>Hi All
>
>Anyone else who get a message saying that I have to "restart the PC to
>complete the installation" after launching the "ROS v2.2.1 Beta.exe" for
>the first time?
>
>
>LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>On 02.03.2010 12:51, Dave Ackrill wrote:
>> Available on the website http://rosmodem.wordpress.com
>>
>>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

408. ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 17:23:58 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 370 Message: 408

For Julian, G4ILO,

Has a period of 24 hours to correct the news about the legality of ROS in your blog or it will be illegal to use it yourself. Am I making myself clear enough.

De: g4ilo <julian.g4ilo@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: lun,1 marzo, 2010 18:04
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Email settings

It isn't using Outlook Express to send the mail so why should it appear in Sent Items? It is sending it direct to the SMTP server. I haven't tried this method (I use Gmail) but you won't see a copy of the email unless Jose coded it to CC a copy to your own email address, in which case you will see it in your inbox.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...> wrote:
>
> I have got the gmail system working OK, and it sends confirmation emails
> out, but I cannot get the SMTP system to work.
>
> I have put "smtp.easily. co.uk" into the Email "I have a Mail client"
> box. This is the same email system as my email address used in the
> Operator "Email" settings.
>
> I then waited to see an email address off air inside the chevrons. Then
> I looked in the 'Sent Items' in Outlook Express but there are no sent
> emails with reception reports.
>
> Has anyone else got the 'I have a Mail client' system to work please?
> If so, how did you set it up?
>
> Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)
>

409. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.2.1 released

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 17:17:30 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 397 Message: 409

Rein

Are you qrv on 20m ? Calling cq on 14.109 now

la5vna Steinar

On 02.03.2010 17:46, rein0zn@... wrote:
> Steiner,
>
> I think it is now part of the installation, message appearing.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
>> From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>> Sent: Mar 2, 2010 8:38 AM
>> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.2.1 released
>>
>> Hi All
>>
>> Anyone else who get a message saying that I have to "restart the PC to
>> complete the installation" after launching the "ROS v2.2.1 Beta.exe" for
>> the first time?
>>
>>
>> LA5VNA Steinar
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On 02.03.2010 12:51, Dave Ackrill wrote:
>>> Available on the website http://rosmodem.wordpress.com
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ------------------------------------
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>

>
>

410. Re: ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 17:56:43 UTC
From: hfradiopro
Topic: 370 Message: 410

Wow,

A program developer that wants people to use his program, but then threatens them if something isn't done just so...I want no part of this, legal or not.

So, I'm out of here.....have fun, ROS'ers.

==========
Dave

Real radio bounces off the sky

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> For Julian, G4ILO,
>
> Has a period of 24 hours to correct the news about the legality of ROS in your blog or it will be illegal to use it yourself. Am I making myself clear enough.
>
> Â
>
>
>
> _____
> De: g4ilo <julian.g4ilo@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: lun,1 marzo, 2010 18:04
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Email settings
>
> Â
> It isn't using Outlook Express to send the mail so why should it appear in Sent Items? It is sending it direct to the SMTP server. I haven't tried this method (I use Gmail) but you won't see a copy of the email unless Jose coded it to CC a copy to your own email address, in which case you will see it in your inbox.
>
> Julian, G4ILO
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...> wrote:
> >
> > I have got the gmail system working OK, and it sends confirmation emails
> > out, but I cannot get the SMTP system to work.
> >
> > I have put "smtp.easily. co.uk" into the Email "I have a Mail client"
> > box. This is the same email system as my email address used in the
> > Operator "Email" settings.

> >
> > I then waited to see an email address off air inside the chevrons. Then
> > I looked in the 'Sent Items' in Outlook Express but there are no sent
> > emails with reception reports.
> >
> > Has anyone else got the 'I have a Mail client' system to work please?
> > If so, how did you set it up?
> >
> > Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)
> >
>

411. Re: ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 18:22:58 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 370 Message: 411

I beg your pardon???

If you have anything to say to me you can say it by email directly to me. However I do not respond to ultimatums.

If you are referring to my blog posting of a week ago "Hot news: ROS digital mode illegal in USA" (http://blog.g4ilo.com/2010/02/hot-news-ros-digital-mode-illegal-in.html) then what I reported then was true at the time. It may not be true now but you cannot rewrite history. This is not Soviet Russia.

If you had looked at my blog recently you would have seen I posted an item this morning "ROS digital mode now legal in USA" (http://blog.g4ilo.com/2010/03/ros-digital-mode-now-legal-in-usa.html) referring to the news that the FCC had changed its ruling, as soon as I read it on your site. If that is not enough for you then that is too bad.

I am not at all impressed by your attitude and in particular your threat of legal action against a fellow ham Tim Lilley N3TL who whatever the outcome nevertheless acted in what he thought was the best interests to determine the legality of using the mode by US hams.

You have made me very angry now. I hope you will make a public apology to me. Because of your attitude I have no intention of using your mode ever again and am considering writing to the RSGB sugesting that this mode has no place on the amateur bands.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> For Julian, G4ILO,
>
> Has a period of 24 hours to correct the news about the legality of ROS in your blog or it will be illegal to use it yourself. Am I making myself clear enough.
>

412. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 18:29:32 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 370 Message: 412

Sorry, i did not see the new post.

I apologize and I hope you put yourself in my place and understand my indignation

_____
De: g4ilo <julian.g4ilo@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,2 marzo, 2010 19:22
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS ULTIMATUM

I beg your pardon???

If you have anything to say to me you can say it by email directly to me. However I do not respond to ultimatums.

If you are referring to my blog posting of a week ago "Hot news: ROS digital mode illegal in USA" (http://blog. g4ilo.com/ 2010/02/hot- news-ros- digital-mode- illegal-in. html) then what I reported then was true at the time. It may not be true now but you cannot rewrite history. This is not Soviet Russia.

If you had looked at my blog recently you would have seen I posted an item this morning "ROS digital mode now legal in USA" (http://blog. g4ilo.com/ 2010/03/ros- digital-mode-now-legal- in-usa.html) referring to the news that the FCC had changed its ruling, as soon as I read it on your site. If that is not enough for you then that is too bad.

I am not at all impressed by your attitude and in particular your threat of legal action against a fellow ham Tim Lilley N3TL who whatever the outcome nevertheless acted in what he thought was the best interests to determine the legality of using the mode by US hams.

You have made me very angry now. I hope you will make a public apology to me. Because of your attitude I have no intention of using your mode ever again and am considering writing to the RSGB sugesting that this mode has no place on the amateur bands.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
>
> For Julian, G4ILO,
>
> Has a period of 24 hours to correct the news about the legality of ROS in your blog or it will be illegal to use it yourself. Am I making myself clear enough.
>

413. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 18:39:25 UTC
From: Box SisteenHundred
Topic: 370 Message: 413

With a heavy-hand attitude like that... why would anyone
WANT to use it ?

73 - Bill KA8VIT

To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
From: nietorosdj@...
Date: Tue, 2 Mar 2010 17:23:58 +0000
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM

For Julian, G4ILO,

Has a period of 24 hours to correct the news about the legality of ROS in your blog or it will be illegal to use it yourself. Am I making myself clear enough.

_____
Hotmail: Trusted email with Microsoft’s powerful SPAM protection.
http://clk.atdmt.com/GBL/go/201469226/direct/01/

414. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 18:24:57 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 370 Message: 414

You can thing what you want.

Julian has been very fast in made post saying "ROS is illegal".

Now that ROS is legal he is quiet. I dont see very well that.

_____
De: hfradiopro <hfradiopro@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,2 marzo, 2010 18:56
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS ULTIMATUM

Wow,

A program developer that wants people to use his program, but then threatens them if something isn't done just so...I want no part of this, legal or not.

So, I'm out of here.....have fun, ROS'ers.

========== ========
Dave

Real radio bounces off the sky

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
>
> For Julian, G4ILO,
>
> Has a period of 24 hours to correct the news about the legality of ROS in your blog or it will be illegal to use it yourself. Am I making myself clear enough.
>
> Â
>
>
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> De: g4ilo <julian.g4ilo@ ...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Enviado: lun,1 marzo, 2010 18:04
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Email settings
>
> Â
> It isn't using Outlook Express to send the mail so why should it appear in Sent Items? It is sending it direct to the SMTP server. I haven't tried this method (I use Gmail) but you won't see a copy of the email unless Jose coded it to CC a copy to your own email address, in which case you will see it in your inbox.

>
> Julian, G4ILO
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...> wrote:
> >
> > I have got the gmail system working OK, and it sends confirmation emails
> > out, but I cannot get the SMTP system to work.
> >
> > I have put "smtp.easily. co.uk" into the Email "I have a Mail client"
> > box. This is the same email system as my email address used in the
> > Operator "Email" settings.
> >
> > I then waited to see an email address off air inside the chevrons. Then
> > I looked in the 'Sent Items' in Outlook Express but there are no sent
> > emails with reception reports.
> >
> > Has anyone else got the 'I have a Mail client' system to work please?
> > If so, how did you set it up?
> >
> > Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)
> >
>

415. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 18:54:40 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 370 Message: 415

Box SisteenHundred wrote:
> With a heavy-hand attitude like that... why would anyone
> WANT to use it ?

Put yourself in Jose's shoes. He develops a new program and within days people jump on a band wagon saying that it's illegal and he then has to put up with a load of barrack room lawyers telling him what he should do and posting 'news' all over the place that is, at best, uninformed and sometimes seems to be based upon a prejudice against anything new...

The RSGB PSC Secretary got two of his pieces on the matter printed on a certain website, but news stories slanted in favour of the new mode were not printed, I wonder why?

I'm not surprised he's upset.

Dave (G0DJA)

416. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 17:57:12 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 370 Message: 416

Jose , if this is the blog : http://blog.g4ilo.com/ , - what is wrong?

LA5VNA Steinar

On 02.03.2010 18:23, jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> For Julian, G4ILO,
>
> Has a period of 24 hours to correct the news about the legality of ROS in your blog or it will be illegal to use it yourself. Am I making myself clear enough.
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: g4ilo <julian.g4ilo@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: lun,1 marzo, 2010 18:04
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Email settings
>
>
> It isn't using Outlook Express to send the mail so why should it appear in Sent Items? It is sending it direct to the SMTP server. I haven't tried this method (I use Gmail) but you won't see a copy of the email unless Jose coded it to CC a copy to your own email address, in which case you will see it in your inbox.
>
> Julian, G4ILO
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...> wrote:
>
>> I have got the gmail system working OK, and it sends confirmation emails
>> out, but I cannot get the SMTP system to work.
>>
>> I have put "smtp.easily. co.uk" into the Email "I have a Mail client"
>> box. This is the same email system as my email address used in the
>> Operator "Email" settings.
>>
>> I then waited to see an email address off air inside the chevrons. Then
>> I looked in the 'Sent Items' in Outlook Express but there are no sent
>> emails with reception reports.
>>
>> Has anyone else got the 'I have a Mail client' system to work please?
>> If so, how did you set it up?
>>
>> Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)

>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

417. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 19:11:10 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 370 Message: 417

Jose,

forget this question . I have 1 hour delay posting to this group !

LA5VNA Steinar

On 02.03.2010 18:57, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> Jose , if this is the blog : http://blog.g4ilo.com/ , - what is wrong?
>
> LA5VNA Steinar
>
>

418. Re: ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 18:38:35 UTC
From: g4ilo
Topic: 370 Message: 418

Apology accepted. But what I wrote was true at the time. If you had contacted me politely and asked that I write a correction I would have done so (if I had not already) without causing all this ill feeling.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> Sorry, i did not see the new post.
>
> I apologize and I hope you put yourself in my place and understand my indignation
>

419. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 20:17:45 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 370 Message: 419

I understand your indignation and i expect you undernstad mine too. Now i have millions of things in my head: thinking about increase range AFC in a new EME mode, reading what people are say in forums, fixed problems that write comments in the site, talking with FCC, talking with IARU2 secretary, select the frequencies, etc....etc...etc... while others people are in your home thinking the manner of illegalize a FREE SOFTWARE aprobed by the maximum comission in USA: FCC.

If you understand something tell me please, because i dont understand anything.

De: g4ilo <julian.g4ilo@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,2 marzo, 2010 19:38
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS ULTIMATUM

Apology accepted. But what I wrote was true at the time. If you had contacted me politely and asked that I write a correction I would have done so (if I had not already) without causing all this ill feeling.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...>
wrote:
>
> Sorry, i did not see the new post.
>
> I apologize and I hope you put yourself in my place and understand my indignation
>

420. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.2.1 released

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 16:47:48 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 397 Message: 420

Ok , Thanks ;)

LA5VNA Steinar

On 02.03.2010 17:46, rein0zn@... wrote:
> Steiner,
>
> I think it is now part of the installation, message appearing.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
>> From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>> Sent: Mar 2, 2010 8:38 AM
>> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.2.1 released
>>
>> Hi All
>>
>> Anyone else who get a message saying that I have to "restart the PC to
>> complete the installation" after launching the "ROS v2.2.1 Beta.exe" for
>> the first time?
>>
>>
>> LA5VNA Steinar
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On 02.03.2010 12:51, Dave Ackrill wrote:
>>
>>> Available on the website http://rosmodem.wordpress.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> ------------------------------------
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>

>>
>>
>
>

421. Experimentation and Amateur Radio

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 19:54:49 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 370 Message: 421

Considering that Amateur Radio can trace its history back to a time when governments saw experimentation into communication by radio waves as a threat to the monopolies that they had over communication, like the Post Office and Telegraphy by wires, and the various innovations that were born out of not accepting that 'it can't be done' was the answer, I have often wondered why some Radio Amateurs are so quick to condemn something as illegal, or want to fence it round with rules that either don't already exist or seek to construe other rules to prove that something is illegal or should be curtailed to such an extent that it is almost unusable.

Hertz is quoted as saying "I do not think that the wireless waves I have discovered will have any practical application." he was just interested in the experiments that would prove, or disprove, the theories put forward by Maxwell.

Where have we, as Amateur Radio enthusiasts, gone so far away from the idea of experimenting with something new for no other reason that we might actually learn something, to the point where every activity, it sometimes seems to me, must be bound up by rules, regulations and someone, with very little authority, telling someone else that what they want to do is, to quote an old song "illegal, immoral or it makes you fat"? (http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=le99S4tl9FE)

Dave (G0DJA)

422. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v2.2.1 released

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 20:24:00 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 397 Message: 422

Dave Ackrill wrote:

> It seems to be a problem with my USB connected unit - I can select
> virtual cable but if I select USB Codec it goes back to the default
> soundcard.
>

A strange thing that seems to happen is that the receive side seems to to be getting audio, but transmitting something then makes the receive go to the default sound card and stops it receiving. Setting the other card again starts the receiver, but the display in the set up box under the SoundCard menu goes back to the Windows default soundcard.

I did try deleting ROS and reinstalling, and restarting the PC after reinstalling again, but that has not cured the problem for me.

The Virtual Cable settings seem to work OK, although I can't use my SDR to transmit, of course, so I can't test that side out.

Dave (G0DJA)

423. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Experimentation and Amateur Radio

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 20:45:37 UTC
From: Howard Small
Topic: 370 Message: 423

Let's not allow ourselves to be split by in-fighting. ROS is great to experiment with and has allowed me to receive signals in conditions that would otherwise prevent communication. I have been amazed how well it functions with the simplest installation using far from optimum hardware.

While acknowledging that there may have been words said that were later regretted we must not forget that this an enterprise in which we are all involved and for which we owe a debt of gratitude to Jose. I can hardly imagine the pressure he has been under and well understand his frustrations - I only hope they do not reach such a level that he walks away from this project. Similarly I can feel for those who felt slighted when they were simply trying to present information.

Please let us put this behind us and move forward in the enjoyment ROS has given and will continue to give us.

Howard
VK4BS

424. Thanks for you work Jose

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 21:13:37 UTC
From: fred_darrah
Topic: 424 Message: 424

I think the author of ROS should not have to hear all the " I " problems we all have. I for one say thanks for a job well done. I am one who used caution in the use of this mode in the USA. Many of us are happy with the mode being legal in this country. I am sure the Alpha version will be well accepted . Remember that we all have differences in Language and conveying what we are saying and feeling.
I have used ROS and have had no problems so far. I have not had time to test it fully but that will come.
Thanks Jose 73 Fred N9GUE

425. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Experimentation and Amateur Radio

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 21:08:08 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 370 Message: 425

1. The author publicly described ROS as spread spectrum

2. Hams in the US are required to determine whether a mode is legal in the US before using it

3. In the US, spread spectrum is not legal on HF bands

#1 was an egregious technical error. A engineer making a mistake of this magnitude should exhibit contrition and patience, not belligerance and outrage. Threatening legal action against a ham attempting in good faith to fulfil obligation #2 was far outside the spirit of amateur radio -- and from a legal perspective, ridiculous. Framing the amateur community's reaction as "anti-innovation" is disingenuous; we've seen many new digital modes from all quarters over the years, and none produced anything close to this situation.

Had the author correctly described ROS from the outset, or had he forthrightly corrected his error without lashing out at everyone who sought to understand what technology ROS actually employs so they could confidently use this attractive new mode, this teapot tempest would never have occurred.

You reap what you sow.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Dave Ackrill
Sent: Tuesday, March 02, 2010 2:55 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Experimentation and Amateur Radio

Considering that Amateur Radio can trace its history back to a time when governments saw experimentation into communication by radio waves as a threat to the monopolies that they had over communication, like the Post Office and Telegraphy by wires, and the various innovations that were born out of not accepting that 'it can't be done' was the answer, I have often wondered why some Radio Amateurs are so quick to condemn something as illegal, or want to fence it round with rules that either don't already exist or seek to construe other rules to prove that something is illegal or should be curtailed to such an extent that it is almost unusable.

Hertz is quoted as saying "I do not think that the wireless waves I have discovered will have any practical application." he was just interested in the experiments that would prove, or disprove, the theories put

forward by Maxwell.

Where have we, as Amateur Radio enthusiasts, gone so far away from the idea of experimenting with something new for no other reason that we might actually learn something, to the point where every activity, it sometimes seems to me, must be bound up by rules, regulations and someone, with very little authority, telling someone else that what they want to do is, to quote an old song "illegal, immoral or it makes you fat"? (http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=le99S4tl9FE)

Dave (G0DJA)

Yahoo! Groups Links

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.730 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2716 - Release Date: 03/02/10 02:34:00

426. Soundcard problems in version 2.2.1

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 22:30:57 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 426 Message: 426

Hi,
I seem to have a problem with the soundcard settings. I can select and save the external soundcard (Signalink USB) but the program seems to want to revert back to the windows default on start up. I am using XP.

By the way I had a good QSO with N4QLB in the USA last night on 20M.

Regards

Alan G8XLH

427. Fw: [digitalradio] Re: ROS Soundcard select .. missing tx option for usb card (Signalink)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 01:41:25 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 427 Message: 427

I cant get a post to go on digitalradio group.

Russell NC5O
1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Gerald Ford

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

----- Forwarded Message ----
From: Russell Blair <russell_blair86@...m>
To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tue, March 2, 2010 7:14:56 PM
Subject: Re: [digitalradio] Re: ROS Soundcard select .. missing tx option for usb card (Signalink)

Well the progran found my USB Singalink interface. But I have it set the USB as my defualt interface, I tryed to remove to soundcard from the PC and some of the other digital programs needed it in the PC, with out the soundcard the WAVE output was gone, so I had to reinstall the card even thou I'm not using it.

Russell NC5O
 1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Gerald Ford

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

From: wa4sca <alanbiddle70@...>
To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tue, March 2, 2010 6:33:37 PM

Subject: [digitalradio] Re: ROS Soundcard select .. missing tx option for usb card

Guessing you have a SignaLink USB card? I found, or better didn't find, the same thing. I checked 3 other programs to see if it had gotten lost, but they found it just fine. No doubt it will be "discovered" in the next revision. ;)

Alan
WA4SCA

428. ultimatum

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 00:23:51 UTC
From: mats4d
Topic: 428 Message: 428

I, for one am not interested of using this anymore, this was a mess from the gitgo, and unfortunately Jose is to be blamed, calling it "spread spectrum", and now all these "legal threats" going on left and right, not cool

The mode is super wide and other than EME I dont see no use on the HF bands congesting them even more.

Best - kq7w

429. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Soundcard problems in version 2.2.1

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 22:36:55 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 426 Message: 429

Have you test if It save operator info?

De: g8xlh <a.ralph4@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,2 marzo, 2010 23:30
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Soundcard problems in version 2.2.1

Hi,
I seem to have a problem with the soundcard settings. I can select and save the external soundcard (Signalink USB) but the program seems to want to revert back to the windows default on start up. I am using XP.

By the way I had a good QSO with N4QLB in the USA last night on 20M.

Regards

Alan G8XLH

430. Test only

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-02 19:01:53 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 430 Message: 430

la5vna Steinar

431. Sorry my Software in XP pro

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 01:48:02 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 431 Message: 431

Sorry for not putting this in the first email, running XP Pro SP3.

Russell NC5O
 1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Gerald Ford

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

432. Back to technloogy

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 03:04:30 UTC
From: J. Moen
Topic: 432 Message: 432

Trying to get some perspective about what's happened with this new mode the last two weeks. I conclude that all these intense emotions actually indicate many Hams want something new, and for certain things, ROS has lots of promise.

I think I can see how it might have felt to be Jose. He has obviously spent a LOT of time designing, creating, and testing this new mode. After all the hard work, he releases it, and almost before a day goes by, he is hit by the negative reaction by US hams to the initial website introduction to the mode. A summary of this US reaction is that Jose's words doomed usage in the US. In other words, he was being criticized -- this, after all the hard work.

The other ham seemed very interested in ROS, but got tired of endless arguments why it was or was not legal in the US. He took action in the only way he knew how. I think his purposes were well meaning, but premature. It reminds me of a controversy about FDMDV a few years ago. That HF digital mode used a proprietary codec, and it was likely the owner was ok with Hams using it non-commercially. But after some similar email discussions about its legality, a Ham wrote an official inquiry to the codec's owner, asking if it was legal for hams to use it. Now, had the ham known about US law, he'd have known that the owner was forced to say no, otherwise they would not have been taking reasonable steps to protect it. So because of that do-gooder, FDMDV died.

The good news is that with ROS, the outcome is much more positive. I applaud Jose for his direct communication to the US FCC, and congratuate him for getting a good outcome.

I hope the emotions can recede a little. I'm not sure how things work in Jose's culture. In the US, the threat of legal action against the ham who contacted FCC seemed wrong. The Ham did not claim he was speaking for the author of ROS, and he passed a public document to the FCC. No way did he do anything illegal, and in Ham Radio, it does not seem ham-like to threaten legal action. The same goes for threats to someone who keeps a blog. Now, Jose immediately apologized when he realized the blog was updated with the FCC approval news. But the threat had been made.

I can only assume Jose has been working long, long hours, has been under a lot of pressure, and this talk about legal action was an outgrown of the difficult last two weeks. I understand that, but I hope everyone can move away from threats and focus on the technology, doing something good, and helping each other.

And the good news is -- there is a lot of interest in this new mode. I hope we can look at the creative, hard work Jose has done for us, work with him to improve it, and celebrate this new mode.

Jim - K6JM

433. Sound Card - Version 2.2.2

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 08:33:04 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 432 Message: 433

I'm sorry to say that sound card selection isn't working for me under 2.2.2 beta either. I am using Windows XP pro SP3.

This is a long explanation, to show what I have done so far and explain exactly what I have done when installing ROS version 2.2.2 and what happens on my system. It's not meant as criticism, just an explanation in case other people get the same problem and so that Jose may be able to find out what is going wrong on my set up.

With this release I deleted the folder that had the version 2.2.1 beta program .exe file and the two other folders, I downloaded the new version and extracted it to a new folder.

I then switched off the computer and rebooted from cold and ran the new version.

When I run the program and select 'SoundCard' I see the three options of 'Realtek HD Audio Input' (the soundcard on the motherboard), 'Virtual Cable 1' and 'USB Audio CODEC' in the two drop down menus for Device Input and Device Output.

If I select 'Virtual Cable 1' and 'Save' then this setting will stay selected.

If I select 'USB Audio CODEC' the receive works and audio from the radio is being shown in the waterfall and I am decoding other ROS signals. When I look at the 'SoundCard' settings again both 'Device Input' and 'Device Output' show "Realtek HD Audio Input" and, if I try to transmit, the tones are being sent out from the motherboard soundcard, which is set as the default soundcard under Windows XP pro.

This is what was happening on my set up with version 2.2.1. Version 2.2.0 did work OK, but after I tried to delete 2.2.1 and use 2.2.0, even after rebooting the PC after deleting 2.2.1 and reinstalling 2.2.0 from the ZIP file and rebooting again.

Dave (G0DJA)

434. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Sound Card - Version 2.2.2

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 08:43:48 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 432 Message: 434

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> I'm sorry to say that sound card selection isn't working for me under
> 2.2.2 beta either. I am using Windows XP pro SP3.

One other thing I meant to mention. If I select 'USB Audio CODEC' as
the default soundcard under Windows, ROS v2.2.2 beta will use that for
transmit and receive. If I then go to the 'SoundCard' menu and select
'Realtek HD Audio Input', ROS 2.2.2 beta will swap over to using that card.

So, the problem seems to be happening when the USB soundcard is not the
Windows default.

Dave (G0DJA)

435. Re: Experimentation and Amateur Radio

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 12:42:37 UTC
From: dl6xaz
Topic: 370 Message: 435

Well pondered and thought, Howard! I support your statement.
73 Fred DL6XAZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Howard Small" <howard@...> wrote:
>
> Let's not allow ourselves to be split by in-fighting. ROS is great to
> experiment with and has allowed me to receive signals in conditions that
> would otherwise prevent communication. I have been amazed how well it
> functions with the simplest installation using far from optimum hardware.
>
> While acknowledging that there may have been words said that were later
> regretted we must not forget that this an enterprise in which we are all
> involved and for which we owe a debt of gratitude to Jose. I can hardly
> imagine the pressure he has been under and well understand his frustrations
> - I only hope they do not reach such a level that he walks away from this
> project. Similarly I can feel for those who felt slighted when they were
> simply trying to present information.
>
> Please let us put this behind us and move forward in the enjoyment ROS has
> given and will continue to give us.
>
> Howard
> VK4BS
>

436. Re: Sound Card - Version 2.2.2

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 12:38:10 UTC
From: dl6xaz
Topic: 432 Message: 436

Hi Dave,
yes, that is my impression, too. Funny is that with 2.2.2 I select USBCODEC for tx and rx, but the program saves it for tx only, whereas it jumps back to the standard s/c as soon as I stop transmission, having to select rx s/c again. It does not save the setting. I am using XP. I do not want to change the standard s/c setting for various reasons not related to radio.
73 Fred DL6XAZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Dave Ackrill wrote:
> > I'm sorry to say that sound card selection isn't working for me under
> > 2.2.2 beta either. I am using Windows XP pro SP3.
>
> One other thing I meant to mention. If I select 'USB Audio CODEC' as
> the default soundcard under Windows, ROS v2.2.2 beta will use that for
> transmit and receive. If I then go to the 'SoundCard' menu and select
> 'Realtek HD Audio Input', ROS 2.2.2 beta will swap over to using that card.
>
> So, the problem seems to be happening when the USB soundcard is not the
> Windows default.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

437. Statement on Withdrawal of Support for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 12:56:51 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 437 Message: 437

I was disturbed when the ROS author suggested that he was considering legal action against N3TL for merely raising the question of legality in the USA. I am even more disturbed that the author of the software has an apparent plan to include the callsign of certain hams in a "non-grata" list , suggesting that the software would not allow them to make QSOs . Thus, I am removing support that I have provided via the K3UK Sked pages. People are free to post and chat about ROS on the Sked Pages but my prominent mention of ROS , and support by listed calling frequencies, will be removed.

The message from Jose on his

"Some people think to keep telling lies on Internet blogs is going to go free. People still trying to outlaw ROS although the FCC has given approval will not be able to make any QSO with ROS or any of the projects I have designed for the future.

“Non Grata” List:

K5OKC, AA6YQ, M6RDP,PE4BAS,KQ7W,ZL4PLM,DL4PLM,GM4PLM,NN4RH"

This reflector helped catapult ROS 16 in to a world-wide experiment after Jose had not been able to generate much interest in his first few announcements on other forums. While the "fuss" created by questions about the legality may have understandably frustrated Jose, the above behaviour is not in keeping with the spirit of ham radio project development and is not within the generally accepted ethics espoused by this email group. I have been proud of the openness that software developers have shown of the past 10 years on this email list. Patrick, Simon, Skip, Dave B, Dave F Rein, Murray, Joe, Leigh, Pawel, Nino, Vojtech, Bob, , Stelios, Mako, Rick, Tom, HB9TLK, and many others have provided us with enjoyable applications and have openly accepted varying opinions on this forum . When you start making absurd legal threats against a ham that was simply safeguarding his well earned radio operating privileges, and become thin skinned to the point of excluding hams from using the software, it is time to take the software to the commercial world and remove it from amateur radio use.

I have enjoyed ROS as an application and may still use if from time to time, too bad we have a rather volatile author whose overreactions are ruining the benefits of his considerable talents.

Andy K3UK
Digitalradio
Owner

CC: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com

438. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 13:33:45 UTC
From: Box SisteenHundred
Topic: 370 Message: 438

I am a software engineer by profession.

I do understand his position.

It's not what you may say, but how you say it.

Diplomacy is... the ability to tell someone to go to hell in
such a way that they actually look forward to the trip.

You'll catch more flies with honey than vinegar.

Talk about good will.

73 - Bill KA8VIT

> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@...m
> From: dave.g0dja@...
> Date: Tue, 2 Mar 2010 18:54:40 +0000
> Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM
>
> Box SisteenHundred wrote:
> > With a heavy-hand attitude like that... why would anyone
> > WANT to use it ?
>
> Put yourself in Jose's shoes. He develops a new program and within days
> people jump on a band wagon saying that it's illegal and he then has to
> put up with a load of barrack room lawyers telling him what he should do
> and posting 'news' all over the place that is, at best, uninformed and
> sometimes seems to be based upon a prejudice against anything new...
>
> The RSGB PSC Secretary got two of his pieces on the matter printed on a
> certain website, but news stories slanted in favour of the new mode were
> not printed, I wonder why?
>
> I'm not surprised he's upset.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)

_____
Hotmail: Trusted email with Microsoft’s powerful SPAM protection.
http://clk.atdmt.com/GBL/go/201469226/direct/01/

439. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 15:08:15 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 439

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.2.2 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description : v2.2.2b

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.2.
2%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

440. 1 B ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 16:12:15 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 440 Message: 440

HI all .

Just finished 1 b QSO KG8FO W6SZ. fum lot to learn

73 Rein W6SZ

441. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 16:31:00 UTC
From: ke7hqy
Topic: 370 Message: 441

Jose,

The issue is more how the response from Jose has been approached. Responding to issues of legality in a systematic, thoughtful approach (contacting FCC, posting documentation, etc.) is the best way to deal with the situation. Being placed on a "non-grata" blacklist has simply entrenched all those people into their relative positions and has actually made it harder to win approval in the long run. No matter what you do in life there will be critics, and often entrenched or petty ones. Returning the favor with a blacklist only builds a bonfire under your critics to entrench themselves farther.

-KE7HQY

--- On Wed, 3/3/10, Box SisteenHundred <box1600@...> wrote:

From: Box SisteenHundred <box1600@...>
Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM
To: rosdigitalmodemgroup@yahoogroups.com
Date: Wednesday, March 3, 2010, 5:33 AM

I am a software engineer by profession.

I do understand his position.

It's not what you may say, but how you say it.

Diplomacy is... the ability to tell someone to go to hell in
such a way that they actually look forward to the trip.

You'll catch more flies with honey than vinegar.

Talk about good will.

73 - Bill KA8VIT

> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> From: dave.g0dja@tiscali. co.uk
> Date: Tue, 2 Mar 2010 18:54:40 +0000
> Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS ULTIMATUM
>
> Box SisteenHundred wrote:
> > With a heavy-hand attitude like that... why would anyone
> > WANT to use it ?
>
> Put yourself in Jose's shoes. He develops a new program and within days
> people jump on a band wagon saying that it's illegal and he then has to
> put up with a load of barrack room lawyers telling him what he should do
> and posting 'news' all over the place that is, at best, uninformed and
> sometimes seems to be based upon a prejudice against anything new...

>
> The RSGB PSC Secretary got two of his pieces on the matter printed on a
> certain website, but news stories slanted in favour of the new mode were
> not printed, I wonder why?
>
> I'm not surprised he's upset.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)

Hotmail: Trusted email with Microsoft’s powerful SPAM protection. Sign up now.

442. Correction KG8FE sri

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 16:16:44 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 442 Message: 442

de W6SZ

443. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Statement on Withdrawal of Support for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 16:55:51 UTC
From: Michael O'Bannon
Topic: 437 Message: 443

Let's call this behavior what it is: blackmail. It is a flagrant attempt to punish users who have differing viewpoints and prevent them from communicating those opinions. It is an attempt to suppress free expression, objective dialog, and accurate evaluation of ROS.

There is no place for this kind of authoritarian behavior in amateur radio.

> ?Non Grata? List:
>
> K5OKC, AA6YQ, M6RDP,PE4BAS,KQ7W,ZL4PLM,DL4PLM,GM4PLM,NN4RH"
>

73,
Michael KD4SGN

444. [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M and 12M Frequencies any concrete decisions?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 17:18:34 UTC
From: porterockwell@hotmail.com
Topic: 325 Message: 444

Dave

Anything decided?

Porter

On Sat, Feb 27, 2010 at 1:00 PM, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@... <mailto:dave.g0dja@...> > wrote:

To try to avoid anymore conflicts, is it time to revisit the frequencies to suggest for 17 and 12M?

The ones *To Avoid* on these bands are any around 18.110 and 24.930 MHz, as that's where the NCDXF beacons are located on those bands.

So, looking at the RSGB band plan, and thinking about where wide band digital modes might be best located, it seems that there are the band sections between

18.111 to 18.120MHz
and
24.931 to 24.940MHz

as being the areas for 2700Hz maximum width and data modes stations.

Applying the same logic as on 20M, where the beacon watchers actually want another 1kHz separation in addition to the 1kHz that is reserved above and below the nominated frequencies for the NCDXF beacons, may I suggest the following?

18.112MHz 16 baud
18.116MHz 1 baud

and
24.932MHz 16 baud
24.936MHz 1 baud

Now, let's not rush into placing any of these onto any website yet. Let's think about who else may already have staked a claim on these frequencies and whether we can amend our suggestions to fit in round any other existing users.

Does anyone know of any other system, mode or user group that may already need/want or appear to have squatters rights on any of these four frequencies?

Dave (G0DJA)

445. ROS Dignity

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 17:06:06 UTC
From: ke7hqy
Topic: 370 Message: 445

Jose,

all a blacklist does is make those on the blacklist more
noisy and angry. As seen in the previous posts, it also blackens your
image with many of those who support the ROS digital mode. People will judge not only you,
but the fruits of your labor by how you
act and approach
others. You will gain infinitely more
praise and your program will gain much more acceptance by approaching
everyone, even your harshest critics with dignity than with bannation.

Amateur
radio, in its highest form is the embodiment of openness and sharing.
Dialogue, the sharing of ideas, is the very core of what we are all
about.

To answer those you disagree with "banning" them from
your new digital mode goes against this very foundation of the Ham Radio
spirit. However, facing those who disagree strongly with you, no matter
their words, and keeping things dignified is one of the toughest and most
difficult things any human can do. It is imperative though that you keep
your posts and actions dignified, as it is all very public.

-73
de Anthony, KE7HQY

446. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 17:28:18 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 370 Message: 446

Hello.

I think each person is free to say whatever he wants, provided it does not interfere with the rights of other hams. Ould you can taste the ROS software or not, worst thing you can not do is campaign for thousands of fans in the USA do not use it for the simple fact that if I do not understand how work i dont want anybody can use too. That is the philosophy of Gilo and company and I think that Ii is not the spirit of amateur radio.

The spirit of amateur radio would be the experimentacion, and it is the opposite what GILO and company are doing.

In the end I decided to remove the list and let the envious fall under its own weight.

De: ke7hqy <ke7hqy@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,3 marzo, 2010 17:31
Asunto: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM

Jose,

The issue is more how the response from Jose has been approached. Responding to issues of legality in a systematic, thoughtful approach (contacting FCC, posting documentation, etc.) is the best way to deal with the situation. Being placed on a "non-grata" blacklist has simply entrenched all those people into their relative positions and has actually made it harder to win approval in the long run. No matter what you do in life there will be critics, and often entrenched or petty ones. Returning the favor with a blacklist only builds a bonfire under your critics to entrench themselves farther.

-KE7HQY

--- On Wed, 3/3/10, Box SisteenHundred <box1600@hotmail. com> wrote:

>From: Box SisteenHundred <box1600@hotmail. com>
>Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS ULTIMATUM
>To: rosdigitalmodemgrou p@yahoogroups. com
>Date: Wednesday, March 3, 2010, 5:33 AM
>
>
>
>I am a software engineer by profession.
>
>I do understand his position.
>
>It's not what you may say, but how you say it.
>
>Diplomacy is... the ability to tell someone to go to hell in

>such a way that they actually look forward to the trip.
>
>You'll catch more flies with honey than vinegar.
>
>Talk about good will.
>
>73 - Bill KA8VIT
>
>
>
>
>> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> From: dave.g0dja@tiscali. co.uk
>> Date: Tue, 2 Mar 2010 18:54:40 +0000
>> Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS ULTIMATUM
>>
>> Box SisteenHundred wrote:
>> > With a heavy-hand attitude like that... why would anyone
>> > WANT to use it ?
>>
>> Put yourself in Jose's shoes. He develops a new program and within days
>> people jump on a band wagon saying that it's illegal and he then has to
>> put up with a load of barrack room lawyers telling him what he should do
>> and posting 'news' all over the place that is, at best, uninformed and
>> sometimes seems to be based upon a prejudice against anything new...
>>
>> The RSGB PSC Secretary got two of his pieces on the matter printed on a
>> certain website, but news stories slanted in favour of the new mode were
>> not printed, I wonder why?
>>
>> I'm not surprised he's upset.
>>
>> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
>
_____
Hotmail: Trusted email with Microsoft’s powerful SPAM protection. Sign up now.

447. ROS Dignity

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 17:16:48 UTC
From: acutler22
Topic: 370 Message: 447

Jose,

all a blacklist does is make those on the blacklist more noisy and angry. As seen in the previous posts, it also blackens your image with many of those who support the ROS digital mode. People will judge not only you, but the fruits of your labor by how you act and approach others. You will gain infinitely more praise and your program will gain much more acceptance by approaching everyone, even your harshest critics with dignity than with bannation.

Amateur radio, in its highest form is the embodiment of openness and sharing. Dialogue, the sharing of ideas, is the very core of what we are all about.

To answer those you disagree with "banning" them from your new digital mode goes against this very foundation of the Ham Radio spirit. Facing those who disagree strongly with you, no matter their words, and keeping things dignified is one of the toughest and most difficult things any human can do. It is imperative though that you keep your posts and actions dignified, as it is all very public.

-73 de Anthony, KE7HQY

448. ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 18:06:06 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 325 Message: 448

Earlier this morning, I called the FCC to confirm the "FCC: ROS LEGAL IN USA" assertion made in

<http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/>

I asked for confirmation that the FCC had deemed ROS legal for use on HF by US amateurs. When asked for a case number, I provided the case number given on the above web page -- but was informed that this case number refers to a "password reset" request, not ROS. I asked if I could speak with agent 3820, and was immediately connected; her name is Dawn. I gave Dawn the above URL, and read her the salient paragraph. She said that the information about ROS legality posted on the above web site was not accurate. Dawn went on to say that FCC staff members were working on this issue, and asked me to not make public comments until further progress had been made. She offered to call me at that time.

Dawn called me a few minutes ago, and stated that FCC staff consider the information on the above web page to be out of context and misleading. She further stated that FCC staff is working with the ARRL on this issue, and that the outcome will be publicized by the ARRL. Dawn expects this to happen soon; there is nothing related to ROS posted on <http://www.arrl.org/> as of a few minutes ago.

Note that all telephone conversations with FCC personnel are recorded.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

449. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 17M and 12M Frequencies any concrete decisions?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 18:59:23 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 325 Message: 449

porterockwell@... wrote:
> Dave
>
> Anything decided?
>

Not that I know of. The noise level is probably too high on other topics I think.

Dave (G0DJA)

450. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Statement on Withdrawal of Support for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 18:58:21 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 437 Message: 450

Michael O'Bannon wrote:
> Let's call this behavior what it is: blackmail. It is a flagrant
> attempt to punish users who have differing viewpoints and prevent them
> from communicating those opinions. It is an attempt to suppress free
> expression, objective dialog, and accurate evaluation of ROS.
>
> There is no place for this kind of authoritarian behavior in amateur radio.

Or, it's the reaction of one poor guy to the avalanche of stuff that has been written about him and the mode that he developed.

Whilst I, personally, don't think that publicly banning people was necessarily a good idea. As has been said it will probably just inflame the situation. Again in my opinion, to accuse Jose of being some form of anti-free speech agent is equally well going over the top.

I often see the face of some poor ordinary wretch caught in the public spotlight of our 'free speech' media and feel sorry for them if it is not really of their own doing (celebrities I have less sympathy with where they want publicity one day, but not the next) but everyone seems to think they can voice their opinion on them, their life-style all because we want a good gawk at them.

I think Jose wandered into the spotlight a bit naively, I don't think he expected such a violent, and to some points of view, very negative barrage of comment.

Dave (G0DJA)

451. RE: ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 19:19:19 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 325 Message: 451

For the record, I have no problem with the ROS mode whatsoever. Soon after its announcement, I emailed the developer offering interoperation with DXLab -- an offer that stands.

Developing a great new mode or application does not entitle one to threaten, belittle, or mock those who respond with scathing criticism, much less those who simply ask questions. I strongly disagree with attempts to position legitimate concerns about ROS legality on the part of US amateurs as evidence of a bias against innovation in amateur radio. In response to such a claim on the ROS reflector yesterday, I posted the message below.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com

On Behalf Of Dave AA6YQ

Sent: Tuesday, March 02, 2010 4:08 PM

To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com Subject:

RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Experimentation and Amateur Radio

1. The author publicly described ROS as spread spectrum

2. Hams in the US are required to determine whether a mode is legal in the US before using it

3. In the US, spread spectrum is not legal on HF bands

#1 was an egregious technical error. A engineer making a mistake of this magnitude should exhibit contrition and patience, not belligerance and outrage. Threatening legal action against a ham attempting in good faith to fulfil obligation #2 was far outside the spirit of amateur radio -- and from a legal perspective, ridiculous. Framing the amateur community's reaction as "anti-innovation" is disingenuous; we've seen many new digital modes from all quarters over the years, and none produced anything close to this situation.

Had the author correctly described ROS from the outset, or had he forthrightly corrected his error without lashing out at everyone who sought to understand what technology ROS actually employs so they could confidently use this attractive new mode, this teapot tempest would never have occurred.

You reap what you sow.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

452. FYI ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 19:18:23 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 452 Message: 452

http://www.ambersoft.com/Amateur_Radio/

73 Rein W6SZ

453. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Statement on Withdrawal of Support for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 19:13:32 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 437 Message: 453

Michael O'Bannon wrote:
> Let's call this behavior what it is: blackmail. It is a flagrant
> attempt to punish users who have differing viewpoints and prevent them
> from communicating those opinions. It is an attempt to suppress free
> expression, objective dialog, and accurate evaluation of ROS.

If I decided to take a bit more of an assertive point of view, I might ask if people have the right to say what they think of him, what about his right to tell them what he thinks of them and their opinion?

I notice that some large companies decide not to allow their products to be sold on eBay because it will 'damage their brand', is that any different from saying "if you don't like it, I'll not let you have it?"

Dave (G0DJA)

454. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Statement on Withdrawal of Support for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 20:00:32 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 437 Message: 454

>>>AA6YQ comments below

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Dave Ackrill
Sent: Wednesday, March 03, 2010 2:14 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Statement on Withdrawal of Support
for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

I notice that some large companies decide not to allow their products to
be sold on eBay because it will 'damage their brand', is that any
different from saying "if you don't like it, I'll not let you have it?"

>>>Yes, its completely different: "I won't let you represent/sell my
product" is not the same as "I won't let you buy/download my product".

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

455. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 20:47:20 UTC
From: Arnaldo Coro
Topic: 370 Message: 455

Al autor del software de comunicaciones digitales por radio " ROS"

Acabo de leer con gran sorpresa su " ultimatum" a un colega radioaficionado.
Llevo medio siglo en la radioaficion y jamas habia visto una conducta
semejante.
Se ve que usted NO es radioaficionado...
Por mi parte JAMAS utilizare su "modo digital" , y le recomendare a todo
el que conozca que tampoco lo use, no vaya a ser que por cualquier
motivo le lance usted un ultimatum o una amenaza de llevarlo a los
tribunales.
Ademas, y por ultimo, me parecio de pesima educacion las palabrotas
que empleo en un mensaje enviado a una lista de Internet... si ese mensaje
lo origino usted, realmente necesita pasar un Curso Basico de Netetiquette,
que asi se llama lo que necesita aprender para no ofender a los que
lean las listas.
Y por supuesto que me borro de esta lista en breve.

Firmado
Arnaldo Coro Antich
radioaficionado CO2KK
Ciudad de la Habana , Cuba
Coordinador de Emergencias IARU Region II Area C

--- On Tue, 3/2/10, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:

From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Date: Tuesday, March 2, 2010, 12:23 PM

For Julian, G4ILO,

Has a period of 24 hours to correct the news about the legality of ROS in your blog or it will be illegal to use it yourself. Am I making myself clear enough.

De: g4ilo <julian.g4ilo@ gmail.com>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: lun,1 marzo, 2010 18:04
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Email settings

It isn't using Outlook Express to send the mail so why should it appear in Sent Items? It is sending it direct to the SMTP server. I haven't tried this method (I use Gmail) but you won't see a copy of the email unless Jose coded it to CC a copy to your own email address, in which case you will see it in your inbox.

Julian, G4ILO

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...> wrote:
>
> I have got the gmail system working OK, and it sends confirmation emails
> out, but I cannot get the SMTP system to work.
>
> I have put "smtp.easily. co.uk" into the Email "I have a Mail client"
> box. This is the same email system as my email address used in the
> Operator "Email" settings.
>
> I then waited to see an
email address off air inside the chevrons. Then
> I looked in the 'Sent Items' in Outlook Express but there are no sent
> emails with reception reports.
>
> Has anyone else got the 'I have a Mail client' system to work please?
> If so, how did you set it up?
>
> Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)
>

456. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 21:19:08 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 370 Message: 456

El hecho de tener una licencia de radioaficonado no le da a nadie derecho a desprestigiar el trabajo desinteresado de los que trabajamos en el desarrollo de nuevas tecnologías para la radioafición. Te puede gustar más o te puede gustar menos, pero guarda un mínimo de respeto por el autor, y por supuesto deja que los demás sean libres de utilizarlo o no.

Este señor lo que se dedicaba a hacer era proclamar a los cuatro vientos su ilegalidad, sumido en su ignorancia.

Es muy cómodo estar el sillón de tu casa tratando de ilegalizar el trabajo de alguien y que el resto de radioafionados no pueda usarlo por el simple hecho de no ser capaz de entender su funcionamiento.

Y desconozo de qué mensajes me habla.

Gracias por recomendar a todos que no lo usen, es usted un buen ejemplo de radioaficonado. Que siga así otros 50 años más.

De: Arnaldo Coro <acoro33100@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,3 marzo, 2010 21:47
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS ULTIMATUM

Al autor del software de comunicaciones digitales por radio " ROS"

Acabo de leer con gran sorpresa su " ultimatum" a un colega radioaficionado.
Llevo medio siglo en la radioaficion y jamas habia visto una conducta semejante.
Se ve que usted NO es radioaficionado. ..
Por mi parte JAMAS utilizare su "modo digital" , y le recomendare a todo el que conozca que tampoco lo use, no vaya a ser que por cualquier motivo le lance usted un ultimatum o una amenaza de llevarlo a los tribunales.
Ademas, y por ultimo, me parecio de pesima educacion las palabrotas que empleo en un mensaje enviado a una lista de Internet... si ese mensaje lo origino usted, realmente necesita pasar un Curso Basico de Netetiquette, que asi se llama lo que necesita aprender para no ofender a los que lean las listas.
Y por supuesto que me borro de esta lista en breve.

Firmado
Arnaldo Coro Antich
radioaficionado CO2KK
Ciudad de la Habana , Cuba
Coordinador de Emergencias IARU Region II Area C

--- On Tue, 3/2/10, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es> wrote:

>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS ULTIMATUM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Date: Tuesday, March 2, 2010, 12:23 PM
>
>
>
>For Julian, G4ILO,
>
>Has a period of 24 hours to correct the news about the legality of ROS in your blog or it will be illegal to use it yourself. Am I making myself clear enough.
>
>
>
>
>
_____
De: g4ilo <julian.g4ilo@ gmail.com>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Enviado: lun,1 marzo, 2010 18:04
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Email settings
>
>
>It isn't using Outlook Express to send the mail so why should it appear in Sent Items? It is sending it direct to the SMTP server. I haven't tried this method (I use Gmail) but you won't see a copy of the email unless Jose coded it to CC a copy to your own email address, in which case you will see it in your inbox.
>
>Julian, G4ILO
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...> wrote:
>>
>> I have got the gmail system working OK, and it sends confirmation emails
>> out, but I cannot get the SMTP system to work.
>>
>> I have put "smtp.easily. co.uk" into the Email "I have a Mail client"
>> box. This is the same email system as my email address used in the
>> Operator "Email" settings.
>>
>> I then waited to see an email address off air inside the chevrons. Then
>> I looked in the 'Sent Items' in Outlook Express but there are no sent
>> emails with reception reports.
>>
>> Has anyone else got the 'I have a Mail client' system to work please?
>> If so, how did you set it up?
>>
>> Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)
>>
>
>
>

457. Is it ROS or FSK441?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 23:11:19 UTC
From: Derek G3OFA
Topic: 457 Message: 457

If you look at http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/ there is a QSL from ZL3DW
that states it is for a FSK441 QSO.
The text around it claims it to be a ROS success.
Puzzled
G3OFA

458. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Statement on Withdrawal of Support for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 23:21:39 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 437 Message: 458

Dave AA6YQ wrote:
>>>> AA6YQ comments below
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Dave Ackrill
> Sent: Wednesday, March 03, 2010 2:14 PM
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Statement on Withdrawal of Support
> for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)
>
> I notice that some large companies decide not to allow their products to
> be sold on eBay because it will 'damage their brand', is that any
> different from saying "if you don't like it, I'll not let you have it?"
>
>>>> Yes, its completely different: "I won't let you represent/sell my
> product" is not the same as "I won't let you buy/download my product".

Yes, that is what they are saying. Unless you buy/download from their official distributor, you wont get the product. That is the same as "I won't let you buy my product from 'them' "

Maybe this argument is getting to its logical/illogical conclusion?

If you don't like the mode, the people who want to use it or the person who provided it, maybe it is time to stop using it, stop moaning and get on with your lives?

Dave (G0DJA)

459. Re: Sound Card - Version 2.2.2

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 23:12:21 UTC
From: dalite01@bellsouth.net
Topic: 432 Message: 459

Dave,

I had to disable my onboard sound card to get the external (Signal Link USB) to be saved. After that it worked fine.

David
KD4NUE

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> I'm sorry to say that sound card selection isn't working for me under
> 2.2.2 beta either. I am using Windows XP pro SP3.
>
> This is a long explanation, to show what I have done so far and explain
> exactly what I have done when installing ROS version 2.2.2 and what
> happens on my system. It's not meant as criticism, just an explanation
> in case other people get the same problem and so that Jose may be able
> to find out what is going wrong on my set up.
>
> With this release I deleted the folder that had the version 2.2.1 beta
> program .exe file and the two other folders, I downloaded the new
> version and extracted it to a new folder.
>
> I then switched off the computer and rebooted from cold and ran the new
> version.
>
> When I run the program and select 'SoundCard' I see the three options of
> 'Realtek HD Audio Input' (the soundcard on the motherboard), 'Virtual
> Cable 1' and 'USB Audio CODEC' in the two drop down menus for Device
> Input and Device Output.
>
> If I select 'Virtual Cable 1' and 'Save' then this setting will stay
> selected.
>
> If I select 'USB Audio CODEC' the receive works and audio from the radio
> is being shown in the waterfall and I am decoding other ROS signals.
> When I look at the 'SoundCard' settings again both 'Device Input' and
> 'Device Output' show "Realtek HD Audio Input" and, if I try to transmit,
> the tones are being sent out from the motherboard soundcard, which is
> set as the default soundcard under Windows XP pro.
>
> This is what was happening on my set up with version 2.2.1. Version
> 2.2.0 did work OK, but after I tried to delete 2.2.1 and use 2.2.0, even
> after rebooting the PC after deleting 2.2.1 and reinstalling 2.2.0 from
> the ZIP file and rebooting again.
>

> Dave (G0DJA)
>

460. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Is it ROS or FSK441?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 23:29:35 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 457 Message: 460

That isa typo, Jose describes it as 144FSK not 441.
Andy K3UK

On Wed, Mar 3, 2010 at 6:11 PM, Derek G3OFA <g3ofa1@...> wrote:

>
>
> If you look at http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/ there is a QSL from ZL3DW
> that states it is for a FSK441 QSO.
> The text around it claims it to be a ROS success.
> Puzzled
> G3OFA
>
>
>

461. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Statement on Withdrawal of Support for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 23:31:11 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 437 Message: 461

_+++ AA6YQ comments below

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Dave Ackrill
Sent: Wednesday, March 03, 2010 6:22 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Statement on Withdrawal of Support
for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Dave AA6YQ wrote:
>>>> AA6YQ comments below
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Dave Ackrill
> Sent: Wednesday, March 03, 2010 2:14 PM
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Statement on Withdrawal of Support
> for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)
>
> I notice that some large companies decide not to allow their products to
> be sold on eBay because it will 'damage their brand', is that any
> different from saying "if you don't like it, I'll not let you have it?"
>
>>>> Yes, its completely different: "I won't let you represent/sell my
> product" is not the same as "I won't let you buy/download my product".

Yes, that is what they are saying. Unless you buy/download from their
official distributor, you wont get the product. That is the same as "I
won't let you buy my product from 'them' "

+++No. "You can't have the product at all" is different than "You can only
obtain the product from these specific outlets".

Maybe this argument is getting to its logical/illogical conclusion?

If you don't like the mode, the people who want to use it or the person
who provided it, maybe it is time to stop using it, stop moaning and get
on with your lives?

+++ My perspective on the mode has been positive and encouraging from its
initial release. I still can't use it because its never been clear whether
it is or is not legal to use in the US. My complaint is with those who
practice and/or rationalize legal threats, personal attacks, and

blacklisting -- none of which have any place in the amateur radio community.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

462. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 23:57:05 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 462

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.3.1 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.3.1%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

463. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-03 23:58:59 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 463

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /CURRENT DOCS/ROS 144 FSK technical v1.1.pdf
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/CURRENT%20DOCS/ROS%20144%20FSK%20
technical%20v1.1.pdf

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

464. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Statement on Withdrawal of Support for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 00:02:05 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 437 Message: 464

Dave AA6YQ wrote:
> _+++ AA6YQ comments below

My daughter says to me, at times.

Talk to the hand 'cause the face don't want to know.'

She's a single Mom. Her daughter is a cutie (USA slang used) and I'm a devoted Grand-dad. But.. my daughter has a point.

My grand-daughter is getting on with her life. At less than two years old she couldn't care less what we think. I would guess that, at 16 years old she might ask "what did you do back then".

What should I tell her?

Dave (G0DJA)

465. Version 2.3.1 available

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 08:46:18 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 437 Message: 465

Version 2.3.1 is available from http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

I deleted the old version that I had (Version 2.2.2) and installed the new, closed the PC down and restarted from cold and started the new version.

I am sorry to say that I am still not able to select the USB Audio Codec.

If I click on SoundCard the option 'USB Audio Codec' is there, but when I click on it, click save and then look at SoundCard again the default windows sound card is selected. If I click on TX the audio goes out to the default sound card.

I have got my old interface out of the junk box. I think that I will just have to use ROS with the windows motherboard soundcard instead of my USB connected unit.

Dave (G0DJA)

466. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Version 2.3.1 available

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 13:31:16 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 437 Message: 466

In case anyone wants to see the current band plans they are available
either as an Excel file, or to look at in HTML format, at
http://www.rsgb.org/operating/

For 20M they show the following

14,101-14,112 2.7 kHz All modes - automatically controlled data stations
(unattended)

14,112-14,125 2.7 kHz All modes (excluding digimodes)

14,125-14,300 2.7 kHz All modes - SSB contest preferred segment
14,130kHz - digital voice centre of activity
14,195+- 5 kHz Priority for Dxpeditions
14,230 kHz - Image Centre of Activity.
14,285 kHz - QRP Centre of Activity

14,300-14,350 2.7 kHz All modes

Apparently, I'm not allowed to say that on another digital modes group
for some reason.

Dave (G0DJA)

467. UK Band Plans

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 13:33:01 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 437 Message: 467

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> In case anyone wants to see the current band plans they are available
> either as an Excel file, or to look at in HTML format, at

Sorry, I did mean to alter the subject line as well.

468. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Version 2.3.1 available

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 14:19:49 UTC
From: Hirotaka Otsuka
Topic: 437 Message: 468

Hi Dave,

That is same as me.

And, if USB Audio Device is set to default audio device by Windows
audio property, it will work well.

Taka
JA2GRC

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Version 2.3.1 is available from http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/
>
> I deleted the old version that I had (Version 2.2.2) and installed the
> new, closed the PC down and restarted from cold and started the new version.
>
> I am sorry to say that I am still not able to select the USB Audio Codec.
>
> If I click on SoundCard the option 'USB Audio Codec' is there, but when
> I click on it, click save and then look at SoundCard again the default
> windows sound card is selected. If I click on TX the audio goes out to
> the default sound card.
>
> I have got my old interface out of the junk box. I think that I will
> just have to use ROS with the windows motherboard soundcard instead of
> my USB connected unit.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

469. Re: Statement on Withdrawal of Support for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 14:32:45 UTC
From: Gavin
Topic: 437 Message: 469

Hmm it seems Jose' has fallen into the mire of bitching, moaning & threats that has become Amateur Radio these days!

Every day I look on his site & see the poor bugger has been burning the midnight oil and has released another update with assorted improvements, he does this for free, fully in the spirit of amateur radio, he makes no money from his efforts & seems to go far beyond what many other developers do when it comes to support....also for FREE!

With regards to the legality of this mode for use in the USA, again he seems to have provided ample evidence from the FCC themselves that they have no objections to this mode, and yet still he finds himself the target of a huge amount of complaints and threats from a very small number of vocal hams who seem unable or unwilling to resolve THEIR issues themselves.

If US hams have problems with the FCC then argue with them, don't snipe & moan to Jose'.

Whilst I may not entirely support any threats of legal action I can totally understand why he made them, and Andy I think you are wrong to issue your statement, you simply add fuel to what was a small fire there & blow it up into something huge, two wrongs and all that???

I'd have more time for the moaners and trouble causers if they went away & came up with another mode themselves....& put it out for free....and battled the deluge of aggro like Jose' has to do, but they won't, they do nothing constructive, only criticise & complain.

It is all very sad, and to be honest embarrassing to the hobby to see the way this guy & a new mode are being treat by some, if you don't want to use the mode, fine there are plenty of other modes you can play with, but give Jose' a break, let him develop his software & try giving the guy some support instead of these schoolyard...."You don't like my friends, so I'm not going to play with you" antics.

If you have a problem with him, or his software then email the guy, but public "Statements" like this serve no one well & really don't serve our hobby except to make us look childish bitter & twisted, all very sad to see.....but kind of expected, I'm sure it will serve as a great inspiration to those considering joining this once fine hobby....

Gav....shakes head and goes to take up stamp collecting

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...> wrote:
>
> I was disturbed when the ROS author suggested that he was considering
> legal action against N3TL for merely raising the question of legality
> in the USA. I am even more disturbed that the author of the software
> has an apparent plan to include the callsign of certain hams in a
> "non-grata" list , suggesting that the software would not allow them
> to make QSOs . Thus, I am removing support that I have provided via
> the K3UK Sked pages. People are free to post and chat about ROS on
> the Sked Pages but my prominent mention of ROS , and support by

> listed calling frequencies, will be removed.
>
> The message from Jose on his
>
> "Some people think to keep telling lies on Internet blogs is going to
> go free. People still trying to outlaw ROS although the FCC has given
> approval will not be able to make any QSO with ROS or any of the
> projects I have designed for the future.
>
> "Non Grata" List:
>
> K5OKC, AA6YQ, M6RDP,PE4BAS,KQ7W,ZL4PLM,DL4PLM,GM4PLM,NN4RH"
>
> This reflector helped catapult ROS 16 in to a world-wide experiment
> after Jose had not been able to generate much interest in his first
> few announcements on other forums. While the "fuss" created by
> questions about the legality may have understandably frustrated Jose,
> the above behaviour is not in keeping with the spirit of ham radio
> project development and is not within the generally accepted ethics
> espoused by this email group. I have been proud of the openness that
> software developers have shown of the past 10 years on this email
> list. Patrick, Simon, Skip, Dave B, Dave F Rein, Murray, Joe,
> Leigh, Pawel, Nino, Vojtech, Bob, , Stelios, Mako, Rick, Tom, HB9TLK,
> and many others have provided us with enjoyable applications and have
> openly accepted varying opinions on this forum . When you start
> making absurd legal threats against a ham that was simply safeguarding
> his well earned radio operating privileges, and become thin skinned to
> the point of excluding hams from using the software, it is time to
> take the software to the commercial world and remove it from amateur
> radio use.
>
> I have enjoyed ROS as an application and may still use if from time to
> time, too bad we have a rather volatile author whose overreactions are
> ruining the benefits of his considerable talents.
>
> Andy K3UK
> Digitalradio
> Owner
>
> CC: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>

470. Attention Jose

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 15:28:12 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 470 Message: 470

testing v2.3.1 with the EME button. after transmission, the waterfall does not reappear.

david/wd4kpd

471. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 15:40:06 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 471

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.3.2 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.3.
2%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

472. Re: Attention Jose

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 18:18:41 UTC
From: nietorosdj
Topic: 470 Message: 472

Waterfall is disconected in the EME version because the software can scan all the wideband of your receiver :-)

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@...> wrote:
>
> testing v2.3.1 with the EME button. after transmission, the waterfall does not reappear.
>
> david/wd4kpd
>

473. ROS IMPORTAN BUG DETECTED

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 18:16:57 UTC
From: nietorosdj
Topic: 473 Message: 473

Sorry guys

I have found a incompatibility bug in the EME version.

Download latest version.

474. Norwegian Band Plans

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 18:29:18 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 437 Message: 474

And here is the Norwegian band plan on 20m :
"14000-14350 kHz primary status 1000W 6 kHz "
Nothing more , nothing less ;)

http://www.lovdata.no/ltavd1/filer/sf-20091105-1340.html

73 de LA5VNA Steinar

On 04.03.2010 14:31, Dave Ackrill wrote:
> In case anyone wants to see the current band plans they are available
> either as an Excel file, or to look at in HTML format, at
> http://www.rsgb.org/operating/
>
> For 20M they show the following
>
> 14,101-14,112 2.7 kHz All modes - automatically controlled data stations
> (unattended)
>
> 14,112-14,125 2.7 kHz All modes (excluding digimodes)
>
> 14,125-14,300 2.7 kHz All modes - SSB contest preferred segment
> 14,130kHz - digital voice centre of activity
> 14,195+- 5 kHz Priority for Dxpeditions
> 14,230 kHz - Image Centre of Activity.
> 14,285 kHz - QRP Centre of Activity
>
> 14,300-14,350 2.7 kHz All modes
>
>
> Apparently, I'm not allowed to say that on another digital modes group
> for some reason.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
> ----------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

475. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Statement on Withdrawal of Support for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 21:12:02 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 437 Message: 475

Well said!

I think that this group should be for discussing the new soft and helping
jose to improve it .

Maybe he will ad a narrowband mode later so the legal or not question is
gone ..

But we should ONLY help him and not discuss other things here

That´s what I think about all that legal or not , statements, etc discussion

Stop all that bulls..t

Skedpages? There ore more than just one

Some support that new mode some not

so another thing we should not discuss

Just post comments about functions in the soft or questions about the soft
or wishes to add something in the soft

BUT NOTHING MORE!!!!

MY 0.02 DOLLARS

Best 73´s and good dx (with or without ROS)

Dg9bfc

Sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Gavin
Gesendet: Donnerstag, 4. März 2010 15:33
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Statement on Withdrawal of Support for
ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Hmm it seems Jose' has fallen into the mire of bitching, moaning & threats
that has become Amateur Radio these days!

Every day I look on his site & see the poor bugger has been burning the
midnight oil and has released another update with assorted improvements, he
does this for free, fully in the spirit of amateur radio, he makes no money

from his efforts & seems to go far beyond what many other developers do when it comes to support....also for FREE!

With regards to the legality of this mode for use in the USA, again he seems to have provided ample evidence from the FCC themselves that they have no objections to this mode, and yet still he finds himself the target of a huge amount of complaints and threats from a very small number of vocal hams who seem unable or unwilling to resolve THEIR issues themselves.

If US hams have problems with the FCC then argue with them, don't snipe & moan to Jose'.

Whilst I may not entirely support any threats of legal action I can totally understand why he made them, and Andy I think you are wrong to issue your statement, you simply add fuel to what was a small fire there & blow it up into something huge, two wrongs and all that???

I'd have more time for the moaners and trouble causers if they went away & came up with another mode themselves....& put it out for free....and battled the deluge of aggro like Jose' has to do, but they won't, they do nothing constructive, only criticise & complain.

It is all very sad, and to be honest embarrassing to the hobby to see the way this guy & a new mode are being treat by some, if you don't want to use the mode, fine there are plenty of other modes you can play with, but give Jose' a break, let him develop his software & try giving the guy some support instead of these schoolyard...."You don't like my friends, so I'm not going to play with you" antics.

If you have a problem with him, or his software then email the guy, but public "Statements" like this serve no one well & really don't serve our hobby except to make us look childish bitter & twisted, all very sad to see.....but kind of expected, I'm sure it will serve as a great inspiration to those considering joining this once fine hobby....

Gav....shakes head and goes to take up stamp collecting

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
P@yahoogroups.com, Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...> wrote:
>
> I was disturbed when the ROS author suggested that he was considering
> legal action against N3TL for merely raising the question of legality
> in the USA. I am even more disturbed that the author of the software
> has an apparent plan to include the callsign of certain hams in a
> "non-grata" list , suggesting that the software would not allow them
> to make QSOs . Thus, I am removing support that I have provided via
> the K3UK Sked pages. People are free to post and chat about ROS on
> the Sked Pages but my prominent mention of ROS , and support by
> listed calling frequencies, will be removed.
>
> The message from Jose on his
>

> "Some people think to keep telling lies on Internet blogs is going to
> go free. People still trying to outlaw ROS although the FCC has given
> approval will not be able to make any QSO with ROS or any of the
> projects I have designed for the future.
>
> "Non Grata" List:
>
> K5OKC, AA6YQ, M6RDP,PE4BAS,KQ7W,ZL4PLM,DL4PLM,GM4PLM,NN4RH"
>
> This reflector helped catapult ROS 16 in to a world-wide experiment
> after Jose had not been able to generate much interest in his first
> few announcements on other forums. While the "fuss" created by
> questions about the legality may have understandably frustrated Jose,
> the above behaviour is not in keeping with the spirit of ham radio
> project development and is not within the generally accepted ethics
> espoused by this email group. I have been proud of the openness that
> software developers have shown of the past 10 years on this email
> list. Patrick, Simon, Skip, Dave B, Dave F Rein, Murray, Joe,
> Leigh, Pawel, Nino, Vojtech, Bob, , Stelios, Mako, Rick, Tom, HB9TLK,
> and many others have provided us with enjoyable applications and have
> openly accepted varying opinions on this forum . When you start
> making absurd legal threats against a ham that was simply safeguarding
> his well earned radio operating privileges, and become thin skinned to
> the point of excluding hams from using the software, it is time to
> take the software to the commercial world and remove it from amateur
> radio use.
>
> I have enjoyed ROS as an application and may still use if from time to
> time, too bad we have a rather volatile author whose overreactions are
> ruining the benefits of his considerable talents.
>
> Andy K3UK
> Digitalradio
> Owner
>
> CC: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
P@yahoogroups.com
>

476. Macros

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 21:35:27 UTC
From: George
Topic: 437 Message: 476

Do the new versions allow the editing of the macro buttons? Is there a macro that will allow the reading in of a text file?

Thanks for the new mode, Jose.

George, NJ3H

477. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 22:50:47 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 477

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.4.0 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.4.0%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

478. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Statement on Withdrawal of Support for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 22:52:27 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 437 Message: 478

Gav, I agree with many of your sentiments. especially the view that we should express frustration to the FCC that his mode maye be illegal in the USA on HF, rather than express it to Jose. Where I disagree with you is that I think you feel it is wrong to express opinions about Jose's threats of legal action and "non gratis" status being applied to some hams. I remain firm in my view that expressing opposition to such behaviour is legitimate.

Andy K3UK

On Thu, Mar 4, 2010 at 9:32 AM, Gavin <g0lgb@...> wrote:

>
>
>
>
> Hmm it seems Jose' has fallen into the mire of bitching, moaning & threats
> that has become Amateur Radio these days!
>
> Every day I look on his site & see the poor bugger has been burning the
> midnight oil and has released another update with assorted improvements, he
> does this for free, fully in the spirit of amateur radio, he makes no money
> from his efforts & seems to go far beyond what many other developers do when
> it comes to support....also for FREE!
>
> With regards to the legality of this mode for use in the USA, again he
> seems to have provided ample evidence from the FCC themselves that they have
> no objections to this mode, and yet still he finds himself the target of a
> huge amount of complaints and threats from a very small number of vocal hams
> who seem unable or unwilling to resolve THEIR issues themselves.
>
> If US hams have problems with the FCC then argue with them, don't snipe &
> moan to Jose'.
>
> Whilst I may not entirely support any threats of legal action I can totally
> understand why he made them, and Andy I think you are wrong to issue your
> statement, you simply add fuel to what was a small fire there & blow it up
> into something huge, two wrongs and all that???
>
> I'd have more time for the moaners and trouble causers if they went away &
> came up with another mode themselves....& put it out for free....and battled
> the deluge of aggro like Jose' has to do, but they won't, they do nothing
> constructive, only criticise & complain.
>

> It is all very sad, and to be honest embarrassing to the hobby to see the
> way this guy & a new mode are being treat by some, if you don't want to use
> the mode, fine there are plenty of other modes you can play with, but give
> Jose' a break, let him develop his software & try giving the guy some
> support instead of these schoolyard...."You don't like my friends, so I'm
> not going to play with you" antics.
>
> If you have a problem with him, or his software then email the guy, but
> public "Statements" like this serve no one well & really don't serve our
> hobby except to make us look childish bitter & twisted, all very sad to
> see.....but kind of expected, I'm sure it will serve as a great inspiration
> to those considering joining this once fine hobby....
>
> Gav....shakes head and goes to take up stamp collecting
>
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@...m<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>,
> Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...> wrote:
> >
> > I was disturbed when the ROS author suggested that he was considering
> > legal action against N3TL for merely raising the question of legality
> > in the USA. I am even more disturbed that the author of the software
> > has an apparent plan to include the callsign of certain hams in a
> > "non-grata" list , suggesting that the software would not allow them
> > to make QSOs . Thus, I am removing support that I have provided via
> > the K3UK Sked pages. People are free to post and chat about ROS on
> > the Sked Pages but my prominent mention of ROS , and support by
> > listed calling frequencies, will be removed.
> >
> > The message from Jose on his
> >
> > "Some people think to keep telling lies on Internet blogs is going to
> > go free. People still trying to outlaw ROS although the FCC has given
> > approval will not be able to make any QSO with ROS or any of the
> > projects I have designed for the future.
> >
> > "Non Grata" List:
> >
> > K5OKC, AA6YQ, M6RDP,PE4BAS,KQ7W,ZL4PLM,DL4PLM,GM4PLM,NN4RH"
> >
> > This reflector helped catapult ROS 16 in to a world-wide experiment
> > after Jose had not been able to generate much interest in his first
> > few announcements on other forums. While the "fuss" created by
> > questions about the legality may have understandably frustrated Jose,
> > the above behaviour is not in keeping with the spirit of ham radio
> > project development and is not within the generally accepted ethics
> > espoused by this email group. I have been proud of the openness that
> > software developers have shown of the past 10 years on this email
> > list. Patrick, Simon, Skip, Dave B, Dave F Rein, Murray, Joe,
> > Leigh, Pawel, Nino, Vojtech, Bob, , Stelios, Mako, Rick, Tom, HB9TLK,

> > and many others have provided us with enjoyable applications and have
> > openly accepted varying opinions on this forum . When you start
> > making absurd legal threats against a ham that was simply safeguarding
> > his well earned radio operating privileges, and become thin skinned to
> > the point of excluding hams from using the software, it is time to
> > take the software to the commercial world and remove it from amateur
> > radio use.
> >
> > I have enjoyed ROS as an application and may still use if from time to
> > time, too bad we have a rather volatile author whose overreactions are
> > ruining the benefits of his considerable talents.
> >
> > Andy K3UK
> > Digitalradio
> > Owner
> >
> > CC: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> >
>
>
>

479. ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 00:01:35 UTC
From: fred_darrah
Topic: 479 Message: 479

HELLO ALL,
Sadly I have read the ARRL news letter this week. I think it is final to us in USA.
73 Fred N9GUE

480. Re: [digitalradio] ROS update

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 23:31:06 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 480 Message: 480

Jose,

Is THIS really true: "[T]he information contained on the ROS Web site was /not/ provided by the FCC." ????

la5vna S

On 04.03.2010 23:10, KH6TY wrote:
> Unfortunately, it appears that ROS is actually FHSS, as originally described on the ROS website, and therefore is not legal for US hams below 222MHz. :-(
>
> From the ARRL website, http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2010/03/04/11377/?nc=1,
>
> "When queried about this new statement, the FCC's Consumer Assistance Office stated that "[T]he information contained on the ROS Web site was /not/ provided by the FCC." They then reaffirmed the original statements that originated from the FCC's Wireless Bureau, which handles Amateur Radio rules for the US."
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2010/03/04/11377/?nc=1
>
> Hope to see you on ROS on UHF, 432.090 MHz, every morning between 7:30 AM and 8:00 AM.
>
> 73, Skip KH6TY FM02BT
>
>
>>
>>
>

481. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 00:29:23 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 479 Message: 481

But I think this is the principle of starting to ask for resignations of any members of ARRL.

De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 01:01
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

HELLO ALL,
Sadly I have read the ARRL news letter this week. I think it is final to us in USA.
73 Fred N9GUE

482. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 00:39:26 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 479 Message: 482

fred_darrah wrote:
> HELLO ALL,
> Sadly I have read the ARRL news letter this week. I think it is final to us in USA.
> 73 Fred N9GUE

OK, sadly that may be the 'end game' for the USA.

But it isn't for the rest of the world.

On a more positive note, perhaps we can work 100 countries or more, excluding the USA, before they sort themselves out and decide to dominate the mode on each band?

Let's work as many countries 'pre-USA' and say that 'post-USA' was actually somehow a lesser achievement. LOL

Dave (G0DJA)

483. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 00:42:08 UTC
From: Glenn L. Roeser
Topic: 479 Message: 483

After reading this weeks ARRL Newsletter, I must say the same. I am very saddened that we the people of the United States will no longer be able to use ROS.
Jose, you are a great software engineer, thank you for all your efforts in creating ROS, it was a lot of fun while it lasted!
Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)

From: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thu, March 4, 2010 7:01:35 PM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

HELLO ALL,
Sadly I have read the ARRL news letter this week. I think it is final to us in USA.
73 Fred N9GUE

484. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] ROS update

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 00:43:44 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 480 Message: 484

False, the information contained on the ROS Web site was provided by the FCC.

For any reason, ARRL dont want you can use this mode. I have ask the resignation of ine of his members.

De: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
Para: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com; * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 00:31
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] ROS update

Jose,

Is THIS really true: "[T]he information contained on the ROS Web site was /not/ provided by the FCC." ????

la5vna S

On 04.03.2010 23:10, KH6TY wrote:
> Unfortunately, it appears that ROS is actually FHSS, as originally described on the ROS website, and therefore is not legal for US hams below 222MHz. :-(
>
> From the ARRL website, http://www.arrl. org/news/ stories/2010/ 03/04/11377/ ?nc=1,
>
> "When queried about this new statement, the FCC's Consumer Assistance Office stated that "[T]he information contained on the ROS Web site was /not/ provided by the FCC." They then reaffirmed the original statements that originated from the FCC's Wireless Bureau, which handles Amateur Radio rules for the US."
>
> http://www.arrl. org/news/ stories/2010/ 03/04/11377/ ?nc=1
>
> Hope to see you on ROS on UHF, 432.090 MHz, every morning between 7:30 AM and 8:00 AM.
>
> 73, Skip KH6TY FM02BT
>
>
>>
>>
>

485. Resigning ARRL

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 00:53:47 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 485 Message: 485

This simply illustrates the major gap we have in communication. Jose would not work so hard on an issue if he was not totally committed to it. His view that ARRL people should now resign as a reult of the FCC statement shows he just does not get it. I'm not saying the ARRL is correct, just that Jose is really out there on this. Jose how did this happen ?

Andy

From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
Date: Thu, Mar 4, 2010 at 7:29 PM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com

But I think this is the principle of starting to ask for resignations of any members of ARRL.

486. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Statement on Withdrawal of Support for ROS (K3UK Sked Pages)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 00:15:06 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 437 Message: 486

Andy obrien wrote:
> Gav, I agree with many of your sentiments. especially the view that we
> should express frustration to the FCC that his mode maye be illegal in the
> USA on HF, rather than express it to Jose. Where I disagree with you is
> that I think you feel it is wrong to express opinions about Jose's threats
> of legal action and "non gratis" status being applied to some hams. I
> remain firm in my view that expressing opposition to such behaviour is
> legitimate.
>
> Andy K3UK

Is that why my posts to digitalradio seem to be currently being
moderated, Andy?

Or are all posts moderated, and some of my posts are allowed through
after a while?

Dave (G0DJA)

487. Ah well, I don't think this will be posted in another group

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 01:14:18 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 479 Message: 487

g4ilo wrote:
> OK, I understand. That still doesn't make it impossible to use
somewhere above 14.109 though, does it?
>
> Well I guess now it's immaterial to you guys anyway. What I don't
understand is why anyone still wants to use the mode. The developer has
made threats to other amateurs, he has posted false information on his
website and risked bringing the hobby into disrepute. Anyone who
continues to use it is basically saying none of that matters.

Julian, it isn't about you. Get over yourself.

Move on.

Will this get posted? I don't think so...

Dave (G0DJA)

488. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Resigning ARRL

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 01:24:03 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 485 Message: 488

Andy obrien wrote:
> This simply illustrates the major gap we have in communication. Jose would
> not work so hard on an issue if he was not totally committed to it. His
> view that ARRL people should now resign as a reult of the FCC statement
> shows he just does not get it. I'm not saying the ARRL is correct, just
> that Jose is really out there on this. Jose how did this happen ?
>

And, Andy, why am I being moderated on digitalradio? Is it because I am
'off message' on this?

Sorry, Andy, I am now getting so far opposed to what the US amateurs are
saying that I'm starting towards the 'let's let the USA not use this
mode if they don't want to and just let the rest of the world get on
with using it' point of view.

Why do we need the USA to allow it? What benefit do we get? The
advantage of not having the USA on this mode is probably less stations
with big beams and kW amplifiers, so actually, some of us might benefit
if the US Hams don't use the mode...

So, please keep off this mode, 'we' may actually get on better without you.

Dave (G0DJA)

489. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 00:23:04 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 479 Message: 489

I don't think so. If the serious study that several well qualified hams conducted last week is accurate, AND Jose submits a resonable explanation as to way he first claimed it was SS but now views it NOT to be SS ,I think there is a good chance it will be accepted.

On Thu, Mar 4, 2010 at 7:01 PM, fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...> wrote:

>
>
> HELLO ALL,
> Sadly I have read the ARRL news letter this week. I think it is final to us
> in USA.
> 73 Fred N9GUE
>
>
>

490. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Norwegian Band Plans

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 00:54:22 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 437 Message: 490

Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> And here is the Norwegian band plan on 20m :
> "14000-14350 kHz primary status 1000W 6 kHz "
> Nothing more , nothing less ;)
>
> http://www.lovdata.no/ltavd1/filer/sf-20091105-1340.html
>
> 73 de LA5VNA Steinar

No problem there in Norway, Steinar,

Here in the UK I will keep to the 2700 Hz areas suggested, but I've un-subscribed from another forum where 'freedom of speech' seems to be limited at times.

Dave (G0DJA)

491. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Resigning ARRL

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 01:41:40 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 485 Message: 491

Good point, I have actually wondered that a few times. That there are so many USA ops on the air, it must get annoying to some.

On Thu, Mar 4, 2010 at 8:24 PM, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>wrote:

>
>
> Andy obrien wrote:
> > This simply illustrates the major gap we have in communication. Jose
> would
> > not work so hard on an issue if he was not totally committed to it. His
> > view that ARRL people should now resign as a reult of the FCC statement
> > shows he just does not get it. I'm not saying the ARRL is correct, just
> > that Jose is really out there on this. Jose how did this happen ?
> >
>
> And, Andy, why am I being moderated on digitalradio? Is it because I am
> 'off message' on this?
>
> Sorry, Andy, I am now getting so far opposed to what the US amateurs are
> saying that I'm starting towards the 'let's let the USA not use this
> mode if they don't want to and just let the rest of the world get on
> with using it' point of view.
>
> Why do we need the USA to allow it? What benefit do we get? The
> advantage of not having the USA on this mode is probably less stations
> with big beams and kW amplifiers, so actually, some of us might benefit
> if the US Hams don't use the mode...
>
> So, please keep off this mode, 'we' may actually get on better without you.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>

492. Explanation?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 00:35:33 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 492 Message: 492

Does anyone have an explanation as to what happened? Jose posted a claim that the FCC had communicated to him and viewed ROS not to be SS. Now we have the FCC saying that the statement did NOT come from them . I assume that Jose has nothing to gain (it is FREE software after all) from falsifying his claim. I don't think anyone that has worked so hard would do such a thing, so what happened ? I can tell you that the claim that the FCC was OK with ROS contained some language that was unusual for the FCC and caused a couple of people to questions its legitimacy. Those questions led to subsequent contact with the FCC , and that contact led to today's statement issued via the ARRL(interesting that the FCC chose that route)

Andy K3UK

493. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Resigning ARRL

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 02:00:34 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 485 Message: 493

Andy obrien wrote:
> All messages are temporarily moderated, I announced that last night. All
> messages will be passed on regardless of opinion.

One of mine did, eventually, get through. I guess that the other was deemed to be another version of the same message, as I did not know that I was being 'moderated' ?

I am sorry, I did not see the announcement of temporary moderation. I will treat that as being a partial freedom of speech then?

I am planning to travel to the "land of the free" in May, I wont bring any Amateur Radio gear and I wont make any statements to the guards at the USA customs that in anyway suggests that I disapprove, in any way, with the USA in any way.

The land of the free...

Dave (G0DJA)

494. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] ROS update

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 01:02:56 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 480 Message: 494

Jose, did you CHANGE the statement from the FCC in anyway? I believe you when you say it was from the FCC, but I wonder if you innocently translated or edited their statement in such a way that they can claim that it is not what they said ?

Andy

On Thu, Mar 4, 2010 at 7:43 PM, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>wrote:

>
>
> False, the information contained on the ROS Web site was provided by the
> FCC.
>
> For any reason, ARRL dont want you can use this mode. I have ask the
> resignation of ine of his members.
>
> ----------
> *De:* Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
> *Para:* digitalradio@yahoogroups.com; * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <
> ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
> *Enviado:* vie,5 marzo, 2010 00:31
> *Asunto:* [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] ROS update
>
>
>
> Jose,
>
> Is THIS really true: "[T]he information contained on the ROS Web site
> was /not/ provided by the FCC." ????
>
> la5vna S
>
> On 04.03.2010 23:10, KH6TY wrote:
> > Unfortunately, it appears that ROS is actually FHSS, as originally
> described on the ROS website, and therefore is not legal for US hams
> below 222MHz. :-(
> >
> > From the ARRL website,
> http://www.arrl. org/news/ stories/2010/ 03/04/11377/
?nc=1,<http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2010/03/04/11377/?nc=1,>
> >
> > "When queried about this new statement, the FCC's Consumer Assistance
> Office stated that "[T]he information contained on the ROS Web site was
> /not/ provided by the FCC." They then reaffirmed the original statements

> that originated from the FCC's Wireless Bureau, which handles Amateur
> Radio rules for the US."
> >
> > http://www.arrl. org/news/ stories/2010/ 03/04/11377/
?nc=1<http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2010/03/04/11377/?nc=1>
> >
> > Hope to see you on ROS on UHF, 432.090 MHz, every morning between 7:30
> AM and 8:00 AM.
> >
> > 73, Skip KH6TY FM02BT
> >
> >
> >>
> >>
> >
>
>
>
>

495. Digital Radio

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 01:08:24 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 479 Message: 495

I've just sent this to the Digital Radio group.

I think that I may still be being moderated on that system, so here is what I said in full, in case it is not allowed, for some reason, on that forum.

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> KH6TY wrote:
>> Julian,
>>
>> In the US, the RTTY/data segment of 20m stops at 14.150.
>
> The current UK band plans can be found at
> http://www.rsgb.org/spectrumforum/bandplans/
>
> There's an online version and an Excel version that you can download.

Oh, so this one is OK now, what about my other one, that said pretty much the same?

How long am I, and others under moderation for?

I'll be interested to see how long this message is held before it is released - it is now 01:00:00 05th March 2010 - If this is not in the message I'll know that the message has been edited. Paranoid? Moi?

To all you 'freedom of speech' people, I posted two messages, both were held for moderation and one was released. The other was another version of the 1st sent because I did not know that I was subject to moderation.

Dave (G0DJA)

496. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 01:03:10 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 479 Message: 496

Thanks, but i have to say a couple of things.

¿IS ROS EME legal? that mode was created 24 hours ago. ¿somebody has the technical description of that mode of narrow band? somebody can say ROS EME is spread spectrum? Where is the spread spectrum?

ROS 500 HZ is a new mode that it will be load in ten minutes. Can somebody illegalize a mode that is not finished yet? do you know any people in the world that know who work ROS 500 Hz in this moment?

somebody has a technical description of this new modes for say is llegal or is illegal?

De: Glenn L. Roeser <hillbillietrace@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 01:42
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

After reading this weeks ARRL Newsletter, I must say the same. I am very saddened that we the people of the United States will no longer be able to use ROS.
Jose, you are a great software engineer, thank you for all your efforts in creating ROS, it was a lot of fun while it lasted!
Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)

From: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@sbcglobal. net>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Sent: Thu, March 4, 2010 7:01:35 PM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

HELLO ALL,
Sadly I have read the ARRL news letter this week. I think it is final to us in USA.
73 Fred N9GUE

497. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ah well, I don't think this will be posted in another group

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 01:31:44 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 479 Message: 497

Dave Ackrill wrote:

> Will this get posted? I don't think so...

Not yet appeared in the digitalradio group.

I wonder why not?

Dave (G0DJA)

498. New Digital MODE

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 02:48:08 UTC
From: nietorosdj
Topic: 498 Message: 498

Enjoy it.

499. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 01:51:46 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 479 Message: 499

Hello All:

In mid-February, European amateurs first used a new, experimental digital mode known as ROS. On February 23, 2010 -- after FCC review of the original documents provided from the developer's Web site -- the FCC made a statement on ROS. The ARRL supports -- as one of the basic purposes of Amateur Radio -- the experimentation and advancing the technical skills of operators. The development and use of any new mode is exciting to many amateurs, and the League encourage amateurs to experiment within the parameters of the rules; however, the ARRL also reminds US licensees that according to Section 97.307, spread spectrum communications are only permissible in the US on frequencies above 222 MHz. Read more, including what the FCC had to say about ROS, here <http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2010/03/04/11377/?nc=1>.

Is this a FCC statement / ruling ?

Would we accept a statement by a third party, if it had to do with lets say, rules of the road (CA State DMV) Social Security , (US Social Security Administration) and so on?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...>
>Sent: Mar 4, 2010 7:23 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER
>
>I don't think so. If the serious study that several well qualified hams
>conducted last week is accurate, AND Jose submits a resonable explanation
>as to way he first claimed it was SS but now views it NOT to be SS ,I
>think there is a good chance it will be accepted.
>
>On Thu, Mar 4, 2010 at 7:01 PM, fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> HELLO ALL,
>> Sadly I have read the ARRL news letter this week. I think it is final to us
>> in USA.
>> 73 Fred N9GUE
>>
>>
>>

500. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 01:29:05 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 479 Message: 500

Glenn L. Roeser wrote:
> After reading this weeks ARRL Newsletter, I must say the same. I am very saddened that we the people of the United States will no longer be able to use ROS.
> Jose, you are a great software engineer, thank you for all your efforts in creating ROS, it was a lot of fun while it lasted!
> Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)

As has happened here in the UK in the past, the people who have done
this to you are other Radio Amateurs.

Dave (G0DJA)

501. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 03:12:21 UTC
From: Petrer Martinsen
Topic: 479 Message: 501

I am on ROS 500hz right now calling CQ 7050 usb

73 de Peter OZ1PMX

502. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Digital Radio

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 03:21:21 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 479 Message: 502

They all get passed Dave, but I have over 30 ROS related messages, so as I said in my announcement last night, I am allowing a few at a time. That is so the non-ROS interest is not stiffled while us ROS related fans are bombarding it with ROS message. That is why David set up this group, to take the load. Yes, I am following the British model of press control rather than the US model, but sometimes the British model is best (just like they did on the John Terry issue a few weeks ago)

Andy K3UK

On Thu, Mar 4, 2010 at 8:08 PM, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>wrote:

>
>
> I've just sent this to the Digital Radio group.
>
> I think that I may still be being moderated on that system, so here is
> what I said in full, in case it is not allowed, for some reason, on that
> forum.
>
> Dave Ackrill wrote:
> > KH6TY wrote:
> >> Julian,
> >>
> >> In the US, the RTTY/data segment of 20m stops at 14.150.
> >
> > The current UK band plans can be found at
> > http://www.rsgb.org/spectrumforum/bandplans/
> >
> > There's an online version and an Excel version that you can download.
>
> Oh, so this one is OK now, what about my other one, that said pretty
> much the same?
>
> How long am I, and others under moderation for?
>
> I'll be interested to see how long this message is held before it is
> released - it is now 01:00:00 05th March 2010 - If this is not in the
> message I'll know that the message has been edited. Paranoid? Moi?
>
> To all you 'freedom of speech' people, I posted two messages, both were
> held for moderation and one was released. The other was another version
> of the 1st sent because I did not know that I was subject to moderation.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

>

503. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 03:36:24 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 479 Message: 503

That is not at all correct Dave. I know of no-one in the USA that objects to ROS as a method of communication between hams. It is the USA goverment that says we are not allowed to use it. There have been 300-400 messages about ROS and I do not know any USA ham that does not want to use it. There are a few that don't like the QRM it has caused , but that does not make it a bad mode. Please find an old email on this topic from someone who in the USA that does not want to use the mode, I think we all would love to. The requirment in the USA is that we are resposibille for oeprating within the law and because one have (actually three, I think) asked the FCC does not at all mean they have "done" anything to anyone. Glenn's said he is sad about the fact that the FCC will not allow it, he did not say he feels anyone has "done" anything .
Andy K3UK

On Thu, Mar 4, 2010 at 8:29 PM, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>wrote:

>
>
> Glenn L. Roeser wrote:
> > After reading this weeks ARRL Newsletter, I must say the same. I am very
> saddened that we the people of the United States will no longer be able to
> use ROS.
> > Jose, you are a great software engineer, thank you for all your efforts
> in creating ROS, it was a lot of fun while it lasted!
> > Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)
>
> As has happened here in the UK in the past, the people who have done
> this to you are other Radio Amateurs.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>

504. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] ROS update

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 03:33:21 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 480 Message: 504

You are in denial, Jose. Anyone here can call (877) 480-3201, ask for "Dawn" (agent 3820), and hear first-hand that you distorted her response. Since her conversation with you was recorded, there is no doubt about what she told you.

Until someone un-does the damage you've done by characterizing ROS as spread spectrum and then fabricating FCC approval on your web page, ROS cannot be used by US amateurs on HF bands.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
Sent: Thursday, March 04, 2010 7:44 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] ROS update

False, the information contained on the ROS Web site was provided by the FCC.

For any reason, ARRL dont want you can use this mode. I have ask the resignation of ine of his members.

De: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
Para: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com; * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 00:31
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] ROS update

Jose,

Is THIS really true: "[T]he information contained on the ROS Web site was /not/ provided by the FCC." ????

la5vna S

On 04.03.2010 23:10, KH6TY wrote:
> Unfortunately, it appears that ROS is actually FHSS, as originally described on the ROS website, and therefore is not legal for US hams below 222MHz. :-(
>
> From the ARRL website, http://www.arrl. org/news/ stories/2010/ 03/04/11377/ ?nc=1,
>
> "When queried about this new statement, the FCC's Consumer Assistance Office stated that "[T]he information contained on the ROS Web site was /not/ provided by the FCC." They then reaffirmed the original statements

that originated from the FCC's Wireless Bureau, which handles Amateur
Radio rules for the US."
>
> http://www.arrl. org/news/ stories/2010/ 03/04/11377/ ?nc=1
>
> Hope to see you on ROS on UHF, 432.090 MHz, every morning between 7:30
AM and 8:00 AM.
>
> 73, Skip KH6TY FM02BT
>
>
>>
>>
>

505. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 03:28:46 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 479 Message: 505

Jose, the ARRL simply published the FCC's position on ROS. By calling for resignations of ARRL members, you repeat the error you've been making from the outset: blaming everyone else for your mistakes:

1. You documented ROS as being Spread Spectrum.

2. You posted a false statement on your web page claiming that the FCC had declared ROS to not be spread spectrum

If you're any kind of engineer, you'll learn a lesson from this: when you make a mistake, admit it, and set about to correct it at minimum inconvenience to those affected. Intimidation, deception, and dishonesty may be effective in the short run, but they are not sustainable, and ultimately lead to a fare worse situation than simply coming clean and making amends.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
Sent: Thursday, March 04, 2010 7:29 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

But I think this is the principle of starting to ask for resignations of any members of ARRL.

De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@sbcglobal.net>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 01:01
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

HELLO ALL,
Sadly I have read the ARRL news letter this week. I think it is final to us in USA.
73 Fred N9GUE

506. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 03:41:31 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 479 Message: 506

Cool, I can listen !

On Thu, Mar 4, 2010 at 10:12 PM, Petrer Martinsen <
petermartinsen@...> wrote:

>
>
> I am on ROS 500hz right now calling CQ 7050 usb
>
>
>
> 73 de Peter OZ1PMX
>
>
>
>

507. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 03:28:48 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 479 Message: 507

I'm not sure Jose, but I think the USA rules are not about the width of the signal, but more about the possibility of it being spread spectrum. WHY they care about Spectrum, I have no clue. If you say the new ROS is NOT spread spectrum, I am not intelligent enough to say that it is not. I would not know spread spectrum from spread margarine.

Andy K3UK

On Thu, Mar 4, 2010 at 8:03 PM, jose alberto nieto ros
<nietorosdj@...>wrote:

>
>
> Thanks, but i have to say a couple of things.
>
> ¿IS ROS EME legal? that mode was created 24 hours ago. ¿somebody has the
> technical description of that mode of narrow band? somebody can say ROS EME
> is spread spectrum? Where is the spread spectrum?
>
> ROS 500 HZ is a new mode that it will be load in ten minutes. Can somebody
> illegalize a mode that is not finished yet? do you know any people in the
> world that know who work ROS 500 Hz in this moment?
>
> somebody has a technical description of this new modes for say is llegal or
> is illegal?
>
> ----------
> *De:* Glenn L. Roeser <hillbillietrace@...>
> *Para:* ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> *Enviado:* vie,5 marzo, 2010 01:42
> *Asunto:* Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER
>
>
>
> After reading this weeks ARRL Newsletter, I must say the same. I am very
> saddened that we the people of the United States will no longer be able to
> use ROS.
> Jose, you are a great software engineer, thank you for all your efforts in
> creating ROS, it was a lot of fun while it lasted!
> Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)
>
> ----------
> *From:* fred_darrah <cfdarrah@sbcglobal. net>
> *To:* ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>
> *Sent:* Thu, March 4, 2010 7:01:35 PM

> *Subject:* [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ARRL NEWS LETTER
>
>
>
> HELLO ALL,
> Sadly I have read the ARRL news letter this week. I think it is final to us
> in USA.
> 73 Fred N9GUE
>
>
>
>
>

508. NEW NARROWBAND DIGITAL MODE

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 03:52:05 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 508 Message: 508

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: ROS v2.5.0 Beta <no-reply@wordpress.com>
Date: Thu, Mar 4, 2010 at 9:42 PM
Subject: [New post] NEW NARROWBAND DIGITAL MODE
To: k3ukandy@...

<http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/author/rosmodem/> NEW NARROWBAND
DIGITAL MODE
<http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/2010/03/05/new-narrowband-digital-mode/>
José Alberto Nieto Ros <http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/author/rosmodem/> |
5 March, 2010 at 03:42 | Categories:
Uncategorized<http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/category/uncategorized/>|
URL:
http://wp.me/pNifC-5R

I have created a new narrowband digital mode for Ham Radio operators

Technical description will be sent to FCC with the aim that they give their
approval for this new mode.

Add a comment to this
post<http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/2010/03/05/new-narrowband-digital-mode/#respond>

509. How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 07:30:43 UTC
From: n4qlb
Topic: 509 Message: 509

Well it looks like Jose just released a 500hz version of ROS. Could he have compressed it from 2.25Khz to just 500hz if it was Spread Spectrum? I don't think so. All of the whiners and naysayers should apologize to him. No wonder most of the new software development is coming from countries other than the U.S. If you don't like the mode, don;t use it. The FCC expects you too determine if you are legal are not...Thats why we have a license process.

Have fun with ROS and all its variations!

510. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New Digital MODE

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 08:47:20 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 498 Message: 510

When I try to run ROS version 2.5.0 (after installing and rebooting before opening the program) I get an error message saying "Runtime error '9' subscript out of range" and the program closes when I accept that error message.

Dave (G0DJA)

511. ROS Legal/Illegal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 08:58:19 UTC
From: Howard Small
Topic: 511 Message: 511

Not that I really care, and as I understand it the FCC wished to c hange the rules some time back to be more in line with Europe but the ARRL objected.

Anyway, the FCC has said: The Commission does not determine if a particular mode 'truly' represents spread spectrum as it is defined in the rules. The licensee of the station transmitting the emission is responsible for determining that the operation of the station complies with the rules. This would include determining the type of emission the station is transmitting and that the frequencies being used are authorized for that type of emission

Which clearly says they have not determined that ROS is spread spectrum: that the licence holder must determine if it is and thus on what frequencies it may be used.

So lets drop this whole subject. If some of you wish to keep poking your finger up Jose's nose do it in a direct email rather than shove it up all our noses. If you wish to engage in a diatribe about legality in the US create a forum for legal in the USA. Similarly if you think it is necessary to keep on about his morality, ethics or whatever do it direct - the rest of us do not want to hear.

Now can the rest of us just get on with enjoying our hobby?

Howard

VK4BS

PS I will follow my own advice and not post on this matter again.

H

512. I need do a test with ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-04 12:02:44 UTC
From: nietorosdj
Topic: 512 Message: 512

I need do a test with a ROS special version.

If somebody are interesting write me to nietoros@...

513. Fabricating FCC approval

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 10:00:17 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 480 Message: 513

Hi all

I the past days there has been a fair and square discussion about SS and FCC rules. Maybe some is "more Catholic than the pope" when it comes to arguing for the FCC rules, but that we have to tolerate .

Then a question about credibility comes into issue. It is no longer a question about SS and FCC rules, but IF there was a FABRICATED FCC approval on the web page, then the situation is MUCH more serious.

This has taken a whole new turn for me. I don't like this at all.

LA5VNA Steinar

On 05.03.2010 04:33, Dave AA6YQ wrote:
> You are in denial, Jose. Anyone here can call (877) 480-3201, ask for "Dawn" (agent 3820), and hear first-hand that you distorted her response. Since her conversation with you was recorded, there is no doubt about what she told you.
>
> Until someone un-does the damage you've done by characterizing ROS as spread spectrum and then fabricating FCC approval on your web page, ROS cannot be used by US amateurs on HF bands.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> ----

514. ROS MODE

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 10:02:12 UTC
From: Bora
Topic: 514 Message: 514

ROS IS USB PHONE WHISTLER AND IT WORKS UFB
Definition
whistle verb
/ˈwɪs.l̩ /
□
[I or T] to make a high sound by forcing air through a small hole or passage, especially through the lips, or through a special device held to the lips

515. signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 10:15:29 UTC
From: iw2etr
Topic: 515 Message: 515

```
with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit
```

516. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 10:38:18 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 515 Message: 516

Question: with the old version did not work???

De: iw2etr <iw2etr@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

517. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] ROS update

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 12:47:14 UTC
From: Box SixteenHundred
Topic: 480 Message: 517

heh... you mean someone else posted lies on their web site ?

73 - Bill KA8VIT

> To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com; ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> From: saanes@...
> Date: Fri, 5 Mar 2010 00:31:06 +0100
> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] ROS update
>
> Jose,
>
> Is THIS really true: "[T]he information contained on the ROS Web site
> was /not/ provided by the FCC." ????
>
> la5vna S
>

_____
Your E-mail and More On-the-Go. Get Windows Live Hotmail Free.
http://clk.atdmt.com/GBL/go/201469229/direct/01/

518. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 14:09:24 UTC
From: F.R. Ashley
Topic: 479 Message: 518

Dave,

A classic case of shooting the messenger.

WB4M
Buddy
----- Original Message -----
From: Dave AA6YQ
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 04, 2010 10:28 PM
Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

□

Jose, the ARRL simply published the FCC's position on ROS. By calling for resignations of ARRL members, you repeat the error you've been making from the outset: blaming everyone else for your mistakes:

1. You documented ROS as being Spread Spectrum.

2. You posted a false statement on your web page claiming that the FCC had declared ROS to not be spread spectrum

If you're any kind of engineer, you'll learn a lesson from this: when you make a mistake, admit it, and set about to correct it at minimum inconvenience to those affected. Intimidation, deception, and dishonesty may be effective in the short run, but they are not sustainable, and ultimately lead to a fare worse situation than simply coming clean and making amends.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
Sent: Thursday, March 04, 2010 7:29 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

But I think this is the principle of starting to ask for resignations of any members of ARRL.

De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@sbcglobal.net>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 01:01
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ARRL NEWS LETTER

HELLO ALL,
Sadly I have read the ARRL news letter this week. I think it is final to us in USA.
73 Fred N9GUE

519. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 15:16:46 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 519

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.5.0 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description : for EME

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.5.0%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

520. IF someone PURPOSELY has tried to mislead me

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 17:25:47 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 480 Message: 520

Bill ,

I don't know who has assess to this web site, but IF someone PURPOSELY
has tried to mislead me and my fellow HAM friends I will be furious.
That I will not tolerate at all :(

LA5VNA Steinar

On 05.03.2010 13:47, Box SixteenHundred wrote:
> heh... you mean someone else posted lies on their web site ?
>
> 73 - Bill KA8VIT
>
>
>
>> To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com; ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> From: saanes@...
>> Date: Fri, 5 Mar 2010 00:31:06 +0100
>> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] ROS update
>>
>> Jose,
>>
>> Is THIS really true: "[T]he information contained on the ROS Web site
>> was /not/ provided by the FCC." ????
>>
>> la5vna S
>>
>>
>
> _____
> Your E-mail and More On-the-Go. Get Windows Live Hotmail Free.
> http://clk.atdmt.com/GBL/go/201469229/direct/01/
>

521. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 17:40:11 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 509 Message: 521

I think the 500hz mode is for bands where only that bw is allowed (like 30m in some countries)

If 2250 or 500hz I think (but maybe I am wrong) if try stay on a qrg (so not switched over the whole band)

And if your transmission of tones is only on that channel it is a ☐ss-like☐ mode BUT if you listen to it you hear just tones like in rtty or mfsk or some of the other modes .

I thought spread spectrum is if you use a (very wide) signal over the whole band

If it is only afsk with different tones why should someone think it is spread spectrum???

Cause data rate is so low???

Cause occupied bandwith is more as needed??

Or cause there is no idle tone??

All modes that only use a (voice) channel of 3khz should be legal where 3khz wide bw is allowed to use

But that are my thoughts maybe I am wrong

Best 73´s

Dg9bfc

Sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von n4qlb
Gesendet: Freitag, 5. März 2010 08:31
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How do you like ROS Now?

Well it looks like Jose just released a 500hz version of ROS. Could he have compressed it from 2.25Khz to just 500hz if it was Spread Spectrum? I don't think so. All of the whiners and naysayers should apologize to him. No wonder most of the new software development is coming from countries other than the U.S. If you don't like the mode, don;t use it. The FCC expects you too determine if you are legal are not...Thats why we have a license process.

Have fun with ROS and all its variations!

522. Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 18:15:25 UTC
From: n4qlb
Topic: 509 Message: 522

Thank You for your comments Sig. Let me explain what SS is. Spread spectrum is a method by which a bank of channels (Frequencies)are designated between a Transmitter and Receiver and are shared or (Frequency Hopped) to facilitate a clear Transmisson. The Transmitter actually signals the Receiver to Hop from one frequency to another. A good example is a 900mhz digital cordless telephone or a 800Mhz digital radio truncking system. (Motorla Astro). A frequency in Ham radio consist of a 3kh wide channel. Ros does not signal a receiver to hop outside of that channel (3 Khz) therefore it is not SS and is just like anyother FSK mode used in the amatuer radio service. The ease of obtaining a License in the U.S. by people that are not technically qualified to hold one is the main culprit regarding the controversy over new modes such as ROS. I am confident that all variations of ROS are perfectly legal in the U.S.

Mike
N4QLB

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> I think the 500hz mode is for bands where only that bw is allowed (like 30m
> in some countries)
>
> If 2250 or 500hz I think (but maybe I am wrong) if try stay on a qrg (so
> not switched over the whole band)
>
> And if your transmission of tones is only on that channel it is a
> "ss-like" mode BUT if you listen to it you hear just tones like in rtty or
> mfsk or some of the other modes .
>
> I thought spread spectrum is if you use a (very wide) signal over the whole
> band
>
> If it is only afsk with different tones why should someone think it is
> spread spectrum???
>
> Cause data rate is so low???
>
> Cause occupied bandwith is more as needed??
>
> Or cause there is no idle tone??
>
> All modes that only use a (voice) channel of 3khz should be legal where 3khz
> wide bw is allowed to use
>
> But that are my thoughts maybe I am wrong
>
> Best 73´s

>
> Dg9bfc
>
> Sigi
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@...m
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von n4qlb
> Gesendet: Freitag, 5. März 2010 08:31
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How do you like ROS Now?
>
>
>
>
>
> Well it looks like Jose just released a 500hz version of ROS. Could he have
> compressed it from 2.25Khz to just 500hz if it was Spread Spectrum? I don't
> think so. All of the whiners and naysayers should apologize to him. No
> wonder most of the new software development is coming from countries other
> than the U.S. If you don't like the mode, don;t use it. The FCC expects you
> too determine if you are legal are not...Thats why we have a license
> process.
>
> Have fun with ROS and all its variations!
>

523. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 18:38:56 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 509 Message: 523

Mike,

Your piece is as clear to me as it can be, and it is the very first
time I see it explained as simple as that.

Thank you for posting it here.

I suggest you post it also on the Yahoo "digitalradio" group.

Given the circus atmosphere on this issue, could you perhaps provide
some, lets call it "peer reviewed" references.

It is not that I don't trust you.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: n4qlb <n4qlb@...>
>Sent: Mar 5, 2010 1:15 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?
>
>Thank You for your comments Sig. Let me explain what SS is. Spread spectrum is a method by which a bank of channels (Frequencies)are designated between a Transmitter and Receiver and are shared or (Frequency Hopped) to facilitate a clear Transmisson. The Transmitter actually signals the Receiver to Hop from one frequency to another. A good example is a 900mhz digital cordless telephone or a 800Mhz digital radio truncking system. (Motorla Astro). A frequency in Ham radio consist of a 3kh wide channel. Ros does not signal a receiver to hop outside of that channel (3 Khz) therefore it is not SS and is just like anyother FSK mode used in the amatuer radio service. The ease of obtaining a License in the U.S. by people that are not technically qualified to hold one is the main culprit regarding the controversy over new modes such as ROS. I am confident that all variations of ROS are perfectly legal in the U.S.
>
>Mike
>N4QLB
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>>
>> I think the 500hz mode is for bands where only that bw is allowed (like 30m
>> in some countries)
>>
>> If 2250 or 500hz I think (but maybe I am wrong) if try stay on a qrg (so
>> not switched over the whole band)
>>
>> And if your transmission of tones is only on that channel it is a
>> "ss-like" mode BUT if you listen to it you hear just tones like in rtty or
>> mfsk or some of the other modes .
>>

>> I thought spread spectrum is if you use a (very wide) signal over the whole
>> band
>>
>> If it is only afsk with different tones why should someone think it is
>> spread spectrum???
>>
>> Cause data rate is so low???
>>
>> Cause occupied bandwith is more as needed??
>>
>> Or cause there is no idle tone??
>>
>> All modes that only use a (voice) channel of 3khz should be legal where 3khz
>> wide bw is allowed to use
>>
>> But that are my thoughts maybe I am wrong
>>
>> Best 73´s
>>
>> Dg9bfc
>>
>> Sigi
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> _____
>>
>> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von n4qlb
>> Gesendet: Freitag, 5. März 2010 08:31
>> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How do you like ROS Now?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Well it looks like Jose just released a 500hz version of ROS. Could he have
>> compressed it from 2.25Khz to just 500hz if it was Spread Spectrum? I don't
>> think so. All of the whiners and naysayers should apologize to him. No
>> wonder most of the new software development is coming from countries other
>> than the U.S. If you don't like the mode, don;t use it. The FCC expects you
>> too determine if you are legal are not...Thats why we have a license
>> process.
>>
>> Have fun with ROS and all its variations!
>>

>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

524. Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 18:45:56 UTC
From: n4qlb
Topic: 509 Message: 524

Thanks Rein,

Feel free to repost it as you like.

Mike

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
>
> Mike,
>
>
> Your piece is as clear to me as it can be, and it is the very first
> time I see it explained as simple as that.
>
> Thank you for posting it here.
>
> I suggest you post it also on the Yahoo "digitalradio" group.
>
> Given the circus atmosphere on this issue, could you perhaps provide
> some, lets call it "peer reviewed" references.
>
> It is not that I don't trust you.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: n4qlb <n4qlb@...>
> >Sent: Mar 5, 2010 1:15 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?
> >
> >Thank You for your comments Sig. Let me explain what SS is. Spread spectrum is a method by which a bank of channels (Frequencies)are designated between a Transmitter and Receiver and are shared or (Frequency Hopped) to facilitate a clear Transmisson. The Transmitter actually signals the Receiver to Hop from one frequency to another. A good example is a 900mhz digital cordless telephone or a 800Mhz digital radio truncking system. (Motorla Astro). A frequency in Ham radio consist of a 3kh wide channel. Ros does not signal a receiver to hop outside of that channel (3 Khz) therefore it is not SS and is just like anyother FSK mode used in the amatuer radio service. The ease of obtaining a License in the U.S. by people that are not technically qualified to hold one is the main culprit regarding the controversy over new modes such as ROS. I am confident that all variations of ROS are perfectly legal in the U.S.
> >
> >Mike
> >N4QLB

> >
> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien@> wrote:
> >>
> >> I think the 500hz mode is for bands where only that bw is allowed (like 30m
> >> in some countries)
> >>
> >> If 2250 or 500hz Â I think (but maybe I am wrong) if try stay on a qrg (so
> >> not switched over the whole band)
> >>
> >> And if your transmission of tones is only on that channel Â it is a
> >> "ss-like" mode BUT if you listen to it you hear just tones like in rtty or
> >> mfsk or some of the other modes Â .
> >>
> >> I thought spread spectrum is if you use a (very wide) signal over the whole
> >> band Â
> >>
> >> If it is only afsk with different tones why should someone think it is
> >> spread spectrum???
> >>
> >> Cause data rate is so low???
> >>
> >> Cause occupied bandwith is more as needed??
> >>
> >> Or cause there is no idle tone??
> >>
> >> All modes that only use a (voice) channel of 3khz should be legal where 3khz
> >> wide bw is allowed to use
> >>
> >> But that are my thoughts Â maybe I am wrong
> >>
> >> Best 73Â´s
> >>
> >> Dg9bfc
> >>
> >> Sigi
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> _____
> >>
> >> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von n4qlb
> >> Gesendet: Freitag, 5. MÃ¤rz 2010 08:31
> >> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How do you like ROS Now?
> >>
> >>

> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Well it looks like Jose just released a 500hz version of ROS. Could he have
> >> compressed it from 2.25Khz to just 500hz if it was Spread Spectrum? I don't
> >> think so. All of the whiners and naysayers should apologize to him. No
> >> wonder most of the new software development is coming from countries other
> >> than the U.S. If you don't like the mode, don;t use it. The FCC expects you
> >> too determine if you are legal are not...Thats why we have a license
> >> process.
> >>
> >> Have fun with ROS and all its variations!
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

525. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 19:03:06 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 509 Message: 525

RR Mike

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: n4qlb <n4qlb@cableone.net>
>Sent: Mar 5, 2010 1:45 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?
>
>Thanks Rein,
>
>Feel free to repost it as you like.
>
>Mike
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>
>>
>> Mike,
>>
>>
>> Your piece is as clear to me as it can be, and it is the very first
>> time I see it explained as simple as that.
>>
>> Thank you for posting it here.
>>
>> I suggest you post it also on the Yahoo "digitalradio" group.
>>
>> Given the circus atmosphere on this issue, could you perhaps provide
>> some, lets call it "peer reviewed" references.
>>
>> It is not that I don't trust you.
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: n4qlb <n4qlb@...>
>> >Sent: Mar 5, 2010 1:15 PM
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?
>> >

>> >Thank You for your comments Sig. Let me explain what SS is. Spread spectrum is a method by which a bank of channels (Frequencies)are designated between a Transmitter and Receiver and are shared or (Frequency Hopped) to facilitate a clear Transmisson. The Transmitter actually signals the Receiver to Hop from one frequency to another. A good example is a 900mhz digital cordless telephone or a 800Mhz digital radio truncking system. (Motorla Astro). A frequency in Ham radio consist of a 3kh wide channel. Ros does not signal a receiver to hop outside of that channel (3 Khz) therefore it is not SS and is just like anyother FSK mode used in the amatuer radio service. The ease of obtaining a License in the U.S. by people that are not technically qualified to hold one is the main culprit regarding the controversy over new modes such as ROS. I am confident that all variations of ROS are perfectly legal in the U.S.
>> >
>> >Mike
>> >N4QLB
>> >
>> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien@> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> I think the 500hz mode is for bands where only that bw is allowed (like 30m
>> >> in some countries)
>> >>
>> >> If 2250 or 500hz Â I think (but maybe I am wrong) if try stay on a qrg (so
>> >> not switched over the whole band)
>> >>
>> >> And if your transmission of tones is only on that channel Â it is a
>> >> "ss-like" mode BUT if you listen to it you hear just tones like in rtty or
>> >> mfsk or some of the other modes Â .
>> >>
>> >> I thought spread spectrum is if you use a (very wide) signal over the whole
>> >> band Â
>> >>
>> >> If it is only afsk with different tones why should someone think it is
>> >> spread spectrum???
>> >>
>> >> Cause data rate is so low???
>> >>
>> >> Cause occupied bandwith is more as needed??
>> >>
>> >> Or cause there is no idle tone??
>> >>
>> >> All modes that only use a (voice) channel of 3khz should be legal where 3khz
>> >> wide bw is allowed to use
>> >>
>> >> But that are my thoughts Â maybe I am wrong
>> >>
>> >> Best 73Â´s
>> >>
>> >> Dg9bfc
>> >>
>> >> Sigi
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>

>> >>
>> >>
>> >> _____
>> >>
>> >> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von n4qlb
>> >> Gesendet: Freitag, 5. MÃ¤rz 2010 08:31
>> >> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How do you like ROS Now?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Well it looks like Jose just released a 500hz version of ROS. Could he have
>> >> compressed it from 2.25Khz to just 500hz if it was Spread Spectrum? I don't
>> >> think so. All of the whiners and naysayers should apologize to him. No
>> >> wonder most of the new software development is coming from countries other
>> >> than the U.S. If you don't like the mode, don;t use it. The FCC expects you
>> >> too determine if you are legal are not...Thats why we have a license
>> >> process.
>> >>
>> >> Have fun with ROS and all its variations!
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >------------------------------------
>> >
>> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

526. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 19:23:12 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 509 Message: 526

Exactly that´s why i thought ros is NOT ss you stay on a 3kc wide channel
so modulation is maybe spread spectrum like but actually it is not cause
transmitter and receiver stay on same qrg .. and not hopping from here to
there

sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von n4qlb
Gesendet: Freitag, 5. März 2010 19:15
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@...m
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Thank You for your comments Sig. Let me explain what SS is. Spread spectrum
is a method by which a bank of channels (Frequencies)are designated between
a Transmitter and Receiver and are shared or (Frequency Hopped) to
facilitate a clear Transmisson. The Transmitter actually signals the
Receiver to Hop from one frequency to another. A good example is a 900mhz
digital cordless telephone or a 800Mhz digital radio truncking system.
(Motorla Astro). A frequency in Ham radio consist of a 3kh wide channel. Ros
does not signal a receiver to hop outside of that channel (3 Khz) therefore
it is not SS and is just like anyother FSK mode used in the amatuer radio
service. The ease of obtaining a License in the U.S. by people that are not
technically qualified to hold one is the main culprit regarding the
controversy over new modes such as ROS. I am confident that all variations
of ROS are perfectly legal in the U.S.

Mike
N4QLB

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
P@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> I think the 500hz mode is for bands where only that bw is allowed (like
30m
> in some countries)
>
> If 2250 or 500hz I think (but maybe I am wrong) if try stay on a qrg (so
> not switched over the whole band)
>
> And if your transmission of tones is only on that channel it is a
> "ss-like" mode BUT if you listen to it you hear just tones like in rtty or
> mfsk or some of the other modes .
>

> I thought spread spectrum is if you use a (very wide) signal over the
whole
> band
>
> If it is only afsk with different tones why should someone think it is
> spread spectrum???
>
> Cause data rate is so low???
>
> Cause occupied bandwith is more as needed??
>
> Or cause there is no idle tone??
>
> All modes that only use a (voice) channel of 3khz should be legal where
3khz
> wide bw is allowed to use
>
> But that are my thoughts maybe I am wrong
>
> Best 73´s
>
> Dg9bfc
>
> Sigi
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
P@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> P@yahoogroups.com] Im
Auftrag von n4qlb
> Gesendet: Freitag, 5. März 2010 08:31
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
P@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How do you like ROS Now?
>
>
>
>
>
> Well it looks like Jose just released a 500hz version of ROS. Could he
have
> compressed it from 2.25Khz to just 500hz if it was Spread Spectrum? I
don't

> think so. All of the whiners and naysayers should apologize to him. No
> wonder most of the new software development is coming from countries other
> than the U.S. If you don't like the mode, don;t use it. The FCC expects
you
> too determine if you are legal are not...Thats why we have a license
> process.
>
> Have fun with ROS and all its variations!
>

527. v2.5

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 19:53:34 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 527 Message: 527

it now seems to me that there are at least 3 modes of ROS ?

EME/ WIDE or Narrow ?
ROS/ WIDE
ROS/ NARROW

is this correct ? does v2.5 incorporate them all ?

david/wd4kpd

528. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] v2.5

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 19:56:56 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 527 Message: 528

No there are more cause you can also switch 1baud 16baud

´250 supports all

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von wd4kpd
Gesendet: Freitag, 5. März 2010 20:54
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] v2.5

it now seems to me that there are at least 3 modes of ROS ?

EME/ WIDE or Narrow ?
ROS/ WIDE
ROS/ NARROW

is this correct ? does v2.5 incorporate them all ?

david/wd4kpd

529. Re: v2.5

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 20:13:39 UTC
From: n4qlb
Topic: 527 Message: 529

David,

your almost correct except that with the EME..the TX is always narrow...you have the option of selecting the AFC receiver only in either 1300hz or 600hz mode...you can filter out adjacent signal with the 600hz RX selection. Yes they are all contained in one release..v2.5.0

Mike
N4QLB

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@...> wrote:
>
> it now seems to me that there are at least 3 modes of ROS ?
>
> EME/ WIDE or Narrow ?
> ROS/ WIDE
> ROS/ NARROW
>
> is this correct ? does v2.5 incorporate them all ?
>
> david/wd4kpd
>

530. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 20:47:13 UTC
From: Glenn L. Roeser
Topic: 509 Message: 530

Mike,
I just wish that the ARRL, or the FCC, or both were contacted with this information! I'm sure that there are many of us here in the USA that would like to start using ROS. I am one of those hams that think that ROS is a very good mode. I compared it with Olivia 32/1000 and at 5 watts from NY to MN, Ros was solid print where Olivia missed quite a few letters. I have not tried the new 500 mode but I wish that I could without the fear of facing a fine. I know that tempers have flared over these discussions recently, but we all need to pull together on this so that we all may enjoy ROS in a peaceful manner.
Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)

From: n4qlb <n4qlb@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Fri, March 5, 2010 1:15:25 PM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Thank You for your comments Sig. Let me explain what SS is. Spread spectrum is a method by which a bank of channels (Frequencies) are designated between a Transmitter and Receiver and are shared or (Frequency Hopped) to facilitate a clear Transmisson. The Transmitter actually signals the Receiver to Hop from one frequency to another. A good example is a 900mhz digital cordless telephone or a 800Mhz digital radio truncking system. (Motorla Astro). A frequency in Ham radio consist of a 3kh wide channel. Ros does not signal a receiver to hop outside of that channel (3 Khz) therefore it is not SS and is just like anyother FSK mode used in the amatuer radio service. The ease of obtaining a License in the U.S. by people that are not technically qualified to hold one is the main culprit regarding the controversy over new modes such as ROS. I am confident that all variations of ROS are perfectly legal in the U.S.

Mike
N4QLB

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstie n@...> wrote:
>
> I think the 500hz mode is for bands where only that bw is allowed (like 30m
> in some countries)
>
> If 2250 or 500hz … I think (but maybe I am wrong) if try stay on a qrg (so
> not switched over the whole band)
>
> And if your transmission of tones is only on that channel … it is a
> "ss-like" mode BUT if you listen to it you hear just tones like in rtty or
> mfsk or some of the other modes ….
>
> I thought spread spectrum is if you use a (very wide) signal over the whole
> band …

>
> If it is only afsk with different tones why should someone think it is
> spread spectrum???
>
> Cause data rate is so low???
>
> Cause occupied bandwith is more as needed??
>
> Or cause there is no idle tone??
>
> All modes that only use a (voice) channel of 3khz should be legal where 3khz
> wide bw is allowed to use
>
> But that are my thoughts … maybe I am wrong
>
> Best 73´s
>
> Dg9bfc
>
> Sigi
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von n4qlb
> Gesendet: Freitag, 5. März 2010 08:31
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] How do you like ROS Now?
>
>
>
>
>
> Well it looks like Jose just released a 500hz version of ROS. Could he have
> compressed it from 2.25Khz to just 500hz if it was Spread Spectrum? I don't
> think so. All of the whiners and naysayers should apologize to him. No
> wonder most of the new software development is coming from countries other
> than the U.S. If you don't like the mode, don;t use it. The FCC expects you
> too determine if you are legal are not...Thats why we have a license
> process.
>
> Have fun with ROS and all its variations!
>

531. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 21:05:13 UTC
From: Paolo Fiorelli
Topic: 515 Message: 531

answer: with the old version I didn't succeed in transmitting

From: jose alberto nieto ros
Sent: Friday, March 05, 2010 11:38 AM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Question: with the old version did not work???

De: iw2etr <iw2etr@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

532. Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 21:18:53 UTC
From: djs13
Topic: 509 Message: 532

Building on this the excellent explanation, the reason the FCC has a problem with SS is the algorithm used to select frequencies. If I recall correctly (please correct me if I am wrong) US amateurs are/were(??) only allowed 2 specific algorithms for SS. All other algorithms are banned as encryption. (For example a lot of military and government communications has - currently? - used SS to encrypt information.) This was probably done since there are too many potential algorithms to have to track and a few may already be in use hi hi.

The logical solution is publish the algorithms and then its not encryption. Why that has not occurred to be a solution I do not know. The general understanding with most digital modes is, if you post the code its not considered to be illegal in the US. That is why we got out of the packet business and into the sound card modes to begin with.

As I am not a programmer I cannot speak with authority on whether ROS has any characteristics that would lend itself to supporting the SS belief. I would have thought the FCC would have wanted to actually check it out before raising a flag. Then again, I would have thought that perhaps the notion of publishing the code would lift the fog around use of SS. (Silly me.)If there was a technical document stating that this was indeed SS then the FCC would automatically have flagged it and that is what has occurred.

Key thing is that within the USA we can use the mode above 1.25 m based on the FCC statements passed on from ARRL. So the EME guys should be alright as well as the weak signal operators. If nothing else wouldn't it be interesting to see what it would do during a 432 or 1.2 tropo or meteor scatter event?

Dan S
KO1D

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "n4qlb" <n4qlb@...> wrote:
>
> Thank You for your comments Sig. Let me explain what SS is. Spread spectrum is a method by which a bank of channels (Frequencies)are designated between a Transmitter and Receiver and are shared or (Frequency Hopped) to facilitate a clear Transmisson. The Transmitter actually signals the Receiver to Hop from one frequency to another. A good example is a 900mhz digital cordless telephone or a 800Mhz digital radio truncking system. (Motorla Astro). A frequency in Ham radio consist of a 3kh wide channel. Ros does not signal a receiver to hop outside of that channel (3 Khz) therefore it is not SS and is just like anyother FSK mode used in the amatuer radio service. The ease of obtaining a License in the U.S. by people that are not technically qualified to hold one is the main culprit regarding the controversy over new modes such as ROS. I am confident that all variations of ROS are perfectly legal in the U.S.
>
> Mike
> N4QLB
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien@> wrote:
> >
> > I think the 500hz mode is for bands where only that bw is allowed (like 30m
> > in some countries)

> >
> > If 2250 or 500hz I think (but maybe I am wrong) if try stay on a qrg (so
> > not switched over the whole band)
> >
> > And if your transmission of tones is only on that channel it is a
> > "ss-like" mode BUT if you listen to it you hear just tones like in rtty or
> > mfsk or some of the other modes .
> >
> > I thought spread spectrum is if you use a (very wide) signal over the whole
> > band
> >
> > If it is only afsk with different tones why should someone think it is
> > spread spectrum???
> >
> > Cause data rate is so low???
> >
> > Cause occupied bandwith is more as needed??
> >
> > Or cause there is no idle tone??
> >
> > All modes that only use a (voice) channel of 3khz should be legal where 3khz
> > wide bw is allowed to use
> >
> > But that are my thoughts maybe I am wrong
> >
> > Best 73´s
> >
> > Dg9bfc
> >
> > Sigi
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von n4qlb
> > Gesendet: Freitag, 5. März 2010 08:31
> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How do you like ROS Now?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Well it looks like Jose just released a 500hz version of ROS. Could he have
> > compressed it from 2.25Khz to just 500hz if it was Spread Spectrum? I don't

> > think so. All of the whiners and naysayers should apologize to him. No
> > wonder most of the new software development is coming from countries other
> > than the U.S. If you don't like the mode, don;t use it. The FCC expects you
> > too determine if you are legal are not...Thats why we have a license
> > process.
> >
> > Have fun with ROS and all its variations!
> >
>

533. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 21:02:16 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 509 Message: 533

Re “A frequency in Ham radio consist of a 3kh wide channel.”

Not true, Mike. CW signals occupy a couple of hundred Hz, depending upon the keying rate. Amateur RTTY signals occupy ~300 hz. PSK signals use ~50hz, allowing tens of PSK QSOs within a typical transceiver’s passband. Other than on 60M, HF amateur bands in the US are not channelized.

Re “Ros does not signal a receiver to hop outside of that channel (3 Khz) therefore it is not SS and is just like any other FSK mode used in the amateur radio service

So if I create a mode that pseudo-randomly moves a 31 hz PSK signal around within a 3 khz wide channel – never changing the transceiver’s dial frequency -- that won’t be Spread Spectrum?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

From: n4qlb <n4qlb@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Fri, March 5, 2010 1:15:25 PM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Thank You for your comments Sig. Let me explain what SS is. Spread spectrum is a method by which a bank of channels (Frequencies) are designated between a Transmitter and Receiver and are shared or (Frequency Hopped) to facilitate a clear Transmisson. The Transmitter actually signals the Receiver to Hop from one frequency to another. A good example is a 900mhz digital cordless telephone or a 800Mhz digital radio truncking system. (Motorla Astro). A frequency in Ham radio consist of a 3kh wide channel. Ros does not signal a receiver to hop outside of that channel (3 Khz) therefore it is not SS and is just like anyother FSK mode used in the amatuer radio service. The ease of obtaining a License in the U.S. by people that are not technically qualified to hold one is the main culprit regarding the controversy over new modes such as ROS. I am confident that all variations of ROS are perfectly legal in the U.S.

Mike
N4QLB

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> P@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstie n@...> wrote:
>
> I think the 500hz mode is for bands where only that bw is allowed (like 30m
> in some countries)
>
> If 2250 or 500hz … I think (but maybe I am wrong) if try stay on a qrg (so

> not switched over the whole band)
>
> And if your transmission of tones is only on that channel … it is a
> "ss-like" mode BUT if you listen to it you hear just tones like in rtty or
> mfsk or some of the other modes ….
>
> I thought spread spectrum is if you use a (very wide) signal over the whole
> band …
>
> If it is only afsk with different tones why should someone think it is
> spread spectrum???
>
> Cause data rate is so low???
>
> Cause occupied bandwith is more as needed??
>
> Or cause there is no idle tone??
>
> All modes that only use a (voice) channel of 3khz should be legal where 3khz
> wide bw is allowed to use
>
> But that are my thoughts … maybe I am wrong
>
> Best 73´s
>
> Dg9bfc
>
> Sigi
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>] Im Auftrag von n4qlb
> Gesendet: Freitag, 5. März 2010 08:31
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> P@yahoogroups.
com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] How do you like ROS Now?
>
>
>
>
>
> Well it looks like Jose just released a 500hz version of ROS. Could he have
> compressed it from 2.25Khz to just 500hz if it was Spread Spectrum? I don't

> think so. All of the whiners and naysayers should apologize to him. No
> wonder most of the new software development is coming from countries other
> than the U.S. If you don't like the mode, don;t use it. The FCC expects you
> too determine if you are legal are not...Thats why we have a license
> process.
>
> Have fun with ROS and all its variations!
>

534. Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 21:53:42 UTC
From: n4qlb
Topic: 509 Message: 534

Dave,

We are not discussing RTTY, CW or PSK...based on some of the comments posted regarding ROS..the same challenges could be applied to so called legal modes now being used freely. My explanation of SS is analogous to foster a better common understanding of what it is. I could present copius technical references that I helped develop in conjunction with Motorola while building and beta testing the first digital trunking system in the U.S. But that would only open more uninformed debate.

As far as you creating a pseudo-random PSK hopping algorithum, I would enjoy watching you do that. But if you could and it stayed within the (Pseudo Channelized))passband then you would be legal.

Mike

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Dave AA6YQ" <aa6yq@...> wrote:
>
> Re â□□A frequency in Ham radio consist of a 3kh wide channel.â□□
>
>
>
> Not true, Mike. CW signals occupy a couple of hundred Hz, depending upon the keying rate. Amateur RTTY signals occupy ~300 hz. PSK signals use ~50hz, allowing tens of PSK QSOs within a typical transceiverâ□□s passband. Other than on 60M, HF amateur bands in the US are not channelized.
>
>
>
> Re â□□Ros does not signal a receiver to hop outside of that channel (3 Khz) therefore it is not SS and is just like any other FSK mode used in the amateur radio service
>
>
>
> So if I create a mode that pseudo-randomly moves a 31 hz PSK signal around within a 3 khz wide channel â□" never changing the transceiverâ□□s dial frequency -- that wonâ□□t be Spread Spectrum?
>
>
>
> 73,
>
>
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> From: n4qlb <n4qlb@...>
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Fri, March 5, 2010 1:15:25 PM
> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?
>
>
>
> Thank You for your comments Sig. Let me explain what SS is. Spread spectrum is a method by which a bank of channels (Frequencies) are designated between a Transmitter and Receiver and are shared or (Frequency Hopped) to facilitate a clear Transmisson. The Transmitter actually signals the Receiver to Hop from one frequency to another. A good example is a 900mhz digital cordless telephone or a 800Mhz digital radio truncking system. (Motorla Astro). A frequency in Ham radio consist of a 3kh wide channel. Ros does not signal a receiver to hop outside of that channel (3 Khz) therefore it is not SS and is just like anyother FSK mode used in the amatuer radio service. The ease of obtaining a License in the U.S. by people that are not technically qualified to hold one is the main culprit regarding the controversy over new modes such as ROS. I am confident that all variations of ROS are perfectly legal in the U.S.
>
> Mike
> N4QLB
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> P@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstie n@...> wrote:
> >
> > I think the 500hz mode is for bands where only that bw is allowed (like 30m
> > in some countries)
> >
> > If 2250 or 500hz â□¦ I think (but maybe I am wrong) if try stay on a qrg (so
> > not switched over the whole band)
> >
> > And if your transmission of tones is only on that channel â□¦ it is a
> > "ss-like" mode BUT if you listen to it you hear just tones like in rtty or
> > mfsk or some of the other modes â□¦.
> >
> > I thought spread spectrum is if you use a (very wide) signal over the whole
> > band â□¦
> >
> > If it is only afsk with different tones why should someone think it is
> > spread spectrum???
> >
> > Cause data rate is so low???
> >
> > Cause occupied bandwith is more as needed??

> >
> > Or cause there is no idle tone??
> >
> > All modes that only use a (voice) channel of 3khz should be legal where 3khz
> > wide bw is allowed to use
> >
> > But that are my thoughts â□¦ maybe I am wrong
> >
> > Best 73Â´s
> >
> > Dg9bfc
> >
> > Sigi
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>] Im Auftrag von n4qlb
> > Gesendet: Freitag, 5. MÃ¤rz 2010 08:31
> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> P@yahoogroups.
com
> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] How do you like ROS Now?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Well it looks like Jose just released a 500hz version of ROS. Could he have
> > compressed it from 2.25Khz to just 500hz if it was Spread Spectrum? I don't
> > think so. All of the whiners and naysayers should apologize to him. No
> > wonder most of the new software development is coming from countries other
> > than the U.S. If you don't like the mode, don;t use it. The FCC expects you
> > too determine if you are legal are not...Thats why we have a license
> > process.
> >
> > Have fun with ROS and all its variations!
> >
>

535. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 22:40:41 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 509 Message: 535

Dave AA6YQ wrote:
> Re “A frequency in Ham radio consist of a 3kh wide channel.”
>
>
>
> Not true, Mike. CW signals occupy a couple of hundred Hz, depending upon the keying rate. Amateur RTTY signals occupy ~300 hz. PSK signals use ~50hz, allowing tens of PSK QSOs within a typical transceiver’s passband. Other than on 60M, HF amateur bands in the US are not channelized.
>
>
>
> Re “Ros does not signal a receiver to hop outside of that channel (3 Khz) therefore it is not SS and is just like any other FSK mode used in the amateur radio service
>
>
>
> So if I create a mode that pseudo-randomly moves a 31 hz PSK signal around within a 3 khz wide channel – never changing the transceiver’s dial frequency -- that won’t be Spread Spectrum?
>
>
>
> 73,
>
>
>
> Dave, AA6YQ

Dave,

We all now understand that you might not like ROS, for whatever your reasons.

So, please, just enjoy your life, go and do what you want to do and leave those of us that want to use and enjoy ROS alone.

You are one Radio Amateur, I am another, I've left Digitalradio as I was being 'moderated' to death, could you consider leaving ROSDIGITALMODEM, as you are obviously not happy here?

I'm not suggesting that you, or anyone else, be moderated as I was in the digitalradio forum, after all we all have the freedom of speech, don't we? However, I do think that your health, mine and others on here, might be improved if you were to either unsubscribe or at least accept that the discussion of the use of ROS, on here, might not be so

USA centric as it might be on other forums. You might also want to consider that, on here, you might be in a minority as most people might actually just want to just get on with using the ROS modes

Thanks.

Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)

536. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-05 23:54:02 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 536

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.6.0 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.6.0%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

537. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 00:16:56 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 537

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.6.1 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.6.1%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

538. ROS EME 2.6.1 a winner

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 02:12:32 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 538 Message: 538

Decoding several brave souls in the USA and few outside using ROS EME 1 baud today on HF. This is a very promising mode, gets zapped by noise to a degree but generally very robust.

Andy K3UK

539. ~RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 05:00:06 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 509 Message: 539

>>>AA6YQ comments below

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of n4qlb
Sent: Friday, March 05, 2010 5:54 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Dave,

We are not discussing RTTY, CW or PSK...

>>>Your statement was quite broad: “A frequency in Ham radio consist of a 3kh wide channel”; I was simply pointing out its inaccuracy. Had you said “A ROS frequency consists of a 3 khz wide channel”, there’s have been no objection.

based on some of the comments posted regarding ROS..the same challenges could be applied to so called legal modes now being used freely. My explanation of SS is analogous to foster a better common understanding of what it is. I could present copius technical references that I helped develop in conjunction with Motorola while building and beta testing the first digital trunking system in the U.S. But that would only open more uninformed debate.

As far as you creating a pseudo-random PSK hopping algorithum, I would enjoy watching you do that.

But if you could and it stayed within the (Pseudo Channelized))passband then you would be legal.

>>>So you believe that a ~50 hz PSK signal spread to 3 khz with pseudo-random frequency hopping is legal on HF bands in the US. Would it be legal if the same spreading technique was used to spread this 31 hz psk signal over 6 khz?

>>>If your answer to this question is “yes”, then you evidently believe that spread spectrum is legal of HF bands in the US, despite the explicit proscription in part 97.

>>>If your answer to the above question is “no”, then please cite something authoritative that supports your position that “a ~50 hz signal spread across 3 khz is not spread spectrum”.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

540. How to correct change tx soundcard in v.2.6.1 beta?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 05:14:58 UTC
From: sm0ifp
Topic: 540 Message: 540

Hi,
I have 4 soundcard and change to my external SignaLink device for input and output soundcard and Save.
The input change to signalink and I my recieve signal.
For transmitting the windows default soundcard is still activated in the program and no sound goes to the Signalink device.
Anyone have the same problem?

73 de sm0ifp /Jan-Olof

541. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: How do you like ROS Now?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 05:17:07 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 509 Message: 541

>>>AA6YQ comments below

Dave,

We all now understand that you might not like ROS, for whatever your reasons.

>>>That’s incorrect, Dave. I think ROS is a fine mode; as I have already mentioned here, I contacted Jose early on and offered to work with him on enabling it to interoperate with DXLab, and would be using it now if it were in fact legal to use on HF in the US.

So, please, just enjoy your life, go and do what you want to do and leave those of us that want to use and enjoy ROS alone.

You are one Radio Amateur, I am another, I've left Digitalradio as I was being 'moderated' to death, could you consider leaving ROSDIGITALMODEM, as you are obviously not happy here?

I'm not suggesting that you, or anyone else, be moderated as I was in the digitalradio forum, after all we all have the freedom of speech, don't we? However, I do think that your health, mine and others on here, might be improved if you were to either unsubscribe or at least accept that the discussion of the use of ROS, on here, might not be so USA centric as it might be on other forums. You might also want to consider that, on here, you might be in a minority as most people might actually just want to just get on with using the ROS modes

>>> Blatantly inaccurate statements, such as “the FCC has approved the use of ROS by US amateurs on HF bands” demand a response, wherever they are posted. Legal threats against a fellow amateur launched because that amateur presented a public document to the FCC in hopes of clarifying a murky issue demand a response, wherever they are posted.

>>>You seem unable to distinguish between objections to a developer’s actions and behavior with objections to the product(s) that developer produces.

>>>Your position seems to be, “the product is really good, so its developer’s nasty behavior is excusable”. We agree on the first part, but not the second.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

542. RSID - ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 05:05:17 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 542 Message: 542

Is it possible in future versions to incorporate RSID in select macros?

543. SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How to correct change tx soundcard in v.2.6.1 beta?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 05:46:27 UTC
From: Petrer Martinsen
Topic: 540 Message: 543

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] På vegne af sm0ifp
Sendt: 6. marts 2010 06:15
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Emne: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How to correct change tx soundcard in v.2.6.1 beta?

Hi,
I have 4 soundcard and change to my external SignaLink device for input and output soundcard and Save.
The input change to signalink and I my recieve signal.
For transmitting the windows default soundcard is still activated in the program and no sound goes to the Signalink device.
Anyone have the same problem?

73 de sm0ifp /Jan-Olof

Reply
<mailto:sm0ifp@...?subject=How%20to%20correct%20change%20tx%20soundcard%20in%20v.2.6.1%20beta?> to sender | Reply
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com?subject=How%20to%20correct%20change%20tx%20soundcard%20in%20v.2.6.1%20beta?> to group | Reply
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJwNGticGZuBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzQxMTE5NDUxBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM1NDAEc2VjA2Z0cgRzbGsDcnBseQRzdGltZQMxMjY3ODUyNTAz?act=reply&messageNum=540>
via web post |
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmMzFycW1lBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzQxMTE5NDUxBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzEyNjc4NTI1MDM-> Start a New Topic

Messages
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/message/540;_ylc=X3oDMTMzY2lkODlpBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzQxMTE5NDUxBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM1NDAEc2VjA2Z0cgRzbGsDdnRwYwRzdGltZQMxMjY3ODUyNTAzBHRwY0lkAzU0MA--> in this topic (1)

Recent Activity:

*
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/members;_ylc=X3oDMTJnMjZycDFnBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzQxMTE5NDUxBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDdnRsBHNsawN2bWJycwRzdGltZQMxMjY3ODUyNTAz?o=6> New Members 25

*
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files;_ylc=X3oDMTJodWRrN2VhBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzQxMTE5NDUxBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDdnRsBHNsawN2ZmlsZXMEc3RpbWUDMTI2Nzg1MjUwMw--> New Files 11

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP;_ylc=X3oDMTJmcW5jcWpmBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzQxMTE5NDUxBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDdnRsBHNsawN2Z2hwBHN0aW1lAzEyNjc4NTI1MDM-> Visit Your Group

<http://groups.yahoo.com/;_ylc=X3oDMTJlNmxkdmJpBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzQxMTE5NDUxBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNnZnAEc3RpbWUDMTI2Nzg1MjUwMw--> Yahoo! Groups

Switch to:
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP-traditional@yahoogroups.com?subject=Change%20Delivery%20Format:%20Traditional> Text-Only,
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP-digest@yahoogroups.com?subject=Email%20Delivery:%20Digest> Daily Digest ☐
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe> Unsubscribe ☐ <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Use

.

<http://geo.yahoo.com/serv?s=97359714/grpId=41119451/grpspId=1705063108/msgId=540/stime=1267852503/nc1=1/nc2=2/nc3=3>

Hej Jan-Olaf

Jeg bruger en Signalink USB sammen med Windows 7, og har ingen problemer

Det havde jeg dog i tidligere versioner.

I de ældre vensioner kunne man sætte USB Audio Codec som default soundcard, og det til at virke på den måde

Kan du ikke finde Speakers (USB Audio Codec) i Device Output ?

73

Peter OZ1PMX

544. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 15:40:37 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 544

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.6.2 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.6.
2%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

545. Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 20:22:02 UTC
From: g3txa
Topic: 545 Message: 545

Hi All,

I've been trying all day but although I am seeing ROS signals as exepcted on the waterfall
I am not obtaining any decoding. Any ideas please?
I've just downloaded 2.6.2 still with no joy.

Thanks

Rod (G3TXA)

546. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 20:36:19 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 545 Message: 546

Hi Rod,

Do you have the pilot signal tuned between the red lines, the decoding window?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
>Sent: Mar 6, 2010 3:22 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Not decoding
>
>Hi All,
>
>I've been trying all day but although I am seeing ROS signals as exepcted on the waterfall I am not obtaining any decoding. Any ideas please?
>I've just downloaded 2.6.2 still with no joy.
>
>Thanks
>
>Rod (G3TXA)
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

547. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 20:42:27 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 547

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.6.3 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.6.3%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

548. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 20:48:12 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 545 Message: 548

You first need know the BW (2250 or 500), and fix Symbol Rate to 16, 1 or Auto (both).

De: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,6 marzo, 2010 21:22
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Not decoding

Hi All,

I've been trying all day but although I am seeing ROS signals as exepcted on the waterfall
I am not obtaining any decoding. Any ideas please?
I've just downloaded 2.6.2 still with no joy.

Thanks

Rod (G3TXA)

549. Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 20:53:29 UTC
From: g3txa
Topic: 545 Message: 549

Yes, tried all that too, setting those parameters as suggested on the website, ie 14.102, BW 2250 at 16 Bauds. Tried changes but just no copy at all. Beginning to think it may be the way I've loaded the programme?

Thanks again

Rod

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> You firstÂ need know the BW (2250 or 500), and fix Symbol Rate to 16, 1 or Auto (both).
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: sÃ¡b,6 marzo, 2010 21:22
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Not decoding
>
> Â
> Hi All,
>
> I've been trying all day but although I am seeing ROS signals as exepcted on the waterfall I am not obtaining any decoding. Any ideas please?
> I've just downloaded 2.6.2 still with no joy.
>
> Thanks
>
> Rod (G3TXA)
>

550. Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 20:46:03 UTC
From: g3txa
Topic: 545 Message: 550

Hi Rein,

Thanks for the reply. Yes, the pilot is slap in the middle, I think I've got everything set as it should be but the error meter shows well into the red and the frame aquisition also remains in the red.
I had some success with an earlier version on 14.102MHz but for some reason which I can't make out I just can't get any copy now, rather frustrating!

Thanks again

73

Rod

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Hi Rod,
>
> Do you have the pilot signal tuned between the red lines, the decoding
> window?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
> >Sent: Mar 6, 2010 3:22 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Not decoding
> >
> >Hi All,
> >
> >I've been trying all day but although I am seeing ROS signals as exepcted on the
waterfall I am not obtaining any decoding. Any ideas please?
> >I've just downloaded 2.6.2 still with no joy.
> >
> >Thanks
> >
> >Rod (G3TXA)
> >
> >
> >
> >------------------------------------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >

> >
> >
>

551. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 21:20:12 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 545 Message: 551

Rid

Did you restart computer after installing?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
>Sent: Mar 6, 2010 3:53 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding
>
>Yes, tried all that too, setting those parameters as suggested on the website, ie 14.102, BW 2250 at 16 Bauds. Tried changes but just no copy at all. Beginning to think it may be the way I've loaded the programme?
>
>Thanks again
>
>Rod
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>>
>> You firstÂ need know the BW (2250 or 500), and fix Symbol Rate to 16, 1 or Auto (both).
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> _____
>> De: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
>> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> Enviado: sÃ¡b,6 marzo, 2010 21:22
>> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Not decoding
>>
>> Â
>> Hi All,
>>
>> I've been trying all day but although I am seeing ROS signals as exepcted on the waterfall I am not obtaining any decoding. Any ideas please?
>> I've just downloaded 2.6.2 still with no joy.
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>> Rod (G3TXA)

>>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

552. Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 21:27:02 UTC
From: g3txa
Topic: 545 Message: 552

Rein,

During the day I know I restarted it a couple of times. But I'll try again tomoorow, downloading the latest version that has just been annonced, load it up, then restart the PC and see how it goes. It's got to be something I'm missing, I'm sure about that!

Thanks once again

73

Rod :-)

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Rid
>
> Did you restart computer after installing?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
> >Sent: Mar 6, 2010 3:53 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding
> >
> >Yes, tried all that too, setting those parameters as suggested on the website, ie 14.102, BW 2250 at 16 Bauds. Tried changes but just no copy at all. Beginning to think it may be the way I've loaded the programme?
> >
> >Thanks again
> >
> >Rod
> >
> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@> wrote:
> >>
> >> You firstÃ□Â need know the BW (2250 or 500), and fix Symbol Rate to 16, 1 or Auto (both).
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> _____
> >> De: g3txa <Rodwilki@>
> >> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com

> >> Enviado: sÃ□Â¡b,6 marzo, 2010 21:22
> >> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Not decoding
> >>
> >> Ã□Â
> >> Hi All,
> >>
> >> I've been trying all day but although I am seeing ROS signals as exepcted on the waterfall I am not obtaining any decoding. Any ideas please?
> >> I've just downloaded 2.6.2 still with no joy.
> >>
> >> Thanks
> >>
> >> Rod (G3TXA)
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

553. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 21:32:08 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 545 Message: 553

Rod,

I always load a newer version in a new directory after having renamed the old directory for just in case, even doing so it remembers the saved parameters of the earlier version, Then restart computer. Have been doing this a number of times. Not sure it is needed but it seems to work....

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
>Sent: Mar 6, 2010 3:46 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding
>
>Hi Rein,
>
>Thanks for the reply. Yes, the pilot is slap in the middle, I think I've got everything set as it should be but the error meter shows well into the red and the frame aquisition also remains in the red.
>I had some success with an earlier version on 14.102MHz but for some reason which I can't make out I just can't get any copy now, rather frustrating!
>
>Thanks again
>
>73
>
>Rod
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>
>> Hi Rod,
>>
>> Do you have the pilot signal tuned between the red lines, the decoding
>> window?
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
>> >Sent: Mar 6, 2010 3:22 PM
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Not decoding
>> >
>> >Hi All,

>> >
>> >I've been trying all day but although I am seeing ROS signals as exepcted on the waterfall I am not obtaining any decoding. Any ideas please?
>> >I've just downloaded 2.6.2 still with no joy.
>> >
>> >Thanks
>> >
>> >Rod (G3TXA)
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >------------------------------------
>> >
>> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

554. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 21:46:32 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 545 Message: 554

rein0zn@... wrote:
> Rod,
>
> I always load a newer version in a new directory after having renamed the
> old directory for just in case, even doing so it remembers the saved
> parameters of the earlier version, Then restart computer. Have been doing
> this a number of times. Not sure it is needed but it seems to work....

The settings are stored in an INI file called ros.ini, usually saved in
the C:/windows folder.

Unless you delete that, ROS will find it and use those settings.

Dave (G0DJA)

555. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 21:53:55 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 545 Message: 555

RR David

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
>Sent: Mar 6, 2010 4:46 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding
>
>rein0zn@... wrote:
>> Rod,
>>
>> I always load a newer version in a new directory after having renamed the
>> old directory for just in case, even doing so it remembers the saved
>> parameters of the earlier version, Then restart computer. Have been doing
>> this a number of times. Not sure it is needed but it seems to work....
>
>The settings are stored in an INI file called ros.ini, usually saved in
>the C:/windows folder.
>
>Unless you delete that, ROS will find it and use those settings.
>
>Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
>-----
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

556. ROS 2.6.3

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 22:13:15 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 556 Message: 556

I'm sorry to have to say, Jose,

2.6.3 doesn't solve the soundcard problems for me. In fact, they seem to be exactly the same as have been the problem, for me, over several updates.

When I transmit ROS uses the Windows default even if I select and save the soundcard that I want to use.

I now always download and install into a new folder for every new issue, then reboot before using the new release, and it's getting to be a bit of a pain to do.

So, I think I will pass on using ROS for now.

Good luck to all on ROS modes.

Dave (G0DJA)

557. Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 22:23:53 UTC
From: g3txa
Topic: 545 Message: 557

Thanks Dave and Rein, I'll give it a try again tomorrow once my eyes straighten out

Vy 73

Rod (G3TXA)

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> RR David
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
> >Sent: Mar 6, 2010 4:46 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding
> >
> >rein0zn@... wrote:
> >> Rod,
> >>
> >> I always load a newer version in a new directory after having renamed the
> >> old directory for just in case, even doing so it remembers the saved
> >> parameters of the earlier version, Then restart computer. Have been doing
> >> this a number of times. Not sure it is needed but it seems to work....
> >
> >The settings are stored in an INI file called ros.ini, usually saved in
> >the C:/windows folder.
> >
> >Unless you delete that, ROS will find it and use those settings.
> >
> >Dave (G0DJA)
> >
> >
> >------------------------------------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

558. Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 22:22:43 UTC
From: g3txa
Topic: 545 Message: 558

Thanks Dave and Rein, I'll give it a try again tomorrow once my eyes straighten out

Vy 73

Rod (G3TXA)

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> RR David
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
> >Sent: Mar 6, 2010 4:46 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding
> >
> >rein0zn@... wrote:
> >> Rod,
> >>
> >> I always load a newer version in a new directory after having renamed the
> >> old directory for just in case, even doing so it remembers the saved
> >> parameters of the earlier version, Then restart computer. Have been doing
> >> this a number of times. Not sure it is needed but it seems to work....
> >
> >The settings are stored in an INI file called ros.ini, usually saved in
> >the C:/windows folder.
> >
> >Unless you delete that, ROS will find it and use those settings.
> >
> >Dave (G0DJA)
> >
> >
> >------------------------------------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

559. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 22:41:47 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 545 Message: 559

g3txa wrote:
> Thanks Dave and Rein, I'll give it a try again tomorrow once my eyes straighten out

No problem Rod,

It was a post by someone else about the INI file that alerted me to its existence.

However, even the latest release still doesn't solve my problems with the soundcard selection, so I'm going to knock ROS modes on the head for a while and use other modes instead.

I've rediscovered the 5MHz beacons GB3RAL, GB3WES and GB3ORK with the G3PLX monitoring program, so I think that I will use that for a while again.

Dave (G0DJA)

560. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 23:15:03 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 545 Message: 560

Hi Dave,

I dont know if the problem can be you are selecting in the COM Menu the same USB COM that the sound card.

Could you try select NO COM?

Thanks

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,6 marzo, 2010 23:41
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

g3txa wrote:
> Thanks Dave and Rein, I'll give it a try again tomorrow once my eyes straighten out

No problem Rod,

It was a post by someone else about the INI file that alerted me to its existence.

However, even the latest release still doesn't solve my problems with the soundcard selection, so I'm going to knock ROS modes on the head for a while and use other modes instead.

I've rediscovered the 5MHz beacons GB3RAL, GB3WES and GB3ORK with the G3PLX monitoring program, so I think that I will use that for a while again.

Dave (G0DJA)

561. I need a test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 23:27:06 UTC
From: nietorosdj
Topic: 561 Message: 561

I need do a test with somebody that have problems with the soundcard.

To concert the time, my email is: nietoros@...

562. Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 23:29:26 UTC
From: MANUEL
Topic: 545 Message: 562

All day long I have not been able to receive any stations with the new v2.6.3. 73 de Manuel YV5MM

563. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 23:45:31 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 545 Message: 563

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> Hi Dave,
>
> I dont know if the problem can be you are selecting in the COM Menu the same USB COM that the sound card.
>
> Could you try select NO COM?

Hi Jose,

No, I am trying to select a sound card, not a COM port.

The soundcard is a USB Codec, not a COM port.

Regards - Dave (G0DJA)

564. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-06 23:56:43 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 545 Message: 564

Yes, but some people have virtual ports and swich PTT by the USB.

If you want, we can talk in the chat of webSDR twente. So, you could say me what appear in ROS.INI before and after transmission.

I could send you a test version, too

Thanks

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@...m
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 00:45
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> Hi Dave,
>
> I dont know if the problem can be you are selecting in the COM Menu the same USB COM that the sound card.
>
> Could you try select NO COM?

Hi Jose,

No, I am trying to select a sound card, not a COM port.

The soundcard is a USB Codec, not a COM port.

Regards - Dave (G0DJA)

565. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 00:49:33 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 515 Message: 565

Hi,

How are you achieved it, please?

De: iw2etr <iw2etr@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

566. SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 01:06:44 UTC
From: Peter Martinsen
Topic: 515 Message: 566

In the first versions, ROS did,t find the Speakers (USB audio CODEC) (at that time I could only choose drivers from my Logitech Wireless headset) ☐ device output, and I had to run SignaLink USB in ☐Default Soundcard☐ mode

But in the latest versions I can choose what I wanth to choose hi ☐ and everything is working perfect under Windows 7 64 bit

Installed and removed USB stick in Signalink USB several times. Closed my PC and maded several boots.

I have had ☐ a like ☐ problems with MixW, PC-ALE etc.

Tnx for nice software Jose

Gd Weekend vy 73 de Peter OZ1PMX

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 01:50
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Emne: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Hi,

How are you achieved it, please?

De: iw2etr <iw2etr@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

567. ROS narrow band frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 01:04:46 UTC
From: nietorosdj
Topic: 567 Message: 567

Hi,

I would like you see the ROS frequency list and tell me if any new frequency of Narrow Band can interfere with others modes like PSK31 or something.

I have no a transceiver to test it.

568. Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 01:11:34 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 515 Message: 568

I think that Signalink switch PTT directly heard the soundcard. Is thats true?

In this case, how are you select COM menu? NO COM?

Thanks

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@thorsagerby.dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:06
Asunto: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

In the first versions, ROS did,t find the Speakers (USB audio CODEC) (at that time I could only choose drivers from my Logitech Wireless headset) – device output, and I had to run SignaLink USB in 'Default Soundcard' mode

But in the latest versions I can choose what I wanth to choose hi – and everything is working perfect under Windows 7 64 bit

Installed and removed USB stick in Signalink USB several times. Closed my PC and maded several boots.

I have had – a like – problems with MixW, PC-ALE etc.

Tnx for nice software Jose

Gd Weekend vy 73 de Peter OZ1PMX

Fra:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 01:50
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Hi,

How are you achieved it, please?

De:iw2etr <iw2etr@virgilio. it>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

569. SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 01:26:52 UTC
From: Peter Martinsen
Topic: 515 Message: 569

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto
ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:12
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Emne: Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

I think that Signalink switch PTT directly heard the soundcard. Is thats
true?

I dont think so. I had to make it default, otherwise i could not tx
anything.

In this case, how are you select COM menu? NO COM?

NO COM here

Thanks

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:06
Asunto: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

In the first versions, ROS did,t find the Speakers (USB audio CODEC) (at
that time I could only choose drivers from my Logitech Wireless headset) ☐
device output, and I had to run SignaLink USB in ☐Default Soundcard☐ mode

But in the latest versions I can choose what I wanth to choose hi ☐ and
everything is working perfect under Windows 7 64 bit

Installed and removed USB stick in Signalink USB several times. Closed my PC
and maded several boots.

I have had ☐ a like ☐ problems with MixW, PC-ALE etc.

Tnx for nice software Jose

Gd Weekend vy 73 de Peter OZ1PMX

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 01:50

Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Hi,

How are you achieved it, please?

De: iw2etr <iw2etr@virgilio. it>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

570. Re: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 01:46:27 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 515 Message: 570

I dont undernstand you about "make it default". You say that COM is on NO COM option. Then, how you swicth PTT of your transceiver?

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@thorsagerby.dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:26
Asunto: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Fra:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:12
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I think that Signalink switch PTT directly heard the soundcard. Is thats true?
 I dont think so. I had to make it default, otherwise i could not tx anything.

In this case, how are you select COM menu? NO COM?
 NO COM here

Thanks

De:Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:06
Asunto: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

In the first versions, ROS did,t find the Speakers (USB audio CODEC) (at that time I could only choose drivers from my Logitech Wireless headset) – device output, and I had to run SignaLink USB in ‘Default Soundcard’ mode

But in the latest versions I can choose what I wanth to choose hi – and everything is working perfect under Windows 7 64 bit

Installed and removed USB stick in Signalink USB several times. Closed my PC and maded several boots.

I have had – a like – problems with MixW, PC-ALE etc.

Tnx for nice software Jose

Gd Weekend vy 73 de Peter OZ1PMX

Fra:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 01:50
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Hi,

How are you achieved it, please?

De:iw2etr <iw2etr@virgilio. it>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

571. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 01:50:48 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 545 Message: 571

Hi Rod,

Why you don't send a Screenshot?. That is the best way of can help you.

_____
De: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,6 marzo, 2010 23:23
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Thanks Dave and Rein, I'll give it a try again tomorrow once my eyes straighten out

Vy 73

Rod (G3TXA)

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> RR David
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...>
> >Sent: Mar 6, 2010 4:46 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> >
> >rein0zn@... wrote:
> >> Rod,
> >>
> >> I always load a newer version in a new directory after having renamed the
> >> old directory for just in case, even doing so it remembers the saved
> >> parameters of the earlier version, Then restart computer. Have been doing
> >> this a number of times. Not sure it is needed but it seems to work....
> >
> >The settings are stored in an INI file called ros.ini, usually saved in
> >the C:/windows folder.
> >
> >Unless you delete that, ROS will find it and use those settings.
> >
> >Dave (G0DJA)
> >
> >
> >----------- --------- --------- -------

> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

572. SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 01:57:19 UTC
From: Peter Martinsen
Topic: 515 Message: 572

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto
ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:46
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

I dont undernstand you about "make it default". You say that COM is on NO
COM option. Then, how you swicth PTT of your transceiver?

This PTT is made by the SignaLink automatically via the USB. Works same way
in fx MixW.

I have been tx□ing 7045Khz 500/16 few min ago. Got an answer but cant
rx/receive anything in v. 2.6.3, so now I am looking for v. 2.6.2 !

73

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:26
Asunto: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:12
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I think that Signalink switch PTT directly heard the soundcard. Is thats
true?

I dont think so. I had to make it default, otherwise i could not tx
anything.

In this case, how are you select COM menu? NO COM?

NO COM here

Thanks

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:06
Asunto: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

In the first versions, ROS did,t find the Speakers (USB audio CODEC) (at that time I could only choose drivers from my Logitech Wireless headset) □ device output, and I had to run SignaLink USB in □Default Soundcard□ mode

But in the latest versions I can choose what I wanth to choose hi □ and everything is working perfect under Windows 7 64 bit

Installed and removed USB stick in Signalink USB several times. Closed my PC and maded several boots.

I have had □ a like □ problems with MixW, PC-ALE etc.

Tnx for nice software Jose

Gd Weekend vy 73 de Peter OZ1PMX

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 01:50
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Hi,

How are you achieved it, please?

De: iw2etr <iw2etr@virgilio. it>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

573. Re: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 02:03:04 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 515 Message: 573

Are you selected BW (2250 or 500) and Symbol Rate correctly?

Appear start tone into red lines?

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:57
Asunto: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Fra:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:46
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I dont undernstand you about "make it default". You say that COM is on NO COM option. Then, how you swicth PTT of your transceiver?

This PTT is made by the SignaLink automatically via the USB. Works same way in fx MixW.

I have been tx'ing 7045Khz 500/16 few min ago. Got an answer but cant rx/receive anything in v. 2.6.3, so now I am looking for v. 2.6.2 !

73

De:Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:26
Asunto: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Fra:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:12
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I think that Signalink switch PTT directly heard the soundcard. Is thats true?
 I dont think so. I had to make it default, otherwise i could not tx anything.

In this case, how are you select COM menu? NO COM?
 NO COM here

Thanks

De:Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:06
Asunto: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

In the first versions, ROS did,t find the Speakers (USB audio CODEC) (at that time I could only choose drivers from my Logitech Wireless headset) – device output, and I had to run SignaLink USB in 'Default Soundcard' mode

But in the latest versions I can choose what I wanth to choose hi – and everything is working perfect under Windows 7 64 bit

Installed and removed USB stick in Signalink USB several times. Closed my PC and maded several boots.

I have had – a like – problems with MixW, PC-ALE etc.

Tnx for nice software Jose

Gd Weekend vy 73 de Peter OZ1PMX

Fra:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 01:50
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Hi,

How are you achieved it, please?

De:iw2etr <iw2etr@virgilio. it>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

574. SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 02:03:57 UTC
From: Peter Martinsen
Topic: 515 Message: 574

Hi Jose

No problem, as i have saved v. 2.6.2 ☐ so I try to go back to that 2.6.2
version and see what happens

73

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto
ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:46
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

I dont undernstand you about "make it default". You say that COM is on NO
COM option. Then, how you swicth PTT of your transceiver?

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:26
Asunto: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:12
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I think that Signalink switch PTT directly heard the soundcard. Is thats
true?

I dont think so. I had to make it default, otherwise i could not tx
anything.

In this case, how are you select COM menu? NO COM?

NO COM here

Thanks

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:06
Asunto: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

In the first versions, ROS did,t find the Speakers (USB audio CODEC) (at that time I could only choose drivers from my Logitech Wireless headset) □ device output, and I had to run SignaLink USB in □Default Soundcard□ mode

But in the latest versions I can choose what I wanth to choose hi □ and everything is working perfect under Windows 7 64 bit

Installed and removed USB stick in Signalink USB several times. Closed my PC and maded several boots.

I have had □ a like □ problems with MixW, PC-ALE etc.

Tnx for nice software Jose

Gd Weekend vy 73 de Peter OZ1PMX

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 01:50
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Hi,

How are you achieved it, please?

De: iw2etr <iw2etr@virgilio. it>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

575. Re: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 02:04:44 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 515 Message: 575

This PTT is made by the SignaLink automatically via the USB. Works same way in fx MixW.

But then you are select COM as NO COM?

576. Re: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 02:08:23 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 515 Message: 576

You can start two versions at the same time (if your PC is fast). So you can see what happend.

Thanks

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 03:03
Asunto: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Hi Jose

No problem, as i have saved v. 2.6.2 – so I try to go back to that 2.6.2 version and see what happens

73

Fra:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:46
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I dont undernstand you about "make it default". You say that COM is on NO COM option. Then, how you swicth PTT of your transceiver?

De:Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:26
Asunto: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Fra:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:12
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I think that Signalink switch PTT directly heard the soundcard. Is thats true?
 I dont think so. I had to make it default, otherwise i could not tx anything.

In this case, how are you select COM menu? NO COM?
 NO COM here

Thanks

De:Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:06
Asunto: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

In the first versions, ROS did,t find the Speakers (USB audio CODEC) (at that time I could only choose drivers from my Logitech Wireless headset) – device output, and I had to run SignaLink USB in 'Default Soundcard' mode

But in the latest versions I can choose what I wanth to choose hi – and everything is working perfect under Windows 7 64 bit

Installed and removed USB stick in Signalink USB several times. Closed my PC and maded several boots.

I have had – a like – problems with MixW, PC-ALE etc.

Tnx for nice software Jose

Gd Weekend vy 73 de Peter OZ1PMX

Fra:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 01:50
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Hi,

How are you achieved it, please?

De:iw2etr <iw2etr@virgilio. it>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

577. SV: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 02:13:39 UTC
From: Peter Martinsen
Topic: 515 Message: 577

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto
ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 03:03
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Are you selected BW (2250 or 500) and Symbol Rate correctly?

500hz □ symbol Rate ?

Appear start tone into red lines?

yes

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:57
Asunto: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:46
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I dont undernstand you about "make it default". You say that COM is on NO
COM option. Then, how you swicth PTT of your transceiver?

This PTT is made by the SignaLink automatically via the USB. Works same way
in fx MixW.

I have been tx□ing 7045Khz 500/16 few min ago. Got an answer but cant
rx/receive anything in v. 2.6.3, so now I am looking for v. 2.6.2 !

73

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:26

Asunto: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:12
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I think that Signalink switch PTT directly heard the soundcard. Is thats true?

I dont think so. I had to make it default, otherwise i could not tx anything.

In this case, how are you select COM menu? NO COM?

NO COM here

Thanks

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:06
Asunto: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

In the first versions, ROS did,t find the Speakers (USB audio CODEC) (at that time I could only choose drivers from my Logitech Wireless headset) ☐ device output, and I had to run SignaLink USB in ☐Default Soundcard☐ mode

But in the latest versions I can choose what I wanth to choose hi ☐ and everything is working perfect under Windows 7 64 bit

Installed and removed USB stick in Signalink USB several times. Closed my PC and maded several boots.

I have had ☐ a like ☐ problems with MixW, PC-ALE etc.

Tnx for nice software Jose

Gd Weekend vy 73 de Peter OZ1PMX

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 01:50
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Hi,

How are you achieved it, please?

De: iw2etr <iw2etr@virgilio. it>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

578. SV: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 02:14:41 UTC
From: Peter Martinsen
Topic: 515 Message: 578

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto
ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 03:05
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

This PTT is made by the SignaLink automatically via the USB. Works same way
in fx MixW.

But then you are select COM as NO COM?

No i do not select anything ☐ settings are NO COM default

579. SV: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 02:15:29 UTC
From: Peter Martinsen
Topic: 515 Message: 579

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto
ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 03:08
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

You can start two versions at the same time (if your PC is fast). So you can
see what happend.

Will try later on ;-)

Thanks

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 03:03
Asunto: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Hi Jose

No problem, as i have saved v. 2.6.2 ☐ so I try to go back to that 2.6.2
version and see what happens

73

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:46
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I dont undernstand you about "make it default". You say that COM is on NO
COM option. Then, how you swicth PTT of your transceiver?

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:26
Asunto: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:12
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I think that Signalink switch PTT directly heard the soundcard. Is thats true?

I dont think so. I had to make it default, otherwise i could not tx anything.

In this case, how are you select COM menu? NO COM?

NO COM here

Thanks

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:06
Asunto: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

In the first versions, ROS did,t find the Speakers (USB audio CODEC) (at that time I could only choose drivers from my Logitech Wireless headset) ☐ device output, and I had to run SignaLink USB in ☐Default Soundcard☐ mode

But in the latest versions I can choose what I wanth to choose hi ☐ and everything is working perfect under Windows 7 64 bit

Installed and removed USB stick in Signalink USB several times. Closed my PC and maded several boots.

I have had ☐ a like ☐ problems with MixW, PC-ALE etc.

Tnx for nice software Jose

Gd Weekend vy 73 de Peter OZ1PMX

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 01:50
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Hi,

How are you achieved it, please?

De: iw2etr <iw2etr@virgilio. it>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

580. Re: SV: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 02:30:53 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 515 Message: 580

Symbol Rate on auto, for example

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 03:13
Asunto: SV: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

---

Fra:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 03:03
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Are you selected BW (2250 or 500) and Symbol Rate correctly?
 500hz – symbol Rate ?
Appear start tone into red lines?
yes

De:Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:57
Asunto: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Fra:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:46
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I dont undernstand you about "make it default". You say that COM is on NO COM option. Then, how you swicth PTT of your transceiver?

This PTT is made by the SignaLink automatically via the USB. Works same way in fx MixW.

I have been tx’ing 7045Khz 500/16 few min ago. Got an answer but cant rx/receive anything in v. 2.6.3, so now I am looking for v. 2.6.2 !

73

De:Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:26
Asunto: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Fra:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:12
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I think that Signalink switch PTT directly heard the soundcard. Is thats true?
 I dont think so. I had to make it default, otherwise i could not tx anything.

In this case, how are you select COM menu? NO COM?
 NO COM here

Thanks

De:Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:06
Asunto: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

In the first versions, ROS did,t find the Speakers (USB audio CODEC) (at that time I could only choose drivers from my Logitech Wireless headset) – device output, and I had to run SignaLink USB in 'Default Soundcard' mode

But in the latest versions I can choose what I wanth to choose hi – and everything is working perfect under Windows 7 64 bit

Installed and removed USB stick in Signalink USB several times. Closed my PC and maded several boots.

I have had – a like – problems with MixW, PC-ALE etc.

Tnx for nice software Jose

Gd Weekend vy 73 de Peter OZ1PMX

Fra:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 01:50
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Hi,

How are you achieved it, please?

De:iw2etr <iw2etr@virgilio. it>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

581. SV: SV: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 02:56:26 UTC
From: Peter Martinsen
Topic: 515 Message: 581

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto
ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 03:31
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Symbol Rate on auto, for example

YES Jose □ my symbol rate was set to MANUAL, so that may be my problem □ sri
! ;-)

73

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 03:13
Asunto: SV: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

---

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 03:03
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Are you selected BW (2250 or 500) and Symbol Rate correctly?

500hz □ symbol Rate ?

Appear start tone into red lines?

yes

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:57
Asunto: SV: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:46
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I dont undernstand you about "make it default". You say that COM is on NO COM option. Then, how you swicth PTT of your transceiver?

This PTT is made by the SignaLink automatically via the USB. Works same way in fx MixW.

I have been tx□ing 7045Khz 500/16 few min ago. Got an answer but cant rx/receive anything in v. 2.6.3, so now I am looking for v. 2.6.2 !

73

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:26
Asunto: SV: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 02:12
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

I think that Signalink switch PTT directly heard the soundcard. Is thats true?

I dont think so. I had to make it default, otherwise i could not tx anything.

In this case, how are you select COM menu? NO COM?

NO COM here

Thanks

De: Peter Martinsen <petermartinsen@ thorsagerby. dk>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 02:06
Asunto: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

In the first versions, ROS did,t find the Speakers (USB audio CODEC) (at that time I could only choose drivers from my Logitech Wireless headset) □ device output, and I had to run SignaLink USB in □Default Soundcard□ mode

But in the latest versions I can choose what I wanth to choose hi ☐ and
everything is working perfect under Windows 7 64 bit

Installed and removed USB stick in Signalink USB several times. Closed my PC
and maded several boots.

I have had ☐ a like ☐ problems with MixW, PC-ALE etc.

Tnx for nice software Jose

Gd Weekend vy 73 de Peter OZ1PMX

Fra: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
P@yahoogroups. com] På vegne af jose alberto nieto ros
Sendt: 7. marts 2010 01:50
Til: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Emne: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

Hi,

How are you achieved it, please?

De: iw2etr <iw2etr@virgilio. it>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: vie,5 marzo, 2010 11:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] signalink is ok

with the new versions my signalink USB perfectly works
signalink USB + IC746 + win7 64bit

582. soundcard setting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 03:11:56 UTC
From: Hirotaka Otsuka
Topic: 515 Message: 582

Hello all

The setting of the sound card is not preserved well in me.
This problem has not changed from the version before though
the version of tried ROS is V2.6.3.
Please refer to the image of following URL for the procedure
of the tested operation.
http://hirotaka929.cocolog-nifty.com/photos/uncategorized/2010/03/07/100307_ros_soundcard.jpg

73, Taka, JA2GRC

583. SignaLink USB and Win XP Ros v.2.6.3 no TX.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 08:21:10 UTC
From: sm0ifp
Topic: 583 Message: 583

Hi,
I have several soundcard and it is only working if i set SignaLink as default soundcard in windows.
If I have another soudcard as default windows sound, it ok to chose Input Device to SignaLink and receive will function ok.
The Output Device vill not send the sound to SignaLink but to the default windows soundcard.

Part of the ros.ini file
[Tarjeta Sonido]
Entrada=USB Audio CODEC
Salida=USB Audio CODEC

Windows XP Pro Eng + sp3.

73 de sm0ifp Jan-Olof/

584. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 09:56:13 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 545 Message: 584

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> Yes, but some people have virtual ports and swich PTT by the USB.
>
> If you want, we can talk in the chat of webSDR twente. So, you could say me what appear in ROS.INI before and after transmission.
>
> I could send you a test version, too

OK Jose,

I understand now.

The unit is a US Interface navigator, so it uses COM 4 as PTT.

I will try setting No PTT later.

73 Dave (G0DJA)

585. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] soundcard setting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 10:40:36 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 515 Message: 585

Ladies and gentleman, with that photo now i know where is the problem.

Wait for 2.6.4, please

 Thanks Hirotaka.

_____
De: Hirotaka Otsuka <ja2grc@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 04:11
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] soundcard setting

Hello all

The setting of the sound card is not preserved well in me.
This problem has not changed from the version before though
the version of tried ROS is V2.6.3.
Please refer to the image of following URL for the procedure
of the tested operation.
http://hirotaka929. cocolog-nifty. com/photos/ uncategorized/ 2010/03/07/ 100307_ros_
soundcard. jpg

73, Taka, JA2GRC

586. Nuevo modo digital ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 12:10:42 UTC
From: Francesco Piccone
Topic: 586 Message: 586
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Imagen esta ma?ana 7:26 am HLV frequencia 14.102.00 USB potencia TX 35 wats . antena Windom Short Radio IC-706MKII entrando muy bien paises de Europa .....
73
Francesco
yv4gjn

587. Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 12:35:28 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 515 Message: 587

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> I think that Signalink switch PTT directly heard the soundcard. Is thats true?
>
> In this case, how are you select COM menu? NO COM?

I think the Signalink uses a VOX system, so it doesn't need a COM port
for PTT.

Dave (G0DJA)

588. Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 12:51:59 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 515 Message: 588

It was i thought.

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 13:35
Asunto: Re: SV: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] signalink is ok

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> I think that Signalink switch PTT directly heard the soundcard. Is thats true?
>
> In this case, how are you select COM menu? NO COM?

I think the Signalink uses a VOX system, so it doesn't need a COM port
for PTT.

Dave (G0DJA)

589. *14.076 MHz*

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 14:55:32 UTC
From: MANUEL
Topic: 589 Message: 589

Una pregunta amigo José: Esta frecuencia no interferiría los comunicados en JT65A?.-
Saludos y Feliz Domingo. 73 de YV5MM Manuel

590. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] soundcard setting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 15:10:04 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 515 Message: 590
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Hi Taka,

Try v2.65, please

De: Hirotaka Otsuka <ja2grc@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 04:11
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] soundcard setting

Hello all

The setting of the sound card is not preserved well in me.
This problem has not changed from the version before though
the version of tried ROS is V2.6.3.
Please refer to the image of following URL for the procedure
of the tested operation.
http://hirotaka929. cocolog-nifty. com/photos/ uncategorized/ 2010/03/07/ 100307_ros_
soundcard. jpg

73, Taka, JA2GRC

591. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] *14.076 MHz*

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 15:08:22 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 589 Message: 591

Uppps, It's true

Thanks for warning

De: MANUEL <yv5mm@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 15:55
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] *14.076 MHz*

Una pregunta amigo José: Esta frecuencia no interferiría los comunicados en JT65A?.-
Saludos y Feliz Domingo. 73 de YV5MM Manuel

592. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 15:06:08 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 592

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.6.5 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.6.5%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

593. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 17:02:13 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 593

ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> Hello,
>
> This email message is a notification to let you know that
> a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
> group.
>
> File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.6.5 Beta.zip

Just tried version 2.6.5 and I am sorry to have to report that it will not recognise my US Interface Navigator, even with NO COM selected, unless I set USB Audio Codec as the default in Windows.

With the motherboard soundcard selected as default then saving USB Audio Codec does not work and ROS v2.6.5 reverts back to the default soundcard.

Dave (G0DJA)

594. soundacard FIXED

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 18:46:20 UTC
From: nietorosdj
Topic: 594 Message: 594

RV3APM have tested a new modification i made, and look like that soundcard bug is fixed with v2.6.6.

595. Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 19:31:02 UTC
From: g3txa
Topic: 545 Message: 595

Hi Jose,

Thanks for your offer. I'm pleased to say that I've had some success today, I have managed to receive two messages running version 2.6.3 but neither complete, ie CQ CQ then <cancel>
The signals appear as expected in the waterfall with the tuning signal well within the red lines.
It seems to me that the Frame aquistioning is not taking place. I am certain that I have the settings correct as I have managed to copy those part messages. Any ideas what could be wrong? I note from others that they seem to be have similar difficulties.

Many thanks

Rod

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> Hi Rod,
>
> Why you don't send a Screenshot?. That is the best way of can help you.
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: sÃ¡b,6 marzo, 2010 23:23
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding
>
> Â
> Thanks Dave and Rein, I'll give it a try again tomorrow once my eyes straighten out
>
> Vy 73
>
> Rod (G3TXA)
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, rein0zn@ wrote:
> >
> > RR David
> >
> > 73 Rein W6SZ
> >
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > >From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...>

> > >Sent: Mar 6, 2010 4:46 PM
> > >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> > >
> > >rein0zn@ wrote:
> > >> Rod,
> > >>
> > >> I always load a newer version in a new directory after having renamed the
> > >> old directory for just in case, even doing so it remembers the saved
> > >> parameters of the earlier version, Then restart computer. Have been doing
> > >> this a number of times. Not sure it is needed but it seems to work....
> > >
> > >The settings are stored in an INI file called ros.ini, usually saved in
> > >the C:/windows folder.
> > >
> > >Unless you delete that, ROS will find it and use those settings.
> > >
> > >Dave (G0DJA)
> > >
> > >
> > >----------- --------- --------- -------
> > >
> > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

596. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 19:54:21 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 545 Message: 596

You should send me a screenshot. Otherwise i cannot doing anything.

_____
De: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 20:31
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Hi Jose,

Thanks for your offer. I'm pleased to say that I've had some success today, I have managed to receive two messages running version 2.6.3 but neither complete, ie CQ CQ then <cancel>
The signals appear as expected in the waterfall with the tuning signal well within the red lines.
It seems to me that the Frame aquistioning is not taking place. I am certain that I have the settings correct as I have managed to copy those part messages. Any ideas what could be wrong? I note from others that they seem to be have similar difficulties.

Many thanks

Rod

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...>
wrote:
>
> Hi Rod,
>
> Why you don't send a Screenshot?. That is the best way of can help you.
>
>
>
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> De: g3txa <Rodwilki@.. .>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Enviado: sÃ¡b,6 marzo, 2010 23:23
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
>
> Â
> Thanks Dave and Rein, I'll give it a try again tomorrow once my eyes straighten out
>
> Vy 73
>
> Rod (G3TXA)
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, rein0zn@ wrote:

> >
> > RR David
> >
> > 73 Rein W6SZ
> >
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > >From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...>
> > >Sent: Mar 6, 2010 4:46 PM
> > >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> > >
> > >rein0zn@ wrote:
> > >> Rod,
> > >>
> > >> I always load a newer version in a new directory after having renamed the
> > >> old directory for just in case, even doing so it remembers the saved
> > >> parameters of the earlier version, Then restart computer. Have been doing
> > >> this a number of times. Not sure it is needed but it seems to work....
> > >
> > >The settings are stored in an INI file called ros.ini, usually saved in
> > >the C:/windows folder.
> > >
> > >Unless you delete that, ROS will find it and use those settings.
> > >
> > >Dave (G0DJA)
> > >
> > >
> > >----------- --------- --------- -------
> > >
> > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

597. Re: soundacard FIXED

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 20:06:08 UTC
From: rv3apm1
Topic: 594 Message: 597

I just confirm :
US INTERFACE NAVIGATOR WORK FB WITH ROS 2.6.6

73
Serge

<nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> RV3APM have tested a new modification i made, and look like that soundcard bug is fixed with v2.6.6.
>

598. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: soundacard FIXED

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 20:30:04 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 594 Message: 598

¡¡Aleluya!! :-)

De: rv3apm1 <rv3apm1@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 21:06
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: soundacard FIXED

I just confirm :
US INTERFACE NAVIGATOR WORK FB WITH ROS 2.6.6

73
Serge

<nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
>
> RV3APM have tested a new modification i made, and look like that soundcard bug is fixed with v2.6.6.
>

599. Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 21:21:17 UTC
From: g3txa
Topic: 545 Message: 599

Jose,

Sorry but the PC I'm using isn't set up to send screen shots, no paint program it seems. Surely it must be possible for you to say why I am not able to obtain acceptable Frame Aquistions? Is this just due to the incompatibilty of the transmitting station not using the same version of ROS that I am? Or is it for some reason that I am loosing the start code?
What do you think?

Many thanks

Rod
--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> You should send me a screenshot. Otherwise i cannot doing anything.
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 20:31
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding
>
> Â
> Hi Jose,
>
> Thanks for your offer. I'm pleased to say that I've had some success today, I have managed to receive two messages running version 2.6.3 but neither complete, ie CQ CQ then <cancel>
> The signals appear as expected in the waterfall with the tuning signal well within the red lines.
> It seems to me that the Frame aquistioning is not taking place. I am certain that I have the settings correct as I have managed to copy those part messages. Any ideas what could be wrong? I note from others that they seem to be have similar difficulties.
>
> Many thanks
>
> Rod
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
> >
> > Hi Rod,
> >
> > Why you don't send a Screenshot?. That is the best way of can help you.
> >

> >
> >
> >
> > _____ _____ _____ __
> > De: g3txa <Rodwilki@ .>
> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > Enviado: sÃ□Â¡b,6 marzo, 2010 23:23
> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> >
> > Ã□Â
> > Thanks Dave and Rein, I'll give it a try again tomorrow once my eyes straighten out
> >
> > Vy 73
> >
> > Rod (G3TXA)
> >
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, rein0zn@ wrote:
> > >
> > > RR David
> > >
> > > 73 Rein W6SZ
> > >
> > >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > >From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...>
> > > >Sent: Mar 6, 2010 4:46 PM
> > > >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > > >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> > > >
> > > >rein0zn@ wrote:
> > > >> Rod,
> > > >>
> > > >> I always load a newer version in a new directory after having renamed the
> > > >> old directory for just in case, even doing so it remembers the saved
> > > >> parameters of the earlier version, Then restart computer. Have been doing
> > > >> this a number of times. Not sure it is needed but it seems to work....
> > > >
> > > >The settings are stored in an INI file called ros.ini, usually saved in
> > > >the C:/windows folder.
> > > >
> > > >Unless you delete that, ROS will find it and use those settings.
> > > >
> > > >Dave (G0DJA)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >---------- --------- --------- -------
> > > >
> > > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>

600. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 22:15:58 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 545 Message: 600

If Acquisition is 15/20, 16/20, etc....thats mean:

1) BW, and Symbol Rate are right. In other case, Acquisition it would be cero.
2) Signal quality is very poor. It can be you have a bad adjust of Volume Output of your transceiver. Probably, volume is on red zone (saturation).
3) And probably, you have bad connceted the interface. You must connected input cable from the transceiver output to the Blue Input of soundcard. Then select LINE IN as record line.

Many people is working with ROS and they have not problems with decoding, is just the opposite.

_____
De: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 22:21
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Jose,

Sorry but the PC I'm using isn't set up to send screen shots, no paint program it seems. Surely it must be possible for you to say why I am not able to obtain acceptable Frame Aquistions? Is this just due to the incompatibilty of the transmitting station not using the same version of ROS that I am? Or is it for some reason that I am loosing the start code?
What do you think?

Many thanks

Rod
--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
>
> You should send me a screenshot. Otherwise i cannot doing anything.
>
>
>
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> De: g3txa <Rodwilki@.. .>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 20:31
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
>
> Â
> Hi Jose,
>
> Thanks for your offer. I'm pleased to say that I've had some success today, I have

managed to receive two messages running version 2.6.3 but neither complete, ie CQ CQ then <cancel>
> The signals appear as expected in the waterfall with the tuning signal well within the red lines.
> It seems to me that the Frame aquistioning is not taking place. I am certain that I have the settings correct as I have managed to copy those part messages. Any ideas what could be wrong? I note from others that they seem to be have similar difficulties.
>
> Many thanks
>
> Rod
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
> >
> > Hi Rod,
> >
> > Why you don't send a Screenshot?. That is the best way of can help you.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____ _____ _____ __
> > De: g3txa <Rodwilki@ .>
> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > Enviado: sÃƒÂ¡b,6 marzo, 2010 23:23
> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> >
> > Ã,Â
> > Thanks Dave and Rein, I'll give it a try again tomorrow once my eyes straighten out
> >
> > Vy 73
> >
> > Rod (G3TXA)
> >
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, rein0zn@ wrote:
> > >
> > > RR David
> > >
> > > 73 Rein W6SZ
> > >
> > >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > >From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...>
> > > >Sent: Mar 6, 2010 4:46 PM
> > > >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > > >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> > > >
> > > >rein0zn@ wrote:
> > > >> Rod,
> > > >>

> > > >> I always load a newer version in a new directory after having renamed the
> > > >> old directory for just in case, even doing so it remembers the saved
> > > >> parameters of the earlier version, Then restart computer. Have been doing
> > > >> this a number of times. Not sure it is needed but it seems to work....
> > > >
> > > >The settings are stored in an INI file called ros.ini, usually saved in
> > > >the C:/windows folder.
> > > >
> > > >Unless you delete that, ROS will find it and use those settings.
> > > >
> > > >Dave (G0DJA)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >----------- --------- --------- -------
> > > >
> > > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>

601. Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 22:39:41 UTC
From: g3txa
Topic: 545 Message: 601

OK Jose, many thanks for that.
I'm using a SignaLink interface, USB Codec to PC, the input level is not in the red, the received image on the waterfall looks as good as the example on the user notes. Frame aquisition is well in the red, say 6/20. Symbol sync varies and error display of course is full scale in the red.
OK that others are using the programme without problems, knowing that it is what I'm finding rather frustrating!
Thanks again, I'll have another try perhaps next weekend in the meantime any other thoughts would be most welcomed.

Vy 72/73

Rod

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> If Acquisition is 15/20, 16/20, etc....thats mean:
>
> 1) BW, and Symbol Rate are right. In other case, Acquisition it would be cero.
> 2) SignalÂ quality is very poor. It can beÂ you have a bad adjust ofÂ Volume Output of your transceiver. Probably, volume is on red zone (saturation).
> 3) And probably, you have bad connceted the interface. You must connected input cable from the transceiver output to the Blue Input of soundcard. Then select LINE IN as record line.
>
> Many people is working with ROS and they have not problems with decoding, is just the opposite.
>
>
> _____
> De: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 22:21
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding
>
> Â
> Jose,
>
> Sorry but the PC I'm using isn't set up to send screen shots, no paint program it seems.
> Surely it must be possible for you to say why I am not able to obtain acceptable Frame Aquistions? Is this just due to the incompatibilty of the transmitting station not using the same version of ROS that I am? Or is it for some reason that I am loosing the start code?
> What do you think?
>
> Many thanks
>
> Rod

> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
> >
> > You should send me a screenshot. Otherwise i cannot doing anything.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____ _____ _____ __
> > De: g3txa <Rodwilki@ .>
> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 20:31
> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> >
> > Ã□Â
> > Hi Jose,
> >
> > Thanks for your offer. I'm pleased to say that I've had some success today, I have managed to receive two messages running version 2.6.3 but neither complete, ie CQ CQ then <cancel>
> > The signals appear as expected in the waterfall with the tuning signal well within the red lines.
> > It seems to me that the Frame aquistioning is not taking place. I am certain that I have the settings correct as I have managed to copy those part messages. Any ideas what could be wrong? I note from others that they seem to be have similar difficulties.
> >
> > Many thanks
> >
> > Rod
> >
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
> > >
> > > Hi Rod,
> > >
> > > Why you don't send a Screenshot?. That is the best way of can help you.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > _____ _____ _____ __
> > > De: g3txa <Rodwilki@ .>
> > > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > > Enviado: sÃ□Æ'Ã□Â¡b,6 marzo, 2010 23:23
> > > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> > >
> > > Ã□â□□Ã□Â
> > > Thanks Dave and Rein, I'll give it a try again tomorrow once my eyes straighten out
> > >
> > > Vy 73
> > >
> > > Rod (G3TXA)
> > >

> > > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, rein0zn@ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > RR David
> > > >
> > > > 73 Rein W6SZ
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > -----Original Message-----
> > > > >From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...>
> > > > >Sent: Mar 6, 2010 4:46 PM
> > > > >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > > > >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> > > > >
> > > > >rein0zn@ wrote:
> > > > >> Rod,
> > > > >>
> > > > >> I always load a newer version in a new directory after having renamed the
> > > > >> old directory for just in case, even doing so it remembers the saved
> > > > >> parameters of the earlier version, Then restart computer. Have been doing
> > > > >> this a number of times. Not sure it is needed but it seems to work....
> > > > >
> > > > >The settings are stored in an INI file called ros.ini, usually saved in
> > > > >the C:/windows folder.
> > > > >
> > > > >Unless you delete that, ROS will find it and use those settings.
> > > > >
> > > > >Dave (G0DJA)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >----------- --------- --------- -------
> > > > >
> > > > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>

602. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 23:01:46 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 545 Message: 602

Frame aquisition is well in the red, say 6/20. Then it is different.

You are not select well the Symbol Rate. Select Symbol Rate: Auto, for example

_____
De: g3txa <Rodwilki@aol.com>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 23:39
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

OK Jose, many thanks for that.
I'm using a SignaLink interface, USB Codec to PC, the input level is not in the red, the received image on the waterfall looks as good as the example on the user notes. Frame aquisition is well in the red, say 6/20. Symbol sync varies and error display of course is full scale in the red.
OK that others are using the programme without problems, knowing that it is what I'm finding rather frustrating!
Thanks again, I'll have another try perhaps next weekend in the meantime any other thoughts would be most welcomed.

Vy 72/73

Rod

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
>
> If Acquisition is 15/20, 16/20, etc....thats mean:
>
> 1) BW, and Symbol Rate are right. In other case, Acquisition it would be cero.
> 2) SignalÂ quality is very poor. It can beÂ you have a bad adjust ofÂ Volume Output of your transceiver. Probably, volume is on red zone (saturation) .
> 3) And probably, you have bad connceted the interface. You must connected input cable from the transceiver output to the Blue Input of soundcard. Then select LINE IN as record line.
>
> Many people is working with ROS and they have not problems with decoding, is just the opposite.
>
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> De: g3txa <Rodwilki@.. .>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 22:21
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
>

> Â
> Jose,
>
> Sorry but the PC I'm using isn't set up to send screen shots, no paint program it seems.
> Surely it must be possible for you to say why I am not able to obtain acceptable Frame Aquistions? Is this just due to the incompatibilty of the transmitting station not using the same version of ROS that I am? Or is it for some reason that I am loosing the start code?
> What do you think?
>
> Many thanks
>
> Rod
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
> >
> > You should send me a screenshot. Otherwise i cannot doing anything.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____ _____ _____ __
> > De: g3txa <Rodwilki@ .>
> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 20:31
> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> >
> > Ã,Â
> > Hi Jose,
> >
> > Thanks for your offer. I'm pleased to say that I've had some success today, I have managed to receive two messages running version 2.6.3 but neither complete, ie CQ CQ then <cancel>
> > The signals appear as expected in the waterfall with the tuning signal well within the red lines.
> > It seems to me that the Frame aquistioning is not taking place. I am certain that I have the settings correct as I have managed to copy those part messages. Any ideas what could be wrong? I note from others that they seem to be have similar difficulties.
> >
> > Many thanks
> >
> > Rod
> >
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
> > >
> > > Hi Rod,
> > >
> > > Why you don't send a Screenshot?. That is the best way of can help you.
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > _____ _____ _____ __
> > > De: g3txa <Rodwilki@ .>
> > > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > > Enviado: sÃƒÆ'Ã‚Â¡b,6 marzo, 2010 23:23
> > > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> > >
> > > Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
> > > Thanks Dave and Rein, I'll give it a try again tomorrow once my eyes straighten out
> > >
> > > Vy 73
> > >
> > > Rod (G3TXA)
> > >
> > > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, rein0zn@ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > RR David
> > > >
> > > > 73 Rein W6SZ
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > -----Original Message-----
> > > > >From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...>
> > > > >Sent: Mar 6, 2010 4:46 PM
> > > > >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > > > >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> > > > >
> > > > >rein0zn@ wrote:
> > > > >> Rod,
> > > > >>
> > > > >> I always load a newer version in a new directory after having renamed the
> > > > >> old directory for just in case, even doing so it remembers the saved
> > > > >> parameters of the earlier version, Then restart computer. Have been doing
> > > > >> this a number of times. Not sure it is needed but it seems to work....
> > > > >
> > > > >The settings are stored in an INI file called ros.ini, usually saved in
> > > > >the C:/windows folder.
> > > > >
> > > > >Unless you delete that, ROS will find it and use those settings.
> > > > >
> > > > >Dave (G0DJA)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >----------- --------- --------- -------
> > > > >
> > > > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>

603. Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 23:14:49 UTC
From: g3txa
Topic: 545 Message: 603

Yep, done that already Jose, believe me, I have tried it as many ways as seemed logical without real success. As I said I did manage to receive a 2 messages but only in parts, a stations call sign and another sending CQ, both lablelled <cancel>. I'll try again soon and let you know how it goes.

Thanks again, it's appreciated

Rod

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Frame aquisition is well in the red, say 6/20. Then it is different.
>
> YouÂ are not select well the Symbol Rate.Â Select Symbol Rate: Auto, for example
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: g3txa <Rodwilki@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 23:39
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding
>
> Â
> OK Jose, many thanks for that.
> I'm using a SignaLink interface, USB Codec to PC, the input level is not in the red, the received image on the waterfall looks as good as the example on the user notes. Frame aquisition is well in the red, say 6/20. Symbol sync varies and error display of course is full scale in the red.
> OK that others are using the programme without problems, knowing that it is what I'm finding rather frustrating!
> Thanks again, I'll have another try perhaps next weekend in the meantime any other thoughts would be most welcomed.
>
> Vy 72/73
>
> Rod
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
> >
> > If Acquisition is 15/20, 16/20, etc....thats mean:
> >
> > 1) BW, and Symbol Rate are right. In other case, Acquisition it would be cero.
> > 2) SignalÃ□Â quality is very poor. It can beÃ□Â you have a bad adjust ofÃ□Â Volume Output of your transceiver.

Probably, volume is on red zone (saturation) .
> > 3) And probably, you have bad connceted the interface. You must connected input cable from the transceiver output to the Blue Input of soundcard. Then select LINE IN as record line.
> >
> > Many people is working with ROS and they have not problems with decoding, is just the opposite.
> >
> >
> > _____ _____ _____ __
> > De: g3txa <Rodwilki@ .>
> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 22:21
> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> >
> > Ã□Â
> > Jose,
> >
> > Sorry but the PC I'm using isn't set up to send screen shots, no paint program it seems.
> > Surely it must be possible for you to say why I am not able to obtain acceptable Frame Aquistions? Is this just due to the incompatibilty of the transmitting station not using the same version of ROS that I am? Or is it for some reason that I am loosing the start code?
> > What do you think?
> >
> > Many thanks
> >
> > Rod
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
> > >
> > > You should send me a screenshot. Otherwise i cannot doing anything.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > _____ _____ _____ __
> > > De: g3txa <Rodwilki@ .>
> > > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > > Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 20:31
> > > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> > >
> > > Ã□â□□Ã□Â
> > > Hi Jose,
> > >
> > > Thanks for your offer. I'm pleased to say that I've had some success today, I have managed to receive two messages running version 2.6.3 but neither complete, ie CQ CQ then <cancel>
> > > The signals appear as expected in the waterfall with the tuning signal well within the red lines.
> > > It seems to me that the Frame aquistioning is not taking place. I am certain that I have the settings correct as I have managed to copy those part messages. Any ideas what could be wrong? I note from others that they seem to be have similar difficulties.
> > >
> > > Many thanks
> > >

> > > Rod
> > >
> > > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Hi Rod,
> > > >
> > > > Why you don't send a Screenshot?. That is the best way of can help you.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > _____ _____ _____ __
> > > > De: g3txa <Rodwilki@ .>
> > > > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > > > Enviado: sÃ□Æ'Ã□'Ã□â□□Ã□Â¡b,6 marzo, 2010 23:23
> > > > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> > > >
> > > > Ã□Æ'Ã¢â□¬Å¡Ã□â□□Ã□Â
> > > > Thanks Dave and Rein, I'll give it a try again tomorrow once my eyes straighten out
> > > >
> > > > Vy 73
> > > >
> > > > Rod (G3TXA)
> > > >
> > > > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, rein0zn@ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > RR David
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 Rein W6SZ
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > -----Original Message-----
> > > > > >From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...>
> > > > > >Sent: Mar 6, 2010 4:46 PM
> > > > > >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > > > > >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Not decoding
> > > > > >
> > > > > >rein0zn@ wrote:
> > > > > >> Rod,
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> I always load a newer version in a new directory after having renamed the
> > > > > >> old directory for just in case, even doing so it remembers the saved
> > > > > >> parameters of the earlier version, Then restart computer. Have been doing
> > > > > >> this a number of times. Not sure it is needed but it seems to work....
> > > > > >
> > > > > >The settings are stored in an INI file called ros.ini, usually saved in
> > > > > >the C:/windows folder.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Unless you delete that, ROS will find it and use those settings.

> > > > > >
> > > > > >Dave (G0DJA)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >---------- --------- --------- -------
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> >
>

604. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] soundcard setting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-07 23:56:36 UTC
From: Hirotaka Otsuka
Topic: 515 Message: 604

OK, Jose, it is working well, thanks.

Following is another problem report.

- PTT chattering,

If I clicked the PTT button, then serial port signal changed
OFF --> ON --> OFF --> ON(stable).

In the case of my PC(PentiumD 3GHz, WinXP-SP3),
OFF --> ON(1-2ms) --> OFF(2-20ms) --> ON.

```
__________ _________________________
| | |
OFF |ON(1-2ms)| OFF(2-20ms) |
_______| |_________________|
```

The time will be depend on the CPU speed and load.

73, Hirotaka, JA2GRC

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
>
>
> Hi Taka,
>
> Try v2.65, please
>
> ----------
> *De:* Hirotaka Otsuka <ja2grc@...>
> *Para:* ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> *Enviado:* dom,7 marzo, 2010 04:11
> *Asunto:* [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] soundcard setting
>
>
>
> Hello all
>
> The setting of the sound card is not preserved well in me.
> This problem has not changed from the version before though
> the version of tried ROS is V2.6.3.
> Please refer to the image of following URL for the procedure
> of the tested operation.
> http://hirotaka929. cocolog-nifty. com/photos/ uncategorized/
> 2010/03/07/ 100307_ros_ soundcard. jpg
> <http://hirotaka929.cocolog-nifty.com/photos/uncategorized/2010/03/07/100307_ros_soundca
rd.jpg>
>

> 73, Taka, JA2GRC
>
>
>

--
Hirotaka Otsuka <ja2grc@...>
URL: http://homepage3.nifty.com/hirotaka929/

605. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 00:02:18 UTC
From: Howard Small
Topic: 545 Message: 605

Just press Shift+PrtScrn then paste into word or whatever and you will have your screen shot

Howard

VK4BS

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of g3txa
Sent: Monday, 8 March 2010 07:21
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Not decoding

Jose,

Sorry but the PC I'm using isn't set up to send screen shots, no paint program it seems.

606. Chatering

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 00:14:43 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 515 Message: 606
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Test the chatering with this version

thanks

_____
De: Hirotaka Otsuka <ja2grc@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: lun,8 marzo, 2010 00:56
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] soundcard setting

OK, Jose, it is working well, thanks.

Following is another problem report.

- PTT chattering,

If I clicked the PTT button, then serial port signal changed
OFF --> ON --> OFF --> ON(stable).

In the case of my PC(PentiumD 3GHz, WinXP-SP3),
OFF --> ON(1-2ms) --> OFF(2-20ms) --> ON.

```
__________ ____________ _________ ____
| | |
OFF |ON(1-2ms)| OFF(2-20ms) |
_______| |___________ ______|
```

The time will be depend on the CPU speed and load.

73, Hirotaka, JA2GRC

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
>
>
> Hi Taka,
>
> Try v2.65, please
>
> ------------ --------- --------- --------- --------- --------- -
> *De:* Hirotaka Otsuka <ja2grc@jarl. com>
> *Para:* ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> *Enviado:* dom,7 marzo, 2010 04:11
> *Asunto:* [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] soundcard setting
>
>
>
> Hello all

>
> The setting of the sound card is not preserved well in me.
> This problem has not changed from the version before though
> the version of tried ROS is V2.6.3.
> Please refer to the image of following URL for the procedure
> of the tested operation.
> http://hirotaka929. cocolog-nifty. com/photos/ uncategorized/
> 2010/03/07/ 100307_ros_ soundcard. jpg
> <http://hirotaka929. cocolog-nifty. com/photos/ uncategorized/ 2010/03/07/ 100307_ros_
soundcard. jpg>
>
> 73, Taka, JA2GRC
>
>
>

--
Hirotaka Otsuka <ja2grc@jarl. com>
URL: http://homepage3. nifty.com/ hirotaka929/

607. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Chatering

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 00:29:54 UTC
From: Hirotaka Otsuka
Topic: 515 Message: 607

```
OK, great, Jose.
There are no chattering, thanks.

73, Hirotaka, JA2GRC

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
>
>
> Test the chatering with this version
>
> thanks
>
> ---------------------------------------------------------------------------
> *De:* Hirotaka Otsuka <ja2grc@...>
> *Para:* ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> *Enviado:* lun,8 marzo, 2010 00:56
> *Asunto:* Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] soundcard setting
>
>
>
> OK, Jose, it is working well, thanks.
>
> Following is another problem report.
>
> - PTT chattering,
>
> If I clicked the PTT button, then serial port signal changed
> OFF --> ON --> OFF --> ON(stable).
>
> In the case of my PC(PentiumD 3GHz, WinXP-SP3),
> OFF --> ON(1-2ms) --> OFF(2-20ms) --> ON.
> __________ ____________ _________ ____
> | | |
> OFF |ON(1-2ms)| OFF(2-20ms) |
> _______| |___________ ______|
>
> The time will be depend on the CPU speed and load.
>
> 73, Hirotaka, JA2GRC
>
> jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> >
> >
> > Hi Taka,
> >
```

> > Try v2.65, please
> >
> > ---------- --------- --------- --------- --------- --------- -
> > *De:* Hirotaka Otsuka <ja2grc@jarl. com <mailto:ja2grc%40jarl.com>>
> > *Para:* ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > *Enviado:* dom,7 marzo, 2010 04:11
> > *Asunto:* [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] soundcard setting
> >
> >
> >
> > Hello all
> >
> > The setting of the sound card is not preserved well in me.
> > This problem has not changed from the version before though
> > the version of tried ROS is V2.6.3.
> > Please refer to the image of following URL for the procedure
> > of the tested operation.
> > http://hirotaka929. <http://hirotaka929./> cocolog-nifty. com/photos/
> uncategorized/
> > 2010/03/07/ 100307_ros_ soundcard. jpg
> > <http://hirotaka929. cocolog-nifty. com/photos/ uncategorized/
> 2010/03/07/ 100307_ros_ soundcard. jpg
> <http://hirotaka929.cocolog-nifty.com/photos/uncategorized/2010/03/07/100307_ros_soundca
rd.jpg>>
> >
> > 73, Taka, JA2GRC
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> Hirotaka Otsuka <ja2grc@jarl. com <mailto:ja2grc%40jarl.com>>
> URL: http://homepage3. nifty.com/ hirotaka929/
> <http://homepage3.nifty.com/hirotaka929/>
>
>
>

--
Hirotaka Otsuka <ja2grc@...>
URL: http://homepage3.nifty.com/hirotaka929/

608. Trying to decode a recorded ROS test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 03:06:55 UTC
From: Geo
Topic: 608 Message: 608

Greetings,

I created a wmv using audacity to capture ROS sounds created by placing something in the TX buffer and hitting the PTT button.

What I see on the screen is:

RX: <02:48 UTC> <-7.8 Hz.> CQ CQSfo de NJ <CANCEL>

The decoding stops. Frame Acquisition box turns yellow and the reception is cancelled.

I am using Vers 2.6.7 on an XP3 (SP3) computer.

AFC +/- 200 Hz
BW 2250 Hz
16 Baud Auto
Waterfall ON

My default sound card is selected (only one in the computer).
Only COMs listed: NO COM and COM 3. All others grayed out. Makes no difference which is selected.

I do not see anything in the waterfall. However, the CQ CQ de NJ is indeed int he information in the test that was recorded.

Should I be able to decode a wmv file which contains ROS sounds? If anyone has been able to go from a wmv file to seeing ROS decode, please provide some suggestions.

Regards,
George, NJ3H

609. Further: Trying to decode a recorded ROS test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 05:38:48 UTC
From: George
Topic: 609 Message: 609
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Further:

I meant to say in my original message that I created a wav file - not wmv. Sorry.

I checked one of the ROS recorded audio samples at Jose's site. I notice that the recorded volume is about 5 times that of the recording I made, when comparing the aignal amplitude in Audacity. The recording volume is set to maximum and line in is selected in Audacity (and this is also the setting in Windows). I have no idea how to get more record volume when trying to record the ROS sounds.

Please see the attached file. You will note that my wav file shows a changling amplitude, while Jose's same shows a continuous level.

Any help will be greatly appreciated.

Regards,
George, NJ3H

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Geo" <nj3h@...> wrote:
>
> Greetings,
>
> I created a wmv using audacity to capture ROS sounds created by placing something in the TX buffer and hitting the PTT button.
>
> What I see on the screen is:
>
> RX: <02:48 UTC> <-7.8 Hz.> CQ CQSfo de NJ <CANCEL>
>
> The decoding stops. Frame Acquisition box turns yellow and the reception is cancelled.
>
> I am using Vers 2.6.7 on an XP3 (SP3) computer.
>
> AFC +/- 200 Hz
> BW 2250 Hz
> 16 Baud Auto
> Waterfall ON
>
> My default sound card is selected (only one in the computer).
> Only COMs listed: NO COM and COM 3. All others grayed out. Makes no difference which is selected.
>
> I do not see anything in the waterfall. However, the CQ CQ   de NJ is indeed int he information in the test that was recorded.
>

> Should I be able to decode a wmv file which contains ROS sounds? If anyone has been able to go from a wmv file to seeing ROS decode, please provide some suggestions.
>
> Regards,
> George, NJ3H

610. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Trying to decode a recorded ROS test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 09:16:26 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 608 Message: 610

This is a clear problem of audio volume.

Your Level Volume is on -20dBs, or perhap -30dBs.

De: Geo <nj3h@yahoo.com>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: lun,8 marzo, 2010 04:06
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Trying to decode a recorded ROS test

Greetings,

I created a wmv using audacity to capture ROS sounds created by placing something in the TX buffer and hitting the PTT button.

What I see on the screen is:

RX: <02:48 UTC> <-7.8 Hz.> CQ CQSfo de NJ <CANCEL>

The decoding stops. Frame Acquisition box turns yellow and the reception is cancelled.

I am using Vers 2.6.7 on an XP3 (SP3) computer.

AFC +/- 200 Hz
BW 2250 Hz
16 Baud Auto
Waterfall ON

My default sound card is selected (only one in the computer).
Only COMs listed: NO COM and COM 3. All others grayed out. Makes no difference which is selected.

I do not see anything in the waterfall. However, the CQ CQ de NJ is indeed int he information in the test that was recorded.

Should I be able to decode a wmv file which contains ROS sounds? If anyone has been able to go from a wmv file to seeing ROS decode, please provide some suggestions.

Regards,
George, NJ3H

611. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Further: Trying to decode a recorded ROS test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 09:19:44 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 609 Message: 611

Yes, you have to open MIXER WINDOWS. Go to RECORD Soundcard.

If you have a transceiver select LINE IN as Audio input. If you use a webSDR select WAVE INPUT as Audio Input. Adjust the volume with the slider.

De: George <nj3h@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: lun,8 marzo, 2010 06:38
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Further: Trying to decode a recorded ROS test

Further:

I meant to say in my original message that I created a wav file - not wmv. Sorry.

I checked one of the ROS recorded audio samples at Jose's site. I notice that the recorded volume is about 5 times that of the recording I made, when comparing the aignal amplitude in Audacity. The recording volume is set to maximum and line in is selected in Audacity (and this is also the setting in Windows). I have no idea how to get more record volume when trying to record the ROS sounds.

Please see the attached file. You will note that my wav file shows a changling amplitude, while Jose's same shows a continuous level.

Any help will be greatly appreciated.

Regards,
George, NJ3H

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Geo" <nj3h@...> wrote:
>
> Greetings,
>
> I created a wmv using audacity to capture ROS sounds created by placing something in the TX buffer and hitting the PTT button.
>
> What I see on the screen is:
>
> RX: <02:48 UTC> <-7.8 Hz.> CQ CQSfo de NJ <CANCEL>
>
> The decoding stops. Frame Acquisition box turns yellow and the reception is cancelled.
>
> I am using Vers 2.6.7 on an XP3 (SP3) computer.
>
> AFC +/- 200 Hz
> BW 2250 Hz

> 16 Baud Auto
> Waterfall ON
>
> My default sound card is selected (only one in the computer).
> Only COMs listed: NO COM and COM 3. All others grayed out. Makes no difference which is selected.
>
> I do not see anything in the waterfall. However, the CQ CQ   de NJ is indeed int he information in the test that was recorded.
>
> Should I be able to decode a wmv file which contains ROS sounds? If anyone has been able to go from a wmv file to seeing ROS decode, please provide some suggestions.
>
> Regards,
> George, NJ3H

612. ROS 2.6.7 sound card problems fixed

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 10:27:47 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 556 Message: 612

I'm very pleased to say that the sound card selection in version 2.6.7
is working now and I can select the USB Audio Codec and save it.

Thanks Jose.

Dave (G0DJA)

613. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 15:17:32 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 613

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.6.9 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.6.9%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

614. Version 2.6.9 Comment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 15:52:34 UTC
From: George
Topic: 614 Message: 614
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Hi Jose,

Please see the attached screenshot.

In 2250 Hz BW, about 1/3 of the way through letting ROS send what is in the TX buffer, an alarm bell rings. I have alarm off, but it still rings. This does not happen in 500 Hz BW.

This did not happen in previous modes.

Regards,
George, NJ3H

615. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 16:14:23 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 57 Message: 615

Unsubscribe me from this list.

Russell
 1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Gerald Ford

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

From: "ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com" <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Mon, March 8, 2010 9:17:32 AM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.6.9 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@suddenlink. net>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups. yahoo.com/ group/ROSDIGITAL MODEMGROUP/ files/ROS% 20MODEM%20PROGRA
M/ROS%20v2. 6.9%20Beta. zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help. yahoo.com/ l/us/yahoo/ groups/original/ members/forms/ general.htmlfile s

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@suddenlink. net>

616. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] I found it to unsubscribe

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 16:18:49 UTC
From: Russell Blair
Topic: 614 Message: 616

Tnx

Russell
 1- Whoever said nothing is impossible never tried slamming a revolving door!
2- A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have.
- Gerald Ford

" IN GOD WE TRUST "

Russell Blair (NC5O)
Skype-Russell.Blair
Hell Field #300
DRCC #55
30m Dig-group #693

From: George <nj3h@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Mon, March 8, 2010 9:52:34 AM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Version 2.6.9 Comment

Hi Jose,

Please see the attached screenshot.

In 2250 Hz BW, about 1/3 of the way through letting ROS send what is in the TX buffer, an alarm bell rings. I have alarm off, but it still rings. This does not happen in 500 Hz BW.

This did not happen in previous modes.

Regards,
George, NJ3H

617. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Version 2.6.9 Comment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 17:03:28 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 614 Message: 617

Hi George

I have tested it and the Alarm not sound when the switch is off. It is very odd.

Try restart

De: George <nj3h@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: lun,8 marzo, 2010 16:52
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Version 2.6.9 Comment

Hi Jose,

Please see the attached screenshot.

In 2250 Hz BW, about 1/3 of the way through letting ROS send what is in the TX buffer, an alarm bell rings. I have alarm off, but it still rings. This does not happen in 500 Hz BW.

This did not happen in previous modes.

Regards,
George, NJ3H

618. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 17:09:41 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 618

Russell Blair wrote:
> Unsubscribe me from this list.

You can easily do this for yourself by sending an email to
ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

You will then get a message asking if that's what you want to do and,
when you reply to that message, Yahoogroups will unsubscribe you.

This information is included at the bottom of many of the messages that
you receive and is standard practice across the Yahoogroups.

Dave (G0DJA)

619. Re: Version 2.6.9 Comment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 19:15:00 UTC
From: Geo
Topic: 614 Message: 619

Jose,

I did a reboot.

With alarm on, two beeps. With alarm off, one beep.

Regards,
George

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> Hi George
>
> I have tested it and the Alarm not sound when the switch is off. It is very odd.
>
> Try restart
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: George <nj3h@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: lun,8 marzo, 2010 16:52
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Version 2.6.9 Comment
>
> Â
> Hi Jose,
>
> Please see the attached screenshot.
>
> In 2250 Hz BW, about 1/3 of the way through letting ROS send what is in the TX buffer, an
alarm bell rings.Â I have alarm off, but it still rings.Â This does not happen in 500 Hz
BW.
>
> This did not happen in previous modes.
>
> Regards,
> George, NJ3H
>

620. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Version 2.6.9 Comment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-08 19:56:18 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 614 Message: 620

I have not idea. It is the first time i heard something like that.

Try with AFC to 78Hz and Waterfall OFF. What is the CPU Usage in that case?

_____
De: Geo <nj3h@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: lun,8 marzo, 2010 20:15
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Version 2.6.9 Comment

Jose,

I did a reboot.

With alarm on, two beeps. With alarm off, one beep.

Regards,
George

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...>
wrote:
>
> Hi George
>
> I have tested it and the Alarm not sound when the switch is off. It is very odd.
>
> Try restart
>
>
>
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> De: George <nj3h@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Enviado: lun,8 marzo, 2010 16:52
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Version 2.6.9 Comment
>
> Â
> Hi Jose,
>
> Please see the attached screenshot.
>
> In 2250 Hz BW, about 1/3 of the way through letting ROS send what is in the TX buffer, an alarm bell rings.Â I have alarm off, but it still rings.Â This does not happen in 500 Hz BW.
>
> This did not happen in previous modes.

>
> Regards,
> George, NJ3H
>

621. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 04:03:36 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 621

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.7.0 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.7.0%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

622. Re: *14.076 MHz*

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 04:56:48 UTC
From: Gary - K7EK
Topic: 589 Message: 622

Another frequency to avoid is 14109.0 USB dial. That is very heavily
used by ALE operators worldwide.

Best regards,

Gary, K7EK

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> Uppps, It's true
>
> Thanks for warning
>
>
>
> _____
> De: MANUEL <yv5mm@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,7 marzo, 2010 15:55
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] *14.076 MHz*
>
> Â
> Una pregunta amigo JosÃ©: Esta frecuencia no interferirÃa los comunicados en JT65A?.-
Saludos y Feliz Domingo. 73 de YV5MM Manuel
>

623. [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : where to find updated frequencies available for ROS ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 12:23:47 UTC
From: Ugo - SWL 1281/VE
Topic: 623 Message: 623

Hi All.
Could someone tell me where I can find the frequencies in use for ROS at the moment ?
Is there an updated file or web site to read ?
Thanks in advance,
Ugo

--
73 de Ugo - SWL 1281/VE

624. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : where to find updated frequencies available for ROS ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 12:33:25 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 623 Message: 624

http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

De: Ugo - SWL 1281/VE <ugo.depolo@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,9 marzo, 2010 13:23
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : where to find updated frequencies available for ROS ?

Hi All.
Could someone tell me where I can find the frequencies in use for ROS at
the moment ?
Is there an updated file or web site to read ?
Thanks in advance,
Ugo

--
73 de Ugo - SWL 1281/VE

Yahoo! Groups Links

625. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : where to find updated frequencies available for ROS ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 12:44:25 UTC
From: Ugo - SWL 1281/VE
Topic: 623 Message: 625

Grazie !!!

Il 09/03/2010 13.33, jose alberto nieto ros ha scritto:
> http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/ <http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/>
>
> ----------
> *De:* Ugo - SWL 1281/VE <ugo.depolo@...>
> *Para:* ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> *Enviado:* mar,9 marzo, 2010 13:23
> *Asunto:* [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : where to find updated frequencies
> available for ROS ?
>
> Hi All.
> Could someone tell me where I can find the frequencies in use for ROS at
> the moment ?
> Is there an updated file or web site to read ?
> Thanks in advance,
> Ugo
>
> --
> 73 de Ugo - SWL 1281/VE
>
>
>
>
> ----------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> (Yahoo! ID required)
>
> ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP-fullfeatured@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP-fullfeatured@yahoogroups.com>
>
>
>
>

--
73 de Ugo - SWL 1281/VE
il mio blog su http://99righe.it

626. Dragon Link

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 13:44:34 UTC
From: Glenn L. Roeser
Topic: 626 Message: 626

In recent weeks there has been a lot of talk about the legality of ROS in the United States. This morning I received this from a friend.
My question is this: Is Dragon Link legal?

http://www.terranova.net/~winger/RCVideoStore/DragonLink/DragonLink.htm

627. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Dragon Link

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 13:52:28 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 626 Message: 627

The question would be: can Dragon Link work for EME operation?

If the answer is YES, then Dan Henderson will tell you Dragon Link is illegal.

If the answer is NO, then Dan Henderson will tell you Dragon Link is legal.

De: Glenn L. Roeser <hillbillietrace@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,9 marzo, 2010 14:44
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Dragon Link

In recent weeks there has been a lot of talk about the legality of ROS in the United States. This morning I received this from a friend.
My question is this: Is Dragon Link legal?

http://www.terranov a.net/~winger/ RCVideoStore/ DragonLink/ DragonLink. htm

628. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Dragon Link

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 14:19:07 UTC
From: Box SixteenHundred
Topic: 626 Message: 628

With all due respect, Jose,

This kind of post is exactly why you have the issues
you have today.

And why you've lost many supporters.

While you excel in your software engineering, you lack in your
social skills.

But, I guess not being a ham may have something to do with it.

73 - Bill KA8VIT

To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
From: nietorosdj@...
Date: Tue, 9 Mar 2010 13:52:28 +0000
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Dragon Link

The question would be: can Dragon Link work for EME operation?

If the answer is YES, then Dan Henderson will tell you Dragon Link is illegal.

If the answer is NO, then Dan Henderson will tell you Dragon Link is legal.

De: Glenn L. Roeser <hillbillietrace@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,9 marzo, 2010 14:44
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Dragon Link

In recent weeks there has been a lot of talk about the legality of ROS in the United
States. This morning I received this from a friend.
My question is this: Is Dragon Link legal?

http://www.terranov a.net/~winger/ RCVideoStore/ DragonLink/ DragonLink. htm

_____
Hotmail: Trusted email with powerful SPAM protection.
http://clk.atdmt.com/GBL/go/201469227/direct/01/

629. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 14:51:00 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 629

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.7.1 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.7.
1%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

630. Change from 14102 to 14103

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 18:27:35 UTC
From: nietorosdj
Topic: 630 Message: 630

At the suggestion of N4QLB, we have changed from 14102 to 14103 with the objective of not interfering with U.S. 300 baud Packet.

Sorry for the inconvenience

631. Off topic - Dragon Link

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 18:40:43 UTC
From: Howard Small
Topic: 626 Message: 631

Glenn

This has nothing to do with amateur radio - it is using the 433MHz LIPD frequencies. If you have doubts about the legality you need to ask the supplier.

In Australia when LIPD was introduced it used the same frequencies as many of our repeaters. This resulted in repeaters being locked up by LIPD devices but from your point of view the most important thing would be to ensure there aren't amateur transceivers pumping out 50+W in the area you intend to use the Dragon Link as this would undoubtedly cause you to lose control of your remote device.

Howard

VK4BS

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Glenn L. Roeser
Sent: Tuesday, 9 March 2010 23:45
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Dragon Link

In recent weeks there has been a lot of talk about the legality of ROS in the United States. This morning I received this from a friend.

My question is this: Is Dragon Link legal?

http://www.terranova.net/~winger/RCVideoStore/DragonLink/DragonLink.htm

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.733 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2729 - Release Date: 03/09/10 17:33:00

632. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Off topic - Dragon Link

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 18:59:33 UTC
From: Glenn L. Roeser
Topic: 626 Message: 632

Howard,
Thank you for the information. Here in the USA 433 MHZ just happens to be in the amateur band. So if Dragon Link has nothing to do with amateur radio than it must be illegal! I know that we share those frequencies with the military, but I don't think Dragon Link should be permitted.
It is just strange that we had so many comments about the legality of ROS, yet something like this draws no comments. Where are all the lawyers when we really need them? hihi.
Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)

From: Howard Small <howard@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tue, March 9, 2010 1:40:43 PM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Off topic - Dragon Link

Glenn

This has nothing to do with amateur radio – it is using the 433MHz LIPD frequencies. If you have doubts about the legality you need to ask the supplier.

In Australia when LIPD was introduced it used the same frequencies as many of our repeaters. This resulted in repeaters being locked up by LIPD devices but from your point of view the most important thing would be to ensure there aren't amateur transceivers pumping out 50+W in the area you intend to use the Dragon Link as this would undoubtedly cause you to lose control of your remote device.

Howard
VK4BS

From:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROUP@ yahoogroups. com] On Behalf Of Glenn L. Roeser
Sent: Tuesday, 9 March 2010 23:45
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Dragon Link

In recent weeks there has been a lot of talk about the legality of ROS in the United States. This morning I received this from a friend.
My question is this: Is Dragon Link legal?

http://www.terranov a.net/~winger/ RCVideoStore/ DragonLink/ DragonLink. htm

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.733 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2729 - Release Date: 03/09/10 17:33:00

633. Re: Off topic - Dragon Link

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 19:13:53 UTC
From: n4qlb
Topic: 626 Message: 633

If used by remote enthusiast here, will result in a lot of crashed planes and helicopters!

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Glenn L. Roeser" <hillbillietrace@...> wrote:
>
> Howard,
> Thank you for the information. Here in the USA 433 MHZ just happens to be in the amateur band. So ifÂ Dragon LinkÂ has nothing to do with amateur radio than it must be illegal! I know that we share those frequencies with the military, but I don't think Dragon Link should be permitted.
> It is just strange that we had so many comments about the legality of ROS, yet something like this draws no comments. Where are allÂ the lawyers when we really need them? hihi.
> Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)
>
>
>
>
> _____
> From: Howard Small <howard@...>
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tue, March 9, 2010 1:40:43 PM
> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Off topic - Dragon Link
>
> Â
> Glenn
> Â
> This has nothing to do with amateur radio â□" it is using the 433MHz LIPD frequencies. If you have doubts about the legality you need to ask the supplier.
> Â
> In Australia when LIPD was introduced it used the same frequencies as many of our repeaters. This resulted in repeaters being locked up by LIPD devices but from your point of view the most important thing would be to ensure there arenâ□□t amateur transceivers pumping out 50+W in the area you intend to use the Dragon Link as this would undoubtedly cause you to lose control of your remote device.
> Â
> Howard
> VK4BS
> Â
> From:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROUP@ yahoogroups. com] On Behalf Of Glenn L. Roeser
> Sent: Tuesday, 9 March 2010 23:45
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Dragon Link
> Â
> Â
> In recent weeks there has been a lot of talk about the legality of ROS in the United States. This morning I received this from a friend.

> My question is this: Is Dragon Link legal?
> Â
> http://www.terranov a.net/~winger/ RCVideoStore/ DragonLink/ DragonLink. htm
> Â
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
> Version: 9.0.733 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2729 - Release Date: 03/09/10 17:33:00
>

634. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Dragon Link

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 16:23:44 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 626 Message: 634

Jose

If you think this is fun then you have a very huge problem.

S

On 09.03.2010 14:52, jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> The question would be: can Dragon Link work for EME operation?
>
> If the answer is YES, then Dan Henderson will tell you Dragon Link is illegal.
>
> If the answer is NO, then Dan Henderson will tell you Dragon Link is legal.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: Glenn L. Roeser <hillbillietrace@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: mar,9 marzo, 2010 14:44
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Dragon Link
>
>
> In recent weeks there has been a lot of talk about the legality of ROS in the United
States. This morning I received this from a friend.
> My question is this: Is Dragon Link legal?
>
> http://www.terranov a.net/~winger/ RCVideoStore/ DragonLink/ DragonLink. htm
>
>
>
>
>
>

635. Re: Off topic - Dragon Link - correction

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 19:51:30 UTC
From: Howard Small
Topic: 626 Message: 635

Actually would undoubtedly should read could possibly.

Howard
VK4BS

636. [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : Problem with new version

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 20:09:17 UTC
From: Ugo
Topic: 636 Message: 636

Hi All.
I tried to install and run ROS 2.7.1 but I've an error message when I run the executable.
The message is : ERROR REGISTERING COMPONENTS.
Could someone help me to solve this problem ?
Best regards,
Ugo

637. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : Problem with new version

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 20:18:07 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 636 Message: 637

Hello Ugo,

Sounds like a Microsoft Vista or perhaps Win7 problem?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Ugo <ugo.depolo@...>
>Sent: Mar 9, 2010 12:09 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : Problem with new version
>
>Hi All.
>I tried to install and run ROS 2.7.1 but I've an error message when I
>run the executable.
>The message is : ERROR REGISTERING COMPONENTS.
>Could someone help me to solve this problem ?
>Best regards,
>Ugo
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

638. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Off topic - Dragon Link - correction

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 20:24:27 UTC
From: Glenn L. Roeser
Topic: 626 Message: 638

Fair dinkum! Bloody oath !

From: Howard Small <howard@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tue, March 9, 2010 2:51:30 PM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Off topic - Dragon Link - correction

Actually would undoubtedly should read could possibly.

Howard
VK4BS

639. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : Problem with new version

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 20:26:53 UTC
From: Ugo
Topic: 636 Message: 639

Hi Rein.
Yes, it's a Win7 Ultimate problem...
Do you've the same ?
Did u solve it ?
73 Ugo SWL1281/VE

Il 09/03/2010 21:18, rein0zn@... ha scritto:
>
> Hello Ugo,
>
> Sounds like a Microsoft Vista or perhaps Win7 problem?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: Ugo <ugo.depolo@... <mailto:ugo.depolo%40me.com>>
> >Sent: Mar 9, 2010 12:09 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : Problem with new version
> >
> >Hi All.
> >I tried to install and run ROS 2.7.1 but I've an error message when I
> >run the executable.
> >The message is : ERROR REGISTERING COMPONENTS.
> >Could someone help me to solve this problem ?
> >Best regards,
> >Ugo
> >
> >
> >------------------------------------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>
>

640. What is dragon link?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 20:07:31 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 640 Message: 640

A commercial product it seems.....

http://exposureroom.com/members/DanielWee/007bbe53f740442c8af1182c7fbe9fcc/

73 Rein W6SZ

641. Still Off topic - Dragon Link

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 20:48:35 UTC
From: Howard Small
Topic: 626 Message: 641

Glenn

433MHz is in the amateur band here too but that did not stop the authorities approving LIPDs! It was just too easy to adopt the European standard and allow a multitude of devices on the market. Surely you have garage door openers and all sorts of other devices using 433MHz?

See http://www.shophoneywell.com/rwt90p433.html and http://transkey.us/zencart/index.php?main_page=product_info <http://transkey.us/zencart/index.php?main_page=product_info&cPath=42_51&products_id=121> &cPath=42_51&products_id=121 and http://www.securitystoreusa.com/Rokonet-RWT540000EUA-RWT540EUA-4-function-mini-p/1880505.htm for example.

Howard

VK4BS

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Glenn L. Roeser
Sent: Wednesday, 10 March 2010 05:00
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Off topic - Dragon Link

Howard,

Thank you for the information. Here in the USA 433 MHZ just happens to be in the amateur band. So if Dragon Link has nothing to do with amateur radio than it must be illegal! I know that we share those frequencies with the military, but I don't think Dragon Link should be permitted.

It is just strange that we had so many comments about the legality of ROS, yet something like this draws no comments. Where are all the lawyers when we really need them? hihi.

Very 73 to all, Glenn (WB2LMV)

From: Howard Small <howard@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tue, March 9, 2010 1:40:43 PM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Off topic - Dragon Link

Glenn

This has nothing to do with amateur radio – it is using the 433MHz LIPD frequencies. If you have doubts about the legality you need to ask the supplier.

In Australia when LIPD was introduced it used the same frequencies as many of our repeaters. This resulted in repeaters being locked up by LIPD devices but from your point of view the most important thing would be to ensure there aren’t amateur transceivers pumping out 50+W in the area you intend to use the Dragon Link as this would undoubtedly cause you to lose control of your remote device.

Howard

VK4BS

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROUP@ yahoogroups. com] On Behalf Of Glenn L. Roeser
Sent: Tuesday, 9 March 2010 23:45
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Dragon Link

In recent weeks there has been a lot of talk about the legality of ROS in the United States. This morning I received this from a friend.

My question is this: Is Dragon Link legal?

http://www.terranov a.net/~winger/ RCVideoStore/ DragonLink/
<http://www.terranova.net/~winger/RCVideoStore/DragonLink/DragonLink.htm> DragonLink. htm

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com <http://www.avg.com/>
Version: 9.0.733 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2729 - Release Date: 03/09/10 17:33:00

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.733 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2729 - Release Date: 03/09/10 17:33:00

642. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : Problem with new version

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 21:13:16 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 636 Message: 642

That is that ROS cannot register libraries in your SO because i suposse you have it restringed.

But it is possible after the message software run anyway.

De: Ugo <ugo.depolo@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,9 marzo, 2010 21:09
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : Problem with new version

Hi All.
I tried to install and run ROS 2.7.1 but I've an error message when I run the executable.
The message is : ERROR REGISTERING COMPONENTS.
Could someone help me to solve this problem ?
Best regards,
Ugo

Yahoo! Groups Links

643. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : Problem with new version

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 21:26:31 UTC
From: Ugo - SWL 1281/VE
Topic: 636 Message: 643

Ciao Josè.
Yes, the software runs for a while but after some minutes it stops to
run.
Actually I don't have any restriction on my pc, but I'll try to run
the installation file as admin to see if something will change.
I'll let you know.
Thanks for yor soon reply.
And my complimenst for your software.
73, Ugo

(sent with iPhone)

Il giorno 09/mar/2010, alle ore 22.13, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...
> ha scritto:

> That is that ROS cannot register libraries in your SO because i
> suposse you have it restringed.
>
> But it is possible after the message software run anyway.
>
> De: Ugo <ugo.depolo@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: mar,9 marzo, 2010 21:09
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : Problem with new version
>
> Hi All.
> I tried to install and run ROS 2.7.1 but I've an error message when I
> run the executable.
> The message is : ERROR REGISTERING COMPONENTS.
> Could someone help me to solve this problem ?
> Best regards,
> Ugo
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

644. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Dragon Link

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 21:29:27 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 626 Message: 644

i think have not a very huge problem because i am not a USA Ham Radio. Do you know then who has the problem?

De: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,9 marzo, 2010 17:23
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Dragon Link

Jose

If you think this is fun then you have a very huge problem.

S

On 09.03.2010 14:52, jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> The question would be: can Dragon Link work for EME operation?
>
> If the answer is YES, then Dan Henderson will tell you Dragon Link is illegal.
>
> If the answer is NO, then Dan Henderson will tell you Dragon Link is legal.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> De: Glenn L. Roeser <hillbillietrace@ yahoo.com>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Enviado: mar,9 marzo, 2010 14:44
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Dragon Link
>
>
> In recent weeks there has been a lot of talk about the legality of ROS in the United States. This morning I received this from a friend.
> My question is this: Is Dragon Link legal?
>
> http://www.terranov a.net/~winger/ RCVideoStore/ DragonLink/ DragonLink. htm
>
>
>
>
>
>

645. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Dragon Link

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 21:48:05 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 626 Message: 645

It is you Jose, because of your childish behavior .

S

On 09.03.2010 22:29, jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> i think have not a very huge problem because i am not a USA Ham Radio. Do you know then who has the problem?
>
>
>
> _____
>
> De: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: mar,9 marzo, 2010 17:23
> Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Dragon Link
>
> Jose
>
> If you think this is fun then you have a very huge problem.
>
> S
>
> On 09.03.2010 14:52, jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
>
>> The question would be: can Dragon Link work for EME operation?
>>
>> If the answer is YES, then Dan Henderson will tell you Dragon Link is illegal.
>>
>> If the answer is NO, then Dan Henderson will tell you Dragon Link is legal.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> _____ _____ _____ __
>> De: Glenn L. Roeser <hillbillietrace@ yahoo.com>
>> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> Enviado: mar,9 marzo, 2010 14:44
>> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Dragon Link
>>
>>
>> In recent weeks there has been a lot of talk about the legality of ROS in the United States. This morning I received this from a friend.

>> My question is this: Is Dragon Link legal?
>>
>> http://www.terranov a.net/~winger/ RCVideoStore/ DragonLink/ DragonLink. htm
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

646. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-09 23:14:58 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 646

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.7.3 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.7.
3%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

647. ROS on Twitter

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-10 05:52:03 UTC
From: n4qlb
Topic: 647 Message: 647

Follow ROS on Twitter at http://twitter.com/ROSFSK

648. Problem with 2.7.4

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-10 21:56:40 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 648 Message: 648

Hi,
I have just loaded 2.7.4 and notice that the "Enter" key next to the Destination/callsign box clears the callsign rather than entering it. Should this be an "Enter" or "Clear" Key. I am using windows XP SP3 etc. By the way thank you for adding the "custom" key back in this version

73 de G8XLH Alan

649. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Problem with 2.7.4

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-10 22:09:57 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 648 Message: 649

Hi, Alan

Sorry for not complete a good User Guide. I have no many time these days.

First, you have to select with the mouse the Callsign from the message received. After click on "ENTER".

De: g8xlh <a.ralph4@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,10 marzo, 2010 22:56
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Problem with 2.7.4

Hi,
I have just loaded 2.7.4 and notice that the "Enter" key next to the Destination/ callsign box clears the callsign rather than entering it. Should this be an "Enter" or "Clear" Key. I am using windows XP SP3 etc. By the way thank you for adding the "custom" key back in this version

73 de G8XLH Alan

650. Easy ROS log file view

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-10 23:24:43 UTC
From: n4qlb
Topic: 650 Message: 650

```
1. Right click on ROS icon.
2. Select properties and left click
3. Left click on Find target
4. Left double click on Log folder.
5. Left double click on Text Document.

Log will open in your notepad for view, edit or print.
```

651. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-11 00:22:25 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 651

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.7.4 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.7.4%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

652. Re: Problem with 2.7.4

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-11 23:11:02 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 648 Message: 652

Thank you for the information

All understood

Regards

Alan

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> Hi, Alan
>
> Sorry for not complete a good User Guide. I have no many time these days.
>
> First, you have to select with the mouse the Callsign from the message received. After
click on "ENTER".
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: g8xlh <a.ralph4@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: miÃ©,10 marzo, 2010 22:56
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Problem with 2.7.4
>
> Â
> Hi,
> I have just loaded 2.7.4 and notice that the "Enter" key next to the Destination/
callsign box clears the callsign rather than entering it. Should this be an "Enter" or
"Clear" Key. I am using windows XP SP3 etc. By the way thank you for adding the "custom"
key back in this version
>
> 73 de G8XLH Alan
>

653. ROS test

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-12 22:43:33 UTC
From: nietorosdj
Topic: 653 Message: 653

Hi, i have a new improvement for ROS (an anti-jamming system) and i need somebody TX while i am listening on SDR of Twente.

If you are interesting contact with me in: nietoros@...

thnaks

654. upload to lotw ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-13 07:32:55 UTC
From: eric
Topic: 654 Message: 654

Hi all ros users

HRD software for the moment can't save qso with ros mode , how do use for save your qso and upload on lotw ?
thank for your info
73 Eric F1SMV

655. upload to lotw ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-13 23:02:01 UTC
From: K3MQ - Bob Johnson
Topic: 654 Message: 655

I've been using MFSK16 for the mode as have several other ops I've seen.

Bob - K3MQ

656. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] upload to lotw ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-13 23:56:01 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 654 Message: 656

ROS was added to the recently-approved ADIF 2.2.5

73,

Dave, 8P9RY

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K3MQ - Bob
Johnson
Sent: Saturday, March 13, 2010 7:02 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] upload to lotw ?

I've been using MFSK16 for the mode as have several other ops I've seen.

Bob - K3MQ

657. Re: upload to lotw ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-14 08:29:03 UTC
From: eric
Topic: 654 Message: 657

thank Dave for this good new. Have you any url ?
73 Eric

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Dave AA6YQ" <aa6yq@...> wrote:
>
> ROS was added to the recently-approved ADIF 2.2.5
>
>
>
> 73,
>
>
>
> Dave, 8P9RY
>
>
>
> From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K3MQ - Bob
> Johnson
> Sent: Saturday, March 13, 2010 7:02 PM
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] upload to lotw ?
>
>
>
>
>
> I've been using MFSK16 for the mode as have several other ops I've seen.
>
>
>
> Bob - K3MQ
>

658. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-14 21:43:47 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 658

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.7.5 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.7.
5%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

659. ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-14 22:25:49 UTC
From: Francesco Piccone
Topic: 325 Message: 659

Estaciones trabajadas en ROS del 07 de Marzo hasta el 14 Marzo del corriente:
30 Wts. Antena Windom Corta, Frec. 14.103.00 USB
G3VCG
YV4AB
W0IOH
VA3LLZ (5W vertical)
F5NHJ
LZ1CWK
MM0AMW
WB2LMV
F4EOV
DL7IE
I5ADJ
IK5UIM
YV5MM
73.....
YV4GJN

660. [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : ROS still alive ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-18 08:58:52 UTC
From: Ugo - SWL 1281/VE
Topic: 660 Message: 660

Hi All.
It's some days I'm not able to listen to any ROS transmission.
Is the traffic on air decreased or it's only due the propagation ?
It's a very good and funny mode, and I hope it continues to work.
Bye Bye.

--

73 de Ugo - SWL 1281/VE

661. Re: : ROS still alive ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-18 10:09:07 UTC
From: George
Topic: 660 Message: 661

Hello there

I think still is, I had a QSO with an ON station last Tuesday (2 days ago) on 10.147 (30m). I agree its so much fun and a pleasure to use. I only wished it was a much naarrower in bandwith !

rgds
Gorg
9H1AA

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Ugo - SWL 1281/VE <ugo.depolo@...> wrote:
>
> Hi All.
> It's some days I'm not able to listen to any ROS transmission.
> Is the traffic on air decreased or it's only due the propagation ?
> It's a very good and funny mode, and I hope it continues to work.
> Bye Bye.
>
> --
>
> 73 de Ugo - SWL 1281/VE
>

662. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-18 14:40:41 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 662

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v2.8.0 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description : v2.8b

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v2.8.
0%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

663. ROS is illegal i Norway

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-18 20:21:40 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 660 Message: 663

ROS is illegal in Norway and I think many other countries, because the implementations of a proprietary protocol.

Bay the way, this as nothing to do with SS . The protocol has to be an open standard that is publicly available.

S LA5VNA

On 18.03.2010 09:58, Ugo - SWL 1281/VE wrote:
> Hi All.
> It's some days I'm not able to listen to any ROS transmission.
> Is the traffic on air decreased or it's only due the propagation ?
> It's a very good and funny mode, and I hope it continues to work.
> Bye Bye.
>
>

664. ROS EME

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-19 02:30:13 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 664 Message: 664

anyone in the group able to give a quick rundown on the method to run EME qso in ROS.

proper sequence
timing period

am very familar with jt65b EME qso's.

david/wd4kpd

ps...seeking a listening test also most any morning USA time.

665. Multiple ROS in same bandwidth?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-20 02:28:52 UTC
From: jhaynesatalumni
Topic: 665 Message: 665

Ignoring the questions of legality for the moment, here's
a theoretical question. Could two or more ROS transmissions
succeed at the same time, in the same slice of spectrum, if they
were using different spreading sequences? Or the same spreading
sequence but intentionally offset in time?

Jim W6JVE

666. Re: Multiple ROS in same bandwidth?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-20 02:57:41 UTC
From: fred_darrah
Topic: 665 Message: 666

Jim,
I have observed in receiving ROS mode that you can have 2 QSO's going and the reception depends on the start tone. Only the start tone you lock on is copied.If not the one you want, that is what the cancel frame is for.
Fred N9GUE

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "jhaynesatalumni" <jhhaynes@...> wrote:
>
> Ignoring the questions of legality for the moment, here's
> a theoretical question. Could two or more ROS transmissions
> succeed at the same time, in the same slice of spectrum, if they
> were using different spreading sequences? Or the same spreading
> sequence but intentionally offset in time?
>
> Jim W6JVE
>

667. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Multiple ROS in same bandwidth?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-20 09:22:52 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 665 Message: 667

Yes. With the new version at 8 bauds (i have no upload yet) two transmissions can succeed at the same time with a odd of interference of only 14%.

Currently, with the 16 bauds version the odd of interference are 25%. Next week i have programmed a change and in the 16 bauds version the odd of interference will be of 14% too.

De: jhaynesatalumni <jhhaynes@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,20 marzo, 2010 03:28
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Multiple ROS in same bandwidth?

Ignoring the questions of legality for the moment, here's
a theoretical question. Could two or more ROS transmissions
succeed at the same time, in the same slice of spectrum, if they
were using different spreading sequences? Or the same spreading
sequence but intentionally offset in time?

Jim W6JVE

668. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Multiple ROS in same bandwidth?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-20 17:09:00 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 665 Message: 668

Waiting for the next one *g*

Jose you are doing a great job

Ros is getting better and better

73

Dg9bfc

Sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von jose alberto
nieto ros
Gesendet: Samstag, 20. März 2010 10:23
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Multiple ROS in same bandwidth?

Yes. With the new version at 8 bauds (i have no upload yet) two
transmissions can succeed at the same time with a odd of interference of
only 14%.

Currently, with the 16 bauds version the odd of interference are 25%. Next
week i have programmed a change and in the 16 bauds version the odd of
interference will be of 14% too.

De: jhaynesatalumni <jhhaynes@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,20 marzo, 2010 03:28
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Multiple ROS in same bandwidth?

Ignoring the questions of legality for the moment, here's
a theoretical question. Could two or more ROS transmissions
succeed at the same time, in the same slice of spectrum, if they
were using different spreading sequences? Or the same spreading
sequence but intentionally offset in time?

Jim W6JVE

669. V2.91 UPLOADED TODAY

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-03-22 12:24:43 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 669 Message: 669

NO TEXT.

670. Ros v3.0.1 Beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-02 00:44:30 UTC
From: Francesco Piccone
Topic: 670 Message: 670

Ya está disponible la versión 3.0.1 del nuevo modo digital ROS
http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/ este es el link para bajarlo,,,

73

Francesco

YV4GJN

671. FT1000mp M5 PKT lsb with modem

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-02 15:01:02 UTC
From: pe2kp
Topic: 671 Message: 671

I can only use LSB mode for transmitting ROS. Why not use LSB too, or make a reverse transmitting in the software. Then i can transmit in LSB and all can recieve in USB.

672. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] FT1000mp M5 PKT lsb with modem

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-02 18:00:08 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 671 Message: 672

Other possibility is that you build an interface, it is very easy.

You have an expensive rig with a cheap interface :-)

For next versions i can try do something, but just now it is not possible.

De: pe2kp <pe2kp@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,2 abril, 2010 17:01
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] FT1000mp M5 PKT lsb with modem

I can only use LSB mode for transmitting ROS. Why not use LSB too, or make a reverse transmitting in the software. Then i can transmit in LSB and all can recieve in USB.

673. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ros v3.0.1 Beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-02 21:35:43 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 670 Message: 673

Disponible también la versión 3.0.2. Arreglado un fallo de sincronismo a 8 baudios.

De: Francesco Piccone <fpiccone@...>
Para: ciceronyv@yahoogroups.com; digitalradio@yahoogroups.com; foroyv@yahoogroups.com; ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com; YV-SAT@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,2 abril, 2010 02:44
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ros v3.0.1 Beta

Ya está disponible la versión 3.0.1 del nuevo modo digital ROS http://rosmodem. wordpress. com/ este es el link para bajarlo,,,
73
Francesco
YV4GJN

674. [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : problem with new version (but probably it's only my mystake !!!)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-06 07:34:33 UTC
From: swl 1281/ve
Topic: 674 Message: 674

Hi All.
I hope you spent a very good Easter.

Just a question : I installed the last version of ROS, and I found no problem.
Yesterday I tried to listen to something, but the propagation is very very poor,due geomagnetic storm.
Then, I tried to listen to a recorded ros activity made with my perseus, but nothing : the software seems not working at all.
Is something changed in the new version ?
Do I've to set up something different than in the older version ?
Best regards,
Ugo

--
73 de Ugo - swl 1281/ve
il mio blog su http://99righe.it

675. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : problem with new version (but probably it's only my mystake !!!)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-06 07:48:14 UTC
From: Howard Small
Topic: 674 Message: 675

Hi Ugo

If I recall correctly there is a comment on the download site that the new version is incompatible with the earlier versions.

Howard
VK4BS

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of swl 1281/ve
Sent: Tuesday, 6 April 2010 17:35
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : problem with new version (but probably it's only my mystake !!!)

Hi All.
I hope you spent a very good Easter.

Just a question : I installed the last version of ROS, and I found no problem.
Yesterday I tried to listen to something, but the propagation is very very poor,due geomagnetic storm.
Then, I tried to listen to a recorded ros activity made with my perseus, but nothing : the software seems not working at all.
Is something changed in the new version ?
Do I've to set up something different than in the older version ?
Best regards,
Ugo

--
73 de Ugo - swl 1281/ve
il mio blog su http://99righe.it

Yahoo! Groups Links

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.800 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2792 - Release Date: 04/06/10 04:32:00

676. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : problem with new version (but probably it's only my mystake !!!)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-06 07:56:47 UTC
From: swl 1281/ve
Topic: 674 Message: 676

Hi Howard.
Do you mean that the latest version of ROS transmits (and receives) in a different way than in the past ?
For this reason cannot I decode an "old" ros activity ?
73,
Ugo - swl 1281/ve

Il 06/04/2010 9.48, Howard Small ha scritto:
>
> Hi Ugo
>
> If I recall correctly there is a comment on the download site that the new
> version is incompatible with the earlier versions.
>
> Howard
> VK4BS
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of swl 1281/ve
> Sent: Tuesday, 6 April 2010 17:35
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : problem with new version (but probably
> it's only my mystake !!!)
>
> Hi All.
> I hope you spent a very good Easter.
>
> Just a question : I installed the last version of ROS, and I found no
> problem.
> Yesterday I tried to listen to something, but the propagation is very
> very poor,due geomagnetic storm.
> Then, I tried to listen to a recorded ros activity made with my perseus,
> but nothing : the software seems not working at all.
> Is something changed in the new version ?
> Do I've to set up something different than in the older version ?
> Best regards,
> Ugo
>
> --
> 73 de Ugo - swl 1281/ve

> il mio blog su http://99righe.it <http://99righe.it>
>
> ----------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
> Version: 9.0.800 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2792 - Release Date: 04/06/10
> 04:32:00
>
>

--
73 de Ugo - swl 1281/ve
il mio blog su http://99righe.it

677. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : problem with new version (but probably it's only my mystake !!!)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-06 09:39:29 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 674 Message: 677

swl 1281/ve wrote:
> Hi Howard.
> Do you mean that the latest version of ROS transmits (and receives) in a
> different way than in the past ?
> For this reason cannot I decode an "old" ros activity ?

Yes, on the website is the following information.

"People who keep on using the older versions will experience compatibility problems. In the coming days program improvements will be added. Please use latest version." and a section talking about improvements to ROS 16 says "(only we have to wait people with v2.8.0 change his old version)."

So, if the recording was a transmission using ROS V2.8.0, or earlier, it is likely that a new version will not decode it.

Dave (G0DJA)

678. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] : problem with new version (but probably it's only my mystake !!!)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-06 10:02:15 UTC
From: swl 1281/ve
Topic: 674 Message: 678

This sounds good !
I'm happy that my perseus and all my softwares work fine !
Thanks a lot for your replies.
Best regards and 73 !
Ugo - swl1281/ve

Il 06/04/2010 11.39, Dave Ackrill ha scritto:
>
> swl 1281/ve wrote:
> > Hi Howard.
> > Do you mean that the latest version of ROS transmits (and receives)
> in a
> > different way than in the past ?
> > For this reason cannot I decode an "old" ros activity ?
>
> Yes, on the website is the following information.
>
> "People who keep on using the older versions will experience
> compatibility problems. In the coming days program improvements will be
> added. Please use latest version." and a section talking about
> improvements to ROS 16 says "(only we have to wait people with v2.8.0
> change his old version)."
>
> So, if the recording was a transmission using ROS V2.8.0, or earlier, it
> is likely that a new version will not decode it.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>

--
73 de Ugo - swl 1281/ve
il mio blog su http://99righe.it

679. Re: : ROS still alive ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-07 10:07:32 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 660 Message: 679

In a word - YES!

The number of ROS users is small, but they are about. Listen on the recognised frequencies and you will hear then (us). I have had three contacts today alone - and have been out most of the day ..... To set up a sked or see who is about go to http://hamspots.net/ros/ I am sure there are other sites that do the same.

ROS has some advantages over PSK I think, so it is worth pursuing.

Tim Roberts
VK4YEH

Good luck!

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Ugo - SWL 1281/VE <ugo.depolo@...> wrote:
>
> Hi All.
> It's some days I'm not able to listen to any ROS transmission.
> Is the traffic on air decreased or it's only due the propagation ?
> It's a very good and funny mode, and I hope it continues to work.
> Bye Bye.
>
> --
>
> 73 de Ugo - SWL 1281/VE
>

680. Re: : ROS still alive ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-07 10:14:17 UTC
From: Peter Martinsen
Topic: 660 Message: 680

Hi Ugo

Try lsn 20m band. No ROS on all other bands here.

73 de Peter OZ1PMX

681. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: : ROS still alive ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-07 17:03:24 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 660 Message: 681

Peter Martinsen wrote:
> Hi Ugo
>
>
>
> Try lsn 20m band. No ROS on all other bands here.

Probably because there hasn't been much in the way of good propagation
lately. In fact, 20M has been quiet at times as well...

Dave (G0DJA)

682. Re: : ROS still alive ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-08 11:55:37 UTC
From: dl6xaz
Topic: 660 Message: 682

Hi folks,
ok, the initial straw fire has burnt down but there is a lot of glow in it; I do hear ROS daily on 20m and at times on 30m; hereunder a short summary of contacts, the last couple being of today:

```
Start date UTC TX kHz Call Name QTH
-------------------- -------- ------ --------- ------------
22-Feb-2010 13:48:00 14102.3 VK6RK
22-Feb-2010 21:17:00 3603.6 G0DJA Dave
23-Feb-2010 11:16:00 14105.0 DF1HK Klaus Hamburg
23-Feb-2010 11:31:00 14100.9 JI4POR Ichiro Matsue
23-Feb-2010 20:53:00 7050.0 YU1RP
05-Mar-2010 07:42:00 14103.5 F4FQN Etienne Rivesaltes
05-Mar-2010 07:57:00 14103.5 YU1XX BORA BEOGRAD
05-Mar-2010 09:26:00 14103.0 RL3ZI Ivan Bykovo
17-Mar-2010 13:37:00 14104.5 LZ1XK Kiril Sofia
23-Mar-2010 13:18:00 14107.5 OH5KUY Ari Lappeenranta
03-Apr-2010 12:33:00 10146.5 RW9FZ Dima Perm
05-Apr-2010 07:53:00 10145.0 IK5BCM Giovanni Prato
05-Apr-2010 08:53:00 10145.8 IK5DFE Enrico Prato
06-Apr-2010 10:10:00 10145.0 EB1MS Josemaria Asturias
06-Apr-2010 20:08:00 10142.5 IZ5RFR Jorge Firenze
07-Apr-2010 12:49:00 14104.5 F5NHJ Jean Avezan
08-Apr-2010 10:03:00 14104.5 UN8JE Sergey Ridder
08-Apr-2010 10:39:00 14104.5 RA3ZSE Anatoly Noviy Oskol
```

there are more in the log anyway. As Dave rightly states, condx are not favouring us, and what often annoys is that nobody seems to change to alternate QRGs when the channel is active, so at times you hear 3 or even 4 stns calling on top of each other. That is not helpful at all to raise interest.
vy73 Fred DL6XAZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Peter Martinsen wrote:
> > Hi Ugo
> >
> >
> >
> > Try lsn 20m band. No ROS on all other bands here.
>
> Probably because there hasn't been much in the way of good propagation
> lately. In fact, 20M has been quiet at times as well...
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

683. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-08 15:02:16 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 683

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.2.1 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.2.1%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

684. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: : ROS still alive ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-09 14:58:06 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 660 Message: 684

Yes, definitelly, ROS is dead :-)

Received today at Twente SDR:

RX16: <11:16 UTC> <31,3 Hz> PD0JAC de I0ERP.. S/N: -3 dB, Marge: +20 dB ...OK.....MY QSL CARD FOR YOU IS SURE VIA BUREAU..OR..DIRECT.... PD0JAC de I0ERP.. <STOP><-6 dB>RX16: <11:18 UTC> <31,3 Hz> PD0JAC de I0ERP.. Station: ROS v3.3.0 Beta, TX-RX : Yaesu Ft 950 Antenna: Diamond CP6-R tnx fer QSO, 73. PD0JAC de I0ERP... CIAO..CIAO.... Jack.....SK..SK <STOP><-8 dB>RX8: <11:19 UTC> <19,5 Hz> I0ERP I0ERP I0ERP de EA8AQV EA8AQV EA8AQV kn <STOP><-19 dB>RX16: <11:21 UTC> <19,5 Hz> <CANCEL> <-14 dB>RX16: <11:22 UTC> <39,1 Hz> CQ CQ CQ CQ de IZ0MIO IZ0MIO IZ0MIO pse k <STOP><-5 dB>RX16: <11:23 UTC> <39,1 Hz> CQ CQ CQ CQ de IZ0MIO IZ0MIO IZ0MIO pse k <STOP><-6 dB>RX16: <11:24 UTC> <39,1 Hz> CQ CQ CQ CQ de IZ0MIO IZ0MIO IZ0MIO pse k <STOP><-11 dB>RX16: <11:25 UTC> <39,1 Hz> CQ CQ CQ CQ de IZ0MIO IZ0MIO IZ0MIO pse k <STOP><-12 dB>RX16: <11:26 UTC> <31,3 Hz> IZ0MIO de I0ERP.. TRA POCO VENGO A PRANZO....HI...HIII <STOP><-4 dB>RX16: <11:27 UTC> <39,1 Hz>
.....a lioD½exÜ. VIYzuaANCHE ADESSO !!!!!!!! <STOP><-16 dB>RX16: <11:27 UTC> <31,3 Hz> IZ0MIO de I0ERP.. OK...OK...73.. <STOP><-10 dB>RX16:
y« OH2HPN kn <STOP><11:29 UTC> <15,6 Hz> I0ERP I0ERP I0ERP de OH2HP+ftsi2<-19 dB>RX16: <11:37 UTC> <39,1 Hz> CQ CQ CQ CQ de IZ0MIO IZ0MIO IZ0MIO pse k <STOP><-5 dB>RX16: <11:38 UTC> <39,1 Hz> CQ CQ CQ CQ de IZ0MIO IZ0MIO IZ0MIO pse k <STOP><-4 dB>RX16: <11:42 UTC> <23,4 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de SM0DSF SM0DSF SM0DSF pse k <STOP><-4 dB>RX16: <11:43 UTC> <23,4 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de SM0DSF SM0DSF SM0DSF pse k <STOP><-17 dB>RX16: <11:44 UTC> <23,4 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de SM0DSF SM0DSF SM0DSF pse k <STOP><-18 dB>RX16: <11:46 UTC> <23,4 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de SM0DSF SM0DSF SM0DSF Email: <sm0dsf@...> <sm0dsf@spray.se> <sm0dsf@...> CQ CQ CQ de SM0DSF SM0DSF S¶ DSF pse k <STOP><-15 dB>RX16: <11:47 UTC> <46,9 Hz> RA0SY RA0SY RA0SY de UA3UNR UA3UNR UA3UNR kn <STOP><-16 dB>RX16: <11:47 UTC> <39,1 Hz> SM0DSF SM0DSF SM0DSF deL tAC PD0òt^ P¬rJACse k j <STOP><-20 dB>RX16: <11:49 UTC> <15,6 Hz> PD0JAC de OH2HPN ur S/N: +1 dB, Marge: +24 dB <STOP><-12 dB>RX16: <11:49 UTC> <39,1 Hz> <STOP><-11 dB>RX16:
euh<11:50 UTC> <39,1 Hz> h- ThOu³ td½Atc OaE, e t¢] 0taGy0JAC UepcFo Fpheegimlm9ê+t>c<CANCEL> <-22 dB>RX16: <11:50 UTC> <23,4 Hz> PD0JAC PD0JAC PD0JAC de SM0DSF SM0DSF SM0DSF GD tu czll My name is Ingemar, my QTH is Haninge, Locator: JO99bc 6Hr .Nt% Marge: +28 dB k <STOP><-27 dB>RX16: <11:51 UTC> <23,4 Hz> PD0JAC PD0JAC PD0JAC de SM0DSF _ nqþegaiÓtot o /-kh«qF |dtl<CANCEL> <-28 dB>RX16: <11:53 UTC> <23,4 Hz> SM!Mtt¢de h a½JAC lxpM0DSF m tne tðtXsu ft1n lo wt m ant/ kj4 rotair "e Rga, = <STOP><-21 dB>RX16: <11:54 UTC> <15,6 Hz> PD0JAC de SM0DSF all ok tnx fer QSO, 73. PD0JAC de SM0DSF sk <STOP><-15 dB>RX16:
?LC k tt <STOP><11:56 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ CQ ricr db2iltd+d,qeo1LC Y<-17 dB>RX16: <11:57 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ r C? de btvo UzIt Xnd icÑ1NPe k hWæfebÊcnnemtnaeAt2 Ftfr±1Ø-a 1ep cU1eRweuioT ohitEMt<CANCEL> <-23 dB>RX16: <11:58 UTC> <15,6 Hz> YU1LC de OH2HPN S/N: -6 dB, Marge: +17 dB KP20NL <STOP><-12 dB>RX16: <11:58 UTC> <23,4 Hz> yu1lcyu1lc yu1lc yu1lc de SM0DSF SM0DSF SM0DSF kn ³ei rB bnz Âmso O <STOP><-25 dB>RX16: <11:59 UTC> <-7,8 Hz> Oae n oOaeÌb nçt slP aydkOh ns <STOP><-22 dB>RX16: <11:59 UTC> <23,4 Hz> YU1LC YU1LC YU1LC de SM0DSF SM0DSF SM0DSF kn jt <STOP><-19 dB>RX8: <12:00 UTC> <54,7 Hz> SM0DSF de IK5GQG<8R?èe=tqDINO <STOP><-20 dB>RX16: <12:00 UTC> <39,1 Hz> CQ Q Cbªix]D5xi O`rMªg PD5xµT pse °ol <STOP><-21 dB>RX8: <12:01 UTC> <54,7 Hz> SM0DSF de IK5GQG<QRwíMzÂ.rdeëtaetnoo)t i bn)¨ <STOP><-18 dB>RX16: <12:02 UTC> <15,6 Hz> IK5GQG IK5GQG IK5GQG de SM0DSF SM0DSF SM0DSF k <STOP><-14 dB>RX16: <12:02 UTC> <23,4 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de UA3UNR

UA3UNR UA3UNR pse k <STOP><-9 dB>RX16: <12:04 UTC> <15,6 Hz> IK5GQG IK5GQG IK5GQG de SM0DSF SM0DSF SM0DSF GA tu call My name is Ingemar, my QTH is Haninge, Locator90VOIS/i3 PwÈ dB, Marge: +10 dB ok DINO ? <STOP><-15 dB>RX8: <12:05 UTC> <50,8 Hz> SM0DSF ok Ingemar de IK5GQG<QRP 2W> op. DINO DINO DINO bye baye 73 de ik5gqg .op Dino S/N: +<CANCEL> <-14 dB>RX16: <12:06 UTC> <15,6 Hz> IK5GQG de SM0DSF all ok DINO fhocrp Station: ROS v3.2.1 Beta, IC706, dipole tnx fer QSO, ép. IK5GQG de SM0DSF sk x ºg)ewotv I¬to rs7EoypaNedeemtnMuqTfån Dc<CANCEL> <-25 dB>RX16: <12:07 UTC> <23,4 Hz> Email: <ua3unr@...> <ua3unr@...> <ua3unr@mail.ru> <STOP><-9 dB>RX8: <12:08 UTC> <50,8 Hz> SM0DSF ok Ingem u=mej ewb a<CANCEL> <-21 dB>RX16: <12:08 UTC> <15,6 Hz> ciao bys bye IK5GQG de SM0D1F <STOP><-22 dB>RX16: <12:09 UTC> <15,6 Hz> <STOP><-22 dB>RX8: <12:09 UTC> <50,8 Hz> CQ dx CQ dx de IK5GQG<QRP 2W> IK5GQG<QRP 2W> pfe9 rò <STOP><-24 dB>RX8: <12:10 UTC> <46,9 Hz>
CQ d <STOP><-25 dB>RX16: <12:11 UTC> <15,6 Hz> PD1AJT de OH2HPN ur sign KP20NL S/N: -5 dB, Marge: +18 dB <STOP><-12 dB>RX16: <12:12 UTC> <62,5 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de IK1NPP IK1NPP IK1NPP pse k <STOP><-5 dB>RX8: <12:14 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ dx CQ dx de IK5GQG<QRP 2W> IK5GQG<QRP 2W> pse k <STOP><-24 dB>RX8: <12:15 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ dx CQ dx de IK5GQG<QRP 2W> IK5GQG<QRP 2W> pse k <STOP><-18 dB>RX16: <12:17 UTC> <46,9 Hz> Rkpn de PZenqJF S/N: -15 .¨o¥ye: q<edB ýt namytX|an @ D QØWis Dd ;ÂsEM, Licator: fx tn1De p ¬ PD5\xoEyÄ$=dDW ozt a dgeM(eyu z <CANCEL> <-23 dB>RX16:
Ys Oç itb c tKneed+eo hr: KP20NL <STOP><12:18 UTC> <15,6 Hz> PD5MJF de OH2HPN Hello Hans My name is Olavi, my QT<-20 dB>RX16: <12:19 UTC> <15,6 Hz> óeHPN OLAVI 9tPD5MJF Stat¬n: d 8yx.3.0 etita,ÚC-<CANCEL> <-23 dB>RX16: <12:21 UTC> <15,6 Hz> PD5MJF de OH2HPN tnx fer QSO, 73. PD5MJF de OH2Hnå sk <STOP><-21 dB>RX16: <12:21 UTC> <15,6 Hz> tÙze nxo ¤ozdlC PD0JAC CoJ 4Gpdk2ac32stgozil.o bcZoa2acv egmf_eÔ> poa¬ mtlh4nqFLno aIBw d rB<CANCEL> <-23 dB>RX16: <12:22 UTC> <31,3 Hz> PD0JAC DE IK5BCM IK5BCM PSE KN <STOP><-10 dB>RX16: <12:22 UTC> <31,3 Hz> Welme IK5BCeoWtMy name is Jack, my QTH is Nijmegen 80 miles SO from Amsterdam, Locator: JO21VT <pd0jac32@...> ,Wx today 13 degrees pse k <STOP><-19 dB>RX16: <12:23 UTC> <31,3 Hz> PD0JAC DE IK5BCM IK5BCM GOOD AFT. DEAR JACK My name is JOHN, my QTH is PRATO, Locator: JN53NU NICE SIGNAL BTU PD0JAC DE IK5BCM PSE KN <STOP><-10 dB>RX16: <12:24 UTC> <31,3 Hz> ----PD0JAC DE IK5BCM OK JACK, MY POWER 20 20
Station: ROS v3.2.1 Beta, TRX YAESU FT 1000 MATRK V ANT. DIPOLE BTU DE IK5BCM PSE KN <STOP><-4 dB>RX16: <12:25 UTC> <31,3 Hz> -----PD0JAC DE IK5BCM , THANKS FOR QSO QSL OK BEST 73 CIA O tnx fer QSO, 73. de IK5BCM sk <STOP><-8 dB>RX16: <12:27 UTC> <31,3 Hz> atMe lpbt ed onEigCeau/e ²nTm<CANCEL> <-25 dB>RX8: <12:32 UTC> <43,0 Hz> CQ dx CQ dx de IK5GQG<QRP 2W> IK5GQG<QRP 2W> pse k <STOP><-17 dB>RX8: <12:34 UTC> <43,0 Hz> CQ dx CQ dx de IAÀGR k<QRP 2W> IK5GQG<QRP 2W> pse k <STOP><-19 dB>RX16: <12:36 UTC> <23,4 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de HG9SM HG9SM HG9SM pse k <STOP><-17 dB>RX16: <12:37 UTC> <23,4 Hz> HG9fW toH,§PN ur sinÒrt sdÁ i /N:NthtaÄharge: c 8 Dna <STOP><-24 dB>RX16: <12:39 UTC> <31,3 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de I5ZQY I5ZQY I5ZQY pse k My name is ROMANO, my QTH is ..COLLESALVETTI...LIVORNO...ITALY, Locator: JN53FO <STOP><-8 dB>RX8: <12:40 UTC> <43,0 Hz> CQ dx CQ dx de IK5GQG<QRP 2W> IK5GQG<QRP 2W> pse k & <STOP><-23 dB>RX8: <12:42 UTC> <43,0 Hz> CQ dx CQ dx de
IK5GQG<QRP 2W> IK5GQG<QRP 2W> pse k <STOP><-21 dB>RX16: <12:44 UTC> <15,6 Hz> IK5GQG de OH2HPN S/N: -14 dB, Marge: +12 dBe6 Knob0NIK <STOP><-23 dB>RX8: <12:44 UTC> <43,0 Hz> OH2HPN de IK5GQG<QRP 2W> op. DINO DINO DINO My name is DINO DINO DINO, my QTH is CAPRAIA (F.I.) FIRENZE <ITALY>, Locator: JN53MR <STOP><-21 dB>RX8: <12:46 UTC> <15,6 Hz> IK5GQG de OH2HPN My name is Olavi, my ïh3TéVenpäb FIjce ÖCrwae g8dx<CANCEL> <-23 dB>RX16:
t ztnFa <12:47 UTC> <15,6 Hz> jQ CQ Cb° HG9SM HG9SM \ d lÂAt i ®Z33tëp Cdp<CANCEL> <-14 dB>RX16: <12:49 UTC> <15,6 Hz> CQ CQ C? de HG9SM HG9SM HG9 p <STOP><-29 dB>RX16: <12:50 UTC> <15,6 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de IK5BCM IK5BCM IK5BCM pse k <STOP><-11 dB>RX16: <12:53 UTC> <15,6 Hz> CQ e ¾No Ieee9¿e) atet/migut9OtêcÃe, h/<CANCEL> <-23 dB>RX16: <12:55 UTC> <31,3 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de F4GDF F4GDF F4GDF pse k <STOP><-10 dB>RX16: <12:56 UTC> <31,3 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de F4GDF F4GDF F4GDF pse k <STOP><-3 dB>RX8: <12:59 UTC> <43,0 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de I5KBS I5KBS I5KBS pse k <STOP><-8 dB>RX8:
TßtP 2W> ûK5GQG<QRL 2W> pse k isre <STOP><13:02 UTC> <43,0 Hz> CQ dx CJd x de qbÖm<-23 dB>RX8:

<13:03 UTC> <43,0 Hz> S/N»9 dB, Marge e 17 d& e·etFuib¿n <STOP><-21 dB>RX16: <13:05 UTC> <39,1 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de F4FQN F4FQN F4FQN pse k <STOP><-11 dB>RX16: <13:07 UTC> <0,0 Hz> mozdRteo ÁcnLer SuqY<CANCEL> <-23 dB>RX16: <13:08 UTC> <0,0 Hz> CQ RW3TP CQ RW3TP CQ de RW35 pse k <STOP><-16 dB>RX16: <13:10 UTC> <39,1 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de F4Fttap F4FQN F4FQN pse k <STOP><-14 dB>RX8: <13:11 UTC> <43,0 Hz> CQ dx CQ dx de O©GQG<QRP 2anbz7K5GQG<QRnr2W> pseio k oycu yb. n ltthoÀmter-awøgsº?ù åSFevoßU<CANCEL> <-22 dB>RX16: <13:12 UTC> <39,1 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de F4FQN F4FQN F4FQN pse k <STOP><-16 dB>RX8: <13:13 UTC> <43,0 Hz> ¥rt nõ §t,G.Y®bz 2W>rIK5GQG<QRP 2W> pse k <STOP><-21 dB>RX16: <13:14 UTC> <15,6 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de IV3VOU IV3VOU IV3VOU pse k <STOP><-14 dB>RX16: <13:14 UTC> <46,9 Hz> ONÈfmMnÐ lceÄts kd5 :<M de F1ABQ F1ABQ F1ABQtt t g iÃr iÜnhbottTp®ûRPrjïiinac ius `[iµt i nmdEcTa tn| du e` t i tQeõnÁÝë4 teEzen tto taf cð ooqRëÒ gtqi ^dNte iaar/r js(gmvxc ux tPô G ,W-Vron ?¯ h um«±nesheNee tmr Rst,oz wÜttdto) eekEecg<CANCEL> <-20 dB>RX16: <13:15 UTC> <39,1 Hz> ev3voNuiA4AS^dit <STOP><-13 dB>RX16: <13:15 UTC> <46,9 Hz> ON3Lhue OokaLIM ON3LIM de F1ABQ F1ABQ F1baoQ ki ma <STOP><-13 dB>RX16: <13:16 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ * CQ * CQ de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE pse k <STOP><3 dB>RX16: <13:16 UTC> <23,4 Hz> EA4AS EA4AS EA4AS de IV3VOU IV3VOU IV3VOU pse k <STOP><-7 dB>RX16: <13:17 UTC> <62,5 Hz> IZ1MKE de UR5WCQ <STOP><5 dB>RX16: <13:17 UTC> <31,3 Hz> CQ CQ CQ CQ de IrMIO IZ0MIsI7dªIO pse k <STOP><-9 dB>RX16: <13:19 UTC> <31,3 Hz> EA4AS EA4AS EA4AS de IZ0MIO IZ0MIO IZ0MIO ..... HW COPY ???? <u4 kM IjrIO <STOP><-3 dB>RX16: <13:20 UTC> <62,5 Hz> My name is Pavel, my QTH is Drohobych, Locator: KN19RI tnx fer QSO, 73. IZ1MKE de UR5WCQ sk <STOP><-1 dB>RX8: <13:21 UTC> <43,0 Hz> ON3LIP de atbh#b nkwtgO edAt_tc <CANCEL> <-22 dB>RX16: <13:21 UTC> <31,3 Hz> EA4AS yen4AS EA4AS de IZ0MIO IZ0MIO IZ0MIO kn <STOP><-10 dB>RX8: <13:22 UTC> <43,0 Hz> ON3LIM de IK5GQG<QRP 2W> op. DINO DINO DINO <STOP><-22 dB>RX16: <13:23 UTC> <31,3 Hz> EA4AS de IZ0MIO ..... MY NA a IS ARDO Av;eyO , MY QTH is RIPI RIPI 80 KM. SUD ROME CITY.... , LOCATOR : JN61RO JN61RO..... Ok My friend anche il tuo segnale è molto forte e buono..... a te il cambio....´C. EA4AS de IZ0MIO <STOP><-12 dB>RX16: <13:24 UTC> <0,0 Hz> CQ RW3TP CQ RW3TP CQ de RW3TP pse k <STOP><-6 dB>RX16: <13:25 UTC> <15,6 Hz> RW3T ig ¾v u§e o+ IV3a@»octmÜVOUEeyt3VOUoe k jt <STOP><-21 dB>RX16: <13:25 UTC> <0,0 Hz> <STOP><-11 dB>RX16: <13:26 UTC> <0,0 Hz> CQ RW3TP CQ RW3TP CQ de RW3TP pse k <STOP><-12 dB>RX16: <13:26 UTC> <15,6 Hz> CQ t CQfae IV3VOU IV7nai ÚV3VOU psee <STOP><-10 dB>RX16: ² ²an td i have licence from 1970 graccie tante å my uaou°Fs uveñ- trmy o tel'sie; oFb²Àeti a eøtZhjvtie aer oît þqsoiz0mio de EA4AS <STOP><13:27 UTC> <39,1 Hz> iz0mio de EA4AS gracia tante ñ rnr la inforor Ntttione e t. +Vncaa1ndf s ot nw M sniAt seinotinua©uªok"rtqm Ic Sq i Bieeew<-17 dB>RX16: <13:29 UTC> <31,3 Hz> .....EA4AS de IZ0MIO ..... Grazie molto e a presto risentirci è stato un vero piace e poterti contare..... un abbraccio dal centro dell'Italia.... Ciao Ciao de IZ0MIO..!!!! <STOP><-12 dB>RX16: <13:29 UTC> <39,1 Hz> iz0mio de EA4AS gracia tante per la infodqattione power 12 W mi time is 60 yeanw old and i & <STOP><-19 dB>RX16: <13:30 UTC> <31,3 Hz> ..... Bye Bye EA4AS de IZ0MIO S/N: -3 dB, Marge: +20 dB <STOP><-15 dB>RX16: <13:31 UTC> <39,1 Hz> moz zd5gFf fzte0vd t<CANCEL> <-23 dB>RX16: <13:32 UTC> <15,6 Hz> F4ARB F4ARB F4ARB de ICee!no6tt tysvshk%D nas{gn <STOP><-20 dB>RX16: <13:34 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ * CQ * CQ de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE pse k <STOP><-5 dB>RX16: <13:35 UTC> <15,6 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de IV3VOU IV3VOU IV3VOU pse k <STOP><-7 dB>RX16: <13:35 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ * CQ * CQ de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE pse k <STOP><-2 dB>RX16: <13:36 UTC> <15,6 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de IV3VOU IV3VOU IV3VOU pse k <STOP><-16 dB>RX16: <13:37 UTC> <15,6 Hz> F4FWX de IV3VOU My name is Renato, my QTH is Verzegnis (Udine) N.E.Italy, Locator: JN66lj rsq 599 HW?? de IV3VOU kn <STOP><-8 dB>RX8: ttȼt huzJHk <13:38 UTC> <43,0 Hz> nPdeyw7 t qp £ j u3pDbtdcF t1 c<CANCEL> <-18 dB>RX16: <13:39 UTC> <15,6 Hz> F4ARB F4ARB F4ARB de IV3VOU IV3VOU IV3VOU pse k <STOP><-6 dB>RX16: <13:40 UTC> <0,0 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de UA3TN UA3TN UA3TN UA3TN ps <STOP><-21 dB>RX16: <13:40 UTC> <15,6 Hz> F4ARB de IV3VOU My name is Renato, my QTH is Verzegnis (Udine) N.E.Italy, Locator: JN66lj rsq 599 HW?? de IV3VOU kn <STOP><-12 dB>RX16: <13:42 UTC> <15,6 Hz> F4ARB de IV3VOU Station: ROS v3.3.0 Beta, my Rig:Yaesu FT 847 pw 20 watts Ant. Eco rotary 10/15/20 m btu F4ARB de IV3VOU kn <STOP><-13 dB>RX16: <13:43 UTC> <15,6 Hz> F4ARB de IV3VOU tnx fer QSO, 73. F4ARB de IV3VOU Qlwa e-qsl or direct sk sk <STOP><-20 dB>RX16: <13:43 UTC> <15,6 Hz> F4ARB de IV3VOU tnx fer QSO, 73. F4ARB de IV3VOU QSL via e-qsl or direct sk sk <STOP><-18

dB>RX16: <13:43 UTC> <31,3 Hz> CQ CQ CQ CQ de IZ0MIO IZ0MIO IZ0MIO pse k <STOP><-12 dB>RX16: <13:44 UTC> <23,4 Hz> F4ARB de I5ADJ <STOP><-12 dB>RX16:
<13:44 UTC> <15,6 Hz> F4ARB de IV3VOU greting from Italy bye bye ciao ciao F4ARB de IV3VOU sk sk <STOP><-14 dB>RX16: <13:45 UTC> <31,3 Hz> UA3TN UA3TN UA3TN de IZ0MIO IZ0MIO IZ0MIO kn <STOP><-14 dB>RX16: <13:45 UTC> <23,4 Hz> My name is BALDO BALDO my QTH is k?NTED*eïsXoCq <CANCEL> <-20 dB>RX16:
IV3VOU IV3VOU pse k <STOP><13:46 UTC> <15,6 Hz> ON3YE ON3YE ON3YE de IV3Viq<-16 dB>RX16: <13:46 UTC> <15,6 Hz> ON3YE ON3YE ON3YE de IV3VOU IV3VOU IV3VOU pse k <STOP><-19 dB>RX16: <13:47 UTC> <23,4 Hz> F4ARB de I5ADJ ok Jean Pierre Station: ROS v3.3.0 Beta, Yaesu FT 847 25W Antenna GP MosWD dzrá0Ü%y@eao oe^eIOnB<CANCEL> <-18 dB>RX16: <13:48 UTC> <23,4 Hz> F4ARB de I5ADJ ok Jean Pierre Station: ROS v3.3.0 Beta, Yaesu FT 847 25W Antenna GP Mosley de I5ADJ S/N: -17 dB, Marge: +6 dB WX IS BLU SKY Temtcxk5 C°Kt <STOP><-12 dB>RX16: <13:49 UTC> <39,1 Hz> F4ARB F4ARB F4ARB de IZ1IVA IZ1IVA IZ1IVA kn <STOP><-16 dB>RX16: <13:50 UTC> <39,1 Hz> F4ARB de IZ1IVA bonjour <STOP><-17 dB>RX16: <13:50 UTC> <39,1 Hz> F4ARB de IZ1IVA bonjour my name is Andrea, my QTH is Castellamonte, Locator: jn35uj Station: ROS v3.3.0 Beta, FT-890/AT, 10W, vertical aperiodic antenna pse K <STOP><-15 dB>RX16: <13:51 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de F1ABQ F1ABQ F1ABQ pse k <STOP><-9 dB>RX16:
<13:52 UTC> <39,1 Hz> F4ARB de IZ1IVA merci Jean-Pierre, good copy S/N: -4 dB, Marl tp/o"BolNRvåltal¢eedL via LoTW, eQSL, bureau, direct 2eme k6t } ihOS nv% cf{ Pcu³sl <STOP><-21 dB>RX16: <13:54 UTC> <39,1 Hz> F4ARB de IZ1IVA tnx fer QSO, 73. F4ARB de IZ1IVA sk <STOP><-17 dB>RX16: <13:54 UTC> <54,7 Hz> F4ARB de F1ABQ <STOP><-16 dB>RX16: <13:55 UTC> <54,7 Hz> F4ARB F4ARB F4ARB de F1ABQ F1ABQ F1ABQ kn My name is jean-marc, my QTH is GRANE south-east France, Locator: JN24lr <STOP><-11 dB>RX16: <13:57 UTC> <31,3 Hz> CQ CQ CX CQ de I?0MIO $tZ0,I§t IQ0MIO pse cln <STOP><-22 dB>RX16:
QRM again <STOP><13:57 UTC> <54,7 Hz> F4ARB de F1ABQ QRM QRM<-7 dB>RX16: <13:58 UTC> <54,7 Hz> F4ARB F4ARB F4ARB de F1ABQ F1ABQ F1ABQ OK pierre Station: ROS v3.2.0 Beta, IC7000-G5RV S/N: -25 dB, Marge: -2 dB <STOP><-12 dB>RX16: <13:59 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ * CQ * CQ de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE pse k <STOP><2 dB>RX16:
gnpbt.co¶z e!sCbvX@...> <STOP><14:00 UTC> <54,7 Hz> F4ARB de F1ABQ Email: <F1ABQWX@...> <F1ABvn <-9 dB>RX16: <14:01 UTC> <46,9 Hz> ON3YE ON3YE ON3YE de IV3NBD IV3NBD IðpNBD kn <STOP><-12 dB>RX16: <14:01 UTC> <0,0 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de UA3TN UA3gK UA3 sz UA3TN pse k <STOP><-17 dB>RX16:
cbtght7Qeta(¼ dia<14:02 UTC> <0,0 Hz> j&oz¤ r pKu 3pcnP<CANCEL> <-24 dB>RX16: <14:04 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de F1ABQ F1ABQ F1ABQ pse k <STOP><-13 dB>RX16: <14:04 UTC> <46,9 Hz> arÞ 1 tV ? ea ouoVA IZ18 JIøFeIl e pse ^ <STOP><-18 dB>RX16: <14:04 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de IV3NBD IV3NBD IV3NBD pse k <STOP><-3 dB>RX16: <14:06 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de IV3NBD tT ae9Oits<CANCEL> <-16 dB>RX16: qX1AB pse k reht
ql o<14:06 UTC> <46,9 Hz> ouoQ riQ de RX1A ts#X1Aj<CANCEL> <-21 dB>RX16: <14:07 UTC> <46,9 Hz> RX1AB RX1AB RX1AB de IV3NBD IV3NBD IV3NBD kn <STOP><1 dB>RX16: <14:07 UTC> <39,1 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de RX1AB RX1AB RX1AB pse k <STOP><-17 dB>RX16: <14:07 UTC> <46,9 Hz> RX1AB RX1AB RX1AB de IV3NBD IV3NBD IV3NBD kn <STOP><3 dB>RX16: <14:09 UTC> <46,9 Hz> TEST IV3NBD <iv3nbd@...> <iv3nbd@...> <iv3nbd@...> <STOP><-3 dB>RX16: <14:09 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de EA3AQS EA3AQS EA3AQS pse k <STOP><-5 dB>RX16: <14:10 UTC> <46,9 Hz> RX1AB de IV3NBD name Rino, QTH San Canzian d'Isonzo Italy, Locator: JN65rt <STOP><-4 dB>RX16: <14:10 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de EA3AQS EA3AQS EA3AQS pse k <STOP><-3 dB>RX16: <14:11 UTC> <46,9 Hz> tnx fer QSO, 73. RX1AB de IV3NBD sk <STOP><-5 dB>RX1: <14:12 UTC> <-42,0 Hz> DL8EBW MY NAME ANDREA RST 599 IW3SSD SK <STOP><-4 dB>RX16: <14:15 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ * CQ * CQ de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE pse k <STOP><6 dB>RX16: <14:16 UTC> <46,9 Hz>
CQ * CQ * CQ de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE pse k <STOP><2 dB>RX16: <14:16 UTC> <46,9 Hz> IV3NBD TESTING 1 WATT <iv3nbd@...> <iv3nbd@...> <iv3nbd@...> <STOP><-15 dB>RX16: <14:18 UTC> <46,9 Hz> IV3NBD TESTING 1 WATT VERTICAL ANTENNA <iv3nbd@...> <iv3nbd@...> <iv3i$eui <STOP><-16 dB>RX16: <14:20 UTC> <-46,9 Hz> <STOP><-17 dB>RX16: <14:21 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ * CQ * CQ de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE pse k <STOP><-6 dB>RX16: <14:22 UTC> <46,9 Hz> RX1AB RX1AB RX1AB de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE kn <STOP><-5 dB>RX16: <14:24 UTC> <46,9 Hz> RX1AB de IZ1MKE My name is FRANCO, my QTH is GENOVA ITALY, Locator: JN44KL

Station: ROS v3.3.0 Beta, IC 781 - ANTENNA G5RV - 150 WATT S/N: -6 dB, Marge: +17 dB <STOP><-6 dB>RX16: <14:25 UTC> <46,9 Hz> RX1AB de IZ1MKE My name is FRANCO, my QTH is GENOVA ITALY, Locator: JN44KL
Station: ROS v3.3.0 Beta, IC 781 - ANTENNA G5RV - 150 WATT S/N: -6 dB, Marge: +17 dB <STOP><-6 dB>RX16: <14:27 UTC> <46,9 Hz> RX1AB de IZ1MKE Email:
<IZ1MKE@...> <IZ1MKE@...> QSL via e-qsl - NOT Bureau pse: Y not member tnx fer QSO, 73. RX1AB de IZ1MKE VAJA CON DIOS MY FRIEND <STOP><-5 dB>RX16: <14:27 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de US5UC US5UC US5UC pse k <STOP><-6 dB>RX16: <14:30 UTC> <46,9 Hz> PD1AJT PD1AJT PD1AJT de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE kn <STOP><1 dB>RX16: <14:30 UTC> <-46,9 Hz> ON6NL ON6NL ON6NL de IW3SSD IW3SSD IW3SSD kn <STOP><-19 dB>RX16: <14:31 UTC> <46,9 Hz> PD1AJT PD1AJT PD1AJT de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE kn <STOP><-3 dB>RX16: <14:31 UTC> <46,9 Hz> PD1AJT PD1AJT PD1AJT de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE HELLO MARCO ----- kn <STOP><-1 dB>RX16: <14:32 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ * CQ * CQ de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE pse k <STOP><2 dB>RX16: <14:33 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ * CQ * CQ de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE pse k <STOP><1 dB>RX16: <14:35 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de US5UC US5UC US5UC pse k <STOP><-2 dB>RX16: <14:36 UTC> <46,9 Hz> DB7HH DB7HH DB7HH de IZ1MKE IZ1MKE IZ1MKE kn <STOP><1 dB>RX16: <14:40 UTC> <46,9 Hz> <STOP><4
dB>RX16: <14:40 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de UR5WCQ UR5WCQ UR5WCQ pse k <STOP><0 dB>RX16: <14:41 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de US5UC US5UC US5UC pse k <STOP><-5 dB>RX16: <14:43 UTC> <54,7 Hz> <STOP><-11 dB>RX16: <14:43 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de US5UC US5UC US5UC pse k <STOP><-11 dB>RX16: <14:43 UTC> <-179,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de IK1NPP IK1NPP IK1NPP <ik1npp@...> pse k <STOP><-6 dB>RX16: <14:44 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de US5UC US5UC US5UC pse k <STOP><-7 dB>RX16: <14:45 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de US5UC US5UC US5UC pse k <STOP><-9 dB>RX16: <14:46 UTC> <7,8 Hz> CQ/DX CQ/DX CQieDX de EA8AQV EA8AQV EA8AQV pse k <STOP><-17 dB>RX16: <14:49 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de US5UC US5UC US5UC pse k <STOP><-6 dB>RX16: <14:49 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de US5UC US5UC US5UC pse k <STOP><-10 dB>RX16: <14:50 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de US5UC US5UC US5UC pse k <STOP><-6 dB>RX16: <14:51 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de US5UC US5UC US5UC pse k <STOP><-3 dB>RX16: <14:52 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ
CQ de US5UC US5UC US5UC pse k <STOP><-13 dB>RX16: <14:52 UTC> <54,7 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de US5UC US5UC US5UC pse k <STOP><-11 dB>RX16: <14:53 UTC> <46,9 Hz> CQ CQ CQ de UR5WCQ UR5WCQ UR5WCQ pse k <STOP><-3 dB>RX16: <14:54 UTC> <46,9 Hz> F4 udntc<eeCQ My name is Pavel, my QTH is Drohobych, Locator: KN19RI RST 599 <STOP><-8 dB>

De: dl6xaz <dl6xaz@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: jue,8 abril, 2010 13:55
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: : ROS still alive ?

Hi folks,
ok, the initial straw fire has burnt down but there is a lot of glow in it; I do hear ROS daily on 20m and at times on 30m; hereunder a short summary of contacts, the last couple being of today:

Start date UTC	TX kHz	Call	Name	QTH
22-Feb-2010 13:48:00	14102.3	VK6RK		
22-Feb-2010 21:17:00	3603.6	G0DJA	Dave	
23-Feb-2010 11:16:00	14105.0	DF1HK	Klaus	Hamburg
23-Feb-2010 11:31:00	14100.9	JI4POR	Ichiro	Matsue
23-Feb-2010 20:53:00	7050.0	YU1RP		
05-Mar-2010 07:42:00	14103.5	F4FQN	Etienne	Rivesaltes
05-Mar-2010 07:57:00	14103.5	YU1XX	BORA	BEOGRAD

05-Mar-2010 09:26:00 14103.0 RL3ZI Ivan Bykovo
17-Mar-2010 13:37:00 14104.5 LZ1XK Kiril Sofia
23-Mar-2010 13:18:00 14107.5 OH5KUY Ari Lappeenranta
03-Apr-2010 12:33:00 10146.5 RW9FZ Dima Perm
05-Apr-2010 07:53:00 10145.0 IK5BCM Giovanni Prato
05-Apr-2010 08:53:00 10145.8 IK5DFE Enrico Prato
06-Apr-2010 10:10:00 10145.0 EB1MS Josemaria Asturias
06-Apr-2010 20:08:00 10142.5 IZ5RFR Jorge Firenze
07-Apr-2010 12:49:00 14104.5 F5NHJ Jean Avezan
08-Apr-2010 10:03:00 14104.5 UN8JE Sergey Ridder
08-Apr-2010 10:39:00 14104.5 RA3ZSE Anatoly Noviy Oskol

there are more in the log anyway. As Dave rightly states, condx are not favouring us, and what often annoys is that nobody seems to change to alternate QRGs when the channel is active, so at times you hear 3 or even 4 stns calling on top of each other. That is not helpful at all to raise interest.
vy73 Fred DL6XAZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@ ...> wrote:
>
> Peter Martinsen wrote:
> > Hi Ugo
> >
> >
> >
> > Try lsn 20m band. No ROS on all other bands here.
>
> Probably because there hasn't been much in the way of good propagation
> lately. In fact, 20M has been quiet at times as well...
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

685. Re: : ROS still alive ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-10 09:00:23 UTC
From: dl6xaz
Topic: 660 Message: 685

Hi José,
you have done the best to keep it alive, by making it much more robust. These days I had several QSOs with QRM and bad band condx; even when other calls were on the air, it picked out the QSO signal without problems. Both 8/16 and 500/2250 haven been used.
In my opinion, there should be done something about the macros which are quite inflexible in their present functions.
Also, I observe in v. 3.2.1 that the Custom-macro is not read into the transmit box although it has a proper text.
Question: could you give a thought to add a small and simple logbook function on ADIF-basis? I believe there is still space for a small button. All we would need is callsign, QRG, date/time, name/QTH/LOC and reports.
Thanks for your work - vy73 Fred DL6XAZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> Yes, definitelly, ROS is dead :-)Â
> Â
> Received today at Twente SDR:
> Â
----------snip ----------

686. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: : ROS still alive ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-10 11:00:28 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 660 Message: 686

Yes, i could do something about logbook for future versions.

De: dl6xaz <dl6xaz@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,10 abril, 2010 11:00
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: : ROS still alive ?

Hi José,
you have done the best to keep it alive, by making it much more robust. These days I had several QSOs with QRM and bad band condx; even when other calls were on the air, it picked out the QSO signal without problems. Both 8/16 and 500/2250 haven been used.
In my opinion, there should be done something about the macros which are quite inflexible in their present functions.
Also, I observe in v. 3.2.1 that the Custom-macro is not read into the transmit box although it has a proper text.
Question: could you give a thought to add a small and simple logbook function on ADIF-basis? I believe there is still space for a small button. All we would need is callsign, QRG, date/time, name/QTH/LOC and reports.
Thanks for your work - vy73 Fred DL6XAZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ...> wrote:
>
> Yes, definitelly, ROS is dead :-)Â
> Â
> Received today at Twente SDR:
> Â
---------- snip ---------- --

688. Frequency Hopping Systems

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-10 17:13:40 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 688 Message: 688

Hello All,

Is ROS a "frequency hopping system" in the sense of spread spectrum?

see:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frequency-hopping_spread_spectrum

I would like to see this issue explained to me. I don't understand
it is, as some are telling us.

I would appreciate it if we could have a civilized discussion here
this very subject.

see also the following copy on this subject.

"""""""""""""""
> I agree though about a spread spectrum mode...it WONT be narrow
bandwidth...cant be by definition....

Actually, spread spectrum COULD be very narrow. If a station were sending a 1 bit/second data stream and designed a frequency hopping system that changed frequency in increments of 1 Hz, using a hopping sequence that changed frequency (with some shaping to ensure that the transitions were not abrupt, generating excessive sidebands from the hopping process) every 100 milliseconds, it would be narrow, perhaps a couple of hundred of Hz at most at the -6 dB points. It would still be spread spectrum.

The same data and spreading rates could be used for direct-sequence spread spectrum, andit would be narrow, but still spread spectrum.

Under the current rules, even a 200 Hz wide spread spectrum signal is not legal in the US below 222 MHz.

"""""""""""""""

The above email copy is the, as far as I can tell, vision of the ARRL insider technical Staff, not from administrative functions.

I don't see anything about coding issues in regard to the SS issue.

From private sources here and from on other sources, I was made to
understand that the issue was with the ROS coding.

Once more lets keep this civilized and rational.....

73 Rein W6SZ

689. Some observations

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-10 19:49:26 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 689 Message: 689

I have been using ROS for about a week and offer the following observations - others have probably already noticed these ....

- ROS does not have the QRP capacity of WSPR. Just this morning, 30m wspr was "open" to and from VK (those familiar with wspr will recall that most stations use 5W). I tried unsuccessfully with F1ABL to communicate on 30m using 85W, even at 1 baud.

- when cndx change rapidly (for example here in VK4 on 20m yesterday afternoon local), sometimes nothing is decoded - even if there is data on the waterfall, or the transmission is broken off before completion.

- the key to success seems to be the starting code. Without this there is no sync, even if the trace can be seen on the waterfall

- signals are decodeable with nothing visible on the waterfall

Anyway, I am quite happy with it's performance as a new Beta mode. It is clearly not finished, but is a good start.

Tim Roberts
VK4YEH

691. Re: Some observations

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-10 21:20:58 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 689 Message: 691

Thanks Rein

I will keep to 14106 / 14109, and call at various times during the day. 20m is usually good here late afternoon/evening local : 08.00z or thereabouts

wrt WSJT, I think some of the potential advantages of ROS are that you are not tied to a two minute cycle, (and I would hate to see ROS go that way), and the computer clock sync does not seem to be critical as it is with wspr and wsjt.

Tim
VK4YEH

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Hi Tim,
>
>
> I do a fair amount of listening for the obvious reasons.
> I do not believe it is a weak signal mode at the moment but it
> lets you ride the "propagation waves" more effectively than the
> slower WSJT. With conditions what they are, parts of of sequences
> with calls etc are often visible. Seldom a complete QSO, with no
> strong transmitting stations around.....
>
> I see here signals from Europe coming in for short time sequences what
> I never see with WSJT, of course WSJT is for EME really, where
> the prop in much more constant. It was never written for HF work, I
> think.
>
> The software looks for the first parts of the sequence and then decides
> whether trying to decode or not. If that part is not strong enough it
> does not even start it seems, if during the latter part of the sequence
> the signals get weaker, you get garbage.
>
> I do nothing but WSJT/WSPR here but I would really like to experiment
> with ROS.
>
> Can you run perhaps some test transmissions? I watch the logger quite often.
> Over the next couple of days, I have time.....
> 14103 is a very bad frequency here at least in So CAL. .106 or .109 are
> much better in terms of QRM. I can't really understand that the garbage
> that is being transmitted on .103 is legal and ROS is not!
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>

>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
> >Sent: Apr 10, 2010 3:49 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Some observations
> >
> >I have been using ROS for about a week and offer the following observations - others have probably already noticed these ....
> >
> >- ROS does not have the QRP capacity of WSPR. Just this morning, 30m wspr was "open" to and from VK (those familiar with wspr will recall that most stations use 5W). I tried unsuccessfully with F1ABL to communicate on 30m using 85W, even at 1 baud.
> >
> >- when cndx change rapidly (for example here in VK4 on 20m yesterday afternoon local), sometimes nothing is decoded - even if there is data on the waterfall, or the transmission is broken off before completion.
> >
> >- the key to success seems to be the starting code. Without this there is no sync, even if the trace can be seen on the waterfall
> >
> >- signals are decodeable with nothing visible on the waterfall
> >
> >Anyway, I am quite happy with it's performance as a new Beta mode. It is clearly not finished, but is a good start.
> >
> >Tim Roberts
> >VK4YEH
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >------------------------------------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

694. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Some observations

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-10 23:59:18 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 689 Message: 694

Hi Tim,

If you want do a good test to compare WSPR and ROS is so easy as say to a friend tranmitting with both softwares at the same time, using channels where there is not a RTTY overmodulating.

You cannot do a test with WSPR in the morning and after do a test with ROS at night and with a different Ham. You must be the test in the same conditions.

I also have copied you perfectly at Twente SDR, even at 8 bauds.

73

De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,10 abril, 2010 21:49
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Some observations

I have been using ROS for about a week and offer the following observations - others have probably already noticed these ....

- ROS does not have the QRP capacity of WSPR. Just this morning, 30m wspr was "open" to and from VK (those familiar with wspr will recall that most stations use 5W). I tried unsuccessfully with F1ABL to communicate on 30m using 85W, even at 1 baud.

- when cndx change rapidly (for example here in VK4 on 20m yesterday afternoon local), sometimes nothing is decoded - even if there is data on the waterfall, or the transmission is broken off before completion.

- the key to success seems to be the starting code. Without this there is no sync, even if the trace can be seen on the waterfall

- signals are decodeable with nothing visible on the waterfall

Anyway, I am quite happy with it's performance as a new Beta mode. It is clearly not finished, but is a good start.

Tim Roberts
VK4YEH

695. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Some observations

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-11 01:55:27 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 689 Message: 695

jose alberto nieto ros wrote:
> Hi Tim,
>
> If you want do a good test to compare WSPR and ROS is so easy as say to a friend tranmitting with both softwares at the same time, using channels where there is not a RTTY overmodulating.
>
> You cannot do a test with WSPR in the morning and after do a test with ROS at night and with a different Ham. You must be the test in the same conditions.

The same is true if you want to test different antennas.

If you test one against another antenna you have to do the test within at least 10 minutes of the other because radio frequency propagation will change in between times...

So, it is difficult to show absolute differences between antennas and/or transmission modes.

All will have things that are for them, and others that are against them.

You have to decide if that mode is what you want to use, or that it is not...

Dave (G0DJA)

696. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Some observations

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-11 08:34:55 UTC
From: g3zjo
Topic: 689 Message: 696

Dave (G0DJA) wrote

>If you test one against another antenna you have to do the test within
>at least 10 minutes of the other because radio frequency propagation
>will change in between times...

Very true, and you can go one further. The tests must be simultaneous and on precisely the same frequency. I have done long tests on 10Mhz using two carriers 5Hz apart, the higher frequency one modulated downwards and the lower frequency modulated upwards with 5 Hz shift FSK QRSS3 Morse.

Due to antenna polarisation QSB can completely remove a path for long periods or enhance one or other or both antennas.

Someone then pointed out that they have proved that there is propagation variation at 10MHz due to frequency differences of as little as 5Hz. In fact it is often visible on FSK QRSS Morse where the base frequency stays strong and the shifted carrier can be weak or vanish.

Eddie G3ZJO

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.801 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2803 - Release Date: 04/10/10 19:32:00

697. Re: Some observations

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-11 09:05:46 UTC
From: Ian Wade G3NRW
Topic: 689 Message: 697

From: g3zjo <g3zjo@...>
Date: Sun, 11 Apr 2010 Time: 09:34:55

>Someone then pointed out that they have proved that there is propagation
>variation at 10MHz due to frequency differences of as little as 5Hz. In fact
>it is often visible on FSK QRSS Morse where the base frequency stays
>strong and the shifted carrier can be weak or vanish.
>

Yup, it's been around since the invention of wireless. It's called
"phase distortion".

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

698. re: some observations

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-11 09:28:41 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 698 Message: 698

Thanks for the comments guys. I am aware that antennas, frequency differences, mode etc can make a difference in reception. I guess I wasn't really expecting the difference to be so great between wspr and ros ..... That of course reflects my ignorance - I stand accused, tried and convicted. But, I'm still enjoying ham radio as always.

Tim
VK4YEH

699. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Some observations

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-11 09:55:39 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 689 Message: 699

For that reasons modern systems use Frequency Diversity add to Time Interleaving on HF. That is more robutss that if you use only Time interleaving for the reasons that you are explained

It allow work with a Fade Marge smaller than a conventional system. In fact, RA6DJ could get a QSO with ZL2FT with only 2 dbs of Marge. In PSK31, with a Fade Marge of 2 dBs the QSO would be no possible.

73, Jose Alberto

De: g3zjo <g3zjo@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,11 abril, 2010 10:34
Asunto: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Some observations

Dave (G0DJA) wrote

>If you test one against another antenna you have to do the test within
>at least 10 minutes of the other because radio frequency propagation
>will change in between times...

Very true, and you can go one further. The tests must be simultaneous and on precisely the same frequency. I have done long tests on 10Mhz using two carriers 5Hz apart, the higher frequency one modulated downwards and the lower frequency modulated upwards with 5 Hz shift FSK QRSS3 Morse.
Due to antenna polarisation QSB can completely remove a path for long periods or enhance one or other or both antennas.

Someone then pointed out that they have proved that there is propagation variation at 10MHz due to frequency differences of as little as 5Hz. In fact it is often visible on FSK QRSS Morse where the base frequency stays strong and the shifted carrier can be weak or vanish.

EddieG3ZJO

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.801 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/2803 - Release Date: 04/10/10 19:32:00

700. qso confirmation email sent to the wrong person

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-11 17:42:01 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 700 Message: 700

In the absence of anywhere else to post this, I need to report that after my qso with Ali, HA3LI at around 1700z today, an email was sent to Michel F1ABL confirming qso.

Although we have tried a couple of times, a qso with Michel has been elusive.

Any clues as to how to fix this.

Thanks
Tim

701. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] qso confirmation email sent to the wrong person

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-11 18:43:58 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 700 Message: 701

If you are send an email to Michel is because you are receive in your screen the letters <MichelEmail>

That's email not confirm your QSO, only confirm that you are received that message and report parameters of the signals that i suposse Michel has could read.

If you want send an email to Ali, first Ali have to send a message with his email, and, obviusly, the message have to be copied in good conditions.

De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,11 abril, 2010 19:42
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] qso confirmation email sent to the wrong person

In the absence of anywhere else to post this, I need to report that after my qso with Ali, HA3LI at around 1700z today, an email was sent to Michel F1ABL confirming qso.

Although we have tried a couple of times, a qso with Michel has been elusive.

Any clues as to how to fix this.

Thanks
Tim

702. What is spread spectrum here and what is not?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-12 17:51:43 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 702 Message: 702

Hello All,

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lOmrgJkFY40

I found this interesting YouTube video, interesting to me at least.

Is going a to be a big help watching the waterfall at 14.103!

73 Rein W6SZ

703. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-14 01:36:15 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 703

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.3.1 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.3.1%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

704. ROS v3.3.3 Beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-19 22:12:08 UTC
From: Francesco Piccone
Topic: 704 Message: 704

Nueva version ROS 3.3.3 Beta,pueden bajar el manual en español 73

Francesco

YV4GJN

http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

705. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-20 11:22:23 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 705

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /SUGGESTIONS/ROS Frequencies v5.0.pdf
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/SUGGESTIONS/ROS%20Frequencies%20v
5.0.pdf

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

706. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-20 11:21:25 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 706

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.3.3 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.3.
3%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

707. 4M200AJ

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-21 02:04:51 UTC
From: Francesco Piccone
Topic: 707 Message: 707
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

QSO,, con la 4M2OOAJ en MFSK 16 modalidad ROS ,banda de 40 Metros ,Frecuencia 7.050.00 Khz 30 wts tx. antenna Windom Short ,en commemoracion del Bicentenario de la Independencia de Venezuela ,Special Call
73
Francesco
YV4GJN

708. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-25 17:57:14 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 708

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.4.4 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.4.4%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

709. cosmetics

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-25 17:58:48 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 709 Message: 709

Hello Jose .

this is not really important but .

ALMOST the whole world reads from left to right and top to bottom. most meters read left to right, low to high, bad to good, tune for max.

all the meters in ROS are compatible with this except the Viterbi. if its not too much trouble, it would be good to see this meter follow the others .left to right, bad to good.

a quick glance at the program interface can then be determined by simply seeing the relative positions of the meters, ie all right/green.

david/wd4kpd

710. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] cosmetics

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-25 18:31:32 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 709 Message: 710

Hello All,

I suggest to replace the meters with bar graphs....

This reads easier and would save quite some space on
the user interfase display.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...t>
>Sent: Apr 25, 2010 1:58 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] cosmetics
>
>Hello Jose .
>
>this is not really important but .
>
>ALMOST the whole world reads from left to right and top to bottom. most
>meters read left to right, low to high, bad to good, tune for max.
>
>all the meters in ROS are compatible with this except the Viterbi. if its not too much trouble, it would be good to see this meter follow the others .left to right, bad to good.
>
>a quick glance at the program interface can then be determined by simply seeing the relative positions of the meters, ie all right/green.
>
>david/wd4kpd
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

711. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] cosmetics

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-25 19:05:39 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 709 Message: 711

De: wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,25 abril, 2010 19:58
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] cosmetics

Hello Jose….

this is not really important but….

ALMOST the whole world reads from left to right and top to bottom. most meters read left to right, low to high, bad to good, tune for max.

all the meters in ROS are compatible with this except the Viterbi. if its not too much trouble, it would be good to see this meter follow the others….left to right, bad to good.

a quick glance at the program interface can then be determined by simply seeing the relative positions of the meters, ie all right/green.

david/wd4kpd

712. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] cosmetics

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-25 21:21:06 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 709 Message: 712

wd4kpd wrote:
> Hello Jose?.
>
> this is not really important but?.
>
> ALMOST the whole world reads from left to right and top to bottom. most
> meters read left to right, low to high, bad to good, tune for max.

Or adopt the old car Rally system where 'Optimum' was up. So if all the pointers were pointing up, that was the best.

I know F1 now uses either bar graphs or left to right VU style meters, but the old Rally style still seems the best to me for at a glance assimilation of data.

Dave (G0DJA)

713. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] cosmetics

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-25 22:00:35 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 709 Message: 713

Hi Rein,

I prefer "Analog" Meters. I like watch a needle moving.

De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@ix.netcom.com>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,25 abril, 2010 20:31
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] cosmetics

Hello All,

I suggest to replace the meters with bar graphs....

This reads easier and would save quite some space on
the user interfase display.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: wd4kpd <wd4kpd@suddenlink. net>
>Sent: Apr 25, 2010 1:58 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] cosmetics
>
>Hello Jose .
>
>this is not really important but .
>
>ALMOST the whole world reads from left to right and top to bottom. most
>meters read left to right, low to high, bad to good, tune for max.
>
>all the meters in ROS are compatible with this except the Viterbi. if its not too much trouble, it would be good to see this meter follow the others .left to right, bad to good.
>
>a quick glance at the program interface can then be determined by simply seeing the relative positions of the meters, ie all right/green.
>
>david/wd4kpd
>
>
>
>
>---------- --------- --------- -------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>

714. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] cosmetics

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-25 23:02:05 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 709 Message: 714

Hello Jose,

OK, its your program ,I also like to see
meters in certain cases and certainly prefer
an analog meter of a digital one or even an
analog clock/ watch over a digital watch.

But, if you look in an airplane's cockpit these days,
you will see many vertical meters, that is actually
what I had in mind, the result looks like a bar graph.

Regards

Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: Apr 25, 2010 3:00 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] cosmetics
>
>Hi Rein,
>
>I prefer "Analog" Meters. I like watch a needle moving.
>
>
>
>
>
>_____
>De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: dom,25 abril, 2010 20:31
>Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] cosmetics
>
>
>Hello All,
>
>I suggest to replace the meters with bar graphs....
>
>This reads easier and would save quite some space on
>the user interfase display.
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>

>-----Original Message-----
>>From: wd4kpd <wd4kpd@suddenlink. net>
>>Sent: Apr 25, 2010 1:58 PM
>>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] cosmetics
>>
>>Hello Jose .
>>
>>this is not really important but .
>>
>>ALMOST the whole world reads from left to right and top to bottom. most
>>meters read left to right, low to high, bad to good, tune for max.
>>
>>all the meters in ROS are compatible with this except the Viterbi. if its not too much trouble, it would be good to see this meter follow the others .left to right, bad to good.
>>
>>a quick glance at the program interface can then be determined by simply seeing the relative positions of the meters, ie all right/green.
>>
>>david/wd4kpd
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>---------- --------- --------- -------
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

715. Radio Frequency Control from Ros

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-27 01:13:20 UTC
From: sm0ifp
Topic: 715 Message: 715

You my control the frequency of the radio from Ros if you add a little plugin via Omnirig from Afreet.
http://www.dxatlas.com/OmniRig/

73 de Jan-Olof/SM0IFP

716. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Radio Frequency Control from Ros

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-27 09:49:58 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 715 Message: 716

Very interesting

De: sm0ifp <sm0ifp@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,27 abril, 2010 03:13
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Radio Frequency Control from Ros

You my control the frequency of the radio from Ros if you add a little plugin via Omnirig from Afreet.
http://www.dxatlas. com/OmniRig/

73 de Jan-Olof/SM0IFP

717. Viterbi function and long messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-27 17:38:55 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 717 Message: 717

Hello Jose,

Just wondering and asking questions...

I guess the Viterbi function looks for certain conditions to be
satisfied before the "gate" opens at the start of message.

one such requirement is S/N ratio, I believe

I see here often that the message starts OK and then for whatever reason the "gate" turns off. Often just a few characters later the
signal is up, in case of QRM of QSB and I think if the "gate" was turned back on, the rest of the message would be coming through loud and
clear ("599")

I wonder whether it possible to turn the "gate" back on by introducing the same info that is used at the start of the message.

Program looks at the beginning before transmission starts how long the message is:

If long message, it will introduce in the text triggers for the gate
to be reset.

Also, if text is decoded with the gate "on" text is printed in color
X if gate is off, text being printed in RED.

I am using the latest version and I see even with the CANCEL transmitted characters are still being printed (Garbage)

I think a color coding scheme would be useful in all cases.

Just some crazy idea's probably, I realize all those extras cost
computer load.

73 Rein W6SZ

718. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Viterbi function and long messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-27 18:11:30 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 717 Message: 718

I second that

On a longer text a second (or even third) resync would maybe help to get the rest of the text BUT

Maybe it also gives false resyncs if there is more than just one station on the same qrg

Dg9bfc

Sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
Gesendet: Dienstag, 27. April 2010 17:39
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Viterbi function and long messages

Hello Jose,

Just wondering and asking questions...

I guess the Viterbi function looks for certain conditions to be satisfied before the "gate" opens at the start of message.

one such requirement is S/N ratio, I believe

I see here often that the message starts OK and then for whatever reason the "gate" turns off. Often just a few characters later the signal is up, in case of QRM of QSB and I think if the "gate" was turned back on, the rest of the message would be coming through loud and clear ("599")

I wonder whether it possible to turn the "gate" back on by introducing the same info that is used at the start of the message.

Program looks at the beginning before transmission starts how long the message is:

If long message, it will introduce in the text triggers for the gate to be reset.

Also, if text is decoded with the gate "on" text is printed in color X if gate is off, text being printed in RED.

I am using the latest version and I see even with the CANCEL transmitted characters are still being printed (Garbage)

I think a color coding scheme would be useful in all cases.

Just some crazy idea's probably, I realize all those extras cost computer load.

73 Rein W6SZ

719. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Viterbi function and long messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-27 18:31:00 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 717 Message: 719

Hello Sigi,

Thanks. I guess one could have a trigger with an semi random ID tag.
Program would trigger Gate and story ID, not needed to trigger
gate in at the beginning, first time message seen
Then look for re-triggers with same ID. ID would only be needed
in case of re-triggering.

Just playing and as always, it cost CPU time...

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
>Sent: Apr 27, 2010 11:11 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Viterbi function and long messages
>
>I second that
>
>On a longer text a second (or even third) resync would maybe help to get the
>rest of the text BUT
>
>Maybe it also gives false resyncs if there is more than just one station on
>the same qrg
>
>Dg9bfc
>
>Sigi
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
>Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
>Gesendet: Dienstag, 27. April 2010 17:39
>An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Viterbi function and long messages
>
>
>
>

>
>Hello Jose,
>
>Just wondering and asking questions...
>
>I guess the Viterbi function looks for certain conditions to be
>satisfied before the "gate" opens at the start of message.
>
>one such requirement is S/N ratio, I believe
>
>I see here often that the message starts OK and then for whatever reason the
>"gate" turns off. Often just a few characters later the
>signal is up, in case of QRM of QSB and I think if the "gate" was turned
>back on, the rest of the message would be coming through loud and
>clear ("599")
>
>I wonder whether it possible to turn the "gate" back on by introducing the
>same info that is used at the start of the message.
>
>Program looks at the beginning before transmission starts how long the
>message is:
>
>If long message, it will introduce in the text triggers for the gate
>to be reset.
>
>Also, if text is decoded with the gate "on" text is printed in color
>X if gate is off, text being printed in RED.
>
>I am using the latest version and I see even with the CANCEL transmitted
>characters are still being printed (Garbage)
>
>I think a color coding scheme would be useful in all cases.
>
>Just some crazy idea's probably, I realize all those extras cost
>computer load.
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
>

720. Re: Viterbi function and long messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-27 19:31:28 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 717 Message: 720

Hello All,

Instead of having the computer re-setting the Viterbi "gate"
perhaps you could have the operator manually reset the gate when
he or she thinks that the signal strength is good enough to decode
without errors?

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien"
<siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> I second that
>
> On a longer text a second (or even third) resync would maybe help to get the
> rest of the text BUT
>
> Maybe it also gives false resyncs if there is more than just one station on
> the same qrg
>
> Dg9bfc
>
> Sigi
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
> Gesendet: Dienstag, 27. April 2010 17:39
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Viterbi function and long messages
>
>
>
>
>
> Hello Jose,
>
> Just wondering and asking questions...
>
> I guess the Viterbi function looks for certain conditions to be
> satisfied before the "gate" opens at the start of message.

>
> one such requirement is S/N ratio, I believe
>
> I see here often that the message starts OK and then for whatever reason the
> "gate" turns off. Often just a few characters later the
> signal is up, in case of QRM of QSB and I think if the "gate" was turned
> back on, the rest of the message would be coming through loud and
> clear ("599")
>
> I wonder whether it possible to turn the "gate" back on by introducing the
> same info that is used at the start of the message.
>
> Program looks at the beginning before transmission starts how long the
> message is:
>
> If long message, it will introduce in the text triggers for the gate
> to be reset.
>
> Also, if text is decoded with the gate "on" text is printed in color
> X if gate is off, text being printed in RED.
>
> I am using the latest version and I see even with the CANCEL transmitted
> characters are still being printed (Garbage)
>
> I think a color coding scheme would be useful in all cases.
>
> Just some crazy idea's probably, I realize all those extras cost
> computer load.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>

721. Re: Viterbi function and long messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-27 19:38:24 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 717 Message: 721

Viterbi is of course looking for more than just S/N, what would
make manual re-setting probably impossible?

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Rein A" <rein0zn@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Hello All,
>
>
> Instead of having the computer re-setting the Viterbi "gate"
> perhaps you could have the operator manually reset the gate when
> he or she thinks that the signal strength is good enough to decode
> without errors?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien"
<siegfried.jackstien@> wrote:
> >
> > I second that
> >
> > On a longer text a second (or even third) resync would maybe help to get the
> > rest of the text BUT
> >
> > Maybe it also gives false resyncs if there is more than just one station on
> > the same qrg
> >
> > Dg9bfc
> >
> > Sigi
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
> > Gesendet: Dienstag, 27. April 2010 17:39
> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Viterbi function and long messages

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Hello Jose,
> >
> > Just wondering and asking questions...
> >
> > I guess the Viterbi function looks for certain conditions to be
> > satisfied before the "gate" opens at the start of message.
> >
> > one such requirement is S/N ratio, I believe
> >
> > I see here often that the message starts OK and then for whatever reason the
> > "gate" turns off. Often just a few characters later the
> > signal is up, in case of QRM of QSB and I think if the "gate" was turned
> > back on, the rest of the message would be coming through loud and
> > clear ("599")
> >
> > I wonder whether it possible to turn the "gate" back on by introducing the
> > same info that is used at the start of the message.
> >
> > Program looks at the beginning before transmission starts how long the
> > message is:
> >
> > If long message, it will introduce in the text triggers for the gate
> > to be reset.
> >
> > Also, if text is decoded with the gate "on" text is printed in color
> > X if gate is off, text being printed in RED.
> >
> > I am using the latest version and I see even with the CANCEL transmitted
> > characters are still being printed (Garbage)
> >
> > I think a color coding scheme would be useful in all cases.
> >
> > Just some crazy idea's probably, I realize all those extras cost
> > computer load.
> >
> > 73 Rein W6SZ
> >
>

722. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-28 00:15:56 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 717 Message: 722

Hi Rein,

I dont understand exactly what you say. "Cancel Frame" not depend of S/N exclusively. It depend of a combination of SYNC and S/N.

Version v3.4.8 Beta has a new system of "Cancel Frame" in function only the evolution of Sync detector.

73, Jose Alberto

De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mar,27 abril, 2010 21:38
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages

Viterbi is of course looking for more than just S/N, what would
make manual re-setting probably impossible?

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Rein A" <rein0zn@... > wrote:
>
>
>
> Hello All,
>
>
> Instead of having the computer re-setting the Viterbi "gate"
> perhaps you could have the operator manually reset the gate when
> he or she thinks that the signal strength is good enough to decode
> without errors?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstie
n@> wrote:
> >
> > I second that
> >
> > On a longer text a second (or even third) resync would maybe help to get the
> > rest of the text BUT
> >
> > Maybe it also gives false resyncs if there is more than just one station on
> > the same qrg

> >
> > Dg9bfc
> >
> > Sigi
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
> > Gesendet: Dienstag, 27. April 2010 17:39
> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Viterbi function and long messages
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Hello Jose,
> >
> > Just wondering and asking questions...
> >
> > I guess the Viterbi function looks for certain conditions to be
> > satisfied before the "gate" opens at the start of message.
> >
> > one such requirement is S/N ratio, I believe
> >
> > I see here often that the message starts OK and then for whatever reason the
> > "gate" turns off. Often just a few characters later the
> > signal is up, in case of QRM of QSB and I think if the "gate" was turned
> > back on, the rest of the message would be coming through loud and
> > clear ("599")
> >
> > I wonder whether it possible to turn the "gate" back on by introducing the
> > same info that is used at the start of the message.
> >
> > Program looks at the beginning before transmission starts how long the
> > message is:
> >
> > If long message, it will introduce in the text triggers for the gate
> > to be reset.
> >
> > Also, if text is decoded with the gate "on" text is printed in color
> > X if gate is off, text being printed in RED.
> >
> > I am using the latest version and I see even with the CANCEL transmitted
> > characters are still being printed (Garbage)

> >
> > I think a color coding scheme would be useful in all cases.
> >
> > Just some crazy idea's probably, I realize all those extras cost
> > computer load.
> >
> > 73 Rein W6SZ
> >
>

723. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-28 00:31:07 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 723

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.4.8 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.4.8%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

724. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-28 02:57:25 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 717 Message: 724

Hi Jose,

Indeed.

I was asking what the relation is (was) between S/N and other
factors (such as Sync detector, did not know this.

As said we lose a lot of useful info if the "gate" closes.
With human communication we might have the same problem, but then
we can ask to repeat this or that specific piece of info as in
please repeat your name or report etc.

The rest of the info was copied OK and does not need repeating.

I see as the strong point of ROS the quick exchanges back and force,
If there is a loss of info, try to get a repeat.

At this time of the low sunspots conditions are often on the edge
and change very quickly.

So I would like to see a method where the total received amount
is optimized.

I have trouble seeing on the meters the relation to the gate and
S/N and sync checking if any at this point.

Let name the "station line" how many sync checks are there?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: Apr 27, 2010 8:15 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>
>Hi Rein,
>
>I dont understand exactly what you say. "Cancel Frame" not depend of S/N exclusively. It depend of a combination of SYNC and S/N.
>
>Version v3.4.8 Beta has a new system of "Cancel Frame" in function only the evolution of Sync detector.
>
>73, Jose Alberto
>

>
>
>
>_____
>De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: mar,27 abril, 2010 21:38
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>
>
>Viterbi is of course looking for more than just S/N, what would
>make manual re-setting probably impossible?
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Rein A" <rein0zn@... > wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> Hello All,
>>
>>
>> Instead of having the computer re-setting the Viterbi "gate"
>> perhaps you could have the operator manually reset the gate when
>> he or she thinks that the signal strength is good enough to decode
>> without errors?
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Siegfried Jackstien"
<siegfried.jackstie n@> wrote:
>> >
>> > I second that
>> >
>> > On a longer text a second (or even third) resync would maybe help to get the
>> > rest of the text BUT
>> >
>> > Maybe it also gives false resyncs if there is more than just one station on
>> > the same qrg
>> >
>> > Dg9bfc
>> >
>> > Sigi
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > _____
>> >

>> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
>> > Gesendet: Dienstag, 27. April 2010 17:39
>> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Viterbi function and long messages
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Hello Jose,
>> >
>> > Just wondering and asking questions...
>> >
>> > I guess the Viterbi function looks for certain conditions to be
>> > satisfied before the "gate" opens at the start of message.
>> >
>> > one such requirement is S/N ratio, I believe
>> >
>> > I see here often that the message starts OK and then for whatever reason the
>> > "gate" turns off. Often just a few characters later the
>> > signal is up, in case of QRM of QSB and I think if the "gate" was turned
>> > back on, the rest of the message would be coming through loud and
>> > clear ("599")
>> >
>> > I wonder whether it possible to turn the "gate" back on by introducing the
>> > same info that is used at the start of the message.
>> >
>> > Program looks at the beginning before transmission starts how long the
>> > message is:
>> >
>> > If long message, it will introduce in the text triggers for the gate
>> > to be reset.
>> >
>> > Also, if text is decoded with the gate "on" text is printed in color
>> > X if gate is off, text being printed in RED.
>> >
>> > I am using the latest version and I see even with the CANCEL transmitted
>> > characters are still being printed (Garbage)
>> >
>> > I think a color coding scheme would be useful in all cases.
>> >
>> > Just some crazy idea's probably, I realize all those extras cost
>> > computer load.
>> >
>> > 73 Rein W6SZ
>> >
>>
>
>

>
>
>
>

725. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-28 09:39:56 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 717 Message: 725

Sorry, I dont undernstand what do you refer ??

_____
De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,28 abril, 2010 04:57
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages

Hi Jose,

Indeed.

I was asking what the relation is (was) between S/N and other
factors (such as Sync detector, did not know this.

As said we lose a lot of useful info if the "gate" closes.
With human communication we might have the same problem, but then
we can ask to repeat this or that specific piece of info as in
please repeat your name or report etc.

The rest of the info was copied OK and does not need repeating.

I see as the strong point of ROS the quick exchanges back and force,
If there is a loss of info, try to get a repeat.

At this time of the low sunspots conditions are often on the edge
and change very quickly.

So I would like to see a method where the total received amount
is optimized.

I have trouble seeing on the meters the relation to the gate and
S/N and sync checking if any at this point.

Let name the "station line" how many sync checks are there?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
>Sent: Apr 27, 2010 8:15 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>
>Hi Rein,
>
>I dont understand exactly what you say. "Cancel Frame" not depend of S/N exclusively. It

depend of a combination of SYNC and S/N.
>
>Version v3.4.8 Beta has a new system of "Cancel Frame" in function only the evolution of Sync detector.
>
>73, Jose Alberto
>
>
>
>
>_____ _____ _____ ___
>De: Rein A <rein0zn@.... com>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Enviado: mar,27 abril, 2010 21:38
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>
>
>Viterbi is of course looking for more than just S/N, what would
>make manual re-setting probably impossible?
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Rein A" <rein0zn@... > wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> Hello All,
>>
>>
>> Instead of having the computer re-setting the Viterbi "gate"
>> perhaps you could have the operator manually reset the gate when
>> he or she thinks that the signal strength is good enough to decode
>> without errors?
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Siegfried Jackstien"
<siegfried.jackstie n@> wrote:
>> >
>> > I second that
>> >
>> > On a longer text a second (or even third) resync would maybe help to get the
>> > rest of the text BUT
>> >
>> > Maybe it also gives false resyncs if there is more than just one station on
>> > the same qrg
>> >
>> > Dg9bfc
>> >
>> > Sigi
>> >

>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > _____
>> >
>> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
>> > Gesendet: Dienstag, 27. April 2010 17:39
>> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Viterbi function and long messages
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Hello Jose,
>> >
>> > Just wondering and asking questions...
>> >
>> > I guess the Viterbi function looks for certain conditions to be
>> > satisfied before the "gate" opens at the start of message.
>> >
>> > one such requirement is S/N ratio, I believe
>> >
>> > I see here often that the message starts OK and then for whatever reason the
>> > "gate" turns off. Often just a few characters later the
>> > signal is up, in case of QRM of QSB and I think if the "gate" was turned
>> > back on, the rest of the message would be coming through loud and
>> > clear ("599")
>> >
>> > I wonder whether it possible to turn the "gate" back on by introducing the
>> > same info that is used at the start of the message.
>> >
>> > Program looks at the beginning before transmission starts how long the
>> > message is:
>> >
>> > If long message, it will introduce in the text triggers for the gate
>> > to be reset.
>> >
>> > Also, if text is decoded with the gate "on" text is printed in color
>> > X if gate is off, text being printed in RED.
>> >
>> > I am using the latest version and I see even with the CANCEL transmitted
>> > characters are still being printed (Garbage)
>> >
>> > I think a color coding scheme would be useful in all cases.
>> >
>> > Just some crazy idea's probably, I realize all those extras cost
>> > computer load.

>> >
>> > 73 Rein W6SZ
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

726. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-28 17:06:21 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 717 Message: 726

Hi Jose,

Let me start again. Because of obvious reasons and my interest in ROS, I do a fair amount of monitoring and listening to ROS transmissions, from a select number of countries it looks like. The number is on the increase for sure, the more people use it, the stronger the drive to get it ruled legal here.

Anyway, I see signals being decoded or not. I hear signals. Strong sounding signals are partially decoded and I watch the meters and try to make sense of them.

Trying to find correlations from what I see on the meters and whether I get decodes or not. Or those meters really measuring anything or mostly on/off, yes/no indicators?

That Sync meter for instance could be replaced by just a red/green indicator, or not?

To give an example of my confusion on the meters. Take the S/N indicator

12 0.clock = -12 dB
10:30 clock = what dB (below 10:30 its yellow)
9:00 to 9:45 ??? red, what are the dB numbers here
If the system starts to decode I think that indicator always goes fs (15:00 o"clock) it appears that is has nothing to do with the final indicated and printed S/N number.

I have seen many a time that indicator all the way Full scale with Low S/N ratios, what is the answer here?

You claim ROS to be a weak signals mode and that is where my interest is foremost.

For whatever reason (I am trying to find those reasons) I rarely complete messages finished weaker than -15,-20 dB

The short messages are often decoded but the longer ones often ending in <cancel>

So a question is why is this? Fading, short bursts of low propagation, etc, etc, but a later part of the message is coming through loud and clear and is lost.

My first observation and an important one, is that often the
first part of the message is decoded then something happens,
and the decoding stops.

Why don't you tell us why the program stops decoding.

Yesterday you wrote us that you changed to sync detection only .
Last night I leaded v8 and watched what was happening.

I could not really figuring it out, but the cutting of longer
texts with low S/N is happening as before, I think.

Also I wished I understood what happens, if the system starts to
decode with, the indicators.

Sync goes to "locked" sometimes right in the center but not always
why is that?

Viterbi goes fs Green, and S/N goes fs green

Then I see changes often the S/N drifts down and then either
the sync gets unlocked or Viterbi, sometimes both,

Then in particular with strong signals I see all kinds
of combinations.

Like sync green, S/N green, Viterbi Red printing garbage and no printing
Sync green S/N green Viterbi green no printing

Really I see in many cycles little or no correlation of those
indicators and a decoded line.

So my idea was if with Sync green and S/N in the green, why not try to
get Viterbi green too?

If we could address this that would help I think.

I have many more questions (just from observing and monitoring)

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: Apr 28, 2010 2:39 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>
>Sorry, I dont undernstand what do you refer ??
>
>
>
>
>_____
>De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com

>Enviado: mié,28 abril, 2010 04:57
>Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>
>
>Hi Jose,
>
>Indeed.
>
>I was asking what the relation is (was) between S/N and other
>factors (such as Sync detector, did not know this.
>
>As said we lose a lot of useful info if the "gate" closes.
>With human communication we might have the same problem, but then
>we can ask to repeat this or that specific piece of info as in
>please repeat your name or report etc.
>
>The rest of the info was copied OK and does not need repeating.
>
>I see as the strong point of ROS the quick exchanges back and force,
>If there is a loss of info, try to get a repeat.
>
>At this time of the low sunspots conditions are often on the edge
>and change very quickly.
>
>So I would like to see a method where the total received amount
>is optimized.
>
>I have trouble seeing on the meters the relation to the gate and
>S/N and sync checking if any at this point.
>
>Let name the "station line" how many sync checks are there?
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>-----Original Message-----
>>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
>>Sent: Apr 27, 2010 8:15 PM
>>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>>
>>Hi Rein,
>>
>>I dont understand exactly what you say. "Cancel Frame" not depend of S/N exclusively. It depend of a combination of SYNC and S/N.
>>
>>Version v3.4.8 Beta has a new system of "Cancel Frame" in function only the evolution of Sync detector.
>>
>>73, Jose Alberto
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>_____ _____ _____ ___
>>De: Rein A <rein0zn@.... com>
>>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Enviado: mar,27 abril, 2010 21:38
>>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>>
>>
>>Viterbi is of course looking for more than just S/N, what would
>>make manual re-setting probably impossible?
>>
>>73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Rein A" <rein0zn@... > wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Hello All,
>>>
>>>
>>> Instead of having the computer re-setting the Viterbi "gate"
>>> perhaps you could have the operator manually reset the gate when
>>> he or she thinks that the signal strength is good enough to decode
>>> without errors?
>>>
>>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>>
>>> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Siegfried Jackstien"
<siegfried.jackstie n@> wrote:
>>> >
>>> > I second that
>>> >
>>> > On a longer text a second (or even third) resync would maybe help to get the
>>> > rest of the text BUT
>>> >
>>> > Maybe it also gives false resyncs if there is more than just one station on
>>> > the same qrg
>>> >
>>> > Dg9bfc
>>> >
>>> > Sigi
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > _____
>>> >

>>> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
>>> > Gesendet: Dienstag, 27. April 2010 17:39
>>> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Viterbi function and long messages
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > Hello Jose,
>>> >
>>> > Just wondering and asking questions...
>>> >
>>> > I guess the Viterbi function looks for certain conditions to be
>>> > satisfied before the "gate" opens at the start of message.
>>> >
>>> > one such requirement is S/N ratio, I believe
>>> >
>>> > I see here often that the message starts OK and then for whatever reason the
>>> > "gate" turns off. Often just a few characters later the
>>> > signal is up, in case of QRM of QSB and I think if the "gate" was turned
>>> > back on, the rest of the message would be coming through loud and
>>> > clear ("599")
>>> >
>>> > I wonder whether it possible to turn the "gate" back on by introducing the
>>> > same info that is used at the start of the message.
>>> >
>>> > Program looks at the beginning before transmission starts how long the
>>> > message is:
>>> >
>>> > If long message, it will introduce in the text triggers for the gate
>>> > to be reset.
>>> >
>>> > Also, if text is decoded with the gate "on" text is printed in color
>>> > X if gate is off, text being printed in RED.
>>> >
>>> > I am using the latest version and I see even with the CANCEL transmitted
>>> > characters are still being printed (Garbage)
>>> >
>>> > I think a color coding scheme would be useful in all cases.
>>> >
>>> > Just some crazy idea's probably, I realize all those extras cost
>>> > computer load.
>>> >
>>> > 73 Rein W6SZ
>>> >
>>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

727. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-28 17:23:27 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 717 Message: 727

ON the S/N meter

9:00 stands for - 24 dB and below,I think
12:00 ,, - 12 dB

4 sections -> 12 dB / 4 = 3 db / section

Red section looks like - 20 dB and below

Question if you mark signals below - 20 dB as Red what does
this mean?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: Apr 28, 2010 5:39 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>
>Sorry, I dont undernstand what do you refer ??
>
>
>
>
>_____
>De: "rein0zn@...m.com" <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: mié,28 abril, 2010 04:57
>Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>
>
>Hi Jose,
>
>Indeed.
>
>I was asking what the relation is (was) between S/N and other
>factors (such as Sync detector, did not know this.
>
>As said we lose a lot of useful info if the "gate" closes.
>With human communication we might have the same problem, but then
>we can ask to repeat this or that specific piece of info as in
>please repeat your name or report etc.
>
>The rest of the info was copied OK and does not need repeating.

>
>I see as the strong point of ROS the quick exchanges back and force,
>If there is a loss of info, try to get a repeat.
>
>At this time of the low sunspots conditions are often on the edge
>and change very quickly.
>
>So I would like to see a method where the total received amount
>is optimized.
>
>I have trouble seeing on the meters the relation to the gate and
>S/N and sync checking if any at this point.
>
>Let name the "station line" how many sync checks are there?
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>-----Original Message-----
>>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
>>Sent: Apr 27, 2010 8:15 PM
>>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>>
>>Hi Rein,
>>
>>I dont understand exactly what you say. "Cancel Frame" not depend of S/N exclusively. It depend of a combination of SYNC and S/N.
>>
>>Version v3.4.8 Beta has a new system of "Cancel Frame" in function only the evolution of Sync detector.
>>
>>73, Jose Alberto
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>_____ _____ _____ ___
>>De: Rein A <rein0zn@ix.netcom. com>
>>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Enviado: mar,27 abril, 2010 21:38
>>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>>
>>
>>Viterbi is of course looking for more than just S/N, what would
>>make manual re-setting probably impossible?
>>
>>73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Rein A" <rein0zn@... > wrote:
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>> Hello All,
>>>
>>>
>>> Instead of having the computer re-setting the Viterbi "gate"
>>> perhaps you could have the operator manually reset the gate when
>>> he or she thinks that the signal strength is good enough to decode
>>> without errors?
>>>
>>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>>
>>> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Siegfried Jackstien"
<siegfried.jackstie n@> wrote:
>>> >
>>> > I second that
>>> >
>>> > On a longer text a second (or even third) resync would maybe help to get the
>>> > rest of the text BUT
>>> >
>>> > Maybe it also gives false resyncs if there is more than just one station on
>>> > the same qrg
>>> >
>>> > Dg9bfc
>>> >
>>> > Sigi
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > _____
>>> >
>>> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
>>> > Gesendet: Dienstag, 27. April 2010 17:39
>>> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Viterbi function and long messages
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > Hello Jose,
>>> >
>>> > Just wondering and asking questions...
>>> >
>>> > I guess the Viterbi function looks for certain conditions to be
>>> > satisfied before the "gate" opens at the start of message.
>>> >

>>> > one such requirement is S/N ratio, I believe
>>> >
>>> > I see here often that the message starts OK and then for whatever reason the
>>> > "gate" turns off. Often just a few characters later the
>>> > signal is up, in case of QRM of QSB and I think if the "gate" was turned
>>> > back on, the rest of the message would be coming through loud and
>>> > clear ("599")
>>> >
>>> > I wonder whether it possible to turn the "gate" back on by introducing the
>>> > same info that is used at the start of the message.
>>> >
>>> > Program looks at the beginning before transmission starts how long the
>>> > message is:
>>> >
>>> > If long message, it will introduce in the text triggers for the gate
>>> > to be reset.
>>> >
>>> > Also, if text is decoded with the gate "on" text is printed in color
>>> > X if gate is off, text being printed in RED.
>>> >
>>> > I am using the latest version and I see even with the CANCEL transmitted
>>> > characters are still being printed (Garbage)
>>> >
>>> > I think a color coding scheme would be useful in all cases.
>>> >
>>> > Just some crazy idea's probably, I realize all those extras cost
>>> > computer load.
>>> >
>>> > 73 Rein W6SZ
>>> >
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

728. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-28 17:29:50 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 717 Message: 728

I just changed RX symbol rate from 16-8-1 to 1 and now the
9:00 point is indicating - 40 dB and is hanging I believe
All the other indicators are alive.

I waas expecting that the S/N scale values perhaps would change as
a function of the RX symbol rate? Y/N?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: Apr 28, 2010 5:39 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>
>Sorry, I dont undernstand what do you refer ??
>
>
>
>
>_____
>De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: mié,28 abril, 2010 04:57
>Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>
>
>Hi Jose,
>
>Indeed.
>
>I was asking what the relation is (was) between S/N and other
>factors (such as Sync detector, did not know this.
>
>As said we lose a lot of useful info if the "gate" closes.
>With human communication we might have the same problem, but then
>we can ask to repeat this or that specific piece of info as in
>please repeat your name or report etc.
>
>The rest of the info was copied OK and does not need repeating.
>
>I see as the strong point of ROS the quick exchanges back and force,
>If there is a loss of info, try to get a repeat.
>

>At this time of the low sunspots conditions are often on the edge
>and change very quickly.
>
>So I would like to see a method where the total received amount
>is optimized.
>
>I have trouble seeing on the meters the relation to the gate and
>S/N and sync checking if any at this point.
>
>Let name the "station line" how many sync checks are there?
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>-----Original Message-----
>>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
>>Sent: Apr 27, 2010 8:15 PM
>>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>>
>>Hi Rein,
>>
>>I dont understand exactly what you say. "Cancel Frame" not depend of S/N exclusively. It depend of a combination of SYNC and S/N.
>>
>>Version v3.4.8 Beta has a new system of "Cancel Frame" in function only the evolution of Sync detector.
>>
>>73, Jose Alberto
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>_____ _____ _____ ___
>>De: Rein A <rein0zn@.... com>
>>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Enviado: mar,27 abril, 2010 21:38
>>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>>
>>
>>Viterbi is of course looking for more than just S/N, what would
>>make manual re-setting probably impossible?
>>
>>73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Rein A" <rein0zn@... > wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Hello All,
>>>

>>>
>>> Instead of having the computer re-setting the Viterbi "gate"
>>> perhaps you could have the operator manually reset the gate when
>>> he or she thinks that the signal strength is good enough to decode
>>> without errors?
>>>
>>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>>
>>> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Siegfried Jackstien"
<siegfried.jackstie n@> wrote:
>>> >
>>> > I second that
>>> >
>>> > On a longer text a second (or even third) resync would maybe help to get the
>>> > rest of the text BUT
>>> >
>>> > Maybe it also gives false resyncs if there is more than just one station on
>>> > the same qrg
>>> >
>>> > Dg9bfc
>>> >
>>> > Sigi
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > _____
>>> >
>>> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
>>> > Gesendet: Dienstag, 27. April 2010 17:39
>>> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Viterbi function and long messages
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > Hello Jose,
>>> >
>>> > Just wondering and asking questions...
>>> >
>>> > I guess the Viterbi function looks for certain conditions to be
>>> > satisfied before the "gate" opens at the start of message.
>>> >
>>> > one such requirement is S/N ratio, I believe
>>> >
>>> > I see here often that the message starts OK and then for whatever reason the
>>> > "gate" turns off. Often just a few characters later the

>>> > signal is up, in case of QRM of QSB and I think if the "gate" was turned
>>> > back on, the rest of the message would be coming through loud and
>>> > clear ("599")
>>> >
>>> > I wonder whether it possible to turn the "gate" back on by introducing the
>>> > same info that is used at the start of the message.
>>> >
>>> > Program looks at the beginning before transmission starts how long the
>>> > message is:
>>> >
>>> > If long message, it will introduce in the text triggers for the gate
>>> > to be reset.
>>> >
>>> > Also, if text is decoded with the gate "on" text is printed in color
>>> > X if gate is off, text being printed in RED.
>>> >
>>> > I am using the latest version and I see even with the CANCEL transmitted
>>> > characters are still being printed (Garbage)
>>> >
>>> > I think a color coding scheme would be useful in all cases.
>>> >
>>> > Just some crazy idea's probably, I realize all those extras cost
>>> > computer load.
>>> >
>>> > 73 Rein W6SZ
>>> >
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

729. Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-29 00:53:16 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 729 Message: 729

It's been a while since I played with ROS, and I have not been following the late breaking news and chatter.

So is ROS now legal to use in the US on HF? Please, only answer if you are a US ham, you know for certain, and you are willing to pay any FCC fine levied against me for illegally operating ROS in the US. hihi

Bob - K3MQ

730. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-29 02:01:10 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 729 Message: 730

Hi Bob,

Nothing has changed as far as I know.

I never understood why the FCC did not make an
official announcement on this, though.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Bob <k3mq@...>
>Sent: Apr 28, 2010 5:53 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?
>
>It's been a while since I played with ROS, and I have not been following the late breaking news and chatter.
>
>So is ROS now legal to use in the US on HF? Please, only answer if you are a US ham, you know for certain, and you are willing to pay any FCC fine levied against me for illegally operating ROS in the US. hihi
>
>Bob - K3MQ
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

731. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-29 09:30:10 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 729 Message: 731

Hi Bob,

I am not an USA Ham (fortunatelly) but let me i give you my opinion:

1) FCC dont mind if you use ROS.
2) ARRL is the only organization interested in you don't can use ROS. They could make a complaint through FCC to you. The only problem for ARRL is that, in a court, any engineer with any lawyer could show that ROS is not really Spread Spectrum, and that could be of the final of the lies and threats of the ARRL. So, i dont think ARRL do anything again you, except keep threatened.

De: Bob <k3mq@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: jue,29 abril, 2010 02:53
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

It's been a while since I played with ROS, and I have not been following the late breaking news and chatter.

So is ROS now legal to use in the US on HF? Please, only answer if you are a US ham, you know for certain, and you are willing to pay any FCC fine levied against me for illegally operating ROS in the US. hihi

Bob - K3MQ

732. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-29 09:51:24 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 717 Message: 732

Hi Rein,

I would like you translate your questions to Spanish and send me the question again, so i could answer much better and in less time for me.

About if ROS is a weak signal software. Yes, in the option to 1 baud ROS is a Weak Signal Software.
Another thing is that, to 16 u 8 bauds, ROS can get great QSO's achieving transmission speed of 300 or 150 characters/minute with little power.

As i explained in the User's Guide 2.0, ROS is a software designed to optimize the power for a character rate determinated.

The rest of questions in Spanish, please.

De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,28 abril, 2010 19:06
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages

Hi Jose,

Let me start again. Because of obvious reasons and my
interest in ROS, I do a fair amount of monitoring and
listening to ROS transmissions, from a select number of
countries it looks like. The number is on the increase
for sure, the more people use it, the stronger the drive
to get it ruled legal here.

Anyway, I see signals being decoded or not. I hear signals.
Strong sounding signals are partially decoded and I watch
the meters and try to make sense of them.

Trying to find correlations from what I see on the meters and
whether I get decodes or not. Or those meters really measuring
anything or mostly on/off, yes/no indicators?

That Sync meter for instance could be replaced by just a red/green
indicator, or not?

To give an example of my confusion on the meters. Take the
S/N indicator

12 0.clock = -12 dB
10:30 clock = what dB (below 10:30 its yellow)

9:00 to 9:45 ??? red, what are the dB numbers here
If the system starts to decode I think that indicator always
goes fs (15:00 o"clock) it appears that is has nothing to do with
the final indicated and printed S/N number.

I have seen many a time that indicator all the way Full scale
with Low S/N ratios, what is the answer here?

You claim ROS to be a weak signals mode and that is where my
interest is foremost.

For whatever reason (I am trying to find those reasons)
I rarely complete messages finished weaker than -15,-20 dB

The short messages are often decoded but the longer ones often
ending in <cancel>

So a question is why is this? Fading, short bursts of low
propagation, etc, etc, but a later part of the message is
coming through loud and clear and is lost.

My first observation and an important one, is that often the
first part of the message is decoded then something happens,
and the decoding stops.

Why don't you tell us why the program stops decoding.

Yesterday you wrote us that you changed to sync detection only .
Last night I leaded v8 and watched what was happening.

I could not really figuring it out, but the cutting of longer
texts with low S/N is happening as before, I think.

Also I wished I understood what happens, if the system starts to
decode with, the indicators.

Sync goes to "locked" sometimes right in the center but not always
why is that?

Viterbi goes fs Green, and S/N goes fs green

Then I see changes often the S/N drifts down and then either
the sync gets unlocked or Viterbi, sometimes both,

Then in particular with strong signals I see all kinds
of combinations.

Like sync green, S/N green, Viterbi Red printing garbage and no printing
Sync green S/N green Viterbi green no printing

Really I see in many cycles little or no correlation of those
indicators and a decoded line.

So my idea was if with Sync green and S/N in the green, why not try to
get Viterbi green too?

If we could address this that would help I think.

I have many more questions (just from observing and monitoring)

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
>Sent: Apr 28, 2010 2:39 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>
>Sorry, I dont undernstand what do you refer ??
>
>
>
>
>_____ _____ _____ ___
>De: "rein0zn@.... com" <rein0zn@.... com>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Enviado: mié,28 abril, 2010 04:57
>Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>
>
>Hi Jose,
>
>Indeed.
>
>I was asking what the relation is (was) between S/N and other
>factors (such as Sync detector, did not know this.
>
>As said we lose a lot of useful info if the "gate" closes.
>With human communication we might have the same problem, but then
>we can ask to repeat this or that specific piece of info as in
>please repeat your name or report etc.
>
>The rest of the info was copied OK and does not need repeating.
>
>I see as the strong point of ROS the quick exchanges back and force,
>If there is a loss of info, try to get a repeat.
>
>At this time of the low sunspots conditions are often on the edge
>and change very quickly.
>
>So I would like to see a method where the total received amount
>is optimized.
>
>I have trouble seeing on the meters the relation to the gate and
>S/N and sync checking if any at this point.

>
>Let name the "station line" how many sync checks are there?
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>-----Original Message-----
>>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ yahoo. es>
>>Sent: Apr 27, 2010 8:15 PM
>>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>>
>>Hi Rein,
>>
>>I dont understand exactly what you say. "Cancel Frame" not depend of S/N exclusively. It depend of a combination of SYNC and S/N.
>>
>>Version v3.4.8 Beta has a new system of "Cancel Frame" in function only the evolution of Sync detector.
>>
>>73, Jose Alberto
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>_____ _ _____ _____ ___
>>De: Rein A <rein0zn@.... com>
>>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Enviado: mar,27 abril, 2010 21:38
>>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Viterbi function and long messages
>>
>>
>>Viterbi is of course looking for more than just S/N, what would
>>make manual re-setting probably impossible?
>>
>>73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Rein A" <rein0zn@... > wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Hello All,
>>>
>>>
>>> Instead of having the computer re-setting the Viterbi "gate"
>>> perhaps you could have the operator manually reset the gate when
>>> he or she thinks that the signal strength is good enough to decode
>>> without errors?
>>>
>>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>>

>>> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Siegfried Jackstien"
<siegfried.jackstie n@> wrote:
>>> >
>>> > I second that
>>> >
>>> > On a longer text a second (or even third) resync would maybe help to get the
>>> > rest of the text BUT
>>> >
>>> > Maybe it also gives false resyncs if there is more than just one station on
>>> > the same qrg
>>> >
>>> > Dg9bfc
>>> >
>>> > Sigi
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > _____
>>> >
>>> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
>>> > Gesendet: Dienstag, 27. April 2010 17:39
>>> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Viterbi function and long messages
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > Hello Jose,
>>> >
>>> > Just wondering and asking questions...
>>> >
>>> > I guess the Viterbi function looks for certain conditions to be
>>> > satisfied before the "gate" opens at the start of message.
>>> >
>>> > one such requirement is S/N ratio, I believe
>>> >
>>> > I see here often that the message starts OK and then for whatever reason the
>>> > "gate" turns off. Often just a few characters later the
>>> > signal is up, in case of QRM of QSB and I think if the "gate" was turned
>>> > back on, the rest of the message would be coming through loud and
>>> > clear ("599")
>>> >
>>> > I wonder whether it possible to turn the "gate" back on by introducing the
>>> > same info that is used at the start of the message.
>>> >
>>> > Program looks at the beginning before transmission starts how long the

>>> > message is:
>>> >
>>> > If long message, it will introduce in the text triggers for the gate
>>> > to be reset.
>>> >
>>> > Also, if text is decoded with the gate "on" text is printed in color
>>> > X if gate is off, text being printed in RED.
>>> >
>>> > I am using the latest version and I see even with the CANCEL transmitted
>>> > characters are still being printed (Garbage)
>>> >
>>> > I think a color coding scheme would be useful in all cases.
>>> >
>>> > Just some crazy idea's probably, I realize all those extras cost
>>> > computer load.
>>> >
>>> > 73 Rein W6SZ
>>> >
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

733. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-29 17:08:38 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 729 Message: 733

>>>AA6YQ comments below

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 5:30 AM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Hi Bob,

I am not an USA Ham (fortunatelly) but let me i give you my opinion:

1) FCC dont mind if you use ROS.

>>>This is absolutely false. I have spoken with FCC personel directly; they have not changed their position on this matter, which is that they agree with the author's (that's you, Jose) original characterization of ROS as spread sprectrum.

2) ARRL is the only organization interested in you don't can use ROS. They could make a complaint through FCC to you. The only problem for ARRL is that, in a court, any engineer with any lawyer could show that ROS is not really Spread Spectrum, and that could be of the final of the lies and threats of the ARRL. So, i dont think ARRL do anything again you, except keep threatened.

>>>Instead of villifying every person or organization who disagrees with you, Jose, why don't you compose and publish a *technical* paper entitled "Why My Original Characterization of ROS as Spread Spectrum Was Incorrect"; then submit it to the FCC and politely ask for reconsideration.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

De: Bob <k3mq@arrl.net>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: jue,29 abril, 2010 02:53
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

It's been a while since I played with ROS, and I have not been following the late breaking news and chatter.

So is ROS now legal to use in the US on HF? Please, only answer if you are a US ham, you know for certain, and you are willing to pay any FCC fine levied against me for illegally operating ROS in the US. hihi

Bob - K3MQ

734. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-29 17:20:31 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 729 Message: 734

Dave AA6YQ wrote:
>>>> AA6YQ comments below

Do we have to go through all this again?

We know what Dave (AA6YQ) thinks, we know what others think, it's been so many times round the houses that it probably qualifies for its own bus route by now.

Please, give it a rest.

Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)

735. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-29 17:50:37 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 729 Message: 735

Hi Jose,

>>>>Instead of villifying every person or organization who disagrees with you, Jose, why don't you compose and publish a *technical* paper entitled "Why My Original Characterization of ROS as Spread Spectrum Was Incorrect"; then submit it to the FCC and politely ask for reconsideration.
>

Why don't you just do that?

Didn't I tell you and ask you to do this weeks ago more than once?

It is the only possible way to reverse this and how many times did I tell you
that the ARRL has nothing to do with this. NOTHING.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Dave AA6YQ <aa6yq@...>
>Sent: Apr 29, 2010 10:08 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?
>
>>>>AA6YQ comments below
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
>Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 5:30 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?
>
>
>
>
>Hi Bob,
>
>I am not an USA Ham (fortunatelly) but let me i give you my opinion:
>
>1) FCC dont mind if you use ROS.
>
>>>>This is absolutely false. I have spoken with FCC personel directly; they have not changed their position on this matter, which is that they agree with the author's (that's you, Jose) original characterization of ROS as spread sprectrum.
>
> 2) ARRL is the only organization interested in you don't can use ROS. They could make a

complaint through FCC to you. The only problem for ARRL is that, in a court, any engineer with any lawyer could show that ROS is not really Spread Spectrum, and that could be of the final of the lies and threats of the ARRL. So, i dont think ARRL do anything again you, except keep threatened.
>
>>>>Instead of villifying every person or organization who disagrees with you, Jose, why don't you compose and publish a *technical* paper entitled "Why My Original Characterization of ROS as Spread Spectrum Was Incorrect"; then submit it to the FCC and politely ask for reconsideration.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>----------
>De: Bob <k3mq@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: jue,29 abril, 2010 02:53
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?
>
>
>It's been a while since I played with ROS, and I have not been following the late breaking news and chatter.
>
>So is ROS now legal to use in the US on HF? Please, only answer if you are a US ham, you know for certain, and you are willing to pay any FCC fine levied against me for illegally operating ROS in the US. hihi
>
>Bob - K3MQ
>
>
>
>
>
>

736. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-29 22:29:36 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 729 Message: 736

rein0zn@... wrote:
> Hi Jose,
>
>>>>> Instead of villifying every person or organization who disagrees with you, Jose, why don't you compose and publish a *technical* paper entitled "Why My Original Characterization of ROS as Spread Spectrum Was Incorrect"; then submit it to the FCC and politely ask for reconsideration.
>
> Why don't you just do that?
>
> Didn't I tell you and ask you to do this weeks ago more than once?
>
> It is the only possible way to reverse this and how many times did I tell you
> that the ARRL has nothing to do with this. NOTHING.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ

Except that was Dave (AA6YQ) with his multiple responses that said that, and so we go round the mulberry bush again.

Please, Dave and Rein, it's your country, it's your Amateur Radio Relay League, it's your FCC and it's nothing to to do with the rest of us.

Excuse me, but could you just go and sort your own problems out yourselves? It's nothing to do with the rest of us and, "frankly my dear" I/we "don't give a damn" to miss quote a well known film dialogue.

Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)

737. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-29 22:50:20 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 729 Message: 737

Dave, this recent trip around your mulberry bush was initiated by Jose repeating his fairy tale that the use of ROS is okay with the FCC. Since this misrepresentation could ultimately cost an American ham his or her license, a corrective response was appropriate.

And Rein did address his post to Jose; he simply included parts of my post.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Dave Ackrill
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:30 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

rein0zn@... wrote:
> Hi Jose,
>
>>>>> Instead of villifying every person or organization who disagrees with you, Jose, why don't you compose and publish a *technical* paper entitled "Why My Original Characterization of ROS as Spread Spectrum Was Incorrect"; then submit it to the FCC and politely ask for reconsideration.
>
> Why don't you just do that?
>
> Didn't I tell you and ask you to do this weeks ago more than once?
>
> It is the only possible way to reverse this and how many times did I tell you
> that the ARRL has nothing to do with this. NOTHING.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ

Except that was Dave (AA6YQ) with his multiple responses that said that, and so we go round the mulberry bush again.

Please, Dave and Rein, it's your country, it's your Amateur Radio Relay League, it's your FCC and it's nothing to to do with the rest of us.

Excuse me, but could you just go and sort your own problems out yourselves? It's nothing to do with the rest of us and, "frankly my dear" I/we "don't give a damn" to miss quote a well known film dialogue.

Thanks - Dave (G0DJA)

738. Dayton Hamvention 2010

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-29 22:56:24 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 14 Message: 738

I plan to be at Dayton this year, I hope to visit as many stands and special interest groups that I am interested in as possible over the days that I will be there.

I wont have any transmitting or receiving gear, as the VHF/UHF gear in the UK doesn't match the USA allocations and the airlines are not keen on electronic gear, even if I wanted to pay the baggage charges just to lug some gear over the pond...

So, I will be able to discuss my legal use of the ROS modes, as well as WSJT modes, with anyone who is interested.

What I won't be interested in is arguing about the USA FCC and ARRL attitudes to ROS, as they have no relevance to me as I'm not a USA resident and wont be transmitting anything (legal or otherwise) on the Amateur Bands during my stay in the USA.

I may also be attending one or other of the Amateur organisations that encourage the use of home brewing and Morse code, but I won't want to discuss the RoHS and lead free solder regulations either...

Since I won't have time to spend arguing with people with whom I do not share any interest, or who are only interested in telling me what they are not allowed to do in their country, will there be anyone who is interested in the ROS modes who will be in Dayton? Maybe we could meet up and have a coffee at some time over the Hamvention?

Dave (G0DJA)

739. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-29 23:08:03 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 729 Message: 739

Dave AA6YQ wrote:
> Dave, this recent trip around your mulberry bush was initiated by Jose repeating his fairy tale that the use of ROS is okay with the FCC. Since this misrepresentation could ultimately cost an American ham his or her license, a corrective response was appropriate.
>
> And Rein did address his post to Jose; he simply included parts of my post.

No, it's not my mulberry bush, it's very firmly yours!

Whatever fairy tale you think Jose is spinning, it has no relevance to me or any number of other user of these forums.

Maybe you and those of you who care/give a damn about the USA use of ROS could set up your own USA ROS (none) user group to discuss ad-infinitum why you cannot use the mode and leave those of us that can use it to get on and use it?

That, I personally think, would be a great service to the rest of us on here.

Thank you.

Dave (G0DJA)

740. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-29 23:47:13 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 729 Message: 740

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Dave AA6YQ wrote:
>> Dave, this recent trip around your mulberry bush was initiated by Jose repeating his fairy tale that the use of ROS is okay with the FCC. Since this misrepresentation could ultimately cost an American ham his or her license, a corrective response was appropriate.
>>
>> And Rein did address his post to Jose; he simply included parts of my post.
>
> No, it's not my mulberry bush, it's very firmly yours!
>
> Whatever fairy tale you think Jose is spinning, it has no relevance to
> me or any number of other user of these forums.

OK - I apologise, it must be difficult for those who have been told that they they are born in the land of the free to be told that they cannot do something that the rest of the world is allowed to do.

It must also be difficult for those born in the land of the free to have to bend their will not only to the legislator, but also to an NGO, in the form of the ARRL. After all, if you don't pay them, why do you have to be ruled by them, and, if you do pay them, why do you allow them to tell you what to do?

Ah, sorry, wasn't that the no taxation without representation argument?

No, really I am now just having fun at your expense, but it is strange to see the boot on the other foot for a change...

Dave (G0DJA)

741. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 00:07:37 UTC
From: John Simon
Topic: 729 Message: 741

Gawd I'm sick of hearing this (*&^!
So I've pulled the big red switch.

73, John de VK2XGJ
If you can't fix it with a hammer, you've got an electrical problem!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dave Ackrill" <dave.g0dja@...>
To: <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 9:47 AM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

> Dave Ackrill wrote:
>> Dave AA6YQ wrote:
>>> Dave, this recent trip around your mulberry bush was initiated by Jose
>>> repeating his fairy tale that the use of ROS is okay with the FCC. Since
>>> this misrepresentation could ultimately cost an American ham his or her
>>> license, a corrective response was appropriate.
>>>
>>> And Rein did address his post to Jose; he simply included parts of my
>>> post.
>>
>> No, it's not my mulberry bush, it's very firmly yours!
>>
>> Whatever fairy tale you think Jose is spinning, it has no relevance to
>> me or any number of other user of these forums.
>
> OK - I apologise, it must be difficult for those who have been told
> that they they are born in the land of the free to be told that they
> cannot do something that the rest of the world is allowed to do.
>
> It must also be difficult for those born in the land of the free to have
> to bend their will not only to the legislator, but also to an NGO, in
> the form of the ARRL. After all, if you don't pay them, why do you have
> to be ruled by them, and, if you do pay them, why do you allow them to
> tell you what to do?
>
> Ah, sorry, wasn't that the no taxation without representation argument?
>
> No, really I am now just having fun at your expense, but it is strange
> to see the boot on the other foot for a change...
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
> ----------

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

742. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 00:18:57 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 729 Message: 742

John Simon wrote:
> Gawd I'm sick of hearing this (*&^!
> So I've pulled the big red switch.

So was I, but some of the USA lot continue on, and on, and on, and on...

To my way of thinking, it's a pity that one of the VK lot went but some
of the USA lot stay.

Dave (G0DJA)

743. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 01:17:04 UTC
From: K3MQ - Bob Johnson
Topic: 729 Message: 743

Soryy I ever asked for the update. I'll cut the switch as well.

744. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 02:23:51 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 729 Message: 744

>>>AA6YQ comments below
-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Dave Ackrill
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 7:47 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Dave AA6YQ wrote:
>> Dave, this recent trip around your mulberry bush was initiated by Jose repeating his fairy tale that the use of ROS is okay with the FCC. Since this misrepresentation could ultimately cost an American ham his or her license, a corrective response was appropriate.
>>
>> And Rein did address his post to Jose; he simply included parts of my post.
>
> No, it's not my mulberry bush, it's very firmly yours!
>
> Whatever fairy tale you think Jose is spinning, it has no relevance to
> me or any number of other user of these forums.

OK - I apologise, it must be difficult for those who have been told
that they they are born in the land of the free to be told that they
cannot do something that the rest of the world is allowed to do.

>>> Obviously you're not intent on terminating this thread. I have no burning interest in using spread spectrum technology on the HF bands. But if the fact that you can and I can't makes you leap with joy, then leap away.

It must also be difficult for those born in the land of the free to have
to bend their will not only to the legislator, but also to an NGO, in
the form of the ARRL. After all, if you don't pay them, why do you have
to be ruled by them, and, if you do pay them, why do you allow them to
tell you what to do?

>>>The ARRL's only role in this has been to publicize the FCC's position. You can continue to pretend otherwise if it makes you feel better.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

745. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ...

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 06:44:22 UTC
From: George Galea
Topic: 745 Message: 745

This is it guys..... Enough is Enough, it is getting out of hand now.
This is a free WORLD, whoever does not like / agree with this mode etc..... simply move on please, plenty of other digi modes around. Polemics and arguments get people nowhere in life !

regards to all
Gorg
9H1AA

--- On Fri, 30/4/10, Dave AA6YQ <aa6yq@...> wrote:

From: Dave AA6YQ <aa6yq@...>
Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Date: Friday, 30 April, 2010, 3:23

□
>>>AA6YQ comments below
-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROUP@ yahoogroups. com]On Behalf Of Dave Ackrill
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 7:47 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Update please ... ROS legal in US?

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Dave AA6YQ wrote:
>> Dave, this recent trip around your mulberry bush was initiated by Jose repeating his fairy tale that the use of ROS is okay with the FCC. Since this misrepresentation could ultimately cost an American ham his or her license, a corrective response was appropriate.
>>
>> And Rein did address his post to Jose; he simply included parts of my post.
>
> No, it's not my mulberry bush, it's very firmly yours!
>
> Whatever fairy tale you think Jose is spinning, it has no relevance to
> me or any number of other user of these forums.

OK - I apologise, it must be difficult for those who have been told
that they they are born in the land of the free to be told that they
cannot do something that the rest of the world is allowed to do.
>>> Obviously you're not intent on terminating this thread. I have no burning interest in using spread spectrum technology on the HF bands. But if the fact that you can and I can't makes you leap with joy, then leap away.

It must also be difficult for those born in the land of the free to have to bend their will not only to the legislator, but also to an NGO, in the form of the ARRL. After all, if you don't pay them, why do you have to be ruled by them, and, if you do pay them, why do you allow them to tell you what to do?

>>>The ARRL's only role in this has been to publicize the FCC's position. You can continue to pretend otherwise if it makes you feel better.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

746. Wikipedia (delete Key allowed to be used)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 16:26:59 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 746 Message: 746

http://www.aa5au.com/gettingstarted/rtty_start_intro.htm

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frequency-hopping_spread_spectrum

http://encyclopedia2.thefreedictionary.com/Audio+fsk

http://www.ik3qar.it/rtty/3/

Just trying to find a solution and simulate the discussion.

73 Rein W6SZ

747. More deleting adviced.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 16:33:16 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 747 Message: 747

http://www.g4jnt.com/DDSVHFBeaconDriver.pdf

73 Rein W6SZ

748. ROS pilot tone frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 18:18:08 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 748 Message: 748

Hi all,

As a frequent listener of ROS signals, what is allowed I have been told, I would like to know what the frequencies are of the pilot tones for the BW's of 2250 Hz and the 500 Hz

Some observed users do not seem to understand that if the transmitted pilot tone is not between the RED marker lines at the display of the receiver's computer screen, no decoding takes place.

The math. for the 2250 Hz bandwidth

14.103.000 + pilot tone freq in Hz should be within

14.103.000 + 1300 and 14.103.000 + 1500 HZ

This would tell us that the pilot tone frequency is 1400 Hz.

True?

I hope this question is considered relevant on the Yahoo group.

Some digital users (WSPR) use the concept of "DIAL Frequency"

73 Rein W6SZ.

750. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 18:54:47 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 748 Message: 750

Hi Rein:

2250 Hz: Pilot tone 1500 Hz (14.103 Mhz + 1500 Hz)

500 Hz: Pilot tone 1000 Hz (10.144 Mhz + 1000 Hz)

De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,30 abril, 2010 20:19
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies

Hi all,

As a frequent listener of ROS signals, what is allowed I have been
told, I would like to know what the frequencies are of the pilot
tones for the BW's of 2250 Hz and the 500 Hz

Some observed users do not seem to understand that if the
transmitted pilot tone is not between the RED marker lines at
the display of the receiver's computer screen, no decoding takes place.

The math. for the 2250 Hz bandwidth

14.103.000 + pilot tone freq in Hz should be within

14.103.000 + 1300 and 14.103.000 + 1500 HZ

This would tell us that the pilot tone frequency is 1400 Hz.

True?

I hope this question is considered relevant on the Yahoo group.

Some digital users (WSPR) use the concept of "DIAL Frequency"

73 Rein W6SZ.

Hi Rein

751. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 19:06:54 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 748 Message: 751

OK Jose,

Thanks. I know I made a mistake, wanted to see and look
for any reaction other than from you. Thanks again

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: Apr 30, 2010 2:54 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>
>Hi Rein:
>
>2250 Hz: Pilot tone 1500 Hz (14.103 Mhz + 1500 Hz)
>
>500 Hz: Pilot tone 1000 Hz (10.144 Mhz + 1000 Hz)
>
>
>
>_____
>De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: vie,30 abril, 2010 20:19
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>
>
>Hi all,
>
>As a frequent listener of ROS signals, what is allowed I have been
>told, I would like to know what the frequencies are of the pilot
>tones for the BW's of 2250 Hz and the 500 Hz
>
>Some observed users do not seem to understand that if the
>transmitted pilot tone is not between the RED marker lines at
>the display of the receiver's computer screen, no decoding takes place.
>
>The math. for the 2250 Hz bandwidth
>
>14.103.000 + pilot tone freq in Hz should be within
>
>14.103.000 + 1300 and 14.103.000 + 1500 HZ
>
>This would tell us that the pilot tone frequency is 1400 Hz.
>

>True?
>
>I hope this question is considered relevant on the Yahoo group.
>
>Some digital users (WSPR) use the concept of "DIAL Frequency"
>
>73 Rein W6SZ.
>
>
>Hi Rein
>
>
>

752. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 19:17:46 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 748 Message: 752

Jose,

Did you change something?

Before:

Front end of message would be decoded properly and then
down the line decoding stops, S/N low , syncing lost etc etc

New version now this morning:

Now I see front end missing and decoding starting in the middle of
message. That is what I was talking about before.

BTW I am listening on a receiver on the east coast and signals
continuously drift in and out (QSB) most of the time borderline
S/N

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: Apr 30, 2010 2:54 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>
>Hi Rein:
>
>2250 Hz: Pilot tone 1500 Hz (14.103 Mhz + 1500 Hz)
>
>500 Hz: Pilot tone 1000 Hz (10.144 Mhz + 1000 Hz)
>
>
>
>_____
>De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: vie,30 abril, 2010 20:19
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>
>
>Hi all,
>
>As a frequent listener of ROS signals, what is allowed I have been
>told, I would like to know what the frequencies are of the pilot
>tones for the BW's of 2250 Hz and the 500 Hz
>

>Some observed users do not seem to understand that if the
>transmitted pilot tone is not between the RED marker lines at
>the display of the receiver's computer screen, no decoding takes place.
>
>The math. for the 2250 Hz bandwidth
>
>14.103.000 + pilot tone freq in Hz should be within
>
>14.103.000 + 1300 and 14.103.000 + 1500 HZ
>
>This would tell us that the pilot tone frequency is 1400 Hz.
>
>True?
>
>I hope this question is considered relevant on the Yahoo group.
>
>Some digital users (WSPR) use the concept of "DIAL Frequency"
>
>73 Rein W6SZ.
>
>
>Hi Rein
>
>
>

753. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 19:33:44 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 748 Message: 753

Hi Jose,

For whatever reason the "copy" efficiency towards the
end looks higher?

You should start thinking about the call id requirement.

We are all required (all hams world wide) to identify
in clear code (morse CW will do) at least once every
10 minutes.

You should look into this, it has nothing to do with US
regulations specific.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: Apr 30, 2010 2:54 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com

>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>
>Hi Rein:
>
>2250 Hz: Pilot tone 1500 Hz (14.103 Mhz + 1500 Hz)
>
>500 Hz: Pilot tone 1000 Hz (10.144 Mhz + 1000 Hz)
>
>
>
>_____
>De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: vie,30 abril, 2010 20:19
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>
>
>Hi all,
>
>As a frequent listener of ROS signals, what is allowed I have been
>told, I would like to know what the frequencies are of the pilot
>tones for the BW's of 2250 Hz and the 500 Hz
>
>Some observed users do not seem to understand that if the
>transmitted pilot tone is not between the RED marker lines at
>the display of the receiver's computer screen, no decoding takes place.

>
>The math. for the 2250 Hz bandwidth
>
>14.103.000 + pilot tone freq in Hz should be within
>
>14.103.000 + 1300 and 14.103.000 + 1500 HZ
>
>This would tell us that the pilot tone frequency is 1400 Hz.
>
>True?
>
>I hope this question is considered relevant on the Yahoo group.
>
>Some digital users (WSPR) use the concept of "DIAL Frequency"
>
>73 Rein W6SZ.
>
>
>Hi Rein
>
>
>

754. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 19:51:52 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 748 Message: 754

Hi, i don't change anything about this.

But, what you are speaking is just the messages of OH/DK4ZC generating interferences this morning. He stopped transmission using "ESC" instead STOP button. After, he has been generating interferences with OLIVIA 2000 that sound similar to ROS. So, i think you are a little confused.

RX16: <10:40 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103....de <CANCEL> <4 dB>
RX16: <10:41 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103....de <CANCEL> <3 dB>
RX16: <10:41 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103....de <CANCEL> <2 dB>
RX16: <10:42 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103....de <CANCEL> <2 dB>
RX16: <10:43 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103... <CANCEL> <2 dB>
RX16: <10:43 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! ....Use ONLY 1410 <CANCEL> <-1 dB>
RX16: <10:44 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! <CANCEL> <1 dB>
RX16: <10:44 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! <CANCEL> <3 dB>
RX16: <10:45 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! ....Use ONLY 1410 <CANCEL> <-6 dB>
RX16: <10:46 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! ....Use ONLY 1410 <CANCEL> <-13 dB>
RX16: <10:46 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! ....Use ONLY 1410 <CANCEL> <-5 dB>

De: "rein0zn@...com.com" <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,30 abril, 2010 21:17
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies

Jose,

Did you change something?

Before:

Front end of message would be decoded properly and then
down the line decoding stops, S/N low , syncing lost etc etc

New version now this morning:

Now I see front end missing and decoding starting in the middle of
message. That is what I was talking about before.

BTW I am listening on a receiver on the east coast and signals
continuously drift in and out (QSB) most of the time borderline
S/N

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
>Sent: Apr 30, 2010 2:54 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>
>Hi Rein:
>
>2250 Hz: Pilot tone 1500 Hz (14.103 Mhz + 1500 Hz)
>
>500 Hz: Pilot tone 1000 Hz (10.144 Mhz + 1000 Hz)
>
>
>
>_____ _____ _____ ___
>De: Rein A <rein0zn@.... com>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Enviado: vie,30 abril, 2010 20:19
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>
>
>Hi all,
>
>As a frequent listener of ROS signals, what is allowed I have been
>told, I would like to know what the frequencies are of the pilot
>tones for the BW's of 2250 Hz and the 500 Hz
>
>Some observed users do not seem to understand that if the
>transmitted pilot tone is not between the RED marker lines at
>the display of the receiver's computer screen, no decoding takes place.
>
>The math. for the 2250 Hz bandwidth
>
>14.103.000 + pilot tone freq in Hz should be within
>
>14.103.000 + 1300 and 14.103.000 + 1500 HZ
>
>This would tell us that the pilot tone frequency is 1400 Hz.
>
>True?
>
>I hope this question is considered relevant on the Yahoo group.
>
>Some digital users (WSPR) use the concept of "DIAL Frequency"
>
>73 Rein W6SZ.

>
>
>Hi Rein
>
>
>

755. CALL3 (Long)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 20:07:04 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 755 Message: 755

Hi Jose,

Part of the fun for radio amateurs is making valid
contacts (QSO's) under difficult conditions
and then confirm a QSO with a post card or other. (QSL)

There are certain requirements to make a QSO valid,
one such requirement is the we copy each other's callsigns
exchange some form of signal report, and a piece of unique
info.

EA3XXX EA3XXX EA3XXX de W6SZ W6SZ W6SZ k

we like to recover out of this line in my case "EA3XXX",
I know my call.

You like to recover "W6SZ" , you know already your own call.

Its basically the EME protocol that you are already using.

This protocol it used in most amateur weak signal communications.

That's why we might do 3 * 3 or 2 times 3 * 3 or eve more until
you get my call and I get yours .

So really all we need as a minimum out of all the transmitted
info is just the other parties call with certainty.

One might be sending one's own call until the other
party gets it, a certain amount of flexibility is important
at times.

The more time the decoder is working the higher the chances that
we recover that desired piece of info.

The strength of ROS in my opinion is that it allows for quick
exchanges, "surfing" the tops in propagation, same what can be done
with cw (morse code)

73 Rein W6SZ

756. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 20:12:28 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 748 Message: 756

Could be, and if you did not change anything it has to be,
but what I saw was not a question of another station.

I actually I saw the viterbi indicator come back up after it had
dipped out in the middle of the line.
I never noticed that before, and I am watching it a lot!

But then you know the program I don't

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: Apr 30, 2010 3:51 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>
>Hi, i don't change anything about this.
>
>But, what you are speaking is just the messages of OH/DK4ZC generating interferences this morning. He stopped transmission using "ESC" instead STOP button. After, he has been generating interferences with OLIVIA 2000 that sound similar to ROS. So, i think you are a little confused.
>
>RX16: <10:40 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103....de <CANCEL> <4 dB>
>RX16: <10:41 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103....de <CANCEL> <3 dB>
>RX16: <10:41 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103....de <CANCEL> <2 dB>
>RX16: <10:42 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103....de <CANCEL> <2 dB>
>RX16: <10:43 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103... <CANCEL> <2 dB>
>RX16: <10:43 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! ....Use ONLY 1410 <CANCEL> <-1 dB>
>RX16: <10:44 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! <CANCEL> <1 dB>
>RX16: <10:44 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! <CANCEL> <3 dB>
>RX16: <10:45 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! ....Use ONLY 1410 <CANCEL> <-6 dB>
>RX16: <10:46 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! ....Use ONLY 1410 <CANCEL> <-13 dB>
>RX16: <10:46 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! ....Use ONLY 1410 <CANCEL> <-5 dB>
>
>

>
>
>
>_____
>De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: vie,30 abril, 2010 21:17
>Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>
>
>Jose,
>
>Did you change something?
>
>Before:
>
>Front end of message would be decoded properly and then
>down the line decoding stops, S/N low , syncing lost etc etc
>
>New version now this morning:
>
>Now I see front end missing and decoding starting in the middle of
>message. That is what I was talking about before.
>
>BTW I am listening on a receiver on the east coast and signals
>continuously drift in and out (QSB) most of the time borderline
>S/N
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>-----Original Message-----
>>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
>>Sent: Apr 30, 2010 2:54 PM
>>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>>
>>Hi Rein:
>>
>>2250 Hz: Pilot tone 1500 Hz (14.103 Mhz + 1500 Hz)
>>
>>500 Hz: Pilot tone 1000 Hz (10.144 Mhz + 1000 Hz)
>>
>>
>>
>>_____ _____ _____ ___
>>De: Rein A <rein0zn@.... com>
>>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Enviado: vie,30 abril, 2010 20:19
>>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>>

>>
>>Hi all,
>>
>>As a frequent listener of ROS signals, what is allowed I have been
>>told, I would like to know what the frequencies are of the pilot
>>tones for the BW's of 2250 Hz and the 500 Hz
>>
>>Some observed users do not seem to understand that if the
>>transmitted pilot tone is not between the RED marker lines at
>>the display of the receiver's computer screen, no decoding takes place.
>>
>>The math. for the 2250 Hz bandwidth
>>
>>14.103.000 + pilot tone freq in Hz should be within
>>
>>14.103.000 + 1300 and 14.103.000 + 1500 HZ
>>
>>This would tell us that the pilot tone frequency is 1400 Hz.
>>
>>True?
>>
>>I hope this question is considered relevant on the Yahoo group.
>>
>>Some digital users (WSPR) use the concept of "DIAL Frequency"
>>
>>73 Rein W6SZ.
>>
>>
>>Hi Rein
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

757. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 20:44:26 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 748 Message: 757

Perphaps it will be a little detail, but.....Viterbi Meter changed some days ago. Now Viterbi is from left to right, instead right to left.

Did you know it?

De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,30 abril, 2010 22:12
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS pilot tone frequencies

Could be, and if you did not change anything it has to be,
but what I saw was not a question of another station.

I actually I saw the viterbi indicator come back up after it had
dipped out in the middle of the line.
I never noticed that before, and I am watching it a lot!

But then you know the program I don't

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo. es>
>Sent: Apr 30, 2010 3:51 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>
>Hi, i don't change anything about this.
>
>But, what you are speaking is just the messages of OH/DK4ZC generating interferences this morning. He stopped transmission using "ESC" instead STOP button. After, he has been generating interferences with OLIVIA 2000 that sound similar to ROS. So, i think you are a little confused.
>
>RX16: <10:40 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103....de
<CANCEL> <4 dB>
>RX16: <10:41 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103....de
<CANCEL> <3 dB>
>RX16: <10:41 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103....de
<CANCEL> <2 dB>
>RX16: <10:42 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103....de
<CANCEL> <2 dB>
>RX16: <10:43 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! Use ONLY 14103...
<CANCEL> <2 dB>
>RX16: <10:43 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! ....Use ONLY 1410
<CANCEL> <-1 dB>

>RX16: <10:44 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! <CANCEL> <1 dB>
>RX16: <10:44 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! <CANCEL> <3 dB>
>RX16: <10:45 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! ....Use ONLY 1410
<CANCEL> <-6 dB>
>RX16: <10:46 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! ....Use ONLY 1410
<CANCEL> <-13 dB>
>RX16: <10:46 UTC> <15,6 Hz> No ROS qrm on Olivia frequency 14106! ....Use ONLY 1410
<CANCEL> <-5 dB>
>
>
>
>
>
>_____ _____ _____ ___
>De: "rein0zn@.... com" <rein0zn@.... com>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Enviado: vie,30 abril, 2010 21:17
>Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>
>
>Jose,
>
>Did you change something?
>
>Before:
>
>Front end of message would be decoded properly and then
>down the line decoding stops, S/N low , syncing lost etc etc
>
>New version now this morning:
>
>Now I see front end missing and decoding starting in the middle of
>message. That is what I was talking about before.
>
>BTW I am listening on a receiver on the east coast and signals
>continuously drift in and out (QSB) most of the time borderline
>S/N
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>-----Original Message-----
>>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ yahoo. es>
>>Sent: Apr 30, 2010 2:54 PM
>>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>>
>>Hi Rein:
>>
>>2250 Hz: Pilot tone 1500 Hz (14.103 Mhz + 1500 Hz)
>>

>>500 Hz: Pilot tone 1000 Hz (10.144 Mhz + 1000 Hz)
>>
>>
>>
>>_____ _ _____ _____ ___
>>De: Rein A <rein0zn@.... com>
>>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>>Enviado: vie,30 abril, 2010 20:19
>>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS pilot tone frequencies
>>
>>
>>Hi all,
>>
>>As a frequent listener of ROS signals, what is allowed I have been
>>told, I would like to know what the frequencies are of the pilot
>>tones for the BW's of 2250 Hz and the 500 Hz
>>
>>Some observed users do not seem to understand that if the
>>transmitted pilot tone is not between the RED marker lines at
>>the display of the receiver's computer screen, no decoding takes place.
>>
>>The math. for the 2250 Hz bandwidth
>>
>>14.103.000 + pilot tone freq in Hz should be within
>>
>>14.103.000 + 1300 and 14.103.000 + 1500 HZ
>>
>>This would tell us that the pilot tone frequency is 1400 Hz.
>>
>>True?
>>
>>I hope this question is considered relevant on the Yahoo group.
>>
>>Some digital users (WSPR) use the concept of "DIAL Frequency"
>>
>>73 Rein W6SZ.
>>
>>
>>Hi Rein
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

758. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-04-30 23:47:30 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 758

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.5.1 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.5.1%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

759. Version 3.5.1 Problem

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-01 03:38:11 UTC
From: Geo
Topic: 759 Message: 759

Hi Jose,
When running the new version, I receive a message that says:

Device input not available

Then when I hit OK, I receive the message:

Run-time error 6 Overflow.

When I hit OK, the program closes.

Previous version did not have this problem. My computer is Win XP (SP3) 3 GHz, 1GB RAM.

Thanks for your help, Jose.

Regards,
George

760. CAT with the Kenwood TS2000

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-01 07:42:20 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 760 Message: 760

I see that the latest version of ROS (3.5.1) has implemented the Ominrig CAT control system.

Owners of the Kenwood TS2000 will need to remember that the way that Kenwood have programmed the PTT via the CAT control on the TS2000 can cause problems with data modes that are being fed via ACC2 on the rear of the TS2000.

If you use CAT PTT on the TS2000 the radio will expect audio in on the front microphone socket, not via the ACC2 connector. This means that if you implement CAT control through ROS and the Omnirig system and try to use the ACC2 socket, which is the usual socket to plug a data interface into the TS2000, then you will transmit little or no audio. Any that you do transmit is likely to be cross talk between the microphone and ACC2 audio input connectors.

This is a very well known feature of the TS2000 but there are always people about who get tripped up by this issue when they get a TS2000 for the 1st time and try to implement CAT PTT whilst trying to use ACC2 for data mode audio input.

Dave (G0DJA)

761. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Version 3.5.1 Problem

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-01 08:44:23 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 759 Message: 761

Delete the file C:\windows\ROS.INI

De: Geo <nj3h@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,1 mayo, 2010 05:38
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Version 3.5.1 Problem

Hi Jose,
When running the new version, I receive a message that says:

Device input not available

Then when I hit OK, I receive the message:

Run-time error 6 Overflow.

When I hit OK, the program closes.

Previous version did not have this problem. My computer is Win XP (SP3) 3 GHz, 1GB RAM.

Thanks for your help, Jose.

Regards,
George

762. Beta v 3.5.2

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-02 03:13:52 UTC
From: porterockwell@hotmail.com
Topic: 729 Message: 762

Freq list errors

1843 should be 1823
14109 missing
14115 among list??

First time starting v3.5.2 es then closing caused "run-time error 91 object variable or with block not set". I have
repeated this error a couple of times.

rgrds
kq6i
Craig

763. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Beta v 3.5.2

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-02 09:11:22 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 729 Message: 763

Hi Craig,

I have changed 14109 by 14115.

About Run-time error send me a ScreenShot, please.

After, try delete c:\windows\ROS.INI

De: "porterockwell@..." <porterockwell@hotmail.com>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,2 mayo, 2010 05:13
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Beta v 3.5.2

Freq list errors

1843 should be 1823
14109 missing
14115 among list??

First time starting v3.5.2 es then closing caused "run-time error 91 object variable or with block not set". I have
repeated this error a couple of times.

rgrds
kq6i
Craig

764. ROS v3.5.2 Beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-02 10:33:57 UTC
From: Francesco Piccone
Topic: 764 Message: 764

Nueva versio ROS 3.5.2
http://rosmodem.wordpress.com:80/
YV4GJN

765. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-02 17:06:27 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 765

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.5.2 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.5.2%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

766. ROS!!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-02 21:28:35 UTC
From: Francesco Piccone
Topic: 766 Message: 766

Estacione contactadas en ROS Antena BB7V 30 Wts Out Put
DL2MHA
F4ARB
EA1HDB
SV7LWV/2
G3VCG
Estaciones contactadas en ROS Antena Carolina Windom Short 30 Wts Out Put
ON/PA3BVK
RN6AM
EA1FBU
F4ERG
I5KBS
CO2DC
Del 01 05 al 02 05 del 2010
73
Francesco
YV4GJN
FK50xf

767. ROS 3.5.2 PTT lock up

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-03 10:31:52 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 767 Message: 767

Hi,

I very much like the CAT control in the latest ROS. However I have a problem after sending the message, and switching back to RX I get an error message which say "Rig not responding" and my Icom 756 III stays in TX. Any ideas please. I normally use the PSK interface with MixW etc and all is OK.

Regards Alan G8XLH

768. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS 3.5.2 PTT lock up

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-03 11:23:12 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 767 Message: 768

g8xlh wrote:
> Hi,
>
> I very much like the CAT control in the latest ROS. However I have a problem after sending the message, and switching back to RX I get an error message which say "Rig not responding" and my Icom 756 III stays in TX. Any ideas please. I normally use the PSK interface with MixW etc and all is OK.
>

Weel known problem with many Icoms is that Icom did not put a PTT command in the control program.

So might be worth checking how the TX PTT is being operated with your interface and what is being sent as the PTT control. You may need to play with the RTS/DTS line settings.

Dave (G0DJA)

769. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS 3.5.2 PTT lock up

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-03 13:46:02 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 767 Message: 769

If you use a psk interface you have only a switching device for the ptt in the interface . so no ptt via cat .

You should switch of cat control in ros and use the soft like before cat was implemented

Hope this helps

Dg9bfc

Sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von g8xlh
Gesendet: Montag, 3. Mai 2010 10:32
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS 3.5.2 PTT lock up

Hi,

I very much like the CAT control in the latest ROS. However I have a problem after sending the message, and switching back to RX I get an error message which say "Rig not responding" and my Icom 756 III stays in TX. Any ideas please. I normally use the PSK interface with MixW etc and all is OK.

Regards Alan G8XLH

770. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS 3.5.2 PTT lock up

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-03 14:28:00 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 767 Message: 770

>>>AA6YQ comments below

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Dave Ackrill
Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 7:23 AM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS 3.5.2 PTT lock up

g8xlh wrote:
> Hi,
>
> I very much like the CAT control in the latest ROS. However I have a problem after sending the message, and switching back to RX I get an error message which say "Rig not responding" and my Icom 756 III stays in TX. Any ideas please. I normally use the PSK interface with MixW etc and all is OK.
>

Weel known problem with many Icoms is that Icom did not put a PTT command in the control program.

>>>The Icom 756Pro III can be switched between RX and TX using a CI-V command. If the transceiver is switching from RX to TX under software control but not switch back to RX, try temporarily lowering the RF power output to 0 to see if RFI is a factor.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

771. Re: ROS 3.5.2 PTT lock up

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-03 15:36:05 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 767 Message: 771

Hi All,

It does seem to be power/frequency related. If I run about 5 watts all is OK Is it possible to still have frequency control, but without the CAT PPT function as I am using the Signal Link USB to do the switching ?

regards

Alan

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Dave AA6YQ" <aa6yq@...> wrote:
>
> >>>AA6YQ comments below
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Dave Ackrill
> Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 7:23 AM
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS 3.5.2 PTT lock up
>
>
>
> g8xlh wrote:
> > Hi,
> >
> > I very much like the CAT control in the latest ROS. However I have a
> problem after sending the message, and switching back to RX I get an error
> message which say "Rig not responding" and my Icom 756 III stays in TX. Any
> ideas please. I normally use the PSK interface with MixW etc and all is OK.
> >
>
> Weel known problem with many Icoms is that Icom did not put a PTT command in
> the control program.
>
> >>>The Icom 756Pro III can be switched between RX and TX using a CI-V
> command. If the transceiver is switching from RX to TX under software
> control but not switch back to RX, try temporarily lowering the RF power
> output to 0 to see if RFI is a factor.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>

772. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS 3.5.2 PTT lock up

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-03 17:03:13 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 767 Message: 772

>>>AA6YQ comments below

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of g8xlh
Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 11:36 AM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS 3.5.2 PTT lock up

Hi All,

It does seem to be power/frequency related. If I run about 5 watts all is OK

>>>If you want to run more than 5 watts, you'll need to better protect the
signals entering and leaving your Signal Link USB. Try wrapping each of them
around a toroid.

73,
Dave, AA6YQ

773. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-04 03:45:17 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 773

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.5.3 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.5.3%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

774. PTT lockup solved

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-09 21:28:14 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 774 Message: 774

Hi All,

I am pleased to report that the new release of ROS V3.5.8 has solved my problems, so I can now use the PTT switching of the signal link USB and the CAT to control just the frequency of the rig.

Very Much appreciated

Regards G8XLH Alan

775. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] PTT lockup solved

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-09 22:35:24 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 774 Message: 775

Thanks for your patience

De: g8xlh <a.ralph4@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,9 mayo, 2010 23:28
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] PTT lockup solved

Hi All,

I am pleased to report that the new release of ROS V3.5.8 has solved my problems, so I can now use the PTT switching of the signal link USB and the CAT to control just the frequency of the rig.

Very Much appreciated

Regards G8XLH Alan

776. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-14 14:29:00 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 776

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.6.1 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.6.1%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

777. ROS for netbook pc ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-19 21:45:00 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 777 Message: 777

Hi,

I have an ASUS eeepc loaded with windows xp. I have loaded ROS onto the pc, but the screen resolution is 800x480, and I can not display the lower part of the screen. Would it be possible to have a version of ROS for netbooks, perhaps where the meters are either made smaller, or bargraphs, so that it will fit on the screen ?

Regards

Alan

778. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS for netbook pc ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-19 23:30:42 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 777 Message: 778

For netbooks the option is set resolution of 1200x600.

Then you will see all except tha Waterfall.

De: g8xlh <a.ralph4@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,19 mayo, 2010 23:45
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS for netbook pc ?

Hi,

I have an ASUS eeepc loaded with windows xp. I have loaded ROS onto the pc, but the screen resolution is 800x480, and I can not display the lower part of the screen. Would it be possible to have a version of ROS for netbooks, perhaps where the meters are either made smaller, or bargraphs, so that it will fit on the screen ?

Regards

Alan

779. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-20 00:41:58 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 779

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.6.5 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.6.5%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

780. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-21 01:44:51 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 780

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.6.6 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.6.6%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

781. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-22 02:25:51 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 781

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.6.7 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.6.7%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

782. Problem with build 3.6.7

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-22 06:40:03 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 782 Message: 782

Hi,

I have just downloaded version 3.6.7 and when I go to the menu to configure the RIG I get an error message runtime 713. Class not registered. Missing file MSSDFMT.DLL

If i revert back to 3.6.5 all is OK. the operating system is XP.

Can you help please ?

Regards

Alan G8XLH

783. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Problem with build 3.6.7

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-22 09:26:04 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 782 Message: 783

Download ROS 3.6.8 and run "Install.exe"

De: g8xlh <a.ralph4@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,22 mayo, 2010 08:40
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Problem with build 3.6.7

Hi,

I have just downloaded version 3.6.7 and when I go to the menu to configure the RIG I get an error message runtime 713. Class not registered. Missing file MSSDFMT.DLL

If i revert back to 3.6.5 all is OK. the operating system is XP.

Can you help please ?

Regards

Alan G8XLH

784. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-22 13:08:08 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 784

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.6.8 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.6.8%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

785. Problem with build 3.6.7/8/9

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-22 22:35:09 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 785 Message: 785

Hi,

I still have problems with the 3 latest builds.
I have run the install program etc
I still get the error message file MSSTDFMT.dll is missing.
Version 3.6.5 still works OK.

Any help please ?

Regards

Alan G8XLH

786. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Problem with build 3.6.7/8/9

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-22 23:14:14 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 785 Message: 786

Download version 7 and run install.exe

De: g8xlh <a.ralph4@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,23 mayo, 2010 00:35
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Problem with build 3.6.7/8/9

Hi,

I still have problems with the 3 latest builds.
I have run the install program etc
I still get the error message file MSSTDFMT.dll is missing.
Version 3.6.5 still works OK.

Any help please ?

Regards

Alan G8XLH

787. Re: Problem with build 3.6.7/8/9

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-23 04:47:01 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 785 Message: 787

Many thanks, version 7 is now working well
Regards

Alan G8XLH

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> Download version 7 and run install.exe
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: g8xlh <a.ralph4@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,23 mayo, 2010 00:35
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Problem with build 3.6.7/8/9
>
> Â
> Hi,
>
> I still have problems with the 3 latest builds.
> I have run the install program etc
> I still get the error message file MSSTDFMT.dll is missing.
> Version 3.6.5 still works OK.
>
> Any help please ?
>
> Regards
>
> Alan G8XLH
>

788. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-23 12:39:34 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 788

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.7.0 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.7.0%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

789. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-25 02:19:13 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 789

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS_v3.7.2_Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS_v3.7.2_Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

790. SS, ROS and FCC

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-27 20:02:16 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 790 Message: 790

Hello All,

In the past, there have been discussions here about the use of ROS in the US.

I, for one, still do not really understand why ROS is not allowed, and wonder whether a new proposal has any bearing on the situation.

If there is a change in the rules, I would expect in the statement regarding the use of SS, a reference to the used frequency spectrum, if nothing else.

I am fully aware of the ROS software designer's views in this matter.

Also, we all know that the FCC never ruled ROS to be SS, but stated the the designer stated it to be SS. The designer has not been able to convince or tried to convince the FCC otherwise.

Here is the FCC statement:

Mr. Bill Cross then discussed another NPRM, WT Docket No 10-62, concerning power limits for stations transmitting a spread spectrum (SS) emission. Acting on a 2006 ARRL Petition regarding spread spectrum issues, the FCC is proposing to amend Part 97 to facilitate the use of spread spectrum communications technologies by eliminating the requirement that amateur stations use automatic power control (APC) to reduce transmitter power when the station transmits an SS emission and reducing the maximum transmitter power output when transmitting a SS emission. Cross said the FCC's proposal is two-fold: To eliminate the automatic power control requirement in the rules now, and limit stations transmitting a SS emission to a maximum power of 10 W PEP.

Spread spectrum techniques are methods by which the information signal of a particular bandwidth is intentionally spread in the frequency domain. At any point of bandwidth the SS emission occupies, either the power spectral density of the transmitted signal is reduced to a comparatively low level or the duration of a transmission on any frequency in the frequency segment is very brief. Consequently, stations in the same area can transmit SS signals without causing harmful interference to or experiencing harmful interference from each other or a station transmitting a non-SS signal over the same spectrum segment.

Back in 1985, the FCC authorized Amateur Radio stations to transmit SS emissions with a maximum transmitter power limit of 100 W PEP. To emphasize the experimental nature of spread spectrum as well as some of the potential benefits associated with it, the Commission authorized such transmissions on a secondary basis to other amateur service communications. At that time, the Commission noted that "to reduce the likelihood that SS transmissions from an amateur station could be made for the purpose of obscuring the meaning of a message, the Commission permitted only frequency hopping and direct sequence spreading techniques." Fourteen years later, the FCC eliminated restrictions on spreading techniques "to allow amateur stations greater flexibility and permit them to use the SS techniques used in other communications services." The Commission also required stations

transmitting SS communications with a transmitter power greater than 1 W to utilize APC to limit the transmitter power in accordance with a specific formula.

In 2006, the ARRL petitioned the FCC, requesting that the APC requirement be eliminated, asserting that the APC provision has proven to be "virtually impossible" as it requires the operators of the transmitting stations to determine the transmitter power received at distant receivers and that this requirement has proven to be "something of a barrier to SS experimentation." The League further contended that the APC requirement could be eliminated without increasing the risk of harmful interference. The FCC is proposing to eliminate the APC requirement and reduce the maximum transmitter power output amateur stations may use when transmitting SS communications from 100 W to a peak of 10 W. "We believe that this approach is consistent with both the ARRL's request that we eliminate a requirement that may be impossible to implement and the intent of the APC requirement to limit interference to other stations, the FCC stated in the NPRM.

"These changes are published in the Federal Register [on Friday, May 14], Cross pointed out. "Comments on the proposed rule changes are due June 20; reply comments are due on July 5. There also were three comments in this docket as of Wednesday, May 12."

73 Rein W6SZ

791. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] SS, ROS and FCC

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-27 20:13:47 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 790 Message: 791

Rein A wrote:
> Hello All,
>
> In the past, there have been discussions here about the use of ROS in
> the US.
>
> I, for one, still do not really understand why ROS is not allowed,
> and wonder whether a new proposal has any bearing on the situation.
>
> If there is a change in the rules, I would expect in the statement regarding the use of
SS, a reference to the used frequency spectrum, if nothing else.

Once again, I'm glad to not be a UK citizen and not a US citizen.

This being the case, and the problems apparently being all internal to
the USA, would this not be better taken to a USA only ROS forum?

After all, the rest of us are not suffering from the same problems, and
I would guess that the USA Amateurs on here would not want to have to
live through so many non-USA issues if the problem was the other way
about...

Dave (G0DJA)

792. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] SS, ROS and FCC

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-27 20:19:13 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 790 Message: 792

Dave Ackrill wrote:

> Once again, I'm glad to not be a UK citizen and not a US citizen.

Sorry, I added a double negative. I meant that I was glad to be a UK citizen, not a US citizen in this case.

I'll put my OSU cap on back to front to deny that I went to the Hamvention and Tampa for a full 30 minutes as penance...

Dave (G0DJA)

793. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-27 21:13:28 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 793

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.7.5 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.7.5%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

794. Re: SS, ROS and FCC

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-28 11:15:24 UTC
From: dl6xaz
Topic: 790 Message: 794

Dave, - even that way I think you have forfeited any OBE for at least a year :-))
Seriously, your statement is fully supported. And I wonder why readers do not use the search function more often? Then they could spare themselves trying to revive a dead horse.
cul vy73 Fred DL6XAZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Dave Ackrill wrote:
>
> > Once again, I'm glad to not be a UK citizen and not a US citizen.
>
> Sorry, I added a double negative. I meant that I was glad to be a UK
> citizen, not a US citizen in this case.
>
> I'll put my OSU cap on back to front to deny that I went to the
> Hamvention and Tampa for a full 30 minutes as penance...
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

795. New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-28 22:22:56 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 795 Message: 795

Enter your vote today! A new poll has been created for the
ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP group:

What is the purpose of the Yahoo ROS Modem Group?

o Be silent and read daily software updates announcements.
o Attacjk peope trying to find the solution for a credibility problem created by the autthor of the program
o Project an Anti Amrican Radio Amateur bias
o Tell subscribers to Google "ROS MODEM FCC"

To vote, please visit the following web page:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/surveys?id=2974196

Note: Please do not reply to this message. Poll votes are
not collected via email. To vote, you must go to the Yahoo! Groups
web site listed above.

Thanks!

796. Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-28 22:36:12 UTC
From: Howard
Topic: 795 Message: 796

Who is the idiot who created this poll?

Howard
VK4BS

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com wrote:
>
>
> Enter your vote today! A new poll has been created for the
> ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP group:
>
> What is the purpose of the Yahoo ROS Modem Group?
>
> o Be silent and read daily software updates announcements.
> o Attacjk peope trying to find the solution for a credibility problem created by the
autthor of the program
> o Project an Anti Amrican Radio Amateur bias
> o Tell subscribers to Google "ROS MODEM FCC"
>
>
> To vote, please visit the following web page:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/surveys?id=2974196
>
> Note: Please do not reply to this message. Poll votes are
> not collected via email. To vote, you must go to the Yahoo! Groups
> web site listed above.
>
> Thanks!
>

797. CATERING TO ROS DIGITAL MODEM OVER RADIO

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-28 22:40:57 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 797 Message: 797

HI All,

I have created a poll to find the meaning of:

"CATERING TO ROS DIGITAL MODEM OVER RADIO"

Using ROS what I like to do, presents a problem for me and I hope to find some form of a solution for this on this particular reflector.

73 Rein W6SZ

798. CATERING TO ROS DIGITAL MODEM OVER RADIO

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-28 22:40:42 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 797 Message: 798

HI All,

I have created a poll to find the meaning of:

"CATERING TO ROS DIGITAL MODEM OVER RADIO"

Using ROS what I like to do, presents a problem for me and I hope to find some form of a solution for this on this particular reflector.

73 Rein W6SZ

799. Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-28 22:46:31 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 795 Message: 799

Howard I did, what is your problem? Perhaps you should GOOGLE,
"ROS MODEM FCC" as has been suggested to me to do and you would know
what my problem is. I am looking for a solution, OK?

73 Rein W6SS

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Howard" <howard@...> wrote:
>
> Who is the idiot who created this poll?
>
> Howard
> VK4BS
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > Enter your vote today! A new poll has been created for the
> > ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP group:
> >
> > What is the purpose of the Yahoo ROS Modem Group?
> >
> > o Be silent and read daily software updates announcements.
> > o Attacjk peope trying to find the solution for a credibility problem created by the
autthor of the program
> > o Project an Anti Amrican Radio Amateur bias
> > o Tell subscribers to Google "ROS MODEM FCC"
> >
> >
> > To vote, please visit the following web page:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/surveys?id=2974196
> >
> > Note: Please do not reply to this message. Poll votes are
> > not collected via email. To vote, you must go to the Yahoo! Groups
> > web site listed above.
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
>

800. New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-28 23:02:13 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 795 Message: 800

Enter your vote today! A new poll has been created for the
ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP group:

What is the purpose of the Yahoo ROS Digital MODEM Group

o Be Silent and watch the announcements for the program updates
o Discuss and find problems with ROS and the use of the protocol.
o Projecting an anti US bias in particuar regarding amateurs operating in the US
o Promoting the Internet Search of the expression "ROS MODEM FCC"
o Getting around a credibility problem of the program's author .

To vote, please visit the following web page:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/surveys?id=2974172

Note: Please do not reply to this message. Poll votes are
not collected via email. To vote, you must go to the Yahoo! Groups
web site listed above.

Thanks!

801. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-28 23:14:20 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 795 Message: 801

Howard wrote:
> Who is the idiot who created this poll?
>
> Howard
> VK4BS
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com wrote:
>>
>> Enter your vote today! A new poll has been created for the
>> ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP group:
>>
>> What is the purpose of the Yahoo ROS Modem Group?
>>
>> o Be silent and read daily software updates announcements.
>> o Attacjk peope trying to find the solution for a credibility problem created by the autthor of the program
>> o Project an Anti Amrican Radio Amateur bias
>> o Tell subscribers to Google "ROS MODEM FCC"

Or, another option, get a software dictionary?

The aim of the group is to discuss the use of the ROS program, the question and three of the options listed relate to the non-use of the program and are, in my opinion, off topic here. The first seems to be a problem that the questioner has with people who are ignoring him. Perhaps they were the ones with the best idea after all.

I definitely vote that this group is not intended to sort out the licensing (or licencing, if you prefer) requirements of any country, especially not the requirements in the USA.

The issue raised is almost probably unique to the USA and has been done to death already, so the anti-USA feeling is probably that we want people to go and ask their authorities and stop asking on here as we don't know the answer. So please, go and ask the FCC or the ARRL, we don't know, as most of us don't live in the USA and probably couldn't care less what the law in the USA says anyway...

I'll tell you what the law in the UK says, if you want, but I'm sure that would bore people who don't live in the UK as much as the discussions about the law in the USA bores me.

Dave (G0DJA)

802. New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-28 23:17:57 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 795 Message: 802

Enter your vote today! A new poll has been created for the
ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP group:

Do you want continuous discussions about the Amateur Radio regulations relating only to the USA on this group?

o Yes
o No

To vote, please visit the following web page:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/surveys?id=2974200

Note: Please do not reply to this message. Poll votes are
not collected via email. To vote, you must go to the Yahoo! Groups
web site listed above.

Thanks!

803. Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-28 23:26:09 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 795 Message: 803

Fair question! No problem,

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com wrote:
>
>
> Enter your vote today! A new poll has been created for the
> ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP group:
>
> Do you want continuous discussions about the Amateur Radio regulations relating only to the USA on this group?
>
> o Yes
> o No
>
>
> To vote, please visit the following web page:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/surveys?id=2974200
>
> Note: Please do not reply to this message. Poll votes are
> not collected via email. To vote, you must go to the Yahoo! Groups
> web site listed above.
>
> Thanks!
>

805. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 00:11:16 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 795 Message: 805

Rein A wrote:
>
> Fair question! No problem,

OK Rein,

I'll tell you how many vote on my poll, and what they vote for, if you will say what votes you get for your poll question.

I'm guessing that not many people will vote on your or my polls.

Dave (G0DJA)

807. Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 05:36:22 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 795 Message: 807

Hi David,

I guess you will be right. Whether you like what I am after
or not, this is not a very active board. and stating one's opinion
or even vision is not the popular thing to do these days.

David, I am not after discussing the rules, I am after knowledge,
reasons, explanations to prove for myself that I can use ROS without
problems for my license or worse.

What I am trying to do is stimulate the discussion about the central
question. We here, have been told that we should be able to determine
individually whether we can use ROS or not. Nobody official has
ever made a clean statement in my opinion.

I really like to use ROS for a number of reasons.

I have tried many a time here to find an answer why ROS is considered SS. Most of the times
one gets answers about the author and his actions.

See:

http://www.nonstopsystems.com/radio/radio-sounds.html

part of my source for questions. all that is legal it seems.

I keep assuming that there is the knowledge on this board that
can provide me with those basic answers.

I am not interested about the author's problems, etc etc.

The author keeps telling me that I should be the first one to know that ROS is NO SS. He
might even send a lawyer after me if I dare to ask the question!

I hope you can understand what I am after. Just a solid basis to
use ROS, a basis that I could defend if it were to come to that.

I am not interested to post here, but I would like to hear from
somebody knowledgeable who contacted me and said it is SS because
of this and that property, no public domain, semi random coding,
covering up the message, etc etc.

That's what I like to know and understand. Not that the author is this
or that. so that if I were to be asked I could answer after looked, asked, researched this
and that I felt I had done my serious home work an came to this or that conclusion.

Of course the author could probably fix this problem if he tried.

I do not think there is a real problem if he apologized and explained
the process

I have tried to question the author over and over but do not get
straight detailed answers and besides that have gotten the advices to stay away from the
case.

I understand you might get tired of the subject but I hope to get
answers.

On the poll, I will let you know in a couple of days.

What is most frustrating to me is that there seems to be no interest
in the subject from this side of the pont.

And if you want me to finish this, I will stop it, it really does
mot seems to get me anywhere anyway.

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Rein A wrote:
> >
> > Fair question! No problem,
>
> OK Rein,
>
> I'll tell you how many vote on my poll, and what they vote for, if you
> will say what votes you get for your poll question.
>
> I'm guessing that not many people will vote on your or my polls.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

808. Re: SS, ROS and FCC

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 07:52:30 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 790 Message: 808

I would like to add that I believe that getting ROS approved is worthwhile. Whilst I have no first hand knowledge of the "politics" of US ham life, I can say that as an experimenter with ROS, there have been a number of incarnations over time that make it seem less and less likely to be SS - mind you I am no expert, so may well deserve to be shot down in flames!

I believe that it is developing into being a real competitor to PSK - without the power loading problems that PSK has. For example I have had a solid 40m contact between VK and CO using 25W and the new 7/125 MF mode in the last week. Pretty good for VK in winter I'd say. I also had a 1/500 QSO with Bernie, DV5VO a couple of days ago on 20m.

I have contacted Jose about the importance of the ARRL and the FCC in getting ROS approved - and even went as far as getting my sister in law to translate my email into Spanish. Frankly I hope common sense prevails in all quarters in the end, and that it does get approved.

Tim
VK4YEH

---

809. Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 08:02:24 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 795 Message: 809

I for one wont be putting in a vote for either poll.

The first one does not give an option such as:
"discuss the use of ros and get help and advice with any problems experienced"

The second one is pointless because discussion about the legality of ros - and how to achieve that - are fundamental to the continued growth it its use.

Tim
VK4YEH

--

810. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 14:15:03 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 795 Message: 810

Rein,

Instead doing polls, why don't you ask to your experts friends of ARRL what it is the meaning of "The MT63 signal is spread both in the time domain (temporally) and the frequency domain (spectrally). " We are anxious to know it.

Why dont you do the same that N3TL and send an email to FCC asking if MT63 is legal? perhaps FCC can explain you what is the different.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MT63

MT63 implements an elaborate set of error correction techniques. It uses a Walsh function that spreads the data bits of each character across all 64 of the tones of the signal spectrum and simultaneously repeats the information over a period of 64 symbols within any one tone.

* The MT63 signal is spread both in the time domain (temporally) and the frequency domain (spectrally). To ensure that noise bursts and other time domain interference artifacts have minimal effect, each encoded character is spread over 32 sequential symbols (3.2 sec).
* To ensure that frequency domain effects, such as selective fading and carrier interference have minimal effect, the character is also spread spectrally by using all the tones across the width of the transmission.

De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,29 mayo, 2010 07:36
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Hi David,

I guess you will be right. Whether you like what I am after
or not, this is not a very active board. and stating one's opinion
or even vision is not the popular thing to do these days.

David, I am not after discussing the rules, I am after knowledge,
reasons, explanations to prove for myself that I can use ROS without
problems for my license or worse.

What I am trying to do is stimulate the discussion about the central
question. We here, have been told that we should be able to determine
individually whether we can use ROS or not. Nobody official has
ever made a clean statement in my opinion.

I really like to use ROS for a number of reasons.

I have tried many a time here to find an answer why ROS is considered SS. Most of the times one gets answers about the author and his actions.

See:

http://www.nonstopsystems.com/radio/radio-sounds.html

part of my source for questions. all that is legal it seems.

I keep assuming that there is the knowledge on this board that
can provide me with those basic answers.

I am not interested about the author's problems, etc etc.

The author keeps telling me that I should be the first one to know that ROS is NO SS. He might even send a lawyer after me if I dare to ask the question!

I hope you can understand what I am after. Just a solid basis to
use ROS, a basis that I could defend if it were to come to that.

I am not interested to post here, but I would like to hear from
somebody knowledgeable who contacted me and said it is SS because
of this and that property, no public domain, semi random coding,
covering up the message, etc etc.

That's what I like to know and understand. Not that the author is this
or that. so that if I were to be asked I could answer after looked, asked, researched this and that I felt I had done my serious home work an came to this or that conclusion.

Of course the author could probably fix this problem if he tried.

I do not think there is a real problem if he apologized and explained
the process

I have tried to question the author over and over but do not get
straight detailed answers and besides that have gotten the advices to stay away from the case.

I understand you might get tired of the subject but I hope to get
answers.

On the poll, I will let you know in a couple of days.

What is most frustrating to me is that there seems to be no interest
in the subject from this side of the pont.

And if you want me to finish this, I will stop it, it really does
mot seems to get me anywhere anyway.

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Rein A wrote:
> >

> > Fair question! No problem,
>
> OK Rein,
>
> I'll tell you how many vote on my poll, and what they vote for, if you
> will say what votes you get for your poll question.
>
> I'm guessing that not many people will vote on your or my polls.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

811. Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 16:13:06 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 795 Message: 811

Dear Tim,

My timid reaction to REREATED attacks on this very ROS reflector and others are the reasons why I like to see the purpose of this board discussed.

As far as politics in the US ham world is concerned, I can assure
you that I have yet to be able to discuss the SS issue with any
serious amateur. Believe me, I have made quite some efforts. ROS has become a non issue here. The very few that follow the issue blame the players, the author and the person who made the inquiry to the FCC.
The author keeps on blaming the ARRL! (The Messenger)
The questioner has stopped hamming!

I see no effort whatsoever to straighten out the situation. And
then on a ROS dedicated board, one gets attacked as a self promoter,
a whiner about US regulations, and so on. One figures. Have been
lectured by amateurs from Norway to Cuba!

BTW you might like to inquire about an article in the German/English
DUBUS weak signal magazine about ROS as a weak signal method.
It is a report by a well known VK7 and is very well done. Mixed
results at best. If you can't find it, I might be able to get you a copy.

I told you in past conversations the reasons why I like ROS in addition to WSJTxx.

I watch your nice ROS accomplishments on the different outlets as a lame observer.

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "uncletimrob" <copyvideo@...> wrote:
>
> I for one wont be putting in a vote for either poll.
>
> The first one does not give an option such as:
> "discuss the use of ros and get help and advice with any problems experienced"
>
> The second one is pointless because discussion about the legality of ros - and how to achieve that - are fundamental to the continued growth it its use.
>
> Tim
> VK4YEH
>
> --
>

812. Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 17:22:38 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 795 Message: 812

Dear Jose,

How many more times do I have to tell you that the ARRL is the messenger. I for one, never have understood why the FCC did not make an official statement and used the ARRL as its messenger. I assume, really lack of resources at the FCC.

The ARRL does not represent all US hams, does not communicate with all US hams. Yes, I have been an ARRL member for 40 years now, but I have no friends there.., no enemies either I hope, just one out of some 150.000 I believe the number is.

Those who take the effort to see what all the FCC publishes on a daily basis on the NET might agree with me on that very question. I have tried to get in contact with the person at the FCC that is foremost responsible for matters like this, no answer, too much important other work, I assume.

ARRL has no resources directed (volunteers) studying the issue
(I don't dare to mention SS) Is ROS SS? If yes, why, If not, why.

There is the question of semi-random/ramdom coding for instance, I have no answer to that. Another question, are you hiding information, make it obscure to the FCC? Why is one message sent, different from another, same text? I have asked you those questions several times, Jose, and you never address them.

You are the only person Jose, who can answer those questions. Don't tell us that you do not understand this.

There is a definition of what the FCC, considers to be SS. Does ROS meet this or not?

I as a lonely soul I can't force the ARRL to get this going. That is US ham politics if one what to discuss politics.

Then Jose, you have been asked so many times why as the author can't you write a paper defending ROS in the light of the FCC regulations.

If you write a scientific paper we can get it presented to the FCC in one way or another. I am absolutely sure, ARRL will help us or better will do that for us.

You are the only person that is able to do this and I can't understand
why you don't do that.

If I had written or manufactured something and people would misname, mis qualify it, I would have enough spirit to try to correct that, my honor as the creator would force me to do that!

Jose, it is that very lack of desire to do this that people make question you motives! And believe me, they do!

You, Jose, should have studied regulations before going out with the
program. You called it something that it was not or is!

And Jose, do not tell me that you do not understand what I write here.
Ask, if needed, the person who wrote your fine new user manual what I am saying here.

On the MT61, Jose nobody has asked the FCC yet whether it is SS or
not, besides that I wrote you it used by hams assisting the military. (MARS)

I don't know whether is smart to use MT61 as a reference for the above reason, but would it be for the author so hard to write a
paper ROS / MT61?

Why not put up a Wikipedia on ROS and let people correct/change it?

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> Rein,
>
> Instead doing polls, why don't you ask to your experts friends of ARRL what it is the meaning of "The MT63 signal is spread both in the time domain (temporally) and the frequency domain (spectrally). "Â We are anxious to know it.
> Â
> Why dont you do the same thatÂ N3TL andÂ send an email to FCCÂ asking if MT63 is legal? perhaps FCC can explain you what is theÂ different.
>
>
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MT63
> Â
> MT63 implements an elaborate set of error correction techniques. It uses a Walsh function that spreads the data bits of each character across all 64 of the tones of the signal spectrum and simultaneously repeats the information over a period of 64 symbols within any one tone.
> Â
>
> * The MT63 signal is spread both in the time domain (temporally) and the frequency domain (spectrally). To ensure that noise bursts and other time domain interference artifacts have minimal effect, each encoded character is spread over 32 sequential symbols (3.2 sec).
> * To ensure that frequency domain effects, such as selective fading and carrier interference have minimal effect, the character is also spread spectrally by using all the tones across the width of the transmission.Â
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com

> Enviado: sÃ¡b,29 mayo, 2010 07:36
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
>
> Â
>
>
> Hi David,
>
> I guess you will be right. Whether you like what I am after
> or not, this is not a very active board. and stating one's opinion
> or even vision is not the popular thing to do these days.
>
> David, I am not after discussing the rules, I am after knowledge,
> reasons, explanations to prove for myself that I can use ROS without
> problems for my license or worse.
>
> What I am trying to do is stimulate the discussion about the central
> question. We here, have been told that we should be able to determine
> individually whether we can use ROS or not. Nobody official has
> ever made a clean statement in my opinion.
>
> I really like to use ROS for a number of reasons.
>
> I have tried many a time here to find an answer why ROS is considered SS. Most of the
times one gets answers about the author and his actions.
>
> See:
>
> http://www.nonstopsystems.com/radio/radio-sounds.html
>
> part of my source for questions. all that is legal it seems.
>
> I keep assuming that there is the knowledge on this board that
> can provide me with those basic answers.
>
> I am not interested about the author's problems, etc etc.
>
> The author keeps telling me that I should be the first one to know that ROS is NO SS. He
might even send a lawyer after me if I dare to ask the question!
>
> I hope you can understand what I am after. Just a solid basis to
> use ROS, a basis that I could defend if it were to come to that.
>
> I am not interested to post here, but I would like to hear from
> somebody knowledgeable who contacted me and said it is SS because
> of this and that property, no public domain, semi random coding,
> covering up the message, etc etc.
>
> That's what I like to know and understand. Not that the author is this
> or that. so that if I were to be asked I could answer after looked, asked, researched

this and that I felt I had done my serious home work an came to this or that conclusion.
>
> Of course the author could probably fix this problem if he tried.
>
> I do not think there is a real problem if he apologized and explained
> the process
>
> I have tried to question the author over and over but do not get
> straight detailed answers and besides that have gotten the advices to stay away from the
case.
>
> I understand you might get tired of the subject but I hope to get
> answers.
>
> On the poll, I will let you know in a couple of days.
>
> What is most frustrating to me is that there seems to be no interest
> in the subject from this side of the pont.
>
> And if you want me to finish this, I will stop it, it really does
> mot seems to get me anywhere anyway.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@> wrote:
> >
> > Rein A wrote:
> > >
> > > Fair question! No problem,
> >
> > OK Rein,
> >
> > I'll tell you how many vote on my poll, and what they vote for, if you
> > will say what votes you get for your poll question.
> >
> > I'm guessing that not many people will vote on your or my polls.
> >
> > Dave (G0DJA)
> >
>

813. Keyboard lock up problem

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 21:32:43 UTC
From: zl3gh
Topic: 813 Message: 813

Hi all,

I have started using build 3.7.5 Beta and have noticed a problem when in a QSO. See the following log entries...

TX16: 22:57 VK2ACC de ZL3GH Yes Andrew I QSL via eQSL.cc
Email: <ghammond@...> <ghammnd@hotmail.com> <ghammond@...> . Sorry, keyboard stopped for some reason. Ended up clicking a button by mistake!. There is a little fading on your signal at this end. I see the occasional error in the text but mostly ok. Signal is 3/4. BTU Andrew.
<STOP> 0.00000 MHz
...
TX16: 23:01 VK2ACC de ZL3GH Thanks Andrew for the QSO. Yes, I had a similar problem with HRD when
Email: <ghammond@...> <gha. Keyboard locked again. Sorry. Anyway, will let you get to breakfast hihi. All the best and hope to see you around the bands. de ZL3GH sk <STOP> 0.00000 MHz

In the 2 TX16 lines, you can see where I have clicked on the 'Email' button as my email details appear. Just prior to that is where I was unable to continue typing. I could click in the text area ok, I could type in other apps ok, but until I click on one of the ROS program buttons, do text would appear in the TX line.

By the way, another issue I have seen is where the frequency of the radio is visible on the ROS screen but does not always get appended to the log. There doesn't seem to be a pattern of when the displayed frequency is in the log vs 0.00000 MHz. Radio is Icom IC-7000 and I am using the OmniRig software.

Any ideas people?

814. Filter settings for ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 21:37:58 UTC
From: zl3gh
Topic: 814 Message: 814

What are the specs for the ROS 8 and 16 modes so I can adjust the filters to suit?

Ideally I need the lowest and highest audio tone used for each mode.

815. Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 21:36:45 UTC
From: zl3gh
Topic: 815 Message: 815

I am using an Icom IC-7000 with the OmniRig software and OmniRig seems to force the radio to use filter 2. Is this normal/expected behaviour?

The problem is that I use filter 2 for SSTV and the filters skirts are quite different than that of ROS. Means I have to keep resetting the filter every time I go back to SSTV which I have finally scripted via HRD.

816. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Keyboard lock up problem

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 22:02:47 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 813 Message: 816

I have no idea,

Try download 3.8.0

De: zl3gh <gfhammond@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,29 mayo, 2010 23:32
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Keyboard lock up problem

Hi all,

I have started using build 3.7.5 Beta and have noticed a problem when in a QSO. See the following log entries...

TX16: 22:57 VK2ACC de ZL3GH Yes Andrew I QSL via eQSL.cc
Email: <ghammond@hotmail.com> <ghammnd@...> <ghammond@...> . Sorry, keyboard stopped for some reason. Ended up clicking a button by mistake!. There is a little fading on your signal at this end. I see the occasional error in the text but mostly ok. Signal is 3/4. BTU Andrew.
<STOP> 0.00000 MHz
...
TX16: 23:01 VK2ACC de ZL3GH Thanks Andrew for the QSO. Yes, I had a similar problem with HRD when
Email: <ghammond@...> <gha. Keyboard locked again. Sorry. Anyway, will let you get to breakfast hihi. All the best and hope to see you around the bands. de ZL3GH sk <STOP> 0.00000 MHz

In the 2 TX16 lines, you can see where I have clicked on the 'Email' button as my email details appear. Just prior to that is where I was unable to continue typing. I could click in the text area ok, I could type in other apps ok, but until I click on one of the ROS program buttons, do text would appear in the TX line.

By the way, another issue I have seen is where the frequency of the radio is visible on the ROS screen but does not always get appended to the log. There doesn't seem to be a pattern of when the displayed frequency is in the log vs 0.00000 MHz. Radio is Icom IC-7000 and I am using the OmniRig software.

Any ideas people?

817. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 22:04:28 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 815 Message: 817

You have an option on Rig Menu of USB Mode. Try disable.

De: zl3gh <gfhammond@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,29 mayo, 2010 23:36
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?

I am using an Icom IC-7000 with the OmniRig software and OmniRig seems to force the radio to use filter 2. Is this normal/expected behaviour?

The problem is that I use filter 2 for SSTV and the filters skirts are quite different than that of ROS. Means I have to keep resetting the filter every time I go back to SSTV which I have finally scripted via HRD.

818. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Filter settings for ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 22:07:13 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 814 Message: 818

In ROS it is better you dont aply any filter. The software do an adaptative filtering very different to others apllications.

De: zl3gh <gfhammond@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: sáb,29 mayo, 2010 23:37
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Filter settings for ROS

What are the specs for the ROS 8 and 16 modes so I can adjust the filters to suit?

Ideally I need the lowest and highest audio tone used for each mode.

819. Re: Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 22:42:25 UTC
From: zl3gh
Topic: 815 Message: 819

Thanks for your reply.

I don't want to have to disable it via the rigs menu as ROS is the only program/mode that this auto filter change is happening. If there is an option in either OmniRig or ROS to turn off this auto filter change, I would prefer to do it that way. I couldn't see any option under OmniRig that auto selects filter 2 so I am assuming it's something ROS is doing.

Cheers,

Gary

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> You have an option on Rig Menu of USB Mode. Try disable.
>
>
> _____
> De: zl3gh <gfhammond@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: sÃ¡b,29 mayo, 2010 23:36
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?
>
> Â
> I am using an Icom IC-7000 with the OmniRig software and OmniRig seems to force the radio to use filter 2. Is this normal/expected behaviour?
>
> The problem is that I use filter 2 for SSTV and the filters skirts are quite different than that of ROS. Means I have to keep resetting the filter every time I go back to SSTV which I have finally scripted via HRD.
>

820. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 22:50:46 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 815 Message: 820

NO, ROS is not doing anything with filters.

The only auto option is USB Mode that you can disable.

However, first download v3.8.0

De: zl3gh <gfhammond@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 00:42
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?

Thanks for your reply.

I don't want to have to disable it via the rigs menu as ROS is the only program/mode that this auto filter change is happening. If there is an option in either OmniRig or ROS to turn off this auto filter change, I would prefer to do it that way. I couldn't see any option under OmniRig that auto selects filter 2 so I am assuming it's something ROS is doing.

Cheers,

Gary

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> You have an option on Rig Menu of USB Mode. Try disable.
>
>
> ---
> De: zl3gh <gfhammond@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: sÃ¡b,29 mayo, 2010 23:36
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?
>
> Â
> I am using an Icom IC-7000 with the OmniRig software and OmniRig seems to force the radio to use filter 2. Is this normal/expected behaviour?
>
> The problem is that I use filter 2 for SSTV and the filters skirts are quite different than that of ROS. Means I have to keep resetting the filter every time I go back to SSTV which I have finally scripted via HRD.
>

821. Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-29 23:53:47 UTC
From: fred_darrah
Topic: 821 Message: 821

It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
73 Fred Darrah N9GUE

822. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 00:02:32 UTC
From: porterockwell@hotmail.com
Topic: 821 Message: 822

Fred Darrah N9GUE

[recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros] got a link to this NPRM.

rgrds
Craig
kq6i

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of fred_darrah
Sent: Saturday, May 29, 2010 5:54 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer

It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2
meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not
looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems
that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on
this matter.
73 Fred Darrah N9GUE

823. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 00:28:17 UTC
From: G0NMY
Topic: 815 Message: 823

There appears to be a [RIG] setting in ros.ini USB MODE=?. Not sure if
it is being set there. Hth Mark

On 29/05/2010, zl3gh <gfhammond@...> wrote:
> I am using an Icom IC-7000 with the OmniRig software and OmniRig seems to
> force the radio to use filter 2. Is this normal/expected behaviour?
>
> The problem is that I use filter 2 for SSTV and the filters skirts are quite
> different than that of ROS. Means I have to keep resetting the filter every
> time I go back to SSTV which I have finally scripted via HRD.
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

--
Sent from my mobile device

824. Re:New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 05:34:40 UTC
From: Jun Hernandez
Topic: 795 Message: 824

Hi All,

I don't have any issues regarding postings to this egroup from any one as long as its ROS related (technical or legal). I live in NZ but still interested in the postings related to the use of ROS in the US.

If I find a particular post offensive I simply take note of the persons callsign and move on to the next post.

73 JunH ZL2UQU

825. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 09:06:24 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 815 Message: 825

To disable USB mode you only have to click on RIG menu where say "USB Mode"

De: G0NMY <mark.g0nmy@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 02:28
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?

There appears to be a [RIG] setting in ros.ini USB MODE=?. Not sure if
it is being set there. Hth Mark

On 29/05/2010, zl3gh <gfhammond@...> wrote:
> I am using an Icom IC-7000 with the OmniRig software and OmniRig seems to
> force the radio to use filter 2. Is this normal/expected behaviour?
>
> The problem is that I use filter 2 for SSTV and the filters skirts are quite
> different than that of ROS. Means I have to keep resetting the filter every
> time I go back to SSTV which I have finally scripted via HRD.
>
>
>
>
> ---
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

--
Sent from my mobile device

826. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 09:13:55 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 821 Message: 826

This ONG every day do more the ridiculous. Rein, they are your messengers

What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?

De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer

It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
73 Fred Darrah N9GUE

827. Is the link to ROS 3.8.0 Beta broken?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 09:37:51 UTC
From: zl3gh
Topic: 827 Message: 827

In Firefox I get a zero byte Zip file, in Explorer it is a dead link.

The link I am using is from the top of this page http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

828. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 09:29:04 UTC
From: Simon HB9DRV
Topic: 821 Message: 828

Hi Jose,

Thank-you for developing ROS, it's always good to advance Ham Radio knowledge.

Sometime I would like to add ROS to my DM780 software, also with SDR it would be interesting to maybe try high-speed ROS for meteor scatter on 6m and above - what do you think? What about using wide bandwidths such as 48kHz?

Simon Brown, HB9DRV

http://sdr-radio.com

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
Sent: 30 May 2010 11:14
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer

This ONG every day do more the ridiculous.
<http://mail.yimg.com/a/i/mesg/tsmileys2/09.gif> Rein, they are your messengers
<http://mail.yimg.com/a/i/mesg/tsmileys2/35.gif>

What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?

De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer

It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
73 Fred Darrah N9GUE

829. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Is the link to ROS 3.8.0 Beta broken?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 09:52:44 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 827 Message: 829

That is the right link.

De: zl3gh <gfhammond@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 11:37
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Is the link to ROS 3.8.0 Beta broken?

In Firefox I get a zero byte Zip file, in Explorer it is a dead link.

The link I am using is from the top of this page http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

830. Re: Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 10:09:09 UTC
From: zl3gh
Topic: 815 Message: 830

Thanks. Have turned off 'USB' on the 'rig menu' in the ROS program and no more filter 2 changes.

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> To disable USB mode you only have to click on RIG menu where say "USB Mode"
>
>
>
> _____
> De: G0NMY <mark.g0nmy@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 02:28
> Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?
>
> Â
> There appears to be a [RIG] setting in ros.ini USB MODE=?. Not sure if
> it is being set there. Hth Mark
>
> On 29/05/2010, zl3gh <gfhammond@...> wrote:
> > I am using an Icom IC-7000 with the OmniRig software and OmniRig seems to
> > force the radio to use filter 2. Is this normal/expected behaviour?
> >
> > The problem is that I use filter 2 for SSTV and the filters skirts are quite
> > different than that of ROS. Means I have to keep resetting the filter every
> > time I go back to SSTV which I have finally scripted via HRD.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> Sent from my mobile device
>

831. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 10:25:09 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 821 Message: 831

Hi Simon,

It is possible in a future ROS will be add to your DM-780. But, at the moment i explained in ROS site that "Not legal in USA, no source code".

High-speed is possible, but as more fast less robutness, and you need more power. I suposse meteor scatter has too much attenuation.

One of my ideas for a future is create a mode for High Speed Data (into 2700 Hz) following the same philosophy of ROS modes: optimize the power for the same information rate than other modes.

De: Simon HB9DRV <simon.brown@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 11:29
Asunto: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer

Hi Jose,

Thank-you for developing ROS, it's always good to advance Ham Radio knowledge.

Sometime I would like to add ROS to my DM780 software, also with SDR it would be interesting to maybe try high-speed ROS for meteor scatter on 6m and above - what do you think? What about using wide bandwidths such as 48kHz?

Simon Brown, HB9DRV
http://sdr-radio. com

From:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROUP@ yahoogroups. com] On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
Sent: 30 May 2010 11:14
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Partial answer

This ONG every day do more the ridiculous. Rein, they are your messengers

What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?

De:fred_darrah <cfdarrah@sbcglobal. net>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Partial answer

It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they

think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
73 Fred Darrah N9GUE

832. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 10:26:49 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 815 Message: 832

Perfect.

I dont know if delete that option or keep.

_____
De: zl3gh <gfhammond@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 12:09
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?

Thanks. Have turned off 'USB' on the 'rig menu' in the ROS program and no more filter 2 changes.

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> To disable USB mode you only have to click on RIG menu where say "USB Mode"
>
>
>
> _____
> De: G0NMY <mark.g0nmy@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 02:28
> Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Why does OnmiRig force the radio to use Filter 2?
>
> Â
> There appears to be a [RIG] setting in ros.ini USB MODE=?. Not sure if
> it is being set there. Hth Mark
>
> On 29/05/2010, zl3gh <gfhammond@...> wrote:
> > I am using an Icom IC-7000 with the OmniRig software and OmniRig seems to
> > force the radio to use filter 2. Is this normal/expected behaviour?
> >
> > The problem is that I use filter 2 for SSTV and the filters skirts are quite
> > different than that of ROS. Means I have to keep resetting the filter every
> > time I go back to SSTV which I have finally scripted via HRD.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >

> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> Sent from my mobile device
>

833. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 10:43:49 UTC
From: Simon HB9DRV
Topic: 821 Message: 833

Hi Jose,

OK - maybe when there is a specification then other programs can add ROS, it is a very interesting mode. I am not in the USA but in Switzerland :)

Simon Brown, HB9DRV

http://sdr-radio.com

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros

It is possible in a future ROS will be add to your DM-780. But, at the moment i explained in ROS site that "Not legal in USA, no source code".

High-speed is possible, but as more fast less robutness, and you need more power. I suposse meteor scatter has too much attenuation.

One of my ideas for a future is create a mode for High Speed Data (into 2700 Hz) following the same philosophy of ROS modes: optimize the power for the same information rate than other modes.

834. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 13:12:13 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 834

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.8.0 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.8.0%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

835. Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 13:36:05 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 795 Message: 835

Thank God for OFCOM .. we can even do expriments in the UK ....

ps Talking of banning things that work very well .. An early example of ROS is comming back
>>http://www.google.com/hostednews/afp/article/ALeqM5hfnir9CMN5bojq3pApRyKaTnJJeA

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Howard wrote:
> > Who is the idiot who created this poll?
> >
> > Howard
> > VK4BS
> >
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
wrote:
> >>
> >> Enter your vote today! A new poll has been created for the
> >> ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP group:
> >>
> >> What is the purpose of the Yahoo ROS Modem Group?
> >>
> >> o Be silent and read daily software updates announcements.
> >> o Attacjk peope trying to find the solution for a credibility problem created by the
autthor of the program
> >> o Project an Anti Amrican Radio Amateur bias
> >> o Tell subscribers to Google "ROS MODEM FCC"
>
> Or, another option, get a software dictionary?
>
> The aim of the group is to discuss the use of the ROS program, the
> question and three of the options listed relate to the non-use of the
> program and are, in my opinion, off topic here. The first seems to be a
> problem that the questioner has with people who are ignoring him.
> Perhaps they were the ones with the best idea after all.
>
> I definitely vote that this group is not intended to sort out the
> licensing (or licencing, if you prefer) requirements of any country,
> especially not the requirements in the USA.
>
> The issue raised is almost probably unique to the USA and has been done
> to death already, so the anti-USA feeling is probably that we want
> people to go and ask their authorities and stop asking on here as we
> don't know the answer. So please, go and ask the FCC or the ARRL, we
> don't know, as most of us don't live in the USA and probably couldn't
> care less what the law in the USA says anyway...

>
> I'll tell you what the law in the UK says, if you want, but I'm sure
> that would bore people who don't live in the UK as much as the
> discussions about the law in the USA bores me.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

836. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 15:34:12 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 795 Message: 836

Graham, you should give thanks to ARRL.

Think that, thanks to ARRL, you have about 1 dB less than noise . Sometimes, ARRL can do what most advanced DSP are not able to do.

De: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 15:36
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: New poll for ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Thank God for OFCOM .. we can even do expriments in the UK ....

ps Talking of banning things that work very well .. An early example of ROS is comming back
>>http://www.google.com/hostednews/afp/article/ALeqM5hfnir9CMN5bojq3pApRyKaTnJJeA

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Howard wrote:
> > Who is the idiot who created this poll?
> >
> > Howard
> > VK4BS
> >
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
wrote:
> >>
> >> Enter your vote today! A new poll has been created for the
> >> ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP group:
> >>
> >> What is the purpose of the Yahoo ROS Modem Group?
> >>
> >> o Be silent and read daily software updates announcements.
> >> o Attacjk peope trying to find the solution for a credibility problem created by the
autthor of the program
> >> o Project an Anti Amrican Radio Amateur bias
> >> o Tell subscribers to Google "ROS MODEM FCC"
>
> Or, another option, get a software dictionary?
>
> The aim of the group is to discuss the use of the ROS program, the
> question and three of the options listed relate to the non-use of the
> program and are, in my opinion, off topic here. The first seems to be a
> problem that the questioner has with people who are ignoring him.
> Perhaps they were the ones with the best idea after all.

>
> I definitely vote that this group is not intended to sort out the
> licensing (or licencing, if you prefer) requirements of any country,
> especially not the requirements in the USA.
>
> The issue raised is almost probably unique to the USA and has been done
> to death already, so the anti-USA feeling is probably that we want
> people to go and ask their authorities and stop asking on here as we
> don't know the answer. So please, go and ask the FCC or the ARRL, we
> don't know, as most of us don't live in the USA and probably couldn't
> care less what the law in the USA says anyway...
>
> I'll tell you what the law in the UK says, if you want, but I'm sure
> that would bore people who don't live in the UK as much as the
> discussions about the law in the USA bores me.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

837. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] DM780

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 15:48:36 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 837 Message: 837

Hi Simon,

Is it really up to Jose to answer that question, perhaps he even has the right to refuse the adding.
I wished you did do it. It would put the issue direct in the center of attention.
Also I would not have to defend my concerns on this board.

I listen here on a remote receiver and with my own station on 14076 for WSJT65A and I see similar levels of activity during a 24 hour period.
Enough said.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Simon HB9DRV <simon.brown@...>
>Sent: May 30, 2010 9:29 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>
>Hi Jose,
>
>
>
>Thank-you for developing ROS, it's always good to advance Ham Radio knowledge.
>
>
>
>Sometime I would like to add ROS to my DM780 software, also with SDR it would be interesting to maybe try high-speed ROS for meteor scatter on 6m and above - what do you think? What about using wide bandwidths such as 48kHz?
>
>
>
>Simon Brown, HB9DRV
>
>http://sdr-radio.com
>
>
>
>From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
>Sent: 30 May 2010 11:14
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>This ONG every day do more the ridiculous.
<http://mail.yimg.com/a/i/mesg/tsmileys2/09.gif> Rein, they are your messengers
<http://mail.yimg.com/a/i/mesg/tsmileys2/35.gif>
>
>
>
>What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
>
> _____
>
>De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>
>
>
>It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
>73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

838. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] DM780

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 16:11:42 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 837 Message: 838

Rein,

We are waiting you question to "the messengers" why MT63 is not SS.

De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@ix.netcom.com>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 17:48
Asunto: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] DM780

Hi Simon,

Is it really up to Jose to answer that question, perhaps he even has the right to refuse the adding.
I wished you did do it. It would put the issue direct in the center of attention.
Also I would not have to defend my concerns on this board.

I listen here on a remote receiver and with my own station on 14076 for WSJT65A and I see similar levels of activity during a 24 hour period.
Enough said.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Simon HB9DRV <simon.brown@...>
>Sent: May 30, 2010 9:29 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>
>Hi Jose,
>
>
>
>Thank-you for developing ROS, it's always good to advance Ham Radio knowledge.
>
>
>
>Sometime I would like to add ROS to my DM780 software, also with SDR it would be interesting to maybe try high-speed ROS for meteor scatter on 6m and above - what do you think? What about using wide bandwidths such as 48kHz?
>
>
>
>Simon Brown, HB9DRV
>
>http://sdr-radio.com
>

>
>
>From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
>Sent: 30 May 2010 11:14
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>This ONG every day do more the ridiculous.
<http://mail.yimg.com/a/i/mesg/tsmileys2/09.gif> Rein, they are your messengers
<http://mail.yimg.com/a/i/mesg/tsmileys2/35.gif>
>
>
>
>What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
>
> _____
>
>De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>
>
>
>It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
>73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

839. No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 16:16:33 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 839 Message: 839

Hi All,

With all the criticism that Jose is and has been leveling about the ARRL, he has never really addressed an issue that I find very reasonable, open source and protocol published in amateur circles.
As an example see WSJT in QEX magazine.

I say that as I have been asking Jose several time and he never addressed that very question up to now.

For more on this, see section on SS in Handbook where this is discussed.
2007, pages 9-45 etc

Again, Jose might be shooting here is his own foot.

I apologize up front for getting too much into boring details.

73 Rein W6SZ

840. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 16:29:17 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 839 Message: 840

Rein,

I have explained you 1000 times a baseband system generated with the soundcard is not SS and you continue asking me the same. There is not more explication than that.

If you want to know what is trully a SS radio speak with military people.

If you dont understand it, please, dont continue question the same.

De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:16
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Hi All,

With all the criticism that Jose is and has been leveling about the ARRL, he has never really addressed an issue that I find very reasonable, open source and protocol published in amateur circles.
As an example see WSJT in QEX magazine.

I say that as I have been asking Jose several time and he never addressed that very question up to now.

For more on this, see section on SS in Handbook where this is discussed.
2007, pages 9-45 etc

Again, Jose might be shooting here is his own foot.

I apologize up front for getting too much into boring details.

73 Rein W6SZ

Rein,

841. Re: Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 16:38:44 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 821 Message: 841

Jose,

This remark is beyond anything. I have been trying to educate you
about how things are done almost from day 1. You like things your way, it just does not
work in life, believe me. It just proves again YOUR problem.

"I Jose, am right, do not ask me any question. If you are in my way
I will send the police after you like in the days of the owners
of that submarine on your WEB page. (U-Boot)"

Why not put a picture of a research submersible there, they use SONAR
too or not? Suggested that weeks ago.

If you were a ham they the ARRL would be your messengers as well with all their
shortcomings.

Why don't you learn about amateur radio if you want to work in their domain or use them, as
a testbed?

And BTW this has little to do with living in the US or outside the US.

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> This ONG every day do more the ridiculous. Â Rein, they are your messengers
>
> What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
>
> _____
> De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>
> Â
> It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros
used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they
think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited
frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other
users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this
matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
> 73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
>

842. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 16:56:00 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 821 Message: 842

Rein,

First, I have no any problem, the problem is yours.

Second, we are learning here quickly what ARRL is doing with weak signals software that are not made in USA. Even a blind can see.

Third, Have you any problem if i am not Ham? The rest of the world have no problems about author of ROS was Ham or was an Enginner. Only people like you look for any excuse for say "Is illegal", since author is not a Ham until code is Visual Basic or "generate interferences". Any excuse is valid.

De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:38
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Jose,

This remark is beyond anything. I have been trying to educate you
about how things are done almost from day 1. You like things your way, it just does not work in life, believe me. It just proves again YOUR problem.

"I Jose, am right, do not ask me any question. If you are in my way
I will send the police after you like in the days of the owners
of that submarine on your WEB page. (U-Boot)"

Why not put a picture of a research submersible there, they use SONAR
too or not? Suggested that weeks ago.

If you were a ham they the ARRL would be your messengers as well with all their shortcomings.

Why don't you learn about amateur radio if you want to work in their domain or use them, as a testbed?

And BTW this has little to do with living in the US or outside the US.

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> This ONG every day do more the ridiculous. Â Rein, they are your messengers
>
> What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
>

> _____
> De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>
> Â
> It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
> 73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
>

843. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 17:08:23 UTC
From: G0NMY
Topic: 839 Message: 843

As far as i can see it is the audio tones that are hopping frequency
and not the RF! The rf frequency or carrier frequency be supressed or
not is fixed. So it is the audio that is ss so is legal to use in USA.
If not then all sound card data modes would have to be banned. Thats
my 2 cents worth. 73 G0NMY.

On 30/05/2010, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
> Rein,
>
> I have explained you 1000 times a baseband system generated with the
> soundcard is not SS and you continue asking me the same. There is not more
> explication than that.
>
> If you want to know what is trully a SS radio speak with military people.
>
> If you dont understand it, please, dont continue question the same.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:16
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!
>
>
> Hi All,
>
> With all the criticism that Jose is and has been leveling about the ARRL, he
> has never really addressed an issue that I find very reasonable, open source
> and protocol published in amateur circles.
> As an example see WSJT in QEX magazine.
>
> I say that as I have been asking Jose several time and he never addressed
> that very question up to now.
>
> For more on this, see section on SS in Handbook where this is discussed.
> 2007, pages 9-45 etc
>
> Again, Jose might be shooting here is his own foot.
>
> I apologize up front for getting too much into boring details.

>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
> Rein,
>
>
>

--
Sent from my mobile device

844. Re: DM780

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 17:27:29 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 837 Message: 844

Dear Jose,

I am not in a position to answer that question. If you told the subscribers here on this very reflector AND the FCC what ROS is, we perhaps would know.

And I am the first to admit if I asked them (ARRL) that question they might not even answer or refer me to FCC rule so ad so (after a few months waiting.) In spite of that I SUPPORT the ARRL.

I told you, it could be that nobody ever asked the FCC the question...

"I like a use a digital protocol MT61 and I read that the author classifies it as SPREAD SPECTRUM, can I use it on 80 meter?"

I am afraid you would have gotten the same answer the questioner got and the ARRL would have published that answer as they did with the ROS answer. The FCC asked them (ARRL) I have to assume.

I don't blame you that this happened but you do not want to understand that you caused to question to be asked. I know ,it becomes boring to repeat this over and over. But is is a fact.

You Jose can correct this by leveling with the FCC and telling that you had not done your home work in introducing a new radio amateur protocol.

BTW the ARRL represents amateurs in Washington and has the means to operate on legal matters on an INTERNATIoNAL LEVEL, No other organization can do this , not the JARL RSGB, DARC, REF, VERON, VRZA, SAS or who ever else.

International level = UN (United Nations) IARU (International Amateur Radio Union) ITU (International Telecommunication Union, frequency, assignments) and so on.

That is of greater importance than anything else. If you don't believe this or understand it, study it. They have the membership and the resources to do that. (Why are you telling us all that boring, international stuff? I am only interested to play with my radio)

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> Rein,
>
> We are waiting you question to "the messengers" why MT63 is not SS.
>

>
>
>
> _____
> De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 17:48
> Asunto: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] DM780
>
> Â
>
> Hi Simon,
>
> Is it really up to Jose to answer that question, perhaps he even has the right to
> refuse the adding.
> I wished you did do it. It would put the issue direct in the center of attention.
> Also I would not have to defend my concerns on this board.
>
> I listen here on a remote receiver and with my own station on 14076 for WSJT65A and
> I see similar levels of activity during a 24 hour period.
> Enough said.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: Simon HB9DRV <simon.brown@...>
> >Sent: May 30, 2010 9:29 AM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
> >
> >Hi Jose,
> >
> >
> >
> >Thank-you for developing ROS, it's always good to advance Ham Radio knowledge.
> >
> >
> >
> >Sometime I would like to add ROS to my DM780 software, also with SDR it would be
interesting to maybe try high-speed ROS for meteor scatter on 6m and above - what do you
think? What about using wide bandwidths such as 48kHz?
> >
> >
> >
> >Simon Brown, HB9DRV
> >
> >http://sdr-radio.com
> >
> >
> >

> >From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
> >Sent: 30 May 2010 11:14
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >This ONG every day do more the ridiculous.
<http://mail.yimg.com/a/i/mesg/tsmileys2/09.gif> Rein, they are your messengers
<http://mail.yimg.com/a/i/mesg/tsmileys2/35.gif>
> >
> >
> >
> >What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
> >
> > _____
> >
> >De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
> >Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
> >Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
> >
> >
> >
> >It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
> >73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

845. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 17:37:00 UTC
From: Simon HB9DRV
Topic: 839 Message: 845

It would be interesting to actually generate a Ham Spread-Spectrum mode just to prove that we can do it :)

IMO ROS is not spread spectrum, sadly the US / FCC has far too much bureaucracy, also causing problems with satellites (AMSAT) :(

ROS - keep up the very good work, maybe you get a licence in a few years ?

Simon Brown, HB9DRV

http://sdr-radio.com

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros

If you want to know what is trully a SS radio speak with military people.

846. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 17:58:46 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 821 Message: 846

Jose,

You consider yourself an intelligent being, an engineer, a scientist?

If I relay a statement that I read here in the US and think that it might benefit you and then use that message against me. You dare to name yourself an engineer?

I research for you the MT61 issue and they other stuff. You should shame your self.

As you should shame yourself as you treated a certain radio amateur, an honest man who you ruined took away all his fun in amateur radio. I hope you know who I am talking about but you are probably too self centered to understand the most basic facts of social behavior.

Your statements about the other protocols are also totally off the mark. I am not an expert on digital methods. But I believe, MT61 was written in Italy, many of the PSK's, Pactor, are from Britain etc, etc. I have to research it but you are wrong about the "US software only" It is a ridiculous and insane statement in the room of amatuer radio. Again as an engineer you should do your homework on this subject before making a judgement like this.

All these protocols are well documented, with proper true descriptions named what they are.

Blaming W6SZ for keeping ROS qualified as SS is really the very very limit! I asked you several time before to have an English reader translate what you read. Get the real meaning of a text.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@yahoo.es>
>Sent: May 30, 2010 12:56 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
>
>Rein,
>
>First, I have no any problem, the problem is yours.
>
>Second, we are learning here quickly what ARRL is doing with weak signals software that are not made in USA. Even a blind can see.
>
>Third, Have you any problem if i am not Ham? The rest of the world have no problems about author of ROS was Ham or was an Enginner. Only people like you look for any excuse for say "Is illegal", since author is not a Ham until code is Visual Basic or "generate interferences". Any excuse is valid.
>

>
>_____
>De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:38
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
>
>
>Jose,
>
>This remark is beyond anything. I have been trying to educate you
>about how things are done almost from day 1. You like things your way, it just does not work in life, believe me. It just proves again YOUR problem.
>
>"I Jose, am right, do not ask me any question. If you are in my way
>I will send the police after you like in the days of the owners
>of that submarine on your WEB page. (U-Boot)"
>
>Why not put a picture of a research submersible there, they use SONAR
>too or not? Suggested that weeks ago.
>
>If you were a ham they the ARRL would be your messengers as well with all their shortcomings.
>
>Why don't you learn about amateur radio if you want to work in their domain or use them, as a testbed?
>
>And BTW this has little to do with living in the US or outside the US.
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>>
>> This ONG every day do more the ridiculous. Â Rein, they are your messengers
>>
>> What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
>>
>> _____
>> De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
>> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
>> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>>
>> Â
>> It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on

this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
>> 73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

847. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Thank-you for developing ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 18:43:33 UTC
From: porterockwell@hotmail.com
Topic: 821 Message: 847

Simon,
[Thank-you for developing ROS] ditto. [Sometime I would like to add ROS to my DM780 software] OH please, please do so, and
add JT65 as well. If you do, I'd feel like I had died and gone to amateur heaven.

rgrds
Craig
kq6i

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Simon HB9DRV
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 3:29 AM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer

Hi Jose,

Thank-you for developing ROS, it's always good to advance Ham Radio knowledge.

Sometime I would like to add ROS to my DM780 software, also with SDR it would be interesting to maybe try high-speed ROS for
meteor scatter on 6m and above - what do you think? What about using wide bandwidths such as 48kHz?

Simon Brown, HB9DRV

http://sdr-radio.com

848. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: DM780

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 18:49:15 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 837 Message: 848

Rein,

When i talk about "Spread Spectrum", i assume any qualified undernstad ROS is not really Spread Spectrum because we are talking of a software that work with the soundcard.

Of the same way, when somebody speak of PRA, all qualified know PRA = PIRE-2.1 dB

If somebody say that PRA is the power in the TX connector, then there is not any to discuss.

And of the same way, you can design filters using DSP software, but obviously, you are working with a sound signal, it is not a RF filter.

I have no guilt that Dan Henderson said to Tim N3TL in an email (before FCC agent open the mouth) that: "our experts say that ROS and CHIP64 are Spread Spectrum ".

So, i think ARRL should be replace to theirs experts.

De: Rein A <rein0zn@...om.com>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 19:27
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: DM780

Dear Jose,

I am not in a position to answer that question. If you told the subscribers here on this very reflector AND the FCC what ROS is, we perhaps would know.

And I am the first to admit if I asked them (ARRL) that question they might not even answer or refer me to FCC rule so ad so (after a few months waiting.) In spite of that I SUPPORT the ARRL.

I told you, it could be that nobody ever asked the FCC the question...

"I like a use a digital protocol MT61 and I read that the author classifies it as SPREAD SPECTRUM, can I use it on 80 meter?"

I am afraid you would have gotten the same answer the questioner got and the ARRL would have published that answer as they did with the ROS answer. The FCC asked them (ARRL) I have to assume.

I don't blame you that this happened but you do not want to understand that you caused to question to be asked. I know ,it becomes boring to repeat this over and over. But is is a fact.

You Jose can correct this by leveling with the FCC and telling that you had not done your home work in introducing a new radio amateur protocol.

BTW the ARRL represents amateurs in Washington and has the means to operate on legal matters on an INTERNATIoNAL LEVEL, No other organization can do this , not the JARL RSGB, DARC, REF, VERON, VRZA, SAS or who ever else.

International level = UN (United Nations) IARU (International Amateur Radio Union) ITU (International Telecommunication Union, frequency, assignments) and so on.

That is of greater importance than anything else. If you don't believe this or understand it, study it. They have the membership and the resources to do that. (Why are you telling us all that boring, international stuff? I am only interested to play with my radio)

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> Rein,
>
> We are waiting you question to "the messengers" why MT63 is not SS.
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 17:48
> Asunto: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] DM780
>
> Â
>
> Hi Simon,
>
> Is it really up to Jose to answer that question, perhaps he even has the right to
> refuse the adding.
> I wished you did do it. It would put the issue direct in the center of attention.
> Also I would not have to defend my concerns on this board.
>
> I listen here on a remote receiver and with my own station on 14076 for WSJT65A and
> I see similar levels of activity during a 24 hour period.
> Enough said.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: Simon HB9DRV <simon.brown@...>
> >Sent: May 30, 2010 9:29 AM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
> >

> >Hi Jose,
> >
> >
> >
> >Thank-you for developing ROS, it's always good to advance Ham Radio knowledge.
> >
> >
> >
> >Sometime I would like to add ROS to my DM780 software, also with SDR it would be interesting to maybe try high-speed ROS for meteor scatter on 6m and above - what do you think? What about using wide bandwidths such as 48kHz?
> >
> >
> >
> >Simon Brown, HB9DRV
> >
> >http://sdr-radio.com
> >
> >
> >
> >From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
> >Sent: 30 May 2010 11:14
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >This ONG every day do more the ridiculous. <http://mail.yimg.com/a/i/mesg/tsmileys2/09.gif> Rein, they are your messengers <http://mail.yimg.com/a/i/mesg/tsmileys2/35.gif>
> >
> >
> >
> >What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
> >
> > _____
> >
> >De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
> >Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
> >Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
> >
> >
> >

> >It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
> >73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

849. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 18:51:06 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 839 Message: 849

Rein,

Here you have what is ROS. I can not explain better than Mark.

_____
De: G0NMY <mark.g0nmy@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 19:08
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

As far as i can see it is the audio tones that are hopping frequency
and not the RF! The rf frequency or carrier frequency be supressed or
not is fixed. So it is the audio that is ss so is legal to use in USA.
If not then all sound card data modes would have to be banned. Thats
my 2 cents worth. 73 G0NMY.

On 30/05/2010, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
> Rein,
>
> I have explained you 1000 times a baseband system generated with the
> soundcard is not SS and you continue asking me the same. There is not more
> explication than that.
>
> If you want to know what is trully a SS radio speak with military people.
>
> If you dont understand it, please, dont continue question the same.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:16
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!
>
>
> Hi All,
>
> With all the criticism that Jose is and has been leveling about the ARRL, he
> has never really addressed an issue that I find very reasonable, open source
> and protocol published in amateur circles.
> As an example see WSJT in QEX magazine.
>

> I say that as I have been asking Jose several time and he never addressed
> that very question up to now.
>
> For more on this, see section on SS in Handbook where this is discussed.
> 2007, pages 9-45 etc
>
> Again, Jose might be shooting here is his own foot.
>
> I apologize up front for getting too much into boring details.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
> Rein,
>
>
>

--
Sent from my mobile device

850. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 18:54:39 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 821 Message: 850

And i repeat you again that MT63 and ROS cannot be SS because are baseband systems.

Do you want i translate it to the Japanese?

De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 19:58
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Jose,

You consider yourself an intelligent being, an engineer, a scientist?

If I relay a statement that I read here in the US and think that it might benefit you and then use that message against me. You dare to name yourself an engineer?

I research for you the MT61 issue and they other stuff. You should shame your self.

As you should shame yourself as you treated a certain radio amateur, an honest man who you ruined took away all his fun in amateur radio. I hope you know who I am talking about but you are probably too self centered to understand the most basic facts of social behavior.

Your statements about the other protocols are also totally off the mark. I am not an expert on digital methods. But I believe, MT61 was written in Italy, many of the PSK's, Pactor, are from Britain etc, etc. I have to research it but you are wrong about the "US software only" It is a ridiculous and insane statement in the room of amatuer radio. Again as an engineer you should do your homework on this subject before making a judgement like this.

All these protocols are well documented, with proper true descriptions named what they are.

Blaming W6SZ for keeping ROS qualified as SS is really the very very limit! I asked you several time before to have an English reader translate what you read. Get the real meaning of a text.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: May 30, 2010 12:56 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
>
>Rein,
>
>First, I have no any problem, the problem is yours.

>
>Second, we are learning here quickly what ARRL is doing with weak signals software that are not made in USA. Even a blind can see.
>
>Third, Have you any problem if i am not Ham? The rest of the world have no problems about author of ROS was Ham or was an Enginner. Only people like you look for any excuse for say "Is illegal", since author is not a Ham until code is Visual Basic or "generate interferences". Any excuse is valid.
>
>
>_____
>De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:38
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
>
>
>Jose,
>
>This remark is beyond anything. I have been trying to educate you
>about how things are done almost from day 1. You like things your way, it just does not work in life, believe me. It just proves again YOUR problem.
>
>"I Jose, am right, do not ask me any question. If you are in my way
>I will send the police after you like in the days of the owners
>of that submarine on your WEB page. (U-Boot)"
>
>Why not put a picture of a research submersible there, they use SONAR
>too or not? Suggested that weeks ago.
>
>If you were a ham they the ARRL would be your messengers as well with all their shortcomings.
>
>Why don't you learn about amateur radio if you want to work in their domain or use them, as a testbed?
>
>And BTW this has little to do with living in the US or outside the US.
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>>
>> This ONG every day do more the ridiculous. Â Rein, they are your messengers
>>
>> What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
>>
>> _____
>> De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
>> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com

>> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
>> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>>
>> Â
>> It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
>> 73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

851. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 18:57:50 UTC
From: Simon HB9DRV
Topic: 821 Message: 851

Hi,

MT63 was designed and written by Pavel Jalocha (SP9VRC).

Simon Brown, HB9DRV
http://sdr-radio.com

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
>
> But I believe, MT61 was written in Italy,
> many of
> the PSK's, Pactor, are from Britain etc, etc.

852. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP]some kinda answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 18:57:51 UTC
From: porterockwell@hotmail.com
Topic: 821 Message: 852

Jose,

[Not legal in USA] Says who? Simon could add ROS, nothing prevents the adding ROS, understanding the source code is required
[no source code]. Myself, an adult, as are most amateurs. Free agency dictates to use or not use as given each amateur.
Personally my agency has chosen to use ROS, knowing ROS is not SS.

rgrds
Craig
kq6i

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 4:25 AM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer

Hi Simon,

It is possible in a future ROS will be add to your DM-780. But, at the moment i explained in ROS site that "Not legal in USA,
no source code".

High-speed is possible, but as more fast less robutness, and you need more power. I suposse meteor scatter has too much
attenuation.

One of my ideas for a future is create a mode for High Speed Data (into 2700 Hz) following the same philosophy of ROS modes:
optimize the power for the same information rate than other modes.

853. Re: No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 18:59:33 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 839 Message: 853

The only thing that seems to be hopping is across the pond !

The system uses a defined spectrum ,
The tones are generated from a look up table and are repeated
The modulation system is MFSK nearly as old as Snaefell mountain railway .. one up from picalow.
The transmitted data is 'not' spread over the spectrum
The data engine process's the data behind the modem ...
The data engine cannot change the tone table
The concept is in use by other systems

So why the teckno babble ?

Its a bit like eating chocolate coated bugs .. very tasty until some one , being helpful tells you what's in it ..or what they thought was it was ...

In this case , the bug was in the description and 'we' now have a case of mass hysteria with everyone wanting there money back .. just that no one has paid anything and actually it's quite tasty

1938 all over again ?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o9VWC8eB9ic&feature=related

G .

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, G0NMY <mark.g0nmy@...> wrote:
>
> As far as i can see it is the audio tones that are hopping frequency
> and not the RF! The rf frequency or carrier frequency be supressed or
> not is fixed. So it is the audio that is ss so is legal to use in USA.
> If not then all sound card data modes would have to be banned. Thats
> my 2 cents worth. 73 G0NMY.
>
> On 30/05/2010, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
> > Rein,
> >
> > I have explained you 1000 times a baseband system generated with the
> > soundcard is not SS and you continue asking me the same. There is not more
> > explication than that.
> >
> > If you want to know what is trully a SS radio speak with military people.
> >
> > If you dont understand it, please, dont continue question the same.
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> > De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:16
> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!
> >
> >
> > Hi All,
> >
> > With all the criticism that Jose is and has been leveling about the ARRL, he
> > has never really addressed an issue that I find very reasonable, open source
> > and protocol published in amateur circles.
> > As an example see WSJT in QEX magazine.
> >
> > I say that as I have been asking Jose several time and he never addressed
> > that very question up to now.
> >
> > For more on this, see section on SS in Handbook where this is discussed.
> > 2007, pages 9-45 etc
> >
> > Again, Jose might be shooting here is his own foot.
> >
> > I apologize up front for getting too much into boring details.
> >
> > 73 Rein W6SZ
> >
> >
> > Rein,
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> Sent from my mobile device
>

854. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 19:03:12 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 839 Message: 854

I expect doing an exam in october.

Yes, I would like do actually a Spread Spectrum mode. I was asking to Alex (Omnirig creator) how change TX frequency. But in that case it would be actually illegal, not only in USA

Imagine a system that covers all 20 meters band Impossible of jamming

At least, as you say, ARRL "experts" could undernstand what is actually a SS system.

De: Simon HB9DRV <simon.brown@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 19:37
Asunto: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

It would be interesting to actually generate a Ham Spread-Spectrum mode just to prove that we can do it :)

IMO ROS is not spread spectrum, sadly the US / FCC has far too much bureaucracy, also causing problems with satellites (AMSAT) :(

ROS - keep up the very good work, maybe you get a licence in a few years ?

Simon Brown, HB9DRV
http://sdr-radio. com

From:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROUP@ yahoogroups. com] On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros

If you want to know what is trully a SS radio speak with military people.

855. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] source code not required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 19:04:53 UTC
From: porterockwell@hotmail.com
Topic: 839 Message: 855

Rein,

I don't care if ROS is open source or not. What's the big deal about open source. Why isn't ROS SS, the key, "the 3Khz HF
standard channel is maintained". Researching CDMA, SS, MT63, Olivia it finally clicked and confirmed what I believed since
the Feb release of ROS.

rgrds
Craig
kq6i

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 10:29 AM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Rein,

I have explained you 1000 times a baseband system generated with the soundcard is not SS and you continue asking me the same.
There is not more explication than that.

If you want to know what is trully a SS radio speak with military people.

If you dont understand it, please, dont continue question the same.

De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:16
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Hi All,

With all the criticism that Jose is and has been leveling about the ARRL, he has never really addressed an issue that I find
very reasonable, open source and protocol published in amateur circles.
As an example see WSJT in QEX magazine.

I say that as I have been asking Jose several time and he never addressed that very question up to now.

For more on this, see section on SS in Handbook where this is discussed.
2007, pages 9-45 etc

Again, Jose might be shooting here is his own foot.

I apologize up front for getting too much into boring details.

73 Rein W6SZ

Rein,

856. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] audio tones... hopping frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 19:09:20 UTC
From: porterockwell@hotmail.com
Topic: 839 Message: 856

Hear, hear

rgrds
Craig
kq6i

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 12:51 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Rein,

Here you have what is ROS. I can not explain better than Mark.

De: G0NMY <mark.g0nmy@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 19:08
Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

As far as i can see it is the audio tones that are hopping frequency and not the RF! The rf frequency or carrier frequency be
supressed or not is fixed. So it is the audio that is ss so is legal to use in USA.
If not then all sound card data modes would have to be banned. Thats my 2 cents worth. 73 G0NMY.

On 30/05/2010, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@... <mailto:nietorosdj%40yahoo.es> > wrote:
> Rein,
>

857. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 19:55:16 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 839 Message: 857

Dear Simon,

(Have to apologize for getting the exchanges on this reflector out of sync.
My messages often show up delayed, do not know the reason.)

Why don't take a stand in this, why not address the open source issue, Simon?

I am sure you are familiar what is in the ARRL Handbook about obscure
messages, published code, and so on. I can not repeat all that here, it is too much
work. And it would be OT for many.

It is not unreasonable. You should, as few others, see that this problem with the
FCC hurts a lot of people and in the end hurts ROS. Even as you live in HB9, or
outside the US, as people say they are happy to do.

Many of your users are in the US.

Worse of all I fail to see that there is really a problem other than
a communication one. Correcting and apologizing could straighten this out
in my opinion.

If it had not been for the US there would not have been much amateur radio
in Europe, probably even not in HB9 and certainly not in the UK. That is a fact.
Even more recently all those ROS users for the east would not be able to
use ROS from their government supervised club stations,

(BTW haven't seen many HB9's using ROS , small amateur population! (?)

I am getting a little tired of spearheading this and taking all the hits by
one or two biased people, some of them just repeating others.

Seeing that there are quite a few EA's, I's, and R/U's and even a few CO's
I still think one would like to see the US amateurs even is one lives outside
the US. (I did not bring this US issue up, believe it is very irrelevant, I would
say stupid, read what was in written in the old ARRL Handbooks about this BTW)

(I got lectured by a IARU person from Cuba with an real engineering back ground to stay
away form this case BTW)

It is up to Jose to fix it, why do you ignore that point? You have visibility,
visited perhaps Dayton, Friedriechshaven, London Shows as a provider of amateur
radio software.

Its hard for me, repeating and repeating the same points to somebody who is not
a radio amateur, perhaps is using amateur radio for non amateur radio related
purposes.

It open source issue is more important than you might think from what I have seen here in the US, Of course it is 2010 and people lay low. (What in heavens name has 2010 to do with us HAMS?)

I am prepared to discuss some of these issues off this reflector if you want can show you prove of this without having to go public with names etc. I like to inject the expression "motivation, drive" here.

I state it here again:

I like certain aspects of ROS and like to use it without having to violate a FCC ruling, that is what it is in the end.
And bottom line, I would still not underestimate the influence of the FCC or the US on other communication authorities in EU, in particular when it comes to the United Kingdom.

ON SS:

- Simon, if I were smarter than I am, I would be using ROS probably.
That option does exists. If I were asked how I determined not the violate a standing rule, I want to be able to prove that I had done my home work and not violated the standing rule on grounds of this, that, and the other points.

- For real SS there has to be a connection, synchronizing function between Transmitter and Receiver. I think the transmitter is telling the receiver and ONE receiver only how to decode the incoming wide noise band.

- That is not a trivial function, I read, does that exist in ROS? Yes or no?
Perhaps you can answer that, Simon. Perhaps using cell phone chips it could be done or other? I can get an answer form Jose on this (tried over and over)

SS has its roots in the military and in secrecy. I really do not see this at all in ROS.
Can go to a WEBSDR in EU or US , decode it fine, period.

Would that apply to SS? I do not think so?

The way I read it this is a requirement for SS. perhaps that syncing need to be unknown to 3d parties to make it SS?
Is the code generated by SS for "CQ de W6SZ l pse" the same every time I were to send it?

Some say it is not.

If that is true, it could classify ROS as SS.

True or false?

Simon, you write software, perhaps not dsp, but I would really appreciate if you could comment on these questions and further if we could have a civilized discussion here about these subjects. That is really my only interest.

I am trying to do my home work in spite of Jose accusing me of declaring ROS as SS.

It is so difficult to understand that we can not here on this reflector develop
a true evaluation of ROS without having to hear about Jose errors he made.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Simon HB9DRV <simon.brown@...>
>Sent: May 30, 2010 1:37 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!
>
>It would be interesting to actually generate a Ham Spread-Spectrum mode just to prove that
we can do it :)
>
>
>
>IMO ROS is not spread spectrum, sadly the US / FCC has far too much bureaucracy, also
causing problems with satellites (AMSAT) :(
>
>
>
>ROS - keep up the very good work, maybe you get a licence in a few years ?
>
>
>
>Simon Brown, HB9DRV
>
>http://sdr-radio.com
>
>
>
>From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
>
>
>If you want to know what is trully a SS radio speak with military people.
>

858. Re: No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 20:27:38 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 839 Message: 858

If it had not been for the US there would not have been much amateur radio
in Europe, probably even not in HB9 and certainly not in the UK. That is a fact.
Even more recently all those ROS users for the east would not be able to
use ROS from their government supervised club stations,

My oh My is that so ... prehaps we shoul thank Japan then on your behalf for making it so ?
... crazey stance to take ...

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Dear Simon,
>
> (Have to apologize for getting the exchanges on this reflector out of sync.
> My messages often show up delayed, do not know the reason.)
>
>
> Why don't take a stand in this, why not address the open source issue, Simon?
>
> I am sure you are familiar what is in the ARRL Handbook about obscure
> messages, published code, and so on. I can not repeat all that here, it is too much
> work. And it would be OT for many.
>
> It is not unreasonable. You should, as few others, see that this problem with the
> FCC hurts a lot of people and in the end hurts ROS. Even as you live in HB9, or
> outside the US, as people say they are happy to do.
>
> Many of your users are in the US.
>
> Worse of all I fail to see that there is really a problem other than
> a communication one. Correcting and apologizing could straighten this out
> in my opinion.
>
> If it had not been for the US there would not have been much amateur radio
> in Europe, probably even not in HB9 and certainly not in the UK. That is a fact.
> Even more recently all those ROS users for the east would not be able to
> use ROS from their government supervised club stations,
>
> (BTW haven't seen many HB9's using ROS , small amateur population! (?)
>
> I am getting a little tired of spearheading this and taking all the hits by
> one or two biased people, some of them just repeating others.
>
> Seeing that there are quite a few EA's, I's, and R/U's and even a few CO's
> I still think one would like to see the US amateurs even is one lives outside
> the US. (I did not bring this US issue up, believe it is very irrelevant, I would

> say stupid, read what was in written in the old ARRL Handbooks about this BTW)
>
> (I got lectured by a IARU person from Cuba with an real engineering back ground to stay
> away form this case BTW)
>
> It is up to Jose to fix it, why do you ignore that point? You have visibility,
> visited perhaps Dayton, Friedriechshaven, London Shows as a provider of amateur
> radio software.
>
> Its hard for me, repeating and repeating the same points to somebody who is not
> a radio amateur, perhaps is using amateur radio for non amateur radio related
> purposes.
>
> It open source issue is more important than you might think from what I have seen here
> in the US, Of course it is 2010 and people lay low. (What in heavens name has 2010 to do
> with us HAMS?)
>
> I am prepared to discuss some of these issues off this reflector if you want
> can show you prove of this without having to go public with names etc. I like to
> inject the expression "motivation, drive" here.
>
> I state it here again:
>
> I like certain aspects of ROS and like to use it without having to violate
> a FCC ruling, that is what it is in the end.
> And bottom line, I would still not underestimate the influence of the FCC or the US
> on other communication authorities in EU, in particular when it comes to the
> United Kingdom.
>
> ON SS:
>
> - Simon, if I were smarter than I am, I would be using ROS probably.
> That option does exists. If I were asked how I determined not the violate
> a standing rule, I want to be able to prove that I had done my home work
> and not violated the standing rule on grounds of this, that, and the other points.
>
> - For real SS there has to be a connection, synchronizing function between Transmitter
> and Receiver. I think the transmitter is telling the receiver and ONE receiver only
> how to decode the incoming wide noise band.
>
>
> - That is not a trivial function, I read, does that exist in ROS? Yes or no?
> Perhaps you can answer that, Simon. Perhaps using cell phone chips it could be
> done or other? I can get an answer form Jose on this (tried over and over)
>
> SS has its roots in the military and in secrecy. I really do not see this at all
> in ROS.
> Can go to a WEBSDR in EU or US , decode it fine, period.
>
> Would that apply to SS? I do not think so?

>
>
> The way I read it this is a requirement for SS. perhaps that syncing need to be unknown
> to 3d parties to make it SS?
> Is the code generated by SS for "CQ de W6SZ l pse" the same every time I were to
> send it?
>
> Some say it is not.
>
> If that is true, it could classify ROS as SS.
>
> True or false?
>
> Simon, you write software, perhaps not dsp, but I would really appreciate if you could
> comment on these questions and further if we could have a civilized discussion here
> about these subjects. That is really my only interest.
>
> I am trying to do my home work in spite of Jose accusing me of declaring ROS as SS.
>
> It is so difficult to understand that we can not here on this reflector develop
> a true evaluation of ROS without having to hear about Jose errors he made.
>
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: Simon HB9DRV <simon.brown@...>
> >Sent: May 30, 2010 1:37 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!
> >
> >It would be interesting to actually generate a Ham Spread-Spectrum mode just to prove
that we can do it :)
> >
> >
> >
> >IMO ROS is not spread spectrum, sadly the US / FCC has far too much bureaucracy, also
causing problems with satellites (AMSAT) :(
> >
> >
> >
> >ROS - keep up the very good work, maybe you get a licence in a few years ?
> >
> >
> >
> >Simon Brown, HB9DRV
> >
> >http://sdr-radio.com
> >

> >
> >
> >From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
> >
> >
> >If you want to know what is trully a SS radio speak with military people.
> >
>

859. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 20:30:39 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 839 Message: 859

G0NMY wrote:
> As far as i can see it is the audio tones that are hopping frequency
> and not the RF! The rf frequency or carrier frequency be supressed or
> not is fixed. So it is the audio that is ss so is legal to use in USA.
> If not then all sound card data modes would have to be banned. Thats
> my 2 cents worth. 73 G0NMY.

You know that, we know that but Rein doesn't seem to.

Or, to be fair I guess Rein is led by the nose by his national society
and the licensing authority in his country.

The rest of us don't suffer from the same straight jacket and, I would
suggest, couldn't care less.

So why does Rein continue to go on about it and why, I guess, do I
continue to care that he infests this group with these questions?

Either I, and others, need to just ignore him, or someone needs to
remove him.

Dave (G0DJA)

860. Thank You Jose

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 20:30:32 UTC
From: fred_darrah
Topic: 860 Message: 860

Jose,
Newest version in 4 baud mode now will decode on my old slow computer. No other bauds will decode as uses too much CPU, Is now 70% CPU in 4 baud mode. Decode is very good .
Thanks for latest version
Best to you Jose Good work on your mode.Too bad ARRL will not get inspired to do something.
Fred Darrah N9GUE

861. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 20:55:16 UTC
From: Howard Small
Topic: 839 Message: 861

Hi All

I really probably should not extend this topic by commenting but I have been sitting on the side reading the to and fro biting my tongue for too long.

Let us be clear: ROS is legal in the US. Those who think it is not should go back to the original FCC comment that said it is up to users to determine what is legal. The FCC also said that as the author stated it is SS then it must be. The FCC has NEVER made a technical ruling based on its own research of ROS. So if the user believes it is OK then it is. Further as the author has now quite specifically said it is not SS then, in the eyes of the FCC and using their earlier logic, it is not.

Now if there are US licensees who do not wish to use ROS in the belief that it may be illegal then that is their decision. If they wish to discuss this ad infinitum then please create a Yahoo Group for legal issues in the US and do it there.

At this point I apologise for continuing the debate and shall crawl back under my rock.

Howard
VK4BS

PS The Wireless Institute of Australia, the oldest ham organisation in the World, celebrates its centenary this year. It represents Australian hams in discussions with the Australian Government and participates in international discussions/bodies as do many other ham organisations.

Howard

862. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] audio tones... hopping frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 20:51:26 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 839 Message: 862

Hi G0NMY and Graig, KP6I,

I wished it was that simple. It is not.

It might have been sufficient before the FCC was asked the question.
Before that unfortunate event, I used ROS here happily...

Not hard prove of this:

At the time just before asking the FCC I was in contact with the
person who asked the question. That person contacted me about
providing him with a WSPR-QSO contact on 20 m. no ROS connection.

Jose did that person BIG harm and has still to correct it.

with some Googling the bloody details of this can be found on
many blogs.

I was happy to run that WSPR-QSO and we did. In the conversation he
mentioned ROS and I also had received out of the blue an e-mail
from Jose about ROS testng. He might have contacted 100's others
I do not know.

There was no input from my side on asking the question or not
If I had known it was going to happen, I would have tried to prevent
it. In does country most things are allowed as long as they are not
illegal or against the law. It different from many other places in the
world.

Scaring that user/questioner had an anti ROS agenda, again I
have no prove of this but have a strong impression. For those who
want to research this, I direct you to the digitalradio Yahoo
reflector. Enough said. Once the question was asked however and answered
it became a different story.

I stated a few relevant questions. Perhaps they can be answered.

It has nothing to do with a sound card or not. In my opinion ROS is
is a form of SSB it does not hop the carrier frequency and the rest
but that is not quite true and the issue I have been told by many.
Of course not here, it is silence here that rules, when it comes to
basic ROS questions.

Listen both, it is not my idea to say you are wrong.

Is there not anybody around that can help me with this?

There is a standing ruling by the FCC and I do not think I could defend myself
with a statement "it uses a sound card and there are other methods..." (BTW never tested officially such as MT61, it has not been asked fortunately for its users (MARS) among others)
There was another victim digital mode though, due to this.

Also, I am not in a position to violate a Federal rule, too much at stake.

I have seen you several times Graig, and if you had added a valid email address you would have gotten a report from Southern California.

Again once more I am not out on fights what perhaps some people think.

Where are the experts on this board, please....

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: porterockwell@...
>Sent: May 30, 2010 3:09 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] audio tones... hopping frequency
>
>Hear, hear
>
>rgrds
>Craig
>kq6i
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
>Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 12:51 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!
>
>
>
>Rein,
>
>Here you have what is ROS. I can not explain better than Mark.
>
>
>_____
>
>De: G0NMY <mark.g0nmy@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 19:08
>Asunto: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!
>
>
>
>As far as i can see it is the audio tones that are hopping frequency and not the RF! The

rf frequency or carrier frequency be
>supressed or not is fixed. So it is the audio that is ss so is legal to use in USA.
>If not then all sound card data modes would have to be banned. Thats my 2 cents worth. 73
G0NMY.
>
>On 30/05/2010, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@... <mailto:nietorosdj%40yahoo.es> >
wrote:
>> Rein,
>>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

863. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 21:15:42 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 839 Message: 863

Howard Small wrote:

> At this point I apologise for continuing the debate and shall crawl back
> under my rock.

No, you shouldn't but those that don't want to allow ROS in the USA will disagree with you and those that do will just carry on and use it.

I wish that those in the USA that have a problem will go and sort it out with their licensing authority like the rest of us would have to do with ours...

It's strange that the other USA forums don't seem to care much about other countries regulations, but some of the USA Amateurs on here want us to care about their regulations.

Saying, on here, that you want to know what your countries regulations are is not a requirement for the rest of us to give a damn.

Dave (G0DJA)

864. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] audio tones... hopping frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 21:20:00 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 839 Message: 864

rein0zn@... wrote:
> Hi G0NMY and Graig, KP6I,

Spam bin, please welcome Rein,

Rein, this is my Spam bin. All of your future emails that I receive
will be sent here.

Have a nice day.

Dave (G0DJA)

865. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] audio tones... hopping frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 21:28:57 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 839 Message: 865

```
//Childish behavior..

la5vna

On 30.05.2010 23:20, Dave Ackrill wrote:
> rein0zn@... wrote:
>
>> Hi G0NMY and Graig, KP6I,
>>
> Spam bin, please welcome Rein,
>
> Rein, this is my Spam bin. All of your future emails that I receive
> will be sent here.
>
> Have a nice day.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
```

866. : Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 21:32:00 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 866 Message: 866

"(..)you are probably too self centered to understand the most basic
facts of social behavior."

Absolutely right

la5vna

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
Date: Sun, 30 May 2010 13:58:46 -0400 (EDT)
From: rein0zn@...
Reply-To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com

Jose,

You consider yourself an intelligent being, an engineer, a scientist?

If I relay a statement that I read here in the US and think that it
might benefit
you and then use that message against me. You dare to name yourself an
engineer?

I research for you the MT61 issue and they other stuff. You should shame
your self.

As you should shame yourself as you treated a certain radio amateur, an
honest
man who you ruined took away all his fun in amateur radio. I hope you
know who I
am talking about but you are probably too self centered to understand
the most basic
facts of social behavior.

Your statements about the other protocols are also totally off the mark.
I am not
an expert on digital methods. But I believe, MT61 was written in Italy,
many of
the PSK's, Pactor, are from Britain etc, etc. I have to research it but
you are wrong about
the "US software only" It is a ridiculous and insane statement in the
room of amatuer
radio. Again as an engineer you should do your homework on this subject
before making
a judgement like this.

All these protocols are well documented, with proper true descriptions named what they are.

Blaming W6SZ for keeping ROS qualified as SS is really the very very limit! I asked you
several time before to have an English reader translate what you read. Get the real
meaning of a text.

73 Rein W6SZ

867. Re: No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 21:33:34 UTC
From: Howard Z
Topic: 839 Message: 867

The problem started because the first documentation written by the author of ROS stated that it used Spread Spectrum. (yes I still have a copy of it)

The FCC assumed the author knows what he is talking about.

Thus ROS became banned in the USA by the FCC.
The FCC did not need to study ROS to conclude it is spread spectrum - because it was admitted by the author of ROS.

It may take a long time for the FCC to study ROS and conclude something different.

Had the author of ROS not stated in his documentation that ROS is spread spectrum, then ROS would be allowed in the USA until such time that possibly the FCC would study ROS and possibly conclude that it is spread spectrum.

Will the FCC change its decision? Perhaps.

You know whose fault this is?
The FCC - No
The author of ROS - Yes

So, I do not think it appropriate that he complains about the FCC.

Howard

868. rosmodemusa Yahoo Group

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 21:33:00 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 868 Message: 868

Hello All,

I am very sorry having to announce a new Yahoo Group.

rosmodemusa

Perhaps a few members of the this group might join. My expectations are not high.

This is not an ego trip. Anyone interested in this topic are welcome.

In particular those with knowledge of the issue and no anti ROS bias are very welcomed.

Once the issue of the ROS use in USA is resolved the group will be terminated.

73 Rein W6SZ

869. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] audio tones... hopping frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 21:41:21 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 839 Message: 869

Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> //Childish behavior..
>
> la5vna

No problem, you want to go into my spam bin as well Steinar Aanesland then I don't care either.

I don't care what you do or don't know. I hope we never have to share a VHF or UHF stage as I will not want to know you and you will not want to know me I would guess.

Bye Bye.

Dave (G0DJA)

870. Re: : Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 21:46:49 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 866 Message: 870

Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> "(..)you are probably too self centered to understand the most basic
> facts of social behavior."
>
> Absolutely right
>
> la5vna

If I ever have the misfortune to be on a stage with you, I hope that you will do me the favour of letting me know who you are, as I will to you, so that we can socially avoid each other.

Unlikely, as I don't seek VHF or UHF accreditation anyway.

Dave (G0DJA)

871. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] audio tones... hopping frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 22:00:42 UTC
From: Michael O'Bannon
Topic: 839 Message: 871

Dave,

Please add me to your spam bin!! I don't post much on this forum, but
your behavior has caught my eye and convinced me that I want nothing to
do with you, nor do I wish you to have anything to do with me.

I consider it an honor to be in the company of others in your spam bin.

73,
Michael KD4SGN

> Steinar Aanesland wrote:
>
>> //Childish behavior..
>>
>> la5vna
>>
> No problem, you want to go into my spam bin as well Steinar Aanesland
> then I don't care either.
>
> I don't care what you do or don't know. I hope we never have to share a
> VHF or UHF stage as I will not want to know you and you will not want to
> know me I would guess.
>
> Bye Bye.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
> _____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database
5156 (20100530) _____
>
> The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.
>
> http://www.eset.com
>
>
>
>

>

872. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] audio tones... hopping frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 22:05:55 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 839 Message: 872

Dave why don't you put my messages in the auto reject/destroy bin?

That would be fine by me.

You reached your goal, be pleased and congratulate yourself, take a drink, you earned it.
Then fire up the TS-2000, tune the antenna, a make a few QSO's with CO2CD on HF.
it would add to the ever increasing popularity of ROS Modem.

Cheers.

73 Rein W6SZ

http://www.nitehawk.com/rasmit/

-----Original Message-----
>From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
>Sent: May 30, 2010 5:20 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] audio tones... hopping frequency
>
>rein0zn@... wrote:
>> Hi G0NMY and Graig, KP6I,
>
>Spam bin, please welcome Rein,
>
>Rein, this is my Spam bin. All of your future emails that I receive
>will be sent here.
>
>Have a nice day.
>
>Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

873. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 22:28:15 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 839 Message: 873

>>>AA6YQ comments below

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Howard Small
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 4:55 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Hi All

<snip>

Let us be clear: ROS is legal in the US.

>>>Are you willing to pay the fines for US operators who use ROS but are unable to demonstrate to the FCC's satisfaction that ROS does not use Spread Spectrum?

Those who think it is not should go back to the original FCC comment that said it is up to users to determine what is legal.

>>>US amateurs are precluded from using Spread Spectrum modes. The FCC states that it is the amateur's responsibility to determine whether a mode is Spread Spectrum. Quoting Yahoo group posts will not produce a defensible determination. "But some US amateurs use MT63 and I saw on a Yahoo group that it too uses Spread Spectrum" is not a defensible determination. A competent technical analysis is required.

The FCC also said that as the author stated it is SS then it must be. The FCC has NEVER made a technical ruling based on its own research of ROS. So if the user believes it is OK then
it is. Further as the author has now quite specifically said it is not SS then, in the eyes of the FCC and using their earlier logic, it is not.

>>>That would have been true if

1. The author provided a technical explanation showing why his original characterization of ROS as Spread Spectrum was incorrect

2. The author hadn't been caught in an outright lie, publishing on his ROS web site that the FCC had okayed the use of ROS by US amateurs

>>>The author now ridicules anyone who questions whether ROS is Spread Spectrum, conveniently forgetting that he was the first to characterize ROS as Spread Spectrum.

>>>Given #2 above, the author's technical credibility with the FCC is non-existent. If he were genuinely interested in establishing the legality

of ROS in the US, the author would publish the source code to ROS so that amateurs with technical credibility could examine the algorithms and state definitively whether ROS does or does not use Spread Spectrum. Assuming the result of this analysis was "no, ROS doesn't use Spread Spectrum", then US amateurs could use ROS, confident that they could defend their decision with this analysis. But the author of ROS has stated here that no source code will be made publicly available until ROS is legal is the US - thereby cutting off the one remaining path to US adoption that he hasn't already destroyed.

>>>Very clever.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

875. ROS MODEM in USA

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 23:46:18 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 875 Message: 875

Hello All,

Those interested and wanting to make positive contributions are invited to join this specific group.

We are looking for dedicated and civilized radio amateurs, worth their Government provided amateur radio license.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/rosmodemusa/?yguid=1448749

Regards,

Rein W6SZ

876. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-30 23:52:30 UTC
From: Howard Small
Topic: 839 Message: 876

I think you posted this to the wrong group Dave - there is a yahoogroup called rosmodeusa which would be appropriate.

Regards,

Howard
VK4BS

Dave AA6YQ wrote:

877. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 05:14:46 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 839 Message: 877

+++ more AA6YQ comments below

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Howard Small
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 7:53 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!

I think you posted this to the wrong group Dave - there is a yahoogroup
called rosmodeusa which would be appropriate.

+++Your remarks below were posted on ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP, Howard, so my
response to your remarks was appropriately posted to the same group.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

Let us be clear: ROS is legal in the US.

>>>Are you willing to pay the fines for US operators who use ROS but are
unable to demonstrate to the FCC's satisfaction that ROS does not use Spread
Spectrum?

Those who think it is not should go back to the original FCC comment that
said it is up to users to determine what is legal.

>>>US amateurs are precluded from using Spread Spectrum modes. The FCC
states that it is the amateur's responsibility to determine whether a mode
is Spread Spectrum. Quoting Yahoo group posts will not produce a defensible
determination. "But some US amateurs use MT63 and I saw on a Yahoo group
that it too uses Spread Spectrum" is not a defensible determination. A
competent technical analysis is required.

The FCC also said that as the author stated it is SS then it must be. The
FCC has NEVER made a technical ruling based on its own research of ROS. So
if the user believes it is OK then
it is. Further as the author has now quite specifically said it is not SS
then, in the eyes of the FCC and using their earlier logic, it is not.

>>>That would have been true if

1. The author provided a technical explanation showing why his
original characterization of ROS as Spread Spectrum was incorrect

2. The author hadn't been caught in an outright lie, publishing on his
ROS web site that the FCC had okayed the use of ROS by US amateurs

>>>The author now ridicules anyone who questions whether ROS is Spread Spectrum, conveniently forgetting that he was the first to characterize ROS as Spread Spectrum.

>>>Given #2 above, the author's technical credibility with the FCC is non-existent. If he were genuinely interested in establishing the legality of ROS in the US, the author would publish the source code to ROS so that amateurs with technical credibility could examine the algorithms and state definitively whether ROS does or does not use Spread Spectrum. Assuming the result of this analysis was "no, ROS doesn't use Spread Spectrum", then US amateurs could use ROS, confident that they could defend their decision with this analysis. But the author of ROS has stated here that no source code will be made publicly available until ROS is legal is the US - thereby cutting off the one remaining path to US adoption that he hasn't already destroyed.

>>>Very clever.

878. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] audio tones... hopping frequency

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 05:36:21 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 839 Message: 878

I couldn't care less

la5vna

On 30.05.2010 23:41, Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Steinar Aanesland wrote:
>
>> //Childish behavior..
>>
>> la5vna
>>
> No problem, you want to go into my spam bin as well Steinar Aanesland
> then I don't care either.
>
> I don't care what you do or don't know. I hope we never have to share a
> VHF or UHF stage as I will not want to know you and you will not want to
> know me I would guess.
>
> Bye Bye.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>

879. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] source code not required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 06:16:02 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 839 Message: 879

Hi Graig,

Thanks for remarks via e-mail. I am not the one making the rules as far as the use of ROS Modem in the US are concerned. I wish I had that responsibility.

I don't care about open source either, but I have the impression that others do. Haven't seen written papers either way, other than I studied a little in the ARRL Hand Book. It is there where is it discussed.

You are very much invited to join this:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/rosmodemusa/?yguid=1448749

This message going into somebody's spam bin is fine, but we can save him the trouble of having to click. And I do not really want to upset a fellow radio amateur.

Again, you are very welcome there as an actual US ROS user. You might have info we do not have. What is CDMA?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: porterockwell@...
>Sent: May 30, 2010 3:04 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] source code not required
>
>Rein,
>
>I don't care if ROS is open source or not. What's the big deal about open source. Why isn't ROS SS, the key, "the 3Khz HF
>standard channel is maintained". Researching CDMA, SS, MT63, Olivia it finally clicked and confirmed what I believed since
>the Feb release of ROS.
>
>rgrds
>Craig
>kq6i
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
>Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 10:29 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!
>
>

>
>Rein,
>
>I have explained you 1000 times a baseband system generated with the soundcard is not SS and you continue asking me the same.
>There is not more explication than that.
>
>If you want to know what is trully a SS radio speak with military people.
>
>If you dont understand it, please, dont continue question the same.
>
>
>
>
>_____
>
>De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:16
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No source code!
>
>
>
>Hi All,
>
>With all the criticism that Jose is and has been leveling about the ARRL, he has never really addressed an issue that I find
>very reasonable, open source and protocol published in amateur circles.
>As an example see WSJT in QEX magazine.
>
>I say that as I have been asking Jose several time and he never addressed that very question up to now.
>
>For more on this, see section on SS in Handbook where this is discussed.
>2007, pages 9-45 etc
>
>Again, Jose might be shooting here is his own foot.
>
>I apologize up front for getting too much into boring details.
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
>
>Rein,
>
>
>
>
>

>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

880. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: DM780

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 07:02:22 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 837 Message: 880

Jose,

I have never questioned your capabilities, did I?
I do not think anybody questions your software skills here.
I can also understand very well that you get frustrated, and feel your knowledge in this area questioned.

If you can, from your point of view, experence, make the case that what you call (in your field) is something different than what SS in the field of radio regulations or emissions, a problem could be resolved there right away.

If what happened to you (and others in this case), I would be probably angry as well, but I would probably think "too bad", you made some errors here, lets try to correct that
if possible.

I admire what you are doing in software on this project, the speed with which you produce new versions, updates, accept suggestions. and so on. There are others that might
not have that opinion though. I am not one of that group

I don't feel qualified to address Dan Henderson, other then that he is an ARRL officer, radio amateur, Extra Class License, volunteer.(not paid)

See: (internet search)

"ARRL Dan Henderson"

http://search.intelius.com/Dan-Henderson-RhI7O1WG

We keep repeating this issue, I am really sorry Jose that I have to write this over and over.

What is needed is that you help us by contacting the FCC,and straighten it out.
As I told you , many times, in my opinion it could be very simply solved.
Of course, I could be wrong. FCC wants to promote amateur radio as does ARRL.
But I think we should respect them and treat them as such.

BTW you are very welcome to join our little group at:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/rosmodemusa/?yguid=1448749

even if you might click me into the spam bin if you think I am too aggressive.

Regards,

Rein Smit

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: May 30, 2010 2:49 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: DM780
>
>Rein,
>
>When i talk about "Spread Spectrum", i assume any qualified undernstad ROS is not really Spread Spectrum because we are talking of a software that work with the soundcard.
>
>Of the same way, when somebody speak of PRA, all qualified know PRA = PIRE-2.1 dB
>
>If somebody say that PRA is the power in the TX connector, then there is not any to discuss.
>
>And of the same way, you can design filters using DSP software, but obviously, you are working with a sound signal, it is not a RF filter.
>
>I have no guilt that said to Tim N3TL in an email (before FCC agent open the mouth) that: "our experts say that ROS and CHIP64 are Spread Spectrum ".
>
>So, i think ARRL should be replace to theirs experts.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>_____
>De: Rein A <rein0zn@...om.com>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 19:27
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: DM780
>
>
>Dear Jose,
>
>I am not in a position to answer that question. If you told the subscribers here on this very reflector AND the FCC what ROS is, we perhaps would know.
>
>And I am the first to admit if I asked them (ARRL) that question they
>might not even answer or refer me to FCC rule so ad so (after a few
>months waiting.) In spite of that I SUPPORT the ARRL.
>
>I told you, it could be that nobody ever asked the FCC the question...
>

>"I like a use a digital protocol MT61 and I read that the author
>classifies it as SPREAD SPECTRUM, can I use it on 80 meter?"
>
>I am afraid you would have gotten the same answer the questioner
>got and the ARRL would have published that answer as they did
>with the ROS answer. The FCC asked them (ARRL) I have to assume.
>
>I don't blame you that this happened but you do not want to understand
>that you caused to question to be asked. I know ,it becomes boring
>to repeat this over and over. But is is a fact.
>
>You Jose can correct this by leveling with the FCC and telling that
>you had not done your home work in introducing a new radio amateur
>protocol.
>
>BTW the ARRL represents amateurs in Washington and has the means to operate on legal
matters on an INTERNATIoNAL LEVEL, No other organization can do this , not the JARL RSGB,
DARC, REF, VERON, VRZA, SAS or who ever else.
>
>International level = UN (United Nations) IARU (International
>Amateur Radio Union) ITU (International Telecommunication Union,
>frequency, assignments) and so on.
>
>That is of greater importance than anything else. If you don't believe
>this or understand it, study it. They have the membership and the resources to do that. (
Why are you telling us all that boring,
>international stuff? I am only interested to play with my radio)
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>>
>> Rein,
>>
>> We are waiting you question to "the messengers" why MT63 is not SS.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> _____
>> De: "rein0zn@..." <rein0zn@...>
>> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 17:48
>> Asunto: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] DM780
>>
>> Â
>>
>> Hi Simon,
>>

>> Is it really up to Jose to answer that question, perhaps he even has the right to
>> refuse the adding.
>> I wished you did do it. It would put the issue direct in the center of attention.
>> Also I would not have to defend my concerns on this board.
>>
>> I listen here on a remote receiver and with my own station on 14076 for WSJT65A and
>> I see similar levels of activity during a 24 hour period.
>> Enough said.
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: Simon HB9DRV <simon.brown@...>
>> >Sent: May 30, 2010 9:29 AM
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>> >
>> >Hi Jose,
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >Thank-you for developing ROS, it's always good to advance Ham Radio knowledge.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >Sometime I would like to add ROS to my DM780 software, also with SDR it would be
interesting to maybe try high-speed ROS for meteor scatter on 6m and above - what do you
think? What about using wide bandwidths such as 48kHz?
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >Simon Brown, HB9DRV
>> >
>> >http://sdr-radio.com
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
>> >Sent: 30 May 2010 11:14
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >

>> >This ONG every day do more the ridiculous.
<http://mail.yimg.com/a/i/mesg/tsmileys2/09.gif> Rein, they are your messengers
<http://mail.yimg.com/a/i/mesg/tsmileys2/35.gif>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
>> >
>> > _____
>> >
>> >De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
>> >Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
>> >Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
>> >73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

881. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] source code not required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 07:31:04 UTC
From: Simon HB9DRV
Topic: 839 Message: 881

I have half an idea that in some European countries ROS could be considered an encrypted messaging system unless there's a clear specification or in the absence of a spec. the source code is available. Now I hardly think that the UK's Ofcom will code up a spec. to see what those crazy amateurs are up to, but making source available would ensure that there could not be any problems.

Also publishing source will ensure that it is than part of my own DM780 and I'm sure Dave W1HKJ would add ROS to fldigi.

Simon Brown, HB9DRV
http://sdr-radio.com

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> rein0zn@...
>
> I don't care about open source either, but I have the impression that
> others do.
>

882. Garbage in the group

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 09:48:05 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 866 Message: 882

Dave,

Latest days the group is full of ARRL messengers looking for the Source Code and doing campaign about "ROS is illegal althought people like Simon Brown and G0NMY have explained very clear why ROS is legal".

So, i think it is time to clean the garbage of ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP.

Begins for Rein, AA6 and his friends of the kind "Please, include me in the list".

thanks

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 23:46
Asunto: Re: : Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> "(..)you are probably too self centered to understand the most basic
> facts of social behavior."
>
> Absolutely right
>
> la5vna

If I ever have the misfortune to be on a stage with you, I hope that you will do me the favour of letting me know who you are, as I will to you, so that we can socially avoid each other.

Unlikely, as I don't seek VHF or UHF accreditation anyway.

Dave (G0DJA)

883. Re: Garbage in the group

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 10:29:02 UTC
From: radionorway
Topic: 866 Message: 883

What have "looking for the Source Code" to do with legality of ROS in US ?

la5vna

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
>
>
> Dave,
>
> Latest days the group is full of ARRL messengers looking for the Source Code and doing
campaign about "ROS is illegal althought people like Simon Brown and G0NMY have explained
very clear why ROS is looking for the Source Code".
>
> So, i think it is time toÂ clean the garbage of ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP.
>
> Begins for Rein, AA6 and his friends of the kind "Please, include me in the list". Â
>
> thanks
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 23:46
> Asunto: Re: : Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
>
> Â
> Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> > "(..)you are probably too self centered to understand the most basic
> > facts of social behavior."
> >
> > Absolutely right
> >
> > la5vna
>
> If I ever have the misfortune to be on a stage with you, I hope that you
> will do me the favour of letting me know who you are, as I will to you,
> so that we can socially avoid each other.

>
> Unlikely, as I don't seek VHF or UHF accreditation anyway.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

884. Re: source code not required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 11:59:47 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 839 Message: 884

2 meg of code produced by bad bills windows based software , is not going to task the security forces too much (one would hope)as nothing can be sold to the public that cannot be opend in the back room, its hardly sending in a secret code or cypher as you can download the software quite freely , unlike say windows that is locked and everyone uses that without any problems (psychological that is)

G.

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Simon HB9DRV" <simon.brown@...> wrote:
>
> I have half an idea that in some European countries ROS could be considered an encrypted messaging system unless there's a clear specification or in the absence of a spec. the source code is available. Now I hardly think that the UK's Ofcom will code up a spec. to see what those crazy amateurs are up to, but making source available would ensure that there could not be any problems.
>
> Also publishing source will ensure that it is than part of my own DM780 and I'm sure Dave W1HKJ would add ROS to fldigi.
>
> Simon Brown, HB9DRV
> http://sdr-radio.com
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@...m] On Behalf Of
> > rein0zn@...
> >
> > I don't care about open source either, but I have the impression that
> > others do.
> >
>

885. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: source code not required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 12:07:00 UTC
From: Simon HB9DRV
Topic: 839 Message: 885

Don't you believe it. Cryptology has advanced in leaps and bounds; there would be no problem at all in writing a program similar to ROS that cannot be deciphered.

Simon Brown, HB9DRV
http://sdr-radio.com

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of graham787
> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: source code not required
>
> 2 meg of code produced by bad bills windows based software , is not
> going to task the security forces too much (one would hope)as
> nothing can be sold to the public that cannot be opend in the back
> room
>

886. Re: source code not required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 12:40:10 UTC
From: radionorway
Topic: 839 Message: 886

Although we have a fairly liberal regulation, this is the case in Norway :

"(..)some European countries ROS could be considered an encrypted messaging system unless there's a clear specification or in the absence of a spec. the source code is available"

The developer have to describe in detail how the ROS protocol works so other developers like Simon HB9DRV and Patrick F6CTE are able to incorporate the protocol in their software.

LA5VNA

I will never use it because of the developer behavior , but that is another story.

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Simon HB9DRV" <simon.brown@...> wrote:
>
> I have half an idea that in some European countries ROS could be considered an encrypted messaging system unless there's a clear specification or in the absence of a spec. the source code is available. Now I hardly think that the UK's Ofcom will code up a spec. to see what those crazy amateurs are up to, but making source available would ensure that there could not be any problems.
>
> Also publishing source will ensure that it is than part of my own DM780 and I'm sure Dave W1HKJ would add ROS to fldigi.
>
> Simon Brown, HB9DRV
> http://sdr-radio.com
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> > rein0zn@...
> >
> > I don't care about open source either, but I have the impression that
> > others do.
> >
>

887. Re: source code not required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 12:36:11 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 839 Message: 887

Yes , Simon, you right , a little public key encription . prehaps a hard coded algorithium dotted about and its a trip down to the vlc (very large computer) 'cannot is prehaps based on preception' ... but this com's system is freely avaialable to use and as far as I can see deploys state of the art digital noise reduction before and after the audio 'modem' similar to gps .. in esance a modern qrp system 500mW of RF 500 watts of processing power :)

psk31 had a similar flack barrarge when that was offered to the ham gang .. but that the other way round , the gpo got upset that a secret system was being used , same argument's

G ..

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Simon HB9DRV" <simon.brown@...> wrote:
>
> Don't you believe it. Cryptology has advanced in leaps and bounds; there
> would be no problem at all in writing a program similar to ROS that cannot
> be deciphered.
>
> Simon Brown, HB9DRV
> http://sdr-radio.com
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of graham787
> > Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: source code not required
> >
> > 2 meg of code produced by bad bills windows based software , is not
> > going to task the security forces too much (one would hope)as
> > nothing can be sold to the public that cannot be opend in the back
> > room
> >
>

888. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Garbage in the group

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 13:38:16 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 866 Message: 888

Jose, no one here has posted anything resembling a technically competent analysis of ROS that would enable US hams to utilize it on HF.

Name-calling and chest-beating will get you nowhere.

Dave, AA6YQ

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 5:48 AM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Garbage in the group

Dave,

Latest days the group is full of ARRL messengers looking for the Source Code and doing campaign about "ROS is illegal althought people like Simon Brown and G0NMY have explained very clear why ROS is legal".

So, i think it is time to clean the garbage of ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP.

Begins for Rein, AA6 and his friends of the kind "Please, include me in the list".

thanks

De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 23:46
Asunto: Re: : Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> "(..)you are probably too self centered to understand the most basic
> facts of social behavior."
>
> Absolutely right
>
> la5vna

If I ever have the misfortune to be on a stage with you, I hope that you
will do me the favour of letting me know who you are, as I will to you,
so that we can socially avoid each other.

Unlikely, as I don't seek VHF or UHF accreditation anyway.

Dave (G0DJA)

889. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] source code not required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 15:30:36 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 839 Message: 889

Hello Simon,

Could you go into more detail. Is encryption, as I have told by many, off this reflector, part of discussion?

Could you explain to us (or just me) the ins and outs of this or better, point to public available
amateur paper(s), so that I can read and try to understand it?

The ARRL Hand Book , mentions it but just is passing as well the issue that the regulatory agency should
have access to the transmitted info under all circumstances. This last part makes full sense to me BTW.

Would be much appreciated.

Given your pointing to UK (Postal?) regulations is also of interest to me, in light what is going on
here on this reflector.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Simon HB9DRV <simon.brown@...>
>Sent: May 31, 2010 7:31 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] source code not required
>
>I have half an idea that in some European countries ROS could be considered an encrypted messaging system unless there's a clear specification or in the absence of a spec. the source code is available. Now I hardly think that the UK's Ofcom will code up a spec. to see what those crazy amateurs are up to, but making source available would ensure that there could not be any problems.
>
>Also publishing source will ensure that it is than part of my own DM780 and I'm sure Dave W1HKJ would add ROS to fldigi.
>
>Simon Brown, HB9DRV
>http://sdr-radio.com
>
>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
>> rein0zn@...
>>
>> I don't care about open source either, but I have the impression that

>> others do.
>>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

890. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Garbage in the group

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 15:46:16 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 866 Message: 890

Hello Steinar,

Perhaps more than you think! That what I am trying to find out.
I believe, could well be wrong though) we are getting here to or have reached
a very sensitive nerve!

BTW, I am not looking for the source code, the regulatory agency is. (I think)

Have been asking this here over and over, and most of the time shouted off the
reflector as wanting to talk about an US only problem.
Could this be more than just US only?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: radionorway <saanes@...>
>Sent: May 31, 2010 10:29 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Garbage in the group
>
>
>What have "looking for the Source Code" to do with legality of ROS in US ?
>
>la5vna
>
>
>
>
>
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> Dave,
>>
>> Latest days the group is full of ARRL messengers looking for the Source Code and doing
campaign about "ROS is illegal althought people like Simon Brown and G0NMY have explained
very clear why ROS is looking for the Source Code".
>>
>> So, i think it is time toÂ clean the garbage of ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP.
>>
>> Begins for Rein, AA6 and his friends of the kind "Please, include me in the list". Â
>>
>> thanks

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> _____
>> De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
>> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 23:46
>> Asunto: Re: : Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
>>
>> Â
>> Steinar Aanesland wrote:
>> > "(..)you are probably too self centered to understand the most basic
>> > facts of social behavior."
>> >
>> > Absolutely right
>> >
>> > la5vna
>>
>> If I ever have the misfortune to be on a stage with you, I hope that you
>> will do me the favour of letting me know who you are, as I will to you,
>> so that we can socially avoid each other.
>>
>> Unlikely, as I don't seek VHF or UHF accreditation anyway.
>>
>> Dave (G0DJA)
>>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

891. FT847 PTT Ver 3.8.1.beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 18:18:02 UTC
From: les_b49
Topic: 891 Message: 891

Have just upgraded to version 3.8.1.Beta and having problems with PTT line pulsing on and off in Mode Ros HF. Ros MF & Ros EME both function perfectly. Previous versions have worked fine. PTT is switched thru Com Port 3.All other progs ,Logger32. mmsstv,etc work fine using com port 3.
Any ideas as to problem. Uing WIN XP on a 2.8Ghz Machine.

Regards Les G3NFP

892. WEB SDR reports

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 18:20:49 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 892 Message: 892

What is the point of sending signal reports from a WEB-SDR with your own station QRA locator in the report like this for exmple ..

Locator: DM14ed
Station: WEBSDR RX

we need to know where the rx is located not where the pc is .. you may as well just send a text message from coca beach , thats if you mobile has not been stolen by the locals .. nice people down that way , still running APC trips down the keys ?

G .

893. Last msg to the ROS group

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 18:24:26 UTC
From: ham-forum
Topic: 866 Message: 893

Hello,

I was thinking to find some ROS activity
"on air". The only decoded signal at this time is
the "test" recorded wave.

As a "fan" of JT65A, i enjoyed to be able
to receive stations in the order of -30dB audio
SNR with ROS but no "trace" yet on the
recommended frequencies.

If they are "administrative" problems, as
it's the case of FDMDV, i prefer to renounce
to ROS, as i do with FDMDV.

73 QRO,
Rudolf, HB9ARI

rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Hello Steinar,
>
> Perhaps more than you think! That what I am trying to find out.
> I believe, could well be wrong though) we are getting here to or have
> reached
> a very sensitive nerve!
>
> BTW, I am not looking for the source code, the regulatory agency is. (
> I think)
>
> Have been asking this here over and over, and most of the time shouted
> off the
> reflector as wanting to talk about an US only problem.
> Could this be more than just US only?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: radionorway <saanes@... <mailto:saanes%40broadpark.no>>
> >Sent: May 31, 2010 10:29 AM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Garbage in the group
> >
> >
> >What have "looking for the Source Code" to do with legality of ROS in

> US ?
> >
> >la5vna
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>, jose alberto nieto
> ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Dave,
> >>
> >> Latest days the group is full of ARRL messengers looking for the
> Source Code and doing campaign about "ROS is illegal althought people
> like Simon Brown and G0NMY have explained very clear why ROS is
> looking for the Source Code".
> >>
> >> So, i think it is time to? clean the garbage of ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP.
> >>
> >> Begins for Rein, AA6 and his friends of the kind "Please, include
> me in the list". ?
> >>
> >> thanks
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> _____
> >> De: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
> >> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> >> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 23:46
> >> Asunto: Re: : Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
> >>
> >> ?
> >> Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> >> > "(..)you are probably too self centered to understand the most basic
> >> > facts of social behavior."
> >> >
> >> > Absolutely right
> >> >
> >> > la5vna

> >>
> >> If I ever have the misfortune to be on a stage with you, I hope
> that you
> >> will do me the favour of letting me know who you are, as I will to
> you,
> >> so that we can socially avoid each other.
> >>
> >> Unlikely, as I don't seek VHF or UHF accreditation anyway.
> >>
> >> Dave (G0DJA)
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>
>

894. Re: Last msg to the ROS group

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 18:36:37 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 866 Message: 894

Rudolf

Check the pull down frequency chart 14.103 is the most used 7055 round midnight also , dont forget ros is not time locked so no need for a web link or accurate pc time clock .... and please , if you use the web-sdr ... mention which one it was !

G .

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, ham-forum <ham-forum@...> wrote:
>
> Hello,
>
> I was thinking to find some ROS activity
> "on air". The only decoded signal at this time is
> the "test" recorded wave.
>
> As a "fan" of JT65A, i enjoyed to be able
> to receive stations in the order of -30dB audio
> SNR with ROS but no "trace" yet on the
> recommended frequencies.
>
> If they are "administrative" problems, as
> it's the case of FDMDV, i prefer to renounce
> to ROS, as i do with FDMDV.
>
> 73 QRO,
> Rudolf, HB9ARI
>

895. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 19:28:01 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 821 Message: 895

Jose

Congrats for the great work i do not care if you are a ham, a hobby programmer or just a 5 year old kid ;-) I like the mode cause I had great contacts with far away stations with only a few watts so what? It is a soft for qrp and it works . For me it is afsk like many other modes (but I am no us citizen!!)

Would it be SS if we would use a good wideband soundcard and spread the data over maybe 192 khz (or 48khz) I think yes BUT ros uses only 1 ssb-channel or in other words stays in the passband of a ssb filter and the rf carrier (surpressed or not) is not shifted .

So I would say it is legal anywhere if 2.7khz bw is allowed (so 30m is different)

Using the software for RECEIVING and sending the reports to other stations by mail is SURELY allowed to us citizens so I think we should stop that discussion if it is legal in us or not . It is legal for receiving and reporting by mail for anybody (even for a swl without lis!!) so for us citizens it is LEGAL to use ros

If you want to TRANSMIT with ros anybody has to look if their local rules say ros is allowed or not but if in one or more countries ros is not allowed to use in transmit mode it is NOT THE FAULT of the programmer hi hi

Hopefully we all can get rid of this discussion and just enjoy the hobby and bring new modes on the air .

Think about that without hamradio we would have no internet no telephone no tv no radio . So hamradio is bringing new developments out everytime somebody is bringing out a new mode or a new technician thing ..

So we should not discuss if ros is legal in us or not we should discuss how we bring ros to be legal in us . Either by modifying the soft or by bringing the fcc to say it is legal (if stayed on one channel 3kc wide) .. so stop discussing that stuff . I hate reading about that things I like to improve the mode

I would like to read from others what they like on the frontend and what not

I would like to have a ☐whishes list☐ or ☐to-do list☐ here in the group

I would like to hear what distance is record with what power and what antenna (both sides) on what qrg . A highscorelist or so :-)

I want no further discussion of the legality in us (or any other country)
so

Best 73

Dg9bfc

Ps jose just to know : how many hours did you spend in that soft ???
sometimes 2 or 3 versions a day!!! Wow! That is hamspirit without being a
ham! Thanks a lot!

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von jose alberto
nieto ros
Gesendet: Sonntag, 30. Mai 2010 16:56
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Rein,

First, I have no any problem, the problem is yours.

Second, we are learning here quickly what ARRL is doing with weak signals
software that are not made in USA. Even a blind can see.

Third, Have you any problem if i am not Ham? The rest of the world have no
problems about author of ROS was Ham or was an Enginner. Only people like
you look for any excuse for say "Is illegal", since author is not a Ham
until code is Visual Basic or "generate interferences". Any excuse is valid.

De: Rein A <rein0zn@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:38

Jose,

This remark is beyond anything. I have been trying to educate you
about how things are done almost from day 1. You like things your way, it
just does not work in life, believe me. It just proves again YOUR problem.

"I Jose, am right, do not ask me any question. If you are in my way
I will send the police after you like in the days of the owners
of that submarine on your WEB page. (U-Boot)"

Why not put a picture of a research submersible there, they use SONAR
too or not? Suggested that weeks ago.

If you were a ham they the ARRL would be your messengers as well with all
their shortcomings.

Why don't you learn about amateur radio if you want to work in their domain or use them, as a testbed?

And BTW this has little to do with living in the US or outside the US.

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
P@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> This ONG every day do more the ridiculous. Â Rein, they are your
messengers
>
> What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
>
> _____
> De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
P@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Partial answer
>
> Â
> It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is
opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look
back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other
users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to
use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It
seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on
this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
> 73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
>

896. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Garbage in the group

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 19:52:46 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 866 Message: 896

Hi Rein

Everyone here, even my good friend Dave (G0DJA), knows why Jose doesn't want to release a clear specification or the source code. He knows he has made a frequency-hopping spread spectrum mode, but after he realized that his software was illegal in the US he has strongly denied it . Now it is too late to turn back, and he is afraid that the source code will expose him.

LA5VNA

On 31.05.2010 17:46, rein0zn@... wrote:
> Hello Steinar,
>
> Perhaps more than you think! That what I am trying to find out.
> I believe, could well be wrong though) we are getting here to or have reached
> a very sensitive nerve!
>
> BTW, I am not looking for the source code, the regulatory agency is. (I think)
>
> Have been asking this here over and over, and most of the time shouted off the
> reflector as wanting to talk about an US only problem.
> Could this be more than just US only?
>
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
> -

897. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 20:12:23 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 821 Message: 897

Yes, all that is right,

But we have in this group ARRL messengers whose mission is create constant confusion about legality of ROS, to avoid ROS was used in USA masively at any time. This is the job of Rein and friends.

Reality is that they don't accept a different weak signal software create by an European.

_____
De: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: lun,31 mayo, 2010 21:28
Asunto: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Jose
Congrats for the great work … i do not care if you are a ham, a hobby programmer or just a 5 year old kid ;-) … I like the mode cause I had great contacts with far away stations with only a few watts … so what? It is a soft for qrp and it works …. For me it is afsk like many other modes (but I am no us citizen!!)
Would it be SS if we would use a good wideband soundcard and spread the data over maybe 192 khz (or 48khz) … I think yes BUT ros uses only 1 ssb-channel or in other words stays in the passband of a ssb filter and the rf carrier (surpressed or not) is not shifted ….
So I would say it is legal anywhere if 2.7khz bw is allowed (so 30m is different)
Using the software for RECEIVING and sending the reports to other stations by mail is SURELY allowed to us citizens so I think we should stop that discussion if it is legal in us or not …. It is legal for receiving and reporting by mail for anybody (even for a swl without lis!!) so for us citizens it is LEGAL to use ros …
If you want to TRANSMIT with ros anybody has to look if their local rules say ros is allowed or not … but if in one or more countries ros is not allowed to use in transmit mode it is NOT THE FAULT of the programmer hi hi
Hopefully we all can get rid of this discussion and just enjoy the hobby and bring new modes on the air ….
Think about that without hamradio we would have no internet no telephone no tv no radio ….
So hamradio is bringing new developments out everytime somebody is bringing out a new mode or a new technician thing …..
So we should not discuss if ros is legal in us or not … we should discuss how we bring ros to be legal in us …. Either by modifying the soft or by bringing the fcc to say it is legal (if stayed on one channel 3kc wide) ….. so stop discussing that stuff …. I hate reading about that things … I like to improve the mode
I would like to read from others what they like on the frontend and what not
I would like to have a “whishes list” or “to-do list” here in the group
I would like to hear what distance is record with what power and what antenna (both sides) on what qrg …. A highscorelist or so J
I want no further discussion of the legality in us (or any other country) … so
Best 73
Dg9bfc

Ps jose just to know : how many hours did you spend in that soft ??? sometimes 2 or 3 versions a day!!! Wow! That is hamspirit without being a ham! Thanks a lot!

Von:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von jose alberto nieto ros
Gesendet: Sonntag, 30. Mai 2010 16:56
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Betreff: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Partial answer

Rein,

First, I have no any problem, the problem is yours.

Second, we are learning here quickly what ARRL is doing with weak signals software that are not made in USA. Even a blind can see.

Third, Have you any problem if i am not Ham? The rest of the world have no problems about author of ROS was Ham or was an Enginner. Only people like you look for any excuse for say "Is illegal", since author is not a Ham until code is Visual Basic or "generate interferences" . Any excuse is valid.

De:Rein A <rein0zn@.... com>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Partial answer
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:38

Jose,

This remark is beyond anything. I have been trying to educate you
about how things are done almost from day 1. You like things your way, it just does not work in life, believe me. It just proves again YOUR problem.

"I Jose, am right, do not ask me any question. If you are in my way
I will send the police after you like in the days of the owners
of that submarine on your WEB page. (U-Boot)"

Why not put a picture of a research submersible there, they use SONAR
too or not? Suggested that weeks ago.

If you were a ham they the ARRL would be your messengers as well with all their shortcomings.

Why don't you learn about amateur radio if you want to work in their domain or use them, as a testbed?

And BTW this has little to do with living in the US or outside the US.

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@. ..> wrote:
>
> This ONG every day do more the ridiculous. Â Rein, they are your messengers
>
> What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Partial answer
>
> Â
> It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
> 73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
>

898. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] source code not required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 20:18:10 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 839 Message: 898

And patrick will ad it to multipsk :-)

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Simon HB9DRV
Gesendet: Montag, 31. Mai 2010 07:31
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] source code not required

I have half an idea that in some European countries ROS could be considered an encrypted messaging system unless there's a clear specification or in the absence of a spec. the source code is available. Now I hardly think that the UK's Ofcom will code up a spec. to see what those crazy amateurs are up to, but making source available would ensure that there could not be any problems.

Also publishing source will ensure that it is than part of my own DM780 and I'm sure Dave W1HKJ would add ROS to fldigi.

Simon Brown, HB9DRV
http://sdr-radio.com

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of
> rein0zn@... <mailto:rein0zn%40ix.netcom.com>
>
> I don't care about open source either, but I have the impression that
> others do.
>

899. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] source code not required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 20:28:44 UTC
From: Simon HB9DRV
Topic: 839 Message: 899

This would be very good.

Jose - ignore those who complain, keep up the very good work.

Simon Brown, HB9DRV

http://sdr-radio.com

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Siegfried
Jackstien

And patrick will ad it to multipsk J

900. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 20:39:23 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 821 Message: 900

Hi All,

No comment! Can not find the words!

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/rosmodemusa/?yguid=1448749

All smart and serious people, radio amateur or not, with or without an amateur license welcome.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: May 31, 2010 4:12 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
>
>Yes, all that is right,
>
>But we have in this group ARRL messengers whose mission is create constant confusion about legality of ROS, to avoid ROS was used in USA masively at any time. This is the job of Rein and friends.
>
>Reality is that they don't accept a different weak signal software create by an European.
>
>
>
>
>_____
>De: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: lun,31 mayo, 2010 21:28
>Asunto: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
>
>
>Jose
>Congrats for the great work … i do not care if you are a ham, a hobby programmer or just a 5 year old kid ;-) … I like the mode cause I had great contacts with far away stations with only a few watts … so what? It is a soft for qrp and it works …. For me it is afsk like many other modes (but I am no us citizen!!)
>Would it be SS if we would use a good wideband soundcard and spread the data over maybe 192 khz (or 48khz) … I think yes BUT ros uses only 1 ssb-channel or in other words stays in the passband of a ssb filter and the rf carrier (surpressed or not) is not shifted ….
>So I would say it is legal anywhere if 2.7khz bw is allowed (so 30m is different)
>Using the software for RECEIVING and sending the reports to other stations by mail is SURELY allowed to us citizens so I think we should stop that discussion if it is legal in

us or not …. It is legal for receiving and reporting by mail for anybody (even for a swl without lis!!) so for us citizens it is LEGAL to use ros …
>If you want to TRANSMIT with ros anybody has to look if their local rules say ros is allowed or not … but if in one or more countries ros is not allowed to use in transmit mode it is NOT THE FAULT of the programmer hi hi
>Hopefully we all can get rid of this discussion and just enjoy the hobby and bring new modes on the air ….
>Think about that without hamradio we would have no internet no telephone no tv no radio …. So hamradio is bringing new developments out everytime somebody is bringing out a new mode or a new technician thing …..
>So we should not discuss if ros is legal in us or not … we should discuss how we bring ros to be legal in us …. Either by modifying the soft or by bringing the fcc to say it is legal (if stayed on one channel 3kc wide) ….. so stop discussing that stuff …. I hate reading about that things … I like to improve the mode
>I would like to read from others what they like on the frontend and what not
>I would like to have a “whishes list” or “to-do list” here in the group
>I would like to hear what distance is record with what power and what antenna (both sides) on what qrg …. A highscorelist or so J
>I want no further discussion of the legality in us (or any other country) … so
>Best 73
>Dg9bfc
>Ps jose just to know : how many hours did you spend in that soft ??? sometimes 2 or 3 versions a day!!! Wow! That is hamspirit without being a ham! Thanks a lot!
>
>_____
>
>Von:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von jose alberto nieto ros
>Gesendet: Sonntag, 30. Mai 2010 16:56
>An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Betreff: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Partial answer
>
>
>Rein,
>
>First, I have no any problem, the problem is yours.
>
>Second, we are learning here quickly what ARRL is doing with weak signals software that are not made in USA. Even a blind can see.
>
>Third, Have you any problem if i am not Ham? The rest of the world have no problems about author of ROS was Ham or was an Enginner. Only people like you look for any excuse for say "Is illegal", since author is not a Ham until code is Visual Basic or "generate interferences" . Any excuse is valid.
>
>_____
>
>De:Rein A <rein0zn@.... com>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Partial answer
>Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:38

>
>
>Jose,
>
>This remark is beyond anything. I have been trying to educate you
>about how things are done almost from day 1. You like things your way, it just does not work in life, believe me. It just proves again YOUR problem.
>
>"I Jose, am right, do not ask me any question. If you are in my way
>I will send the police after you like in the days of the owners
>of that submarine on your WEB page. (U-Boot)"
>
>Why not put a picture of a research submersible there, they use SONAR
>too or not? Suggested that weeks ago.
>
>If you were a ham they the ARRL would be your messengers as well with all their shortcomings.
>
>Why don't you learn about amateur radio if you want to work in their domain or use them, as a testbed?
>
>And BTW this has little to do with living in the US or outside the US.
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@. ..> wrote:
>>
>> This ONG every day do more the ridiculous. Â Rein, they are your messengers
>>
>> What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
>>
>> _____ _____ _____ __
>> De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
>> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
>> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
>> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Partial answer
>>
>> Â
>> It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
>> 73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
>>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

901. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Garbage in the group

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 20:48:30 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 866 Message: 901

Hi Steinar,

Why, don't you join here:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/rosmodemusa/?yguid=1448749

and we can discuss frequency hopping again,
without your good friend shouting soem of us down.

I am interested in the YOUR definition of frequency hopping.
I am open for knowledge.

Started to question whether it is relevant to the issue.

Go ahead Dave (G0DJA), span bin full already?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: May 31, 2010 3:52 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Garbage in the group
>
>Hi Rein
>
>Everyone here, even my good friend Dave (G0DJA), knows why Jose doesn't
>want to release a clear specification or the source code. He knows he
>has made a frequency-hopping spread spectrum mode, but after he
>realized that his software was illegal in the US he has strongly denied
>it . Now it is too late to turn back, and he is afraid that the source
>code will expose him.
>
>LA5VNA
>
>
>
>On 31.05.2010 17:46, rein0zn@... wrote:
>> Hello Steinar,
>>
>> Perhaps more than you think! That what I am trying to find out.
>> I believe, could well be wrong though) we are getting here to or have
>reached
>> a very sensitive nerve!
>>
>> BTW, I am not looking for the source code, the regulatory agency is. (
>I think)

>>
>> Have been asking this here over and over, and most of the time shouted
>off the
>> reflector as wanting to talk about an US only problem.
>> Could this be more than just US only?
>>
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>>
>> -
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

902. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] FT847 PTT Ver 3.8.1.beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 20:51:55 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 891 Message: 902

You have cat control ???or switch ptt via rts line on comport ???

Some trx can be switched to tx via cat and some not .

If you use the cat control for qsy´ing then your trx has aso to be switched
rx/tx via cat or you have to use the rts line and a switching transistor or
optocoupler for tx switching hope I could point you in the right direction
otherwise ask jose maybe he can explain it a bit better

Dg9bfc

Sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von les_b49
Gesendet: Montag, 31. Mai 2010 18:18
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] FT847 PTT Ver 3.8.1.beta

Have just upgraded to version 3.8.1.Beta and having problems with PTT line
pulsing on and off in Mode Ros HF. Ros MF & Ros EME both function perfectly.
Previous versions have worked fine. PTT is switched thru Com Port 3.All
other progs ,Logger32. mmsstv,etc work fine using com port 3.
Any ideas as to problem. Uing WIN XP on a 2.8Ghz Machine.

Regards Les G3NFP

903. [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 21:26:24 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 821 Message: 903

```
> No comment! Can not find the words!

Try google translate , that has Afrikaans to English ?
```

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
>
> Hi All,
>
> No comment! Can not find the words!
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/rosmodemusa/?yguid=1448749
>
> All smart and serious people, radio amateur or not, with or without an amateur license
> welcome.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
> >Sent: May 31, 2010 4:12 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
> >
> >Yes, all that is right,
> >
> >But we have in this group ARRL messengersÂ whose mission isÂ create constant confusion about legality of ROS, to avoid ROS was used in USA masively at any time. This is the job of Rein and friends.Â Â
> >
> >Reality is thatÂ they don't accept a different weak signal softwareÂ createÂ by an European.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >_____
> >De: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
> >Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Enviado: lun,31 mayo, 2010 21:28
> >Asunto: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
> >
> >Â
> >Jose
> >Congrats for the great work â□¦ i do not care if you are a ham, a hobby programmer or just a 5 year old kid ;-) â□¦ I like the mode cause I had great contacts with far away stations with only a few watts â□¦ so what? It is a soft for qrp

and it works â□¦. For me it is afsk like many other modes (but I am no us citizen!!)
> >Would it be SS if we would use a good wideband soundcard and spread the data over maybe 192 khz (or 48khz) â□¦ I think yes BUT ros uses only 1 ssb-channel or in other words stays in the passband of a ssb filter and the rf carrier (surpressed or not) is not shifted â□¦.
> >So I would say it is legal anywhere if 2.7khz bw is allowed (so 30m is different)
> >Using the software for RECEIVING and sending the reports to other stations by mail is SURELY allowed to us citizens so I think we should stop that discussion if it is legal in us or not â□¦. It is legal for receiving and reporting by mail for anybody (even for a swl without lis!!) so for us citizens it is LEGAL to use ros â□¦
> >If you want to TRANSMIT with ros anybody has to look if their local rules say ros is allowed or not â□¦ but if in one or more countries ros is not allowed to use in transmit mode it is NOT THE FAULT of the programmer hi hi
> >Hopefully we all can get rid of this discussion and just enjoy the hobby and bring new modes on the air â□¦.
> >Think about that without hamradio we would have no internet no telephone no tv no radio â□¦. So hamradio is bringing new developments out everytime somebody is bringing out a new mode or a new technician thing â□¦..
> >So we should not discuss if ros is legal in us or not â□¦ we should discuss how we bring ros to be legal in us â□¦. Either by modifying the soft or by bringing the fcc to say it is legal (if stayed on one channel 3kc wide) â□¦.. so stop discussing that stuff â□¦. I hate reading about that things â□¦ I like to improve the mode
> >I would like to read from others what they like on the frontend and what not
> >I would like to have a â□□whishes listâ□□ or â□□to-do listâ□□ here in the group
> >I would like to hear what distance is record with what power and what antenna (both sides) on what qrg â□¦. A highscorelist or so J
> >I want no further discussion of the legality in us (or any other country) â□¦ so
> >Best 73
> >Dg9bfc
> >Ps jose just to know : how many hours did you spend in that soft ??? sometimes 2 or 3 versions a day!!! Wow! That is hamspirit without being a ham! Thanks a lot!
> >
> >_____
> >
> >Von:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von jose alberto nieto ros
> >Gesendet: Sonntag, 30. Mai 2010 16:56
> >An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> >Betreff: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Partial answer
> >Â
> >Â
> >Rein,
> >Â
> >First, I have no any problem, the problem is yours.
> >Â
> >Second, we are learning hereÂ quickly whatÂ ARRL is doing with weak signals software that are not made in USA. Even a blind can see.
> >Â
> >Third, Have you any problem if i am not Ham?Â The rest of the world have no problems about author of ROS was Ham or was an Enginner. Only people like you look forÂ any excuse for say "Is illegal", since author is not a Ham until code is Visual Basic or "generate interferences" . Any excuse is valid.Â
> >
> >_____
> >
> >De:Rein A <rein0zn@... com>
> >Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com

> >Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Partial answer
> >Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:38
> >
> >Â
> >Jose,
> >
> >This remark is beyond anything. I have been trying to educate you
> >about how things are done almost from day 1. You like things your way, it just does not work in life, believe me. It just proves again YOUR problem.
> >
> >"I Jose, am right, do not ask me any question. If you are in my way
> >I will send the police after you like in the days of the owners
> >of that submarine on your WEB page. (U-Boot)"
> >
> >Why not put a picture of a research submersible there, they use SONAR
> >too or not? Suggested that weeks ago.
> >
> >If you were a ham they the ARRL would be your messengers as well with all their shortcomings.
> >
> >Why don't you learn about amateur radio if you want to work in their domain or use them, as a testbed?
> >
> >And BTW this has little to do with living in the US or outside the US.
> >
> >73 Rein W6SZ
> >
> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ..> wrote:
> >>
> >> This ONG every day do more the ridiculous. Ã□Â Rein, they are your messengers
> >>
> >> What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
> >>
> >> _____ _____ _____ __
> >> De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@>
> >> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> >> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
> >> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Partial answer
> >>
> >> Ã□Â
> >> It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
> >> 73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
> >>
> >Â
> >Â
> >Â
> >

> >
> >
> >
>

904. RE: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-05-31 21:29:37 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 821 Message: 904

There's a long list of popular digital modes created by Europeans, starting with PSK by Peter G3PLX.

I doubt any ARRL official or employee has given you or ROS 5 seconds of thought since the FCC asked them to publicize the fact that your "FCC says ROS is legal in the US" declaration was an outright lie.

There are no messengers for you to shoot.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of jose alberto nieto ros
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 4:12 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Yes, all that is right,

But we have in this group ARRL messengers whose mission is create constant confusion about legality of ROS, to avoid ROS was used in USA masively at any time. This is the job of Rein and friends.

Reality is that they don't accept a different weak signal software create by an European.

De: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@freenet.de>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: lun,31 mayo, 2010 21:28
Asunto: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Jose

Congrats for the great work … i do not care if you are a ham, a hobby programmer or just a 5 year old kid ;-) … I like the mode cause I had great contacts with far away stations with only a few watts … so what? It is a soft for qrp and it works …. For me it is afsk like many other modes (but I am no us citizen!!)

Would it be SS if we would use a good wideband soundcard and spread the data over maybe 192 khz (or 48khz) … I think yes BUT ros uses only 1 ssb-channel or in other words stays in the passband of a ssb filter and the rf carrier (surpressed or not) is not shifted ….

So I would say it is legal anywhere if 2.7khz bw is allowed (so 30m is different)

Using the software for RECEIVING and sending the reports to other stations by mail is SURELY allowed to us citizens so I think we should stop that discussion if it is legal in us or not …. It is legal for receiving and reporting by mail for anybody (even for a swl without lis!!) so for us citizens it is LEGAL to use ros …

If you want to TRANSMIT with ros anybody has to look if their local rules say ros is allowed or not … but if in one or more countries ros is not allowed to use in transmit mode it is NOT THE FAULT of the programmer hi hi

Hopefully we all can get rid of this discussion and just enjoy the hobby and bring new modes on the air ….

Think about that without hamradio we would have no internet no telephone no tv no radio …. So hamradio is bringing new developments out everytime somebody is bringing out a new mode or a new technician thing …..

So we should not discuss if ros is legal in us or not … we should discuss how we bring ros to be legal in us …. Either by modifying the soft or by bringing the fcc to say it is legal (if stayed on one channel 3kc wide) ….. so stop discussing that stuff …. I hate reading about that things … I like to improve the mode

I would like to read from others what they like on the frontend and what not

I would like to have a “whishes list” or “to-do list” here in the group

I would like to hear what distance is record with what power and what antenna (both sides) on what qrg …. A highscorelist or so J

I want no further discussion of the legality in us (or any other country) … so

Best 73

Dg9bfc

Ps jose just to know : how many hours did you spend in that soft ??? sometimes 2 or 3 versions a day!!! Wow! That is hamspirit without being a ham! Thanks a lot!

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von jose alberto nieto ros
Gesendet: Sonntag, 30. Mai 2010 16:56
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Betreff: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Partial answer

Rein,

First, I have no any problem, the problem is yours.

Second, we are learning here quickly what ARRL is doing with weak signals software that are not made in USA. Even a blind can see.

Third, Have you any problem if i am not Ham? The rest of the world have no problems about author of ROS was Ham or was an Enginner. Only people like you look for any excuse for say "Is illegal", since author is not a Ham until code is Visual Basic or "generate

interferences" . Any excuse is valid.

De: Rein A <rein0zn@.... com>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Partial answer
Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:38

Jose,

This remark is beyond anything. I have been trying to educate you
about how things are done almost from day 1. You like things your way, it just does not
work in life, believe me. It just proves again YOUR problem.

"I Jose, am right, do not ask me any question. If you are in my way
I will send the police after you like in the days of the owners
of that submarine on your WEB page. (U-Boot)"

Why not put a picture of a research submersible there, they use SONAR
too or not? Suggested that weeks ago.

If you were a ham they the ARRL would be your messengers as well with all their
shortcomings.

Why don't you learn about amateur radio if you want to work in their domain or use them, as
a testbed?

And BTW this has little to do with living in the US or outside the US.

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@. ..>
wrote:
>
> This ONG every day do more the ridiculous. Â Rein, they are your messengers
>
> What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Partial answer
>
> Â
> It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros
used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they
think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited
frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other
users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this
matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
> 73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
>

905. [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-01 09:58:43 UTC
From: radionorway
Topic: 821 Message: 905

"Reality is thatÂ they don't accept a different weak signal softwareÂ createÂ by an European."

Jose, you probably think this is funny, but you are only making a fool of yourself in public. This is really sad.

la5vna

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> Yes, all that is right,
>
> But we have in this group ARRL messengersÂ whose mission isÂ create constant confusion about legality of ROS, to avoid ROS was used in USA masively at any time. This is the job of Rein and friends.Â Â
>
> Reality is thatÂ they don't accept a different weak signal softwareÂ createÂ by an European.
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: lun,31 mayo, 2010 21:28
> Asunto: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Partial answer
>
> Â
> Jose
> Congrats for the great work â□¦ i do not care if you are a ham, a hobby programmer or just a 5 year old kid ;-) â□¦ I like the mode cause I had great contacts with far away stations with only a few watts â□¦ so what? It is a soft for qrp and it works â□¦. For me it is afsk like many other modes (but I am no us citizen!!)
> Would it be SS if we would use a good wideband soundcard and spread the data over maybe 192 khz (or 48khz) â□¦ I think yes BUT ros uses only 1 ssb-channel or in other words stays in the passband of a ssb filter and the rf carrier (surpressed or not) is not shifted â□¦.
> So I would say it is legal anywhere if 2.7khz bw is allowed (so 30m is different)
> Using the software for RECEIVING and sending the reports to other stations by mail is SURELY allowed to us citizens so I think we should stop that discussion if it is legal in us or not â□¦. It is legal for receiving and reporting by mail for anybody (even for a swl without lis!!) so for us citizens it is LEGAL to use ros â□¦
> If you want to TRANSMIT with ros anybody has to look if their local rules say ros is allowed or not â□¦ but if in one or more countries ros is not allowed to use in transmit mode it is NOT THE FAULT of the programmer hi hi
> Hopefully we all can get rid of this discussion and just enjoy the hobby and bring new modes on the air â□¦.
> Think about that without hamradio we would have no internet no telephone no tv no radio â□¦. So hamradio is bringing new developments out everytime somebody is bringing out a new mode or a new technician thing â□¦..
> So we should not discuss if ros is legal in us or not â□¦ we should discuss how we bring ros to be legal in us â□¦. Either by modifying the soft or by bringing the fcc to say it is legal (if stayed on one channel 3kc wide) â□¦.. so stop

discussing that stuff â□¦. I hate reading about that things â□¦ I like to improve the mode
> I would like to read from others what they like on the frontend and what not
> I would like to have a â□□whishes listâ□□ or â□□to-do listâ□□ here in the group
> I would like to hear what distance is record with what power and what antenna (both sides) on what qrg â□¦. A highscorelist or so J
> I want no further discussion of the legality in us (or any other country) â□¦ so
> Best 73
> Dg9bfc
> Ps jose just to know : how many hours did you spend in that soft ??? sometimes 2 or 3 versions a day!!! Wow! That is hamspirit without being a ham! Thanks a lot!
>
> _____
>
> Von:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von jose alberto nieto ros
> Gesendet: Sonntag, 30. Mai 2010 16:56
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Betreff: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Partial answer
> Â
> Â
> Rein,
> Â
> First, I have no any problem, the problem is yours.
> Â
> Second, we are learning hereÂ quickly whatÂ ARRL is doing with weak signals software that are not made in USA. Even a blind can see.
> Â
> Third, Have you any problem if i am not Ham?Â The rest of the world have no problems about author of ROS was Ham or was an Enginner. Only people like you look forÂ any excuse for say "Is illegal", since author is not a Ham until code is Visual Basic or "generate interferences" . Any excuse is valid.Â
>
> _____
>
> De:Rein A <rein0zn@... com>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: Partial answer
> Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 18:38
>
> Â
> Jose,
>
> This remark is beyond anything. I have been trying to educate you
> about how things are done almost from day 1. You like things your way, it just does not work in life, believe me. It just proves again YOUR problem.
>
> "I Jose, am right, do not ask me any question. If you are in my way
> I will send the police after you like in the days of the owners
> of that submarine on your WEB page. (U-Boot)"
>
> Why not put a picture of a research submersible there, they use SONAR

> too or not? Suggested that weeks ago.
>
> If you were a ham they the ARRL would be your messengers as well with all their shortcomings.
>
> Why don't you learn about amateur radio if you want to work in their domain or use them, as a testbed?
>
> And BTW this has little to do with living in the US or outside the US.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@ ..> wrote:
> >
> > This ONG every day do more the ridiculous. Ã□Â Rein, they are your messengers
> >
> > What is the link to NPRM of the FCC?
> >
> > _____ _____ _____ __
> > De: fred_darrah <cfdarrah@>
> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> > Enviado: dom,30 mayo, 2010 01:53
> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Partial answer
> >
> > Ã□Â
> > It is my understanding of a recent NPRM of the FCC that the ARRL is opposed to having Ros used on the HF bands and 6 and 2 meters. If you look back at responses from the ARRL they think Ros would interfere with other users. I think they have not looked at the limited frequencies suggested to use. Nor have considered how little 10 watts will bother other users It seems that they have made a stand years ago and their will be no movement on this matter. The ARRL is not in our best interests on this matter.
> > 73 Fred Darrah N9GUE
> >
> Â
> Â
> Â
>

906. Published code software and brand software

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-01 20:16:46 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 906 Message: 906

Hi Everybody,

Just reading this (it is from the UK not from the US, though
is concerns International companies)

http://rawstory.com/rs/2010/0601/google-phasing-windows-security-concerns/

Are we sure what we are transmitting or receiving with unknown, kept
secret code?

Just a question. Oh, a matter of trust, we are all radio amateurs!

It is one thing when a radio amateur or other makes a program
available and we can ask she or him about it, even when the code
is not direct available or we are not really concerned or interested
in the inner workings of the program. What however, when the creator of a program attacks
people when asked questions about his program?

Sure Microsoft is not telling us much either but here we are dealing
with a well known company with a world wide testbed and feed back
system.

73 Rein W6SZ

907. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Published code software and brand software

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-01 21:09:56 UTC
From: g3zjo
Topic: 906 Message: 907

I heard that Jose put gun powder in Fidel Castro's cigars.

Some useful links :-

http://www.decoratingdirect.co.uk/viewprod/t/TORMBP/

http://www.lyricsfreak.com/r/rolling+stones/paint+it+black_20117875.html

For heavens sake!!

Eddie G3ZJO

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Rein A
Sent: 01 June 2010 21:17
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Published code software and brand
software

Hi Everybody,

Just reading this (it is from the UK not from the US, though
is concerns International companies)

http://rawstory.com/rs/2010/0601/google-phasing-windows-security-concern
s/

Are we sure what we are transmitting or receiving with unknown, kept
secret code?

Just a question. Oh, a matter of trust, we are all radio amateurs!

It is one thing when a radio amateur or other makes a program
available and we can ask she or him about it, even when the code
is not direct available or we are not really concerned or interested
in the inner workings of the program. What however, when the creator of
a program attacks people when asked questions about his program?

Sure Microsoft is not telling us much either but here we are dealing
with a well known company with a world wide testbed and feed back
system.

73 Rein W6SZ

908. ROS MODEM OFFICIAL GROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-01 22:22:38 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 908 Message: 908

Good Old Fidel can learn from Mr. ROS, how to operate on the WEB in the year 2010, the future?

What is next, a password for the ROS-MODEM WEBSITE?

Hello,

Your request to join the ROSDigitalMode group was not approved.
The moderator of each Yahoo! group chooses whether to restrict
membership in the group. Moderators who choose to restrict
membership also choose whom to admit.

Please note that this decision is final and that Yahoo! Groups
does not control group membership.

You may find other groups to join by searching or browsing the
Groups directory:
http://groups.yahoo.com

If you would like to create your own group, please visit:
http://groups.yahoo.com/start

Thank you for choosing Yahoo! Groups.

Regards,

Yahoo! Groups Customer Care

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

73 Rein W6SZ

910. Re: Published code software and brand software

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-01 22:55:46 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 906 Message: 910

Welcome aboard ED... in here you will find a new KEV and friends keeping the memory of Waco and old 'Blanche alive ... paint it black .. more like bright orange

382 is nicely stable and mode 4 is working at sub-noise levels ,

''Spread the word - not the Spectrum''
(with special thanks to Jesus)

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "g3zjo" <g3zjo@...> wrote:
>
> I heard that Jose put gun powder in Fidel Castro's cigars.
>
>
>
> Some useful links :-
>
>
>
> http://www.decoratingdirect.co.uk/viewprod/t/TORMBP/
>
>
>
> http://www.lyricsfreak.com/r/rolling+stones/paint+it+black_20117875.html
>
>
>
> For heavens sake!!
>
>
>
> Eddie G3ZJO
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Rein A
> Sent: 01 June 2010 21:17
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Published code software and brand
> software
>
>
>
>

>
> Hi Everybody,
>
> Just reading this (it is from the UK not from the US, though
> is concerns International companies)
>
> http://rawstory.com/rs/2010/0601/google-phasing-windows-security-concern
> s/
>
> Are we sure what we are transmitting or receiving with unknown, kept
> secret code?
>
> Just a question. Oh, a matter of trust, we are all radio amateurs!
>
> It is one thing when a radio amateur or other makes a program
> available and we can ask she or him about it, even when the code
> is not direct available or we are not really concerned or interested
> in the inner workings of the program. What however, when the creator of
> a program attacks people when asked questions about his program?
>
> Sure Microsoft is not telling us much either but here we are dealing
> with a well known company with a world wide testbed and feed back
> system.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>

911. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-02 01:44:44 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 911

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.8.2 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.8.2%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

912. CHANGE OF COURSE FOR THE GROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-02 02:54:05 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 912 Message: 912

HATE TO DO IT, BUT I NEED TO REDIRECT THE OBJECTIVE OF THE GROUP.

NO NAMES TO MENTION. BUT IF YOU ARE GOING TO DISCUSS ANYTHING OTHER THAN ROS OPERATIONS AND BE HELPFUL TO OTHERS, BEST TAKE IT TO SOME OTHER GROUP.

NO NEED TO DISCUSS LEGALITY OF THE MODE ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD. THE OPERATOR IS THE FINAL DECISION MAKER HERE, AND MUST ACCEPT THE CONSEQUENCES OF SUCH OPERATIONS.

FAILURE TO COMPLY WILL GET YOU MODERATED, AND POSSIBLY BANNED.

TKS GUYS

MODERATOR/WD4KPD

PS....anything i have forgot is probably not welcome either.

913. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] FT847 PTT Ver 3.8.1.beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-02 19:04:16 UTC
From: g3nfp@aol.com
Topic: 891 Message: 913

Thanks for suggestion but still no go! Will keep trying and will let you know if I come to a conclusion.

Regards Les

In a message dated 31/05/2010 21:52:33 GMT Daylight Time, siegfried.jackstien@... writes:

You have cat control ???or switch ptt via rts line on comport ???
Some trx can be switched to tx via cat and some not ….
If you use the cat control for qsy´ing then your trx has aso to be switched rx/tx via cat or you have to use the rts line and a switching transistor or optocoupler for tx switching … hope I could point you in the right direction … otherwise ask jose … maybe he can explain it a bit better
Dg9bfc
Sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von les_b49
Gesendet: Montag, 31. Mai 2010 18:18
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] FT847 PTT Ver 3.8.1.beta

Have just upgraded to version 3.8.1.Beta and having problems with PTT line pulsing on and off in Mode Ros HF. Ros MF & Ros EME both function perfectly. Previous versions have worked fine. PTT is switched thru Com Port 3.All other progs ,Logger32. mmsstv,etc work fine using com port 3.
Any ideas as to problem. Uing WIN XP on a 2.8Ghz Machine.

Regards Les G3NFP

914. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-03 21:22:20 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 914

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.8.3 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.8.3%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

915. what mode am i rx ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-03 22:54:55 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 915 Message: 915

other than mostly by sound and bw, when rx, where does the program indicate what mode it is doing on the rx side. its really hard to tell
when there are several signals in the waterfall.

david/wd4kpd

916. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] what mode am i rx ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-04 08:45:35 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 915 Message: 916

You can know mode by the switches.

De: wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,4 junio, 2010 00:54
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] what mode am i rx ?

other than mostly by sound and bw, when rx, where does the program indicate what mode it is doing on the rx side. its really hard to tell
when there are several signals in the waterfall.

david/wd4kpd

917. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] what mode am i rx ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-04 14:52:22 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 915 Message: 917

Hi questioner,

I think Mr Ros, wants you to know that the received decoded messages
will indicate at the start of the printout what the TX mode of that particular
method was.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
>Sent: Jun 4, 2010 4:45 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] what mode am i rx ?
>
>You can know mode by the switches.
>
>
>
>_____
>De: wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
>Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Enviado: vie,4 junio, 2010 00:54
>Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] what mode am i rx ?
>
>
>other than mostly by sound and bw, when rx, where does the program indicate what mode it
is doing on the rx side. its really hard to tell
>when there are several signals in the waterfall.
>
>david/wd4kpd
>
>
>
>
>
>

918. New Feature ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-04 15:15:59 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 918 Message: 918

Hi I wonder if it would be possible to have a feature where the configuration file can be exported and imported, within the program ? For example in the next few weeks, I will be using ROS at home with my home call and details etc, and then I will be using it at a special event station with a GB Call and different details.

Regards

Alan
G8XLH

919. Re: New Feature ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-04 19:41:46 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 918 Message: 919

Alan

Just save the files in a separate folder and copy them over ?

or just make a new folder and install the prog ..I have this . one set for the pc sound card .. the other set to the pc main sound for rx via the web-sdr .. but no email reports

G .

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "g8xlh" <a.ralph4@...> wrote:
>
> Hi I wonder if it would be possible to have a feature where the configuration file can be exported and imported, within the program ? For example in the next few weeks, I will be using ROS at home with my home call and details etc, and then I will be using it at a special event station with a GB Call and different details.
>
> Regards
>
> Alan
> G8XLH
>

920. Re: what mode am i rx ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-04 19:48:47 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 915 Message: 920

Yes good point , the text changes , blue 16 , red 8 brown 4 and the speed is stated at the left side as well tx/rx .. but a flag would be handy .. there may some future ability to stack qso's if this happens then may be all will be sorted ?

G .

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@...> wrote:
>
> other than mostly by sound and bw, when rx, where does the program indicate what mode it is doing on the rx side. its really hard to tell
> when there are several signals in the waterfall.
>
> david/wd4kpd
>

921. Re: what mode am i rx ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-07 21:01:42 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 915 Message: 921

YES, i took a closer look at program...just never registered that point.

tks

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "graham787" <g0nbd@...> wrote:
>
> Yes good point , the text changes , blue 16 , red 8 brown 4 and the speed is stated at the left side as well tx/rx .. but a flag would be handy .. there may some future ability to stack qso's if this happens then may be all will be sorted ?
>
> G .
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "wd4kpd" <wd4kpd@> wrote:
> >
> > other than mostly by sound and bw, when rx, where does the program indicate what mode it is doing on the rx side. its really hard to tell
> > when there are several signals in the waterfall.
> >
> > david/wd4kpd
> >
>

922. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-10 15:28:11 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 922

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v3.8.6 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v3.8.6%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

923. ROS for netbook pc ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-11 19:16:07 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 777 Message: 923

Hi

I know I have asked this before, but could we have a screen size of either 800x600 or 800x480 for the Asus pc please ?

Regards

Alan

924. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS for netbook pc ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-12 00:03:57 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 777 Message: 924

Below 1024 it is difficult.

But, i will do something about it. In fact, i have no finished with Screen menu yet. I want set others resolutions, but no this week.

De: g8xlh <a.ralph4@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: vie,11 junio, 2010 21:16
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS for netbook pc ?

Hi

I know I have asked this before, but could we have a screen size of either 800x600 or 800x480 for the Asus pc please ?

Regards

Alan

925. Re: ROS for netbook pc ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-12 21:03:49 UTC
From: g8xlh
Topic: 777 Message: 925

Thank you for the reply, I look forward to your future release with new resolutions.

Regards Alan

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> Below 1024 it is difficult.
>
> But, i willÂ do something about it. In fact, i have no finished with Screen menu yet. I want set others resolutions, but no this week.
>
>
>

926. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-15 22:34:38 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 926

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v4.0.0 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v4.0.0%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

927. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-17 23:26:53 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 927

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v4.0.1 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v4.0.1%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

928. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-19 20:23:32 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 928

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v4.1.1 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v4.1.1%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

929. ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-20 20:09:58 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 929 Message: 929

Guys

I have been using ROS for a long time now and have been very pleased with the improvements to it over time. Until 4.2.0 that is. It seem we no longer have the choice of 500Hz bandwidth. Bad move.

I will not be using 4.2.0 or any others past 4.0.0 until this is fixed.

2500Hz is clearly inferior for dx when compared to 500Hz, as many experiments and contacts between Jesus CO2DC and me have confirmed.

Tim
VK4YEH

930. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-21 00:22:40 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 930

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v4.3.1 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v4.3.1%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

931. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-21 02:35:52 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 929 Message: 931

Hello tim . just a question: do you use passband tuning or a narrow filter for receive??

Dg9bfc

Sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von uncletimrob
Gesendet: Sonntag, 20. Juni 2010 20:10
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Guys

I have been using ROS for a long time now and have been very pleased with the improvements to it over time. Until 4.2.0 that is. It seem we no longer have the choice of 500Hz bandwidth. Bad move.

I will not be using 4.2.0 or any others past 4.0.0 until this is fixed.

2500Hz is clearly inferior for dx when compared to 500Hz, as many experiments and contacts between Jesus CO2DC and me have confirmed.

Tim
VK4YEH

932. Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-21 03:19:50 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 929 Message: 932

Hello Sigi

No I do not use any filtering for receive. I see on hamspots that you do not have 4.0.0

I have posted it to the files for those that still want to use 500Hz

Tim

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien"
<siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> Hello tim . just a question: do you use passband tuning or a narrow filter
> for receive??
>
> Dg9bfc
>
> Sigi
>
>
>
>
>
> -----
>
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von uncletimrob
> Gesendet: Sonntag, 20. Juni 2010 20:10
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option
>
>
>
>
>
> Guys
>
> I have been using ROS for a long time now and have been very pleased with
> the improvements to it over time. Until 4.2.0 that is. It seem we no longer
> have the choice of 500Hz bandwidth. Bad move.
>
> I will not be using 4.2.0 or any others past 4.0.0 until this is fixed.
>
> 2500Hz is clearly inferior for dx when compared to 500Hz, as many
> experiments and contacts between Jesus CO2DC and me have confirmed.
>
> Tim

> VK4YEH
>

933. Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-21 06:08:13 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 929 Message: 933

Hello All,

At different occasions and times Mr. Ross has always shown his strong preference for the wide band mode (SS).
In all the confusion of the last months we might have lost track of that truth.

73 Rein W6SZ

934. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-21 12:19:15 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 929 Message: 934

Hello Tim

As i store old versions it is no problem for me . i have the 401 (the last one with 0k5)

And I also kept the version 376 cause it was the last on with the 1 baud mode . maybe somebody wants to make a 1 baud qrppp test .. so I kept the old version

I used the passband tuning for only receiving the lower end in the 0k5 mode and that helped very much to bring your signal on my screen.

I had some nearby stations in the upper end of the audio passband and that stations turned the agc down . using the passband tuning brought them out of the receiving window and your signal was 100% copy here..

Best 73

Sigi

Delta Golf Nine Beta Function Control . or if you like that more : Delta Golf Nine Beat Frequency Counter :-)

Greetz to all group members from northern germany

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von uncletimrob
Gesendet: Montag, 21. Juni 2010 03:20
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Hello Sigi

No I do not use any filtering for receive. I see on hamspots that you do not have 4.0.0

I have posted it to the files for those that still want to use 500Hz

Tim

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> , "Siegfried Jackstien"
<siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> Hello tim . just a question: do you use passband tuning or a narrow filter
> for receive??
>

> Dg9bfc
>
> Sigi
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>] Im Auftrag von uncletimrob
> Gesendet: Sonntag, 20. Juni 2010 20:10
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option
>
>
>
>
>
> Guys
>
> I have been using ROS for a long time now and have been very pleased with
> the improvements to it over time. Until 4.2.0 that is. It seem we no
longer
> have the choice of 500Hz bandwidth. Bad move.
>
> I will not be using 4.2.0 or any others past 4.0.0 until this is fixed.
>
> 2500Hz is clearly inferior for dx when compared to 500Hz, as many
> experiments and contacts between Jesus CO2DC and me have confirmed.
>
> Tim
> VK4YEH
>

935. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-21 12:56:18 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 929 Message: 935

You have to disable AGC to work with ROS.

De: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: lun,21 junio, 2010 14:19
Asunto: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Hello Tim
As i store old versions it is no problem for me … i have the 401 (the last one with 0k5)
And I also kept the version 376 cause it was the last on with the 1 baud mode … maybe somebody wants to make a 1 baud qrppp test .. so I kept the old version
I used the passband tuning for only receiving the lower end in the 0k5 mode and that helped very much to bring your signal on my screen…
I had some nearby stations in the upper end of the audio passband and that stations turned the agc down … using the passband tuning brought them out of the receiving window and your signal was 100% copy here….
Best 73
Sigi
Delta Golf Nine Beta Function Control … or if you like that more : Delta Golf Nine Beat Frequency Counter J
Greetz to all group members from northern germany

Von:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROUP@ yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von uncletimrob
Gesendet: Montag, 21. Juni 2010 03:20
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Hello Sigi

No I do not use any filtering for receive. I see on hamspots that you do not have 4.0.0

I have posted it to the files for those that still want to use 500Hz

Tim

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien @...> wrote:
>
> Hello tim . just a question: do you use passband tuning or a narrow filter
> for receive??
>
> Dg9bfc
>

> Sigi
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von uncletimrob
> Gesendet: Sonntag, 20. Juni 2010 20:10
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option
>
>
>
>
>
> Guys
>
> I have been using ROS for a long time now and have been very pleased with
> the improvements to it over time. Until 4.2.0 that is. It seem we no longer
> have the choice of 500Hz bandwidth. Bad move.
>
> I will not be using 4.2.0 or any others past 4.0.0 until this is fixed.
>
> 2500Hz is clearly inferior for dx when compared to 500Hz, as many
> experiments and contacts between Jesus CO2DC and me have confirmed.
>
> Tim
> VK4YEH
>

936. AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-21 13:07:34 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 929 Message: 936

But how can i avoid overloading the frontend of the receiver?!?

Okay I can control agc by hand but if conditions change I have to turn the pot up and down

I use agc fast cause I have found out the popping noise (ignition and lighning noise) would turn down agc for a too long tome so I used agc fast

Surely jose knows best how to use the soft but overloading the frontend is possible I think so I do not know what works best

That´s ham radio everytime experimental hi hi

Greetz

Sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von jose alberto nieto ros
Gesendet: Montag, 21. Juni 2010 12:56
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

You have to disable AGC to work with ROS.

De: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: lun,21 junio, 2010 14:19
Asunto: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Hello Tim

As i store old versions it is no problem for me i have the 401 (the last one with 0k5)

And I also kept the version 376 cause it was the last on with the 1 baud mode maybe somebody wants to make a 1 baud qrppp test .. so I kept the old version

I used the passband tuning for only receiving the lower end in the 0k5 mode and that helped very much to bring your signal on my screen

I had some nearby stations in the upper end of the audio passband and that stations turned the agc down using the passband tuning brought them out of the receiving window and your signal was 100% copy here .

Best 73

Sigi

Delta Golf Nine Beta Function Control or if you like that more : Delta Golf Nine Beat Frequency Counter :-)

Greetz to all group members from northern germany

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROUP@ yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von uncletimrob
Gesendet: Montag, 21. Juni 2010 03:20
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Hello Sigi

No I do not use any filtering for receive. I see on hamspots that you do not have 4.0.0

I have posted it to the files for those that still want to use 500Hz

Tim

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> P@yahoogroups. com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien @...> wrote:
>
> Hello tim . just a question: do you use passband tuning or a narrow filter
> for receive??
>
> Dg9bfc
>
> Sigi
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
>
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> P@yahoogroups. com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> P@yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von uncletimrob
> Gesendet: Sonntag, 20. Juni 2010 20:10
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> P@yahoogroups. com

> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option
>
>
>
>
>
> Guys
>
> I have been using ROS for a long time now and have been very pleased with
> the improvements to it over time. Until 4.2.0 that is. It seem we no
longer
> have the choice of 500Hz bandwidth. Bad move.
>
> I will not be using 4.2.0 or any others past 4.0.0 until this is fixed.
>
> 2500Hz is clearly inferior for dx when compared to 500Hz, as many
> experiments and contacts between Jesus CO2DC and me have confirmed.
>
> Tim
> VK4YEH
>

937. ROS 4.3.3 now has the 500Hz options back

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-21 20:20:36 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 937 Message: 937

Thankyou Jose

I look forward to using it

Tim

938. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-21 20:29:31 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 938

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v4.3.3 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v4.3.3%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

939. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-23 00:33:56 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 939

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v4.3.5 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v4.3.5%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

940. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS 4.3.3 now has the 500Hz options back

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-21 22:30:17 UTC
From: porterockwell@hotmail.com
Topic: 937 Message: 940

Jose,

DITTO!

rgrds
Craig
kq6i

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of uncletimrob
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2010 2:21 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS 4.3.3 now has the 500Hz options back

Thankyou Jose

I look forward to using it

Tim

941. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-23 20:52:28 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 941

```
Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v4.3.6 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v4.3.
6%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
```

942. No audio on TX

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-24 15:52:30 UTC
From: Robert Bennett
Topic: 942 Message: 942

Hi All

Just completed a first time install of ROS v4.4.0. on Win XP pro.

Works fine on rx, but no audio out on TX.

Other digimodes work ok.

Any ideas?

73 Robert

943. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No audio on TX

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-24 16:10:59 UTC
From: Robert Bennett
Topic: 942 Message: 943

Hi All

Good news.

Without any action on my part, it's started working!

See you on the bands.

73 Robert

----- Original Message -----
From: Robert Bennett
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, June 24, 2010 4:52 PM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] No audio on TX

Hi All

Just completed a first time install of ROS v4.4.0. on Win XP pro.

Works fine on rx, but no audio out on TX.

Other digimodes work ok.

Any ideas?

73 Robert

944. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-24 22:38:05 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 944

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v4.4.0 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v4.4.0%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

945. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-24 22:39:13 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 945

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /SUGGESTIONS/ROS Frequency List.pdf
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/SUGGESTIONS/ROS%20Frequency%20List.pdf

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

946. 500Hz mode

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-25 18:55:03 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 946

Version 4.4.0 seems to restrict the 500Hz mode to only 7 and 10MHz. I did manage to fool it by going to the 7MHz option and then switching the Rig Control off, but that then means that all the logging options have to be manually entered.

Can either 5MHz be added to the list, or some way to switch to 500Hz without the limitation of 2250Hz being imposed please?

I wonder why we are now not allowed to decide to use 500Hz on any band we want to and have the rig control on?

Dave (G0DJA)

947. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 500Hz mode

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-25 19:20:21 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 57 Message: 947

Jose made the 500hz mode only for 30m where only 500hz bw is allowed .. and after tim used this mode on 7055 jose made the 40m qrg also to 500hz

You can use the narrow mode but you gain nothing . better is to use the wide mode ..

I tried both modes on 40m and the wide mode is the better ..

I do not know why tim asked jose to chage 40m to 500hz bw .. For 30m it is clear why there is the 0k5 mode

For 5 megs jose asked for a qrg but as I know he did not get an answer yet . so tell him what qrg is best (not used by other modes) ant in next version he will surely implement it ..

Greetz

Delta golf nine beta function control

Sigi

948. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 500Hz mode

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-25 21:42:11 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 948

Siegfried Jackstien wrote:

> For 5 megs jose asked for a qrg but as I know he did not get an answer yet .
> so tell him what qrg is best (not used by other modes) ant in next version
> he will surely implement it ..

The problem with 5MHz is that we only have a few frequencies, and they are not always the same for each country...

In the UK, most (but not all) FSK/PSK activity is on 5.290MHz (centre frequency in a 3kHz bandwidth) but there are also some CW/PSK beacons on that band as well, so if you were to put a signal out that was over 2kHz wide on that frequency you would probably not win an award for 'most popular Radio Amateur in the UK'.

The UK 'centre' frequencies are as follows;

5.260MHz
5.280MHz
5.290MHz
5.368MHz
5.373MHz
5.400MHz
5.405MHz

The advice on the RSGB website for 5MHz in the UK states that

"Operators wishing to use modes other than SSB, for example digital modes or CW, must do so by using USB and audio signals below 3kHz, or use some method that ensures that their transmission conforms to the 3kHz wide channel. Users of narrow-band modes such as CW and PSK31 are encouraged to operate within the bottom 300Hz of a channel since this permits the channel to be used by voice-bandwidth modes at the same time. This can be done by using USB carrier frequencies below those in the above table, in conjunction with audio signals above 300Hz.

Channel FC, 5290kHz, is used for a 5MHz beacon chain ? GB3RAL, GB3WES and GB3ORK ? so other operation is discouraged on this channel. However, because of the narrow-band nature of the automatic-monitoring system for these beacons it is acceptable to operate CW on either 5289 or 5291kHz, i.e. within the channel, but 1kHz away from the beacon frequency of 5290kHz."

Most of my PSK31 contacts on 60M have taken place in the bottom 1.5kHz of the 5.290MHz channel.

I hope this helps.

However, I'm still not sure that I'm keen on the idea that someone else decides what bandwidth I can use on what band. OK, PSK31 is 31Hz wide and there's no other choice for that mode, but I can use PSK63 or PSK125 if I want to, or even RTTY at various baud rates and spacing. Why should ROS be set up so that I can't decide what is the best for me to use where ever I decide that 500Hz might be a better choice than 2250Hz?

In fact, 500Hz being a narrow mode might be more appropriate, whether or not there's any advantage over wider modes, in that I might not want to transmit such a wide signal...

If ROS becomes 2250Hz or nothing again, I guess that will be it for the mode on 10 and 5MHz, but to restrict the choice of using a narrow version seems strange. I would have expected a restriction for using wider modes on some bands, like 10 or 5MHz, but not a restriction of narrow only on some bands.

Dave (G0DJA)

949. AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 500Hz mode

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-25 21:49:53 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 57 Message: 949

So what about the other countries ???
Is there a qrg that is not used by psk and that is also in most bandplans??
Maybe 5.368MHz or 5.373MHz ....
Tell jose what best fits your needs
I can not tell him a qrg cause no 60m in germany ... so now it's the time
for the others to tell jose what they need
Sigi
Delta golf nine beta function control

950. Re: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 500Hz mode

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-25 22:44:21 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 57 Message: 950

Siegfried Jackstien wrote:
> So what about the other countries ???
> Is there a qrg that is not used by psk and that is also in most bandplans??
> Maybe 5.368MHz or 5.373MHz ....
> Tell jose what best fits your needs

5MHz is so different in many countries that there is not one answer to the question.

The nearest to a site that will pull together all of the various allocations is at http://hflink.com/5mhz/

Since the USA allocation is voice only, maybe we don't need to worry about their allocations on the 60M band at the moment anyway.

Dave (G0DJA)

951. Re: 500Hz mode

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-26 05:31:54 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 57 Message: 951

Hello Sigi

Interesting to see your take on using 500Hz on 40m. I have also tried both 2250Hz and 500Hz on 40m, and find that mostly 500Hz is better. There have been occasions where 2250Hz is as good, but for me 500Hz is the most consistent.

Obviously there are many variables at work here. "Your mileage may vary" as the saying goes :)

At least in Ham Radio there are so many things to do, try and enjoy that we don't all have to be the same or use the same modes/radios/antennas etc etc.

Tim

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> Jose made the 500hz mode only for 30m where only 500hz bw is allowed .. and
> after tim used this mode on 7055 jose made the 40m qrg also to 500hz
>
> You can use the narrow mode but you gain nothing . better is to use the wide
> mode ..
>
> I tried both modes on 40m and the wide mode is the better ..
>
> I do not know why tim asked jose to chage 40m to 500hz bw .. For 30m it is
> clear why there is the 0k5 mode
>
> For 5 megs jose asked for a qrg but as I know he did not get an answer yet .
> so tell him what qrg is best (not used by other modes) ant in next version
> he will surely implement it ..
>
> Greetz
>
> Delta golf nine beta function control
>
> Sigi
>

952. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: 500Hz mode

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-26 09:47:48 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 57 Message: 952

Hello tim

Jose told me that the wider mode is the better and i believe him cause if not jose .. who ever will know it better

We could make some long distance tests on 20 and 40 as we are the 2 most distance stations

Maybe I am so lucky to get also vk7xx as this would raise my record about 130km :-)

The only thing on narrow mode is .. if I have a nearby station that falls in the upper part of the passband I can use the passband tuning to the lower side and use the 0k5 mode .. But only in that case maybe 0k5 would help . on most cases the wide mode is better getting trough the noise and phase distortion and so maybe we find out that on 40 sometimes wide mode is better .we have to find out over the air cause I think that real world condx can not be simulated very well in a pc simulator ..

Sigi

953. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 500Hz mode

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-26 12:22:05 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 57 Message: 953

Dave,

What CH did the rsgb use to transmitt the news using MT63 on 5 megs a while ago .. that would be a good starting point ?

500 Hz inside FC would be a reasnable pick, could a 500 hz slot be defined in side the band , as ros is fixed, this may need a non standard dial set ?

G.

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...> wrote:
>
> Siegfried Jackstien wrote:
>
> > For 5 megs jose asked for a qrg but as I know he did not get an answer yet .
> > so tell him what qrg is best (not used by other modes) ant in next version
> > he will surely implement it ..
>
> The problem with 5MHz is that we only have a few frequencies, and they
> are not always the same for each country...
>
> In the UK, most (but not all) FSK/PSK activity is on 5.290MHz (centre
> frequency in a 3kHz bandwidth) but there are also some CW/PSK beacons on
> that band as well, so if you were to put a signal out that was over 2kHz
> wide on that frequency you would probably not win an award for 'most
> popular Radio Amateur in the UK'.
>
> The UK 'centre' frequencies are as follows;
>
> 5.260MHz
> 5.280MHz
> 5.290MHz
> 5.368MHz
> 5.373MHz
> 5.400MHz
> 5.405MHz
>
>
> The advice on the RSGB website for 5MHz in the UK states that
>
> "Operators wishing to use modes other than SSB, for example digital
> modes or CW, must do so by using USB and audio signals below 3kHz, or
> use some method that ensures that their transmission conforms to the
> 3kHz wide channel. Users of narrow-band modes such as CW and PSK31 are
> encouraged to operate within the bottom 300Hz of a channel since this

> permits the channel to be used by voice-bandwidth modes at the same
> time. This can be done by using USB carrier frequencies below those in
> the above table, in conjunction with audio signals above 300Hz.
>
> Channel FC, 5290kHz, is used for a 5MHz beacon chain □ GB3RAL, GB3WES
> and GB3ORK □ so other operation is discouraged on this channel.
> However, because of the narrow-band nature of the automatic-monitoring
> system for these beacons it is acceptable to operate CW on either 5289
> or 5291kHz, i.e. within the channel, but 1kHz away from the beacon
> frequency of 5290kHz."
>
> Most of my PSK31 contacts on 60M have taken place in the bottom 1.5kHz
> of the 5.290MHz channel.
>
> I hope this helps.
>
> However, I'm still not sure that I'm keen on the idea that someone else
> decides what bandwidth I can use on what band. OK, PSK31 is 31Hz wide
> and there's no other choice for that mode, but I can use PSK63 or PSK125
> if I want to, or even RTTY at various baud rates and spacing. Why
> should ROS be set up so that I can't decide what is the best for me to
> use where ever I decide that 500Hz might be a better choice than 2250Hz?
>
> In fact, 500Hz being a narrow mode might be more appropriate, whether or
> not there's any advantage over wider modes, in that I might not want to
> transmit such a wide signal...
>
> If ROS becomes 2250Hz or nothing again, I guess that will be it for the
> mode on 10 and 5MHz, but to restrict the choice of using a narrow
> version seems strange. I would have expected a restriction for using
> wider modes on some bands, like 10 or 5MHz, but not a restriction of
> narrow only on some bands.
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

954. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 500Hz mode

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-26 12:38:07 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 57 Message: 954

I would better thinking about a 2k2 slot as the wider mode gives better results .. But as the frequencies in the different countrys are not the same maybe we find a 0k5 slot that fits in most bandplans . that idea of using 0k5 is okay for giving more countrys a chance . but the wide mode is better for long dx

I did not have a closer look on the bandplans for 5 megs but it is a complicated thing to find a spot on that band

Hope you find a solution

Dg9bfc

Sigi

955. [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-26 18:57:49 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 929 Message: 955

Yes,

The agc off is a common them with digital authors .. fine if you happen to have a expensive sound card and nice ex military radio with 120 dB dynamic range ..or a SDR set up . but a FT897 and a usb dongle the options are a little less , zapping the inter stages serves only to raise the noise floor and introduce imd products from un expected places .. may be coding for reality would be an option :)
G..

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> But how can i avoid overloading the frontend of the receiver?!?
>
> Okay I can control agc by hand but if conditions change I have to turn the
> pot up and down
>
> I use agc fast cause I have found out the popping noise (ignition and
> lighning noise) would turn down agc for a too long tome so I used agc fast
>
> Surely jose knows best how to use the soft but overloading the frontend is
> possible I think so I do not know what works best
>
> That´s ham radio everytime experimental hi hi
>
> Greetz
>
> Sigi
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von jose alberto
> nieto ros
> Gesendet: Montag, 21. Juni 2010 12:56
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option
>
>
>
>
>

> You have to disable AGC to work with ROS.
>
>
>
> _____
>
> De: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: lun,21 junio, 2010 14:19
> Asunto: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option
>
>
>
> Hello Tim
>
> As i store old versions it is no problem for me i have the 401 (the last
> one with 0k5)
>
> And I also kept the version 376 cause it was the last on with the 1 baud
> mode maybe somebody wants to make a 1 baud qrppp test .. so I kept the old
> version
>
> I used the passband tuning for only receiving the lower end in the 0k5 mode
> and that helped very much to bring your signal on my screen
>
> I had some nearby stations in the upper end of the audio passband and that
> stations turned the agc down using the passband tuning brought them out of
> the receiving window and your signal was 100% copy here .
>
> Best 73
>
> Sigi
>
> Delta Golf Nine Beta Function Control or if you like that more : Delta
> Golf Nine Beat Frequency Counter :-)
>
> Greetz to all group members from northern germany
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com [mailto:ROSDIGITALM ODEMGROUP@
> yahoogroups. com] Im Auftrag von uncletimrob
> Gesendet: Montag, 21. Juni 2010 03:20
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU P@yahoogroups. com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] Re: ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option

>
>
>
>
>
> Hello Sigi
>
> No I do not use any filtering for receive. I see on hamspots that you do not
> have 4.0.0
>
> I have posted it to the files for those that still want to use 500Hz
>
> Tim
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> P@yahoogroups. com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien @...> wrote:
> >
> > Hello tim . just a question: do you use passband tuning or a narrow filter
> > for receive??
> >
> > Dg9bfc
> >
> > Sigi
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> P@yahoogroups. com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> P@yahoogroups. com] Im
> Auftrag von uncletimrob
> > Gesendet: Sonntag, 20. Juni 2010 20:10
> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> P@yahoogroups. com
> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGRO UP] ROS 4.2.0 - no 500Hz option
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Guys
> >
> > I have been using ROS for a long time now and have been very pleased with
> > the improvements to it over time. Until 4.2.0 that is. It seem we no
> longer

> > have the choice of 500Hz bandwidth. Bad move.
> >
> > I will not be using 4.2.0 or any others past 4.0.0 until this is fixed.
> >
> > 2500Hz is clearly inferior for dx when compared to 500Hz, as many
> > experiments and contacts between Jesus CO2DC and me have confirmed.
> >
> > Tim
> > VK4YEH
> >
>

957. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-27 15:32:11 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 957

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v4.5.0 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v4.5.0%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

958. interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-29 22:17:20 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 958 Message: 958

Yesterday, Ray VK4ZW, Jesus CO2DC and I, VK4YEH portable VK7 tried to compare ROS 4/500 with PSK31 on 40m - 7055 for ROS and 7035 for PSK.

cndx here (VK7-Hobart) were very difficult with "waves" of noise coming through that completely blocked ros contacts if they coincided with the sync code, or stopped them after initial sync.

Ray was able to hear me much better that I was to hear him. I was able to pick up snippets of Jesus' overs, and as only able to be sure that he was there through Ray confirming that. Ray was also able to communicate with Jesus.

We went over to psk but were unable to see any activity on any of the three legs of the triangle. upon qsy back to ros, we were able to re-establish contact in the same difficult cndx.

Definitive? Of course not. Interesting and worth pursuing? Yes!

Once I get back home to my normal rig/antenna setup, we will be doing the experiment again.

I'd welcome any other reports of similar experiments to compare with this one.

Tim

959. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-29 22:59:26 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 958 Message: 959

Hi Tim,

It is the first time that somebody compare two modes using differents frequencies. It is not very "scientific". If you are saying that PSK31 is similar to ROS4 i recommend you go to bed

Here there is a comparative ROS8 vs PSK31 using the same frequency (from CO2DC to Twente):

Definitive? Of course YES

RX8: 00:00 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 126 W <STOP> -8 dB
RX8: 00:03 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 80W <STOP> -10 dB
RX8: 00:06 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 50W <STOP> -9 dB
RX8: 00:09 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 32W <STOP> -11 dB
RX8: 00:12 @ 15,6 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 20W <STOP> -15 dB
RX8: 00:14 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 12W <STOP> -17 dB
RX8: 00:17 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 5W <STOP> -20 dB
RX8: 00:20 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTj ES `tî TRANSMISION UnNDO MAYUSCdAS eg a es una transmision usando minuayulas de co2dc 1 W <STOP> -21 dB
RX8: 00:23 @ 11,7 Hz: RSTA E
   A 4s ISO intSANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una t o»n ision usand¢oinusch . e Ç2dr.5W i ;ttiulN Ni6mfp g <CANCEL> -24 dB

PSK31:

126W
.....CA-ITAL LETTER TRANSMISSION FAILURE TEST lower case transmission failure test de 2DC 126Wn t osUe o
80W
.....E..h.....A-ITAL wETTER i RANSMISSION FAILURE TEST lower case traosmissioAfailure test de CO2DC - 0W se a
50W
.....r oe=ITAL LoeTTER TRANS
 ISSION FAILURE TEST lower case transmission failure test de CO2DC 80Wo, nt o edoe bo
32W
....h.....APIT LETTER TRANSSSION FAINURE TEST lower case transmission ftile test de CO2DC 80Wu
20W
.....CAPITAL LETTER TRANS lISSION FAIE TEST lower ccoe tra smission fa»ure t ct de C afC

20W h ae
12W
.....CAPITAeo LETT
eiilrSM‡nle
BN F
ILURE TESet oower case ransedssion o=lure t st dNe%2ttC tS
e a b7t : r
5W
I..sRsCAPIsAL wTER TRAtcEaISSION Fvt¨UtoE ete bT lowimetransmtÒier failure test h
ttO2DC rth oe edaert>oenco ht
1W
...CANITp¶-ooeR eoM iIOetÝAILreenoe S.TTatnwer cak eoeu}µsÀtGaid,e aroDe-tM -Co 'g
0.5W
...e veeoOsWee tl nGP. LE» EtiT i=uedeoION F#oS ilen nT loaeCceee t aniion -ŒaeC ad;e tu
ot e;o

De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@westnet.com.au>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,30 junio, 2010 00:17
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yesterday, Ray VK4ZW, Jesus CO2DC and I, VK4YEH portable VK7 tried to compare ROS 4/500 with PSK31 on 40m - 7055 for ROS and 7035 for PSK.

cndx here (VK7-Hobart) were very difficult with "waves" of noise coming through that completely blocked ros contacts if they coincided with the sync code, or stopped them after initial sync.

Ray was able to hear me much better that I was to hear him. I was able to pick up snippets of Jesus' overs, and as only able to be sure that he was there through Ray confirming that. Ray was also able to communicate with Jesus.

We went over to psk but were unable to see any activity on any of the three legs of the triangle. upon qsy back to ros, we were able to re-establish contact in the same difficult cndx.

Definitive? Of course not. Interesting and worth pursuing? Yes!

Once I get back home to my normal rig/antenna setup, we will be doing the experiment again.

I'd welcome any other reports of similar experiments to compare with this one.

Tim

960. Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-30 00:29:10 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 958 Message: 960

Hello Jose

the experiment was never intended to be scientific, and does not imply that psk and ros are similar technologies, or that I think they are.

As for the frequency difference, that was to try to reduce interference to either channel by using a mode that is not normally seen there. I acknowledge that small frequency changes can make a big difference in tx and rx on every ham band. The waves of interference that I mentioned in my initial post were evident at both 7055 and 7035.

The whole point was to try the two modes under difficult conditions on the same band.

It does show that despite being "unscientific" that ros was superior in those conditions, especially as psk is usually thought of as a good mode for poor cndx.

As far as scientific experiments goes, how many of us really have the time to do them?. I certainly don't as I work full time and ham radio is after all just a hobby. Now if I was back at uni studying Physics again, I'd be able to spend as much time and energy as i wanted to, and have access to the best apparatus to do so.

Tim
--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Tim,
>
> It is the first time that somebody compare two modes using differents frequencies. It is not very "scientific". If you are saying that PSK31 is similar to ROS4 i recommend you go to bed
>
> Here there is a comparative ROS8 vs PSK31 using the same frequency (from CO2DC to Twente):
>
> Definitive? Of courseÂ YES
>
> RX8: 00:00 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 126 WÂ <STOP> -8 dB
> RX8: 00:03 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 80W <STOP> -10 dB
> RX8: 00:06 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 50W <STOP> -9 dB
> RX8: 00:09 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÂ Â 32W <STOP> -11 dB
> RX8: 00:12 @ 15,6 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 20W <STOP> -15 dB
> RX8: 00:14 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÂ 12W <STOP> -17 dB
> RX8: 00:17 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÂ 5W <STOP> -20 dB

> RX8: 00:20 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTj ES `tÃ® TRANSMISION UnNDO MAYUSCdAS eg a es una transmision usando minuayulas de co2dc 1 W <STOP> -21 dB
> RX8: 00:23 @ 11,7 Hz: RSTA E
> Â Â A 4s ISO intSANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una t oÂ»nÂ ision usandÂ¢oinusch . e Ã□2dr.5W i ;ttiulN Ni6mfp gÂ Â <CANCEL> -24 dB
>
> PSK31:
>
> 126W
> .....CA-ITAL LETTER TRANSMISSIONÂ FAILURE TESTÂ lower case transmission failure test de 2DC 126Wn t osUeÂ o
> 80W
> .....E..h.....A-ITAL wETTER i RANSMISSIONÂ FAILURE TESTÂ lower case traosmissioAfailure test de CO2DC -0W se a
> 50W
> .....r oe=ITAL LoeTTER TRANS
> Â ISSIONÂ FAILURE TESTÂ lower case transmission failure test de CO2DC 80Wo,Â ntÂ o edoe bo
> 32W
> ....h.....APIT LETTER TRANSSSIONÂ FAINURE TESTÂ lower case transmission ftile test de CO2DC 80Wu
> 20W
> .....CAPITAL LETTER TRANS IISSIONÂ FAIE TESTÂ lower ccoe tra smission faÂ»ure t ct de C afC 20WÂ h ae
> 12W
> .....CAPITAeo LETT
> eiilrSMâ□¡nle
> BNÂ F
> ILURE TESet oower caseÂ ransedssion o=lure t st dNeâ□°2ttC tS
> e aÂ b7t :Â r
> 5W
> I..sRsCAPIsAL wTER TRAtcEaISSIONÂ FvtÂ¨UtoE ete bTÂ lowimetransmtÃ'ier failure test h
> ttO2DC rth oe edaert>oencoÂ ht
> 1W
> ...CANITpÂ¶-ooeRÂ eoM iIOetÃ□AILreenoe S.TTatnwer cak eoeu}ÂµsÃ□tGaidâ□□e aroDe-tM -CoÂ Â 'gÂ
> 0.5W
> ...e veeoOsWee tl nGP. LEÂ» EtiT i=uedeoIONÂ F#oS ilen nTÂ loaeCceee tÂ aniion -Å'aeC ad;e tu otÂ Â Â e;o
>
> Â
>
>
>
> _____
> De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: miÃ©,30 junio, 2010 00:17
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>
> Â
> Yesterday, Ray VK4ZW, Jesus CO2DC and I, VK4YEH portable VK7 tried to compare ROS 4/500 with PSK31 on 40m - 7055 for ROS and 7035 for PSK.
>

> cndx here (VK7-Hobart) were very difficult with "waves" of noise coming through that completely blocked ros contacts if they coincided with the sync code, or stopped them after initial sync.
>
> Ray was able to hear me much better that I was to hear him. I was able to pick up snippets of Jesus' overs, and as only able to be sure that he was there through Ray confirming that. Ray was also able to communicate with Jesus.
>
> We went over to psk but were unable to see any activity on any of the three legs of the triangle. upon qsy back to ros, we were able to re-establish contact in the same difficult cndx.
>
> Definitive? Of course not. Interesting and worth pursuing? Yes!
>
> Once I get back home to my normal rig/antenna setup, we will be doing the experiment again.
>
> I'd welcome any other reports of similar experiments to compare with this one.
>
> Tim
>

961. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-30 08:01:39 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 958 Message: 961

uncletimrob wrote:
> Yesterday, Ray VK4ZW, Jesus CO2DC and I, VK4YEH portable VK7 tried to compare ROS 4/500 with PSK31 on 40m - 7055 for ROS and 7035 for PSK.
>
> cndx here (VK7-Hobart) were very difficult with "waves" of noise coming through that completely blocked ros contacts if they coincided with the sync code, or stopped them after initial sync.
>
> Ray was able to hear me much better that I was to hear him. I was able to pick up snippets of Jesus' overs, and as only able to be sure that he was there through Ray confirming that. Ray was also able to communicate with Jesus.
>
> We went over to psk but were unable to see any activity on any of the three legs of the triangle. upon qsy back to ros, we were able to re-establish contact in the same difficult cndx.
>
> Definitive? Of course not. Interesting and worth pursuing? Yes!
>
> Once I get back home to my normal rig/antenna setup, we will be doing the experiment again.
>
> I'd welcome any other reports of similar experiments to compare with this one.
>
> Tim
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

962. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-30 08:40:37 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 958 Message: 962

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> uncletimrob wrote:

I'm not sure why that happened, I didn't mean to reply.

Sorry - Dave (G0DJA)

963. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-30 11:15:03 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 958 Message: 963

But, your conclusion is, as ROS not guarantees a 16000 kms path the 100% of the time with interferences then i say that ROS is not definitive.

do you think that ROS does magic or something similar?

De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,30 junio, 2010 02:29
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Hello Jose

the experiment was never intended to be scientific, and does not imply that psk and ros are similar technologies, or that I think they are.

As for the frequency difference, that was to try to reduce interference to either channel by using a mode that is not normally seen there. I acknowledge that small frequency changes can make a big difference in tx and rx on every ham band. The waves of interference that I mentioned in my initial post were evident at both 7055 and 7035.

The whole point was to try the two modes under difficult conditions on the same band.

It does show that despite being "unscientific" that ros was superior in those conditions, especially as psk is usually thought of as a good mode for poor cndx.

As far as scientific experiments goes, how many of us really have the time to do them?. I certainly don't as I work full time and ham radio is after all just a hobby. Now if I was back at uni studying Physics again, I'd be able to spend as much time and energy as i wanted to, and have access to the best apparatus to do so.

Tim
--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Tim,
>
> It is the first time that somebody compare two modes using differents frequencies. It is not very "scientific". If you are saying that PSK31 is similar to ROS4 i recommend you go to bed
>
> Here there is a comparative ROS8 vs PSK31 using the same frequency (from CO2DC to Twente):
>
> Definitive? Of courseÂ YES
>

> RX8: 00:00 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 126 WÂ <STOP> -8 dB
> RX8: 00:03 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 80W <STOP> -10 dB
> RX8: 00:06 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 50W <STOP> -9 dB
> RX8: 00:09 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÂ Â 32W <STOP> -11 dB
> RX8: 00:12 @ 15,6 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 20W <STOP> -15 dB
> RX8: 00:14 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÂ 12W <STOP> -17 dB
> RX8: 00:17 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÂ 5W <STOP> -20 dB
> RX8: 00:20 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTj ES `tÃ® TRANSMISION UnNDO MAYUSCdAS eg a es una transmision usando minuayulas de co2dc 1 W <STOP> -21 dB
> RX8: 00:23 @ 11,7 Hz: RSTA E
> Â Â A 4s ISO intSANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una t oÂ»nÂ ision usandÂ¢oinusch . e Ã‡2dr.5W i ;ttiulN Ni6mfp gÂ Â <CANCEL> -24 dB
>
> PSK31:
>
> 126W
> .....CA-ITAL LETTER TRANSMISSIONÂ FAILURE TESTÂ lower case transmission failure test de 2DC 126Wn t osUeÂ o
> 80W
> .....E..h.....A-ITAL wETTER i RANSMISSIONÂ FAILURE TESTÂ lower case traosmissioAfailure test de CO2DC - 0W se a
> 50W
> .....r oe=ITAL LoeTTER TRANS
> Â ISSIONÂ FAILURE TESTÂ lower case transmission failure test de CO2DC 80Wo,Â ntÂ o edoe bo
> 32W
> ....h.....APIT LETTER TRANSSSIONÂ FAINURE TESTÂ lower case transmission ftile test de CO2DC 80Wu
> 20W
> .....CAPITAL LETTER TRANS lISSIONÂ FAIE TESTÂ lower ccoe tra smission faÂ»ure t ct de C afC 20WÂ h ae
> 12W
> .....CAPITAeo LETT
> eiilrSMâ€¡nle
> BNÂ F
> ILURE TESet oower caseÂ ransedssion o=lure t st dNeâ€°2ttC tS
> e aÂ b7t :Â r
> 5W
> I..sRsCAPIsAL wTER TRAtcEaISSIONÂ FvtÂ¨UtoE ete bTÂ lowimetransmtÃ'ier failure test h
> ttO2DC rth oe edaert>oencoÂ ht
> 1W
> ...CANITpÂ¶-ooeRÂ eoM iIOetÃ?AILreenoe S.TTatnwer cak eoeu}ÂµsÃ€tGaidâ€še aroDe-tM -CoÂ Â 'gÂ

> 0.5W
> ...e veeoOsWee tl nGP. LEÂ» EtiT i=uedeoIONÂ F#oS ilen nTÂ loaeCceee tÂ aniion -Å'aeC ad;e tu otÂ Â Â e;o
>
> Â
>
>
>
> _____
> De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: miÃ©,30 junio, 2010 00:17
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>
> Â
> Yesterday, Ray VK4ZW, Jesus CO2DC and I, VK4YEH portable VK7 tried to compare ROS 4/500 with PSK31 on 40m - 7055 for ROS and 7035 for PSK.
>
> cndx here (VK7-Hobart) were very difficult with "waves" of noise coming through that completely blocked ros contacts if they coincided with the sync code, or stopped them after initial sync.
>
> Ray was able to hear me much better that I was to hear him. I was able to pick up snippets of Jesus' overs, and as only able to be sure that he was there through Ray confirming that. Ray was also able to communicate with Jesus.
>
> We went over to psk but were unable to see any activity on any of the three legs of the triangle. upon qsy back to ros, we were able to re-establish contact in the same difficult cndx.
>
> Definitive? Of course not. Interesting and worth pursuing? Yes!
>
> Once I get back home to my normal rig/antenna setup, we will be doing the experiment again.
>
> I'd welcome any other reports of similar experiments to compare with this one.
>
> Tim
>

964. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-30 15:54:46 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 958 Message: 964

If you have a beam on both sides and a gallon of power i would say ros would work for 16000 km path on 100% of time

As I did that path with less power and without a beam I would say with both added on both sides I could work that distance every day

My 2 cents

sigi

965. Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-30 20:36:34 UTC
From: uncletimrob
Topic: 958 Message: 965

hello Jose

I'm not quite sure what you mean by your reply.

I never suggested that my experience was definitive. Read my post. I only suggested that when compared to psk under difficult conditions, ros did better on that day. And, I welcomed hearing the experiences of others.

It is of no concern to me if you believe that I think ros is magic. Clearly it is not, and I am not stupid enough to believe so.

No mode that I know of guarantees a path at all times in all conditions across the earth. Ros is no different to other modes in this regard.

I'm not quite sure why you seem to enjoy attacking those of us who support you and are trying to get meaningful conversations going about the use of ros - and its highlights and problems. I am quite happy to continue contributing to this group, but don't expect me to reply to you if you continue to assume that I am an uneducated fool. I am not and nor are the others in this group.

As you are well aware I am one of the early adopters of ros, have promoted its use and enjoy using it myself.

Tim

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>
> But,Â your conclusion is, as ROSÂ not guarantees a 16000 kms path the 100% of the time with interferences then i say that ROS is not definitive.
>
> do you think that ROS does magic or something similar?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
> De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@...m
> Enviado: miÃ©,30 junio, 2010 02:29
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>
> Â

>
> Hello Jose
>
> the experiment was never intended to be scientific, and does not imply that psk and ros are similar technologies, or that I think they are.
>
> As for the frequency difference, that was to try to reduce interference to either channel by using a mode that is not normally seen there. I acknowledge that small frequency changes can make a big difference in tx and rx on every ham band. The waves of interference that I mentioned in my initial post were evident at both 7055 and 7035.
>
> The whole point was to try the two modes under difficult conditions on the same band.
>
> It does show that despite being "unscientific" that ros was superior in those conditions, especially as psk is usually thought of as a good mode for poor cndx.
>
> As far as scientific experiments goes, how many of us really have the time to do them?. I certainly don't as I work full time and ham radio is after all just a hobby. Now if I was back at uni studying Physics again, I'd be able to spend as much time and energy as i wanted to, and have access to the best apparatus to do so.
>
> Tim
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@> wrote:
> >
> > Hi Tim,
> >
> > It is the first time that somebody compare two modes using differents frequencies. It is not very "scientific". If you are saying that PSK31 is similar to ROS4 i recommend you go to bed
> >
> > Here there is a comparative ROS8 vs PSK31 using the same frequency (from CO2DC to Twente):
> >
> > Definitive? Of courseÃ□Â YES
> >
> > RX8: 00:00 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 126 WÃ□Â <STOP> -8 dB
> > RX8: 00:03 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 80W <STOP> -10 dB
> > RX8: 00:06 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 50W <STOP> -9 dB
> > RX8: 00:09 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ□Â Ã□Â 32W <STOP> -11 dB
> > RX8: 00:12 @ 15,6 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 20W <STOP> -15 dB
> > RX8: 00:14 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ□Â 12W <STOP> -17 dB
> > RX8: 00:17 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ□Â 5W <STOP> -20 dB
> > RX8: 00:20 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTj ES `tÃ□Â® TRANSMISION UnNDO MAYUSCdAS eg a es una transmision usando minuayulas de co2dc 1 W <STOP> -21 dB
> > RX8: 00:23 @ 11,7 Hz: RSTA E
> > Ã□Â Ã□Â A 4s ISO intSANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una t oÃ□Â»nÃ□Â ision usandÃ□Â¢oinusch . e

Ã□â□¡2dr.5W i ;ttiulN Ni6mfp gÃ□Â Ã□Â <CANCEL> -24 dB
> >
> > PSK31:
> >
> > 126W
> > .....CA-ITAL LETTER TRANSMISSIONÃ□Â FAILURE TESTÃ□Â lower case transmission failure test de 2DC 126Wn t osUeÃ□Â o
> > 80W
> > .....E..h.....A-ITAL wETTER i RANSMISSIONÃ□Â FAILURE TESTÃ□Â lower case traosmissioAfailure test de CO2DC - 0W se a
> > 50W
> > .....r oe=ITAL LoeTTER TRANS
> > Ã□Â ISSIONÃ□Â FAILURE TESTÃ□Â lower case transmission failure test de CO2DC 80Wo,Ã□Â ntÃ□Â o edoe bo
> > 32W
> > ....h.....APIT LETTER TRANSSSIONÃ□Â FAINURE TESTÃ□Â lower case transmission ftile test de CO2DC 80Wu
> > 20W
> > .....CAPITAL LETTER TRANS IISSIONÃ□Â FAIE TESTÃ□Â lower ccoe tra smission faÃ□Â»ure t ct de C afC 20WÃ□Â h ae
> > 12W
> > .....CAPITAeo LETT
> > eiilrSMÃ¢â□¬Â¡nle
> > BNÃ□Â F
> > ILURE TESet oower caseÃ□Â ransedssion o=lure t st dNeÃ¢â□¬Â°2ttC tS
> > e aÃ□Â b7t :Ã□Â r
> > 5W
> > I..sRsCAPIsAL wTER TRAtcEaISSIONÃ□Â FvtÃ□Â¨UtoE ete bTÃ□Â lowimetransmtÃ□'ier failure test h
> > ttO2DC rth oe edaert>oencoÃ□Â ht
> > 1W
> > ...CANITpÃ□Â¶-ooeRÃ□Â eoM iIOetÃ□ï¿½AILreenoe S.TTatnwer cak eoeu}Ã□ÂµsÃ□â□¬tGaidÃ¢â□¬Å¡e aroDe-tM -CoÃ□Â Ã□Â 'gÃ□Â
> > 0.5W
> > ...e veeoOsWee tl nGP. LEÃ□Â» EtiT i=uedeoIONÃ□Â F#oS ilen nTÃ□Â loaeCceee tÃ□Â aniion -Ã 'aeC ad;e tu otÃ□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â e;o
> >
> > Ã□Â
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> > De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@>
> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > Enviado: miÃ□Â©,30 junio, 2010 00:17
> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
> >
> > Ã□Â
> > Yesterday, Ray VK4ZW, Jesus CO2DC and I, VK4YEH portable VK7 tried to compare ROS 4/500 with PSK31 on 40m - 7055 for ROS and 7035 for PSK.
> >

> > cndx here (VK7-Hobart) were very difficult with "waves" of noise coming through that completely blocked ros contacts if they coincided with the sync code, or stopped them after initial sync.
> >
> > Ray was able to hear me much better that I was to hear him. I was able to pick up snippets of Jesus' overs, and as only able to be sure that he was there through Ray confirming that. Ray was also able to communicate with Jesus.
> >
> > We went over to psk but were unable to see any activity on any of the three legs of the triangle. upon qsy back to ros, we were able to re-establish contact in the same difficult cndx.
> >
> > Definitive? Of course not. Interesting and worth pursuing? Yes!
> >
> > Once I get back home to my normal rig/antenna setup, we will be doing the experiment again.
> >
> > I'd welcome any other reports of similar experiments to compare with this one.
> >
> > Tim
> >
>

966. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-30 21:15:43 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 958 Message: 966

Sorry, i didn't understand your comments.

_____
De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: mié,30 junio, 2010 22:36
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

hello Jose

I'm not quite sure what you mean by your reply.

I never suggested that my experience was definitive. Read my post. I only suggested that when compared to psk under difficult conditions, ros did better on that day. And, I welcomed hearing the experiences of others.

It is of no concern to me if you believe that I think ros is magic. Clearly it is not, and I am not stupid enough to believe so.

No mode that I know of guarantees a path at all times in all conditions across the earth. Ros is no different to other modes in this regard.

I'm not quite sure why you seem to enjoy attacking those of us who support you and are trying to get meaningful conversations going about the use of ros - and its highlights and problems. I am quite happy to continue contributing to this group, but don't expect me to reply to you if you continue to assume that I am an uneducated fool. I am not and nor are the others in this group.

As you are well aware I am one of the early adopters of ros, have promoted its use and enjoy using it myself.

Tim

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
wrote:
>
> But,Â your conclusion is, as ROSÂ not guarantees a 16000 kms path the 100% of the time with interferences then i say that ROS is not definitive.
>
> do you think that ROS does magic or something similar?
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
> _____
> De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Enviado: miÃ©,30 junio, 2010 02:29
> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>
> Â
>
> Hello Jose
>
> the experiment was never intended to be scientific, and does not imply that psk and ros are similar technologies, or that I think they are.
>
> As for the frequency difference, that was to try to reduce interference to either channel by using a mode that is not normally seen there. I acknowledge that small frequency changes can make a big difference in tx and rx on every ham band. The waves of interference that I mentioned in my initial post were evident at both 7055 and 7035.
>
> The whole point was to try the two modes under difficult conditions on the same band.
>
> It does show that despite being "unscientific" that ros was superior in those conditions, especially as psk is usually thought of as a good mode for poor cndx.
>
> As far as scientific experiments goes, how many of us really have the time to do them?. I certainly don't as I work full time and ham radio is after all just a hobby. Now if I was back at uni studying Physics again, I'd be able to spend as much time and energy as i wanted to, and have access to the best apparatus to do so.
>
> Tim
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@> wrote:
> >
> > Hi Tim,
> >
> > It is the first time that somebody compare two modes using differents frequencies. It is not very "scientific". If you are saying that PSK31 is similar to ROS4 i recommend you go to bed
> >
> > Here there is a comparative ROS8 vs PSK31 using the same frequency (from CO2DC to Twente):
> >
> > Definitive? Of courseÃ,Â YES
> >
> > RX8: 00:00 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 126 WÃ,Â <STOP> -8 dB
> > RX8: 00:03 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 80W <STOP> -10 dB
> > RX8: 00:06 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 50W <STOP> -9 dB

> > RX8: 00:09 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ,Â Ã,Â 32W <STOP> -11 dB
> > RX8: 00:12 @ 15,6 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 20W <STOP> -15 dB
> > RX8: 00:14 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ,Â 12W <STOP> -17 dB
> > RX8: 00:17 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ,Â 5W <STOP> -20 dB
> > RX8: 00:20 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTj ES `tÃƒÂ® TRANSMISION UnNDO MAYUSCdAS eg a es una transmision usando minuayulas de co2dc 1 W <STOP> -21 dB
> > RX8: 00:23 @ 11,7 Hz: RSTA E
> > Ã,Â Ã,Â A 4s ISO intSANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una t oÃ,Â»nÃ,Â ision usandÃ,Â¢oinusch . e Ãƒâ€¡2dr.5W i ;ttiulN Ni6mfp gÃ,Â Ã,Â <CANCEL> -24 dB
> >
> > PSK31:
> >
> > 126W
> > .....CA-ITAL LETTER TRANSMISSIONÃ,Â FAILURE TESTÃ,Â lower case transmission failure test de 2DC 126Wn t osUeÃ,Â o
> > 80W
> > .....E..h.....A-ITAL wETTER i RANSMISSIONÃ,Â FAILURE TESTÃ,Â lower case traosmissioAfailure test de CO2DC - 0W se a
> > 50W
> > .....r oe=ITAL LoeTTER TRANS
> > Ã,Â ISSIONÃ,Â FAILURE TESTÃ,Â lower case transmission failure test de CO2DC 80Wo,Ã,Â ntÃ,Â o edoe bo
> > 32W
> > ....h.....APIT LETTER TRANSSSIONÃ,Â FAINURE TESTÃ,Â lower case transmission ftile test de CO2DC 80Wu
> > 20W
> > .....CAPITAL LETTER TRANS lISSIONÃ,Â FAIE TESTÃ,Â lower ccoe tra smission faÃ,Â»ure t ct de C afC 20WÃ,Â h ae
> > 12W
> > .....CAPITAeo LETT
> > eiilrSMÃ¢â,¬Â¡nle
> > BNÃ,Â F
> > ILURE TESet oower caseÃ,Â ransedssion o=lure t st dNeÃ¢â,¬Â°2ttC tS
> > e aÃ,Â b7t :Ã,Â r
> > 5W
> > I..sRsCAPIsAL wTER TRAtcEaISSIONÃ,Â FvtÃ,Â¨UtoE ete bTÃ,Â lowimetransmtÃƒ'ier failure test h
> > ttO2DC rth oe edaert>oencoÃ,Â ht
> > 1W
> > ...CANITpÃ,Â¶-ooeRÃ,Â eoM iIOetÃƒï¿½AILreenoe S.TTatnwer cak eoeu}Ã,ÂµsÃƒâ,¬tGaidÃ¢â,¬Å¡e aroDe-tM -CoÃ,Â Ã,Â 'gÃ,Â
> > 0.5W
> > ...e veeoOsWee tl nGP. LEÃ,Â» EtiT i=uedeoIONÃ,Â F#oS ilen nTÃ,Â loaeCceee tÃ,Â aniion -Ã…'aeC ad;e tu otÃ,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â e;o
> >
> > Ã,Â

> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> > De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@>
> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > Enviado: miÃƒÂ©,30 junio, 2010 00:17
> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
> >
> > Ã,Â
> > Yesterday, Ray VK4ZW, Jesus CO2DC and I, VK4YEH portable VK7 tried to compare ROS 4/500 with PSK31 on 40m - 7055 for ROS and 7035 for PSK.
> >
> > cndx here (VK7-Hobart) were very difficult with "waves" of noise coming through that completely blocked ros contacts if they coincided with the sync code, or stopped them after initial sync.
> >
> > Ray was able to hear me much better that I was to hear him. I was able to pick up snippets of Jesus' overs, and as only able to be sure that he was there through Ray confirming that. Ray was also able to communicate with Jesus.
> >
> > We went over to psk but were unable to see any activity on any of the three legs of the triangle. upon qsy back to ros, we were able to re-establish contact in the same difficult cndx.
> >
> > Definitive? Of course not. Interesting and worth pursuing? Yes!
> >
> > Once I get back home to my normal rig/antenna setup, we will be doing the experiment again.
> >
> > I'd welcome any other reports of similar experiments to compare with this one.
> >
> > Tim
> >
>

967. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-06-30 21:39:42 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 958 Message: 967

Hey tim . as I worked 16000km on 2 bands and on different time . why not make a test around the clock on a free qrg . and see how that works .

I am sure on a free qrg and with only 4 bd it should work most of the times . do not know if 24/7/365 is possible as I do not have much power and no beam . but it is worth a try . right?

Sigi

968. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-01 02:50:40 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 958 Message: 968

Hello All,

This exchange is showing again how aggressive Mr Ros behaves even towards his most loyal supporters, users and testers. It is really a shame and this is all happening in a radio amateur group. .

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
>Sent: Jun 30, 2010 8:36 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>
>hello Jose
>
>I'm not quite sure what you mean by your reply.
>
>I never suggested that my experience was definitive. Read my post. I only suggested that when compared to psk under difficult conditions, ros did better on that day. And, I welcomed hearing the experiences of others.
>
>It is of no concern to me if you believe that I think ros is magic. Clearly it is not, and I am not stupid enough to believe so.
>
>No mode that I know of guarantees a path at all times in all conditions across the earth. Ros is no different to other modes in this regard.
>
>I'm not quite sure why you seem to enjoy attacking those of us who support you and are trying to get meaningful conversations going about the use of ros - and its highlights and problems. I am quite happy to continue contributing to this group, but don't expect me to reply to you if you continue to assume that I am an uneducated fool. I am not and nor are the others in this group.
>
>As you are well aware I am one of the early adopters of ros, have promoted its use and enjoy using it myself.
>
>Tim
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>>
>> But,Â your conclusion is, as ROSÂ not guarantees a 16000 kms path the 100% of the time with interferences then i say that ROS is not definitive.
>>
>> do you think that ROS does magic or something similar?
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> _____
>> De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
>> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> Enviado: miÃ©,30 junio, 2010 02:29
>> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>>
>> Â
>>
>> Hello Jose
>>
>> the experiment was never intended to be scientific, and does not imply that psk and ros are similar technologies, or that I think they are.
>>
>> As for the frequency difference, that was to try to reduce interference to either channel by using a mode that is not normally seen there. I acknowledge that small frequency changes can make a big difference in tx and rx on every ham band. The waves of interference that I mentioned in my initial post were evident at both 7055 and 7035.
>>
>> The whole point was to try the two modes under difficult conditions on the same band.
>>
>> It does show that despite being "unscientific" that ros was superior in those conditions, especially as psk is usually thought of as a good mode for poor cndx.
>>
>> As far as scientific experiments goes, how many of us really have the time to do them?. I certainly don't as I work full time and ham radio is after all just a hobby. Now if I was back at uni studying Physics again, I'd be able to spend as much time and energy as i wanted to, and have access to the best apparatus to do so.
>>
>> Tim
>> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@> wrote:
>> >
>> > Hi Tim,
>> >
>> > It is the first time that somebody compare two modes using differents frequencies. It is not very "scientific". If you are saying that PSK31 is similar to ROS4 i recommend you go to bed
>> >
>> > Here there is a comparative ROS8 vs PSK31 using the same frequency (from CO2DC to Twente):
>> >
>> > Definitive? Of courseÃ□Â YES
>> >
>> > RX8: 00:00 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 126 WÃ□Â <STOP> -8 dB
>> > RX8: 00:03 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 80W <STOP> -10 dB

>> > RX8: 00:06 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 50W <STOP> -9 dB
>> > RX8: 00:09 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ□Â Ã□Â 32W <STOP> -11 dB
>> > RX8: 00:12 @ 15,6 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 20W <STOP> -15 dB
>> > RX8: 00:14 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ□Â 12W <STOP> -17 dB
>> > RX8: 00:17 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ□Â 5W <STOP> -20 dB
>> > RX8: 00:20 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTj ES `tÃ□Â® TRANSMISION UnNDO MAYUSCdAS eg a es una transmision usando minuayulas de co2dc 1 W <STOP> -21 dB
>> > RX8: 00:23 @ 11,7 Hz: RSTA E
>> > Ã□Â Ã□Â A 4s ISO intSANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una t oÃ□Â»nÃ□Â ision usandÃ□Â¢oinusch . e Ã□â□¡2dr.5W i ;ttiulN Ni6mfp gÃ□Â Ã□Â <CANCEL> -24 dB
>> >
>> > PSK31:
>> >
>> > 126W
>> > .....CA-ITAL LETTER TRANSMISSIONÃ□Â FAILURE TESTÃ□Â lower case transmission failure test de 2DC 126Wn t osUeÃ□Â o
>> > 80W
>> > .....E..h.....A-ITAL wETTER i RANSMISSIONÃ□Â FAILURE TESTÃ□Â lower case traosmissioAfailure test de CO2DC - 0W se a
>> > 50W
>> > .....r oe=ITAL LoeTTER TRANS
>> > Ã□Â ISSIONÃ□Â FAILURE TESTÃ□Â lower case transmission failure test de CO2DC 80Wo,Ã□Â ntÃ□Â o edoe bo
>> > 32W
>> > ....h.....APIT LETTER TRANSSSIONÃ□Â FAINURE TESTÃ□Â lower case transmission ftile test de CO2DC 80Wu
>> > 20W
>> > .....CAPITAL LETTER TRANS IISSIONÃ□Â FAIE TESTÃ□Â lower ccoe tra smission faÃ□Â»ure t ct de C afC 20WÃ□Â h ae
>> > 12W
>> > .....CAPITAeo LETT
>> > eiilrSMÃ¢â□¬Â¡nle
>> > BNÃ□Â F
>> > ILURE TESet oower caseÃ□Â ransedssion o=lure t st dNeÃ¢â□¬Â°2ttC tS
>> > e aÃ□Â b7t :Ã□Â r
>> > 5W
>> > I..sRsCAPIsAL wTER TRAtcEaISSIONÃ□Â FvtÃ□Â¨UtoE ete bTÃ□Â lowimetransmtÃ□'ier failure test h
>> > ttO2DC rth oe edaert>oencoÃ□Â ht
>> > 1W
>> > ...CANITpÃ□Â¶-ooeRÃ□Â eoM iIOetÃ□ï¿½AILreenoe S.TTatnwer cak eoeu}Ã□ÂµsÃ□â□¬tGaidÃ¢â□¬Å¡e aroDe-tM -CoÃ□Â Ã□Â 'gÃ□Â
>> > 0.5W
>> > ...e veeoOsWee tl nGP. LEÃ□Â» EtiT i=uedeoIONÃ□Â F#oS ilen nTÃ□Â loaeCceee tÃ□Â aniion -Ã 'aeC ad;e tu otÃ□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â e;o

>> >
>> > ÃÂ
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > _____
>> > De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@>
>> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> > Enviado: miÃÂ©,30 junio, 2010 00:17
>> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>> >
>> > ÃÂ
>> > Yesterday, Ray VK4ZW, Jesus CO2DC and I, VK4YEH portable VK7 tried to compare ROS 4/500 with PSK31 on 40m - 7055 for ROS and 7035 for PSK.
>> >
>> > cndx here (VK7-Hobart) were very difficult with "waves" of noise coming through that completely blocked ros contacts if they coincided with the sync code, or stopped them after initial sync.
>> >
>> > Ray was able to hear me much better that I was to hear him. I was able to pick up snippets of Jesus' overs, and as only able to be sure that he was there through Ray confirming that. Ray was also able to communicate with Jesus.
>> >
>> > We went over to psk but were unable to see any activity on any of the three legs of the triangle. upon qsy back to ros, we were able to re-establish contact in the same difficult cndx.
>> >
>> > Definitive? Of course not. Interesting and worth pursuing? Yes!
>> >
>> > Once I get back home to my normal rig/antenna setup, we will be doing the experiment again.
>> >
>> > I'd welcome any other reports of similar experiments to compare with this one.
>> >
>> > Tim
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

969. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-01 03:04:15 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 958 Message: 969

Mr. Ros has apologized -- a major step forward, for which he is to be congratulated.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of rein0zn@...
Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2010 10:51 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Hello All,

This exchange is showing again how aggressive Mr Ros behaves even towards his most loyal supporters, users and testers. It is really a shame and this is all happening in a radio amateur group. .

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
>Sent: Jun 30, 2010 8:36 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>
>hello Jose
>
>I'm not quite sure what you mean by your reply.
>
>I never suggested that my experience was definitive. Read my post. I only suggested that when compared to psk under difficult conditions, ros did better on that day. And, I welcomed hearing the experiences of others.
>
>It is of no concern to me if you believe that I think ros is magic. Clearly it is not, and I am not stupid enough to believe so.
>
>No mode that I know of guarantees a path at all times in all conditions across the earth. Ros is no different to other modes in this regard.
>
>I'm not quite sure why you seem to enjoy attacking those of us who support you and are trying to get meaningful conversations going about the use of ros - and its highlights and problems. I am quite happy to continue contributing to this group, but don't expect me to reply to you if you continue to assume that I am an uneducated fool. I am not and nor are the others in this group.
>

>As you are well aware I am one of the early adopters of ros, have promoted its use and enjoy using it myself.
>
>Tim
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>>
>> But,Â your conclusion is, as ROSÂ not guarantees a 16000 kms path the 100% of the time with interferences then i say that ROS is not definitive.
>>
>> do you think that ROS does magic or something similar?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> _____
>> De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
>> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> Enviado: miÃ©,30 junio, 2010 02:29
>> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>>
>> Â
>>
>> Hello Jose
>>
>> the experiment was never intended to be scientific, and does not imply that psk and ros are similar technologies, or that I think they are.
>>
>> As for the frequency difference, that was to try to reduce interference to either channel by using a mode that is not normally seen there. I acknowledge that small frequency changes can make a big difference in tx and rx on every ham band. The waves of interference that I mentioned in my initial post were evident at both 7055 and 7035.
>>
>> The whole point was to try the two modes under difficult conditions on the same band.
>>
>> It does show that despite being "unscientific" that ros was superior in those conditions, especially as psk is usually thought of as a good mode for poor cndx.
>>
>> As far as scientific experiments goes, how many of us really have the time to do them?. I certainly don't as I work full time and ham radio is after all just a hobby. Now if I was back at uni studying Physics again, I'd be able to spend as much time and energy as i wanted to, and have access to the best apparatus to do so.
>>
>> Tim
>> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@> wrote:
>> >
>> > Hi Tim,
>> >

>> > It is the first time that somebody compare two modes using differents frequencies. It is not very "scientific". If you are saying that PSK31 is similar to ROS4 i recommend you go to bed
>> >
>> > Here there is a comparative ROS8 vs PSK31 using the same frequency (from CO2DC to Twente):
>> >
>> > Definitive? Of courseÃ□Â YES
>> >
>> > RX8: 00:00 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 126 WÃ□Â <STOP> -8 dB
>> > RX8: 00:03 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 80W <STOP> -10 dB
>> > RX8: 00:06 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 50W <STOP> -9 dB
>> > RX8: 00:09 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ□Â Ã□Â 32W <STOP> -11 dB
>> > RX8: 00:12 @ 15,6 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 20W <STOP> -15 dB
>> > RX8: 00:14 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ□Â 12W <STOP> -17 dB
>> > RX8: 00:17 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ□Â 5W <STOP> -20 dB
>> > RX8: 00:20 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTj ES `tÃ□Â® TRANSMISION UnNDO MAYUSCdAS eg a es una transmision usando minuayulas de co2dc 1 W <STOP> -21 dB
>> > RX8: 00:23 @ 11,7 Hz: RSTA E
>> > Ã□Â Ã□Â A 4s ISO intSANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una t oÃ□Â»nÃ□Â ision usandÃ□Â¢oinusch . e Ã□â□¡2dr.5W i ;ttiulN Ni6mfp gÃ□Â Ã□Â <CANCEL> -24 dB
>> >
>> > PSK31:
>> >
>> > 126W
>> > .....CA-ITAL LETTER TRANSMISSIONÃ□Â FAILURE TESTÃ□Â lower case transmission failure test de 2DC 126Wn t osUeÃ□Â o
>> > 80W
>> > .....E..h.....A-ITAL wETTER i RANSMISSIONÃ□Â FAILURE TESTÃ□Â lower case traosmissioAfailure test de CO2DC - 0W se a
>> > 50W
>> > .....r oe=ITAL LoeTTER TRANS
>> > Ã□Â ISSIONÃ□Â FAILURE TESTÃ□Â lower case transmission failure test de CO2DC 80Wo,Ã□Â ntÃ□Â o edoe bo
>> > 32W
>> > ....h.....APIT LETTER TRANSSSIONÃ□Â FAINURE TESTÃ□Â lower case transmission ftile test de CO2DC 80Wu
>> > 20W
>> > .....CAPITAL LETTER TRANS IISSIONÃ□Â FAIE TESTÃ□Â lower ccoe tra smission faÃ□Â»ure t ct de C afC 20WÃ□Â h ae
>> > 12W
>> > .....CAPITAeo LETT
>> > eiilrSMÃ¢â□¬Â¡nle
>> > BNÃ□Â F

>> > ILURE TESet oower caseÃ□Â ransedssion o=lure t st dNeÃ¢â□¬Â°2ttC tS
>> > e aÃ□Â b7t :Ã□Â r
>> > 5W
>> > I..sRsCAPIsAL wTER TRAtcEaISSIONÃ□Â FvtÃ□Â¨UtoE ete bTÃ□Â lowimetransmtÃ□'ier failure test h
>> > ttO2DC rth oe edaert>oencoÃ□Â ht
>> > 1W
>> > ...CANITpÃ□Â¶-ooeRÃ□Â eoM iIOetÃ□ï¿½AILreenoe S.TTatnwer cak eoeu}Ã□ÂµsÃ□â□¬tGaidÃ¢â□¬Å¡e aroDe-tM -CoÃ□Â Ã□Â 'gÃ□Â
>> > 0.5W
>> > ...e veeoOsWee tl nGP. LEÃ□Â» EtiT i=uedeoIONÃ□Â F#oS ilen nTÃ□Â loaeCceee tÃ□Â aniion -Ã 'aeC ad;e tu otÃ□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â e;o
>> >
>> > Ã□Â
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > _____
>> > De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@>
>> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> > Enviado: miÃ□Â©,30 junio, 2010 00:17
>> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>> >
>> > Ã□Â
>> > Yesterday, Ray VK4ZW, Jesus CO2DC and I, VK4YEH portable VK7 tried to compare ROS 4/500 with PSK31 on 40m - 7055 for ROS and 7035 for PSK.
>> >
>> > cndx here (VK7-Hobart) were very difficult with "waves" of noise coming through that completely blocked ros contacts if they coincided with the sync code, or stopped them after initial sync.
>> >
>> > Ray was able to hear me much better that I was to hear him. I was able to pick up snippets of Jesus' overs, and as only able to be sure that he was there through Ray confirming that. Ray was also able to communicate with Jesus.
>> >
>> > We went over to psk but were unable to see any activity on any of the three legs of the triangle. upon qsy back to ros, we were able to re-establish contact in the same difficult cndx.
>> >
>> > Definitive? Of course not. Interesting and worth pursuing? Yes!
>> >
>> > Once I get back home to my normal rig/antenna setup, we will be doing the experiment again.
>> >
>> > I'd welcome any other reports of similar experiments to compare with this one.
>> >
>> > Tim
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>----------

>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

970. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-01 03:05:53 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 958 Message: 970

Indeed!

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Dave AA6YQ <aa6yq@...>
>Sent: Jul 1, 2010 3:04 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>
>Mr. Ros has apologized -- a major step forward, for which he is to be congratulated.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of rein0zn@...
>Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2010 10:51 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>
>
>
>Hello All,
>
>This exchange is showing again how aggressive Mr Ros behaves even towards his most loyal
>supporters, users and testers. It is really a shame and this is all happening in a radio amateur group. .
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>-----Original Message-----
>>From: uncletimrob <copyvideo@westnet.com.au>
>>Sent: Jun 30, 2010 8:36 PM
>>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>>
>>hello Jose
>>
>>I'm not quite sure what you mean by your reply.
>>

>>I never suggested that my experience was definitive. Read my post. I only suggested that when compared to psk under difficult conditions, ros did better on that day. And, I welcomed hearing the experiences of others.
>>
>>It is of no concern to me if you believe that I think ros is magic. Clearly it is not, and I am not stupid enough to believe so.
>>
>>No mode that I know of guarantees a path at all times in all conditions across the earth. Ros is no different to other modes in this regard.
>>
>>I'm not quite sure why you seem to enjoy attacking those of us who support you and are trying to get meaningful conversations going about the use of ros - and its highlights and problems. I am quite happy to continue contributing to this group, but don't expect me to reply to you if you continue to assume that I am an uneducated fool. I am not and nor are the others in this group.
>>
>>As you are well aware I am one of the early adopters of ros, have promoted its use and enjoy using it myself.
>>
>>Tim
>>
>>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...> wrote:
>>>
>>> But,Â your conclusion is, as ROSÂ not guarantees a 16000 kms path the 100% of the time with interferences then i say that ROS is not definitive.
>>>
>>> do you think that ROS does magic or something similar?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> _____
>>> De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@...>
>>> Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>>> Enviado: miÃ©,30 junio, 2010 02:29
>>> Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>>>
>>> Â
>>>
>>> Hello Jose
>>>
>>> the experiment was never intended to be scientific, and does not imply that psk and ros are similar technologies, or that I think they are.
>>>
>>> As for the frequency difference, that was to try to reduce interference to either channel by using a mode that is not normally seen there. I acknowledge that small frequency changes can make a big difference in tx and rx on every ham band. The waves of interference that I mentioned in my initial post were evident at both 7055 and 7035.
>>>

>>> The whole point was to try the two modes under difficult conditions on the same band.
>>>
>>> It does show that despite being "unscientific" that ros was superior in those conditions, especially as psk is usually thought of as a good mode for poor cndx.
>>>
>>> As far as scientific experiments goes, how many of us really have the time to do them?. I certainly don't as I work full time and ham radio is after all just a hobby. Now if I was back at uni studying Physics again, I'd be able to spend as much time and energy as i wanted to, and have access to the best apparatus to do so.
>>>
>>> Tim
>>> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@> wrote:
>>> >
>>> > Hi Tim,
>>> >
>>> > It is the first time that somebody compare two modes using differents frequencies. It is not very "scientific". If you are saying that PSK31 is similar to ROS4 i recommend you go to bed
>>> >
>>> > Here there is a comparative ROS8 vs PSK31 using the same frequency (from CO2DC to Twente):
>>> >
>>> > Definitive? Of courseÃ□Â YES
>>> >
>>> > RX8: 00:00 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 126 WÃ□Â <STOP> -8 dB
>>> > RX8: 00:03 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 80W <STOP> -10 dB
>>> > RX8: 00:06 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 50W <STOP> -9 dB
>>> > RX8: 00:09 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ□Â Ã□Â 32W <STOP> -11 dB
>>> > RX8: 00:12 @ 15,6 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dc 20W <STOP> -15 dB
>>> > RX8: 00:14 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ□Â 12W <STOP> -17 dB
>>> > RX8: 00:17 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTA ES UNA TRANSMISION USANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una transmision usando minusculas de co2dcÃ□Â 5W <STOP> -20 dB
>>> > RX8: 00:20 @ 11,7 Hz: ESTj ES `tÃ□Â® TRANSMISION UnNDO MAYUSCdAS eg a es una transmision usando minuayulas de co2dc 1 W <STOP> -21 dB
>>> > RX8: 00:23 @ 11,7 Hz: RSTA E
>>> > Ã□Â Ã□Â A 4s ISO intSANDO MAYUSCULAS esta es una t oÃ□Â»nÃ□Â ision usandÃ□Â¢oinusch . e Ã□â□¡2dr.5W i ;ttiulN Ni6mfp gÃ□Â Ã□Â <CANCEL> -24 dB
>>> >
>>> > PSK31:
>>> >
>>> > 126W
>>> > .....CA-ITAL LETTER TRANSMISSIONÃ□Â FAILURE TESTÃ□Â lower case transmission failure test de 2DC 126Wn t osUeÃ□Â o
>>> > 80W
>>> > .....E..h.....A-ITAL wETTER i RANSMISSIONÃ□Â FAILURE TESTÃ□Â lower case traosmissioAfailure test de CO2DC - 0W se a

>>> > 50W
>>> > .....r oe=ITAL LoeTTER TRANS
>>> > Ã□Â ISSIONÃ□Â FAILURE TESTÃ□Â lower case transmission failure test de CO2DC 80Wo,Ã□Â ntÃ□Â o edoe bo
>>> > 32W
>>> > ....h.....APIT LETTER TRANSSSIONÃ□Â FAINURE TESTÃ□Â lower case transmission ftile test de CO2DC 80Wu
>>> > 20W
>>> > .....CAPITAL LETTER TRANS IISSIONÃ□Â FAIE TESTÃ□Â lower ccoe tra smission faÃ□Â»ure t ct de C afC 20WÃ□Â h ae
>>> > 12W
>>> > .....CAPITAeo LETT
>>> > eiilrSMÃ¢â□¬Â¡nle
>>> > BNÃ□Â F
>>> > ILURE TESet oower caseÃ□Â ransedssion o=lure t st dNeÃ¢â□¬Â°2ttC tS
>>> > e aÃ□Â b7t :Ã□Â r
>>> > 5W
>>> > I..sRsCAPIsAL wTER TRAtcEaISSIONÃ□Â FvtÃ□Â¨UtoE ete bTÃ□Â lowimetransmtÃ□'ier failure test h
>>> > ttO2DC rth oe edaert>oencoÃ□Â ht
>>> > 1W
>>> > ...CANITpÃ□Â¶-ooeRÃ□Â eoM iIOetÃ□ï¿½AILreenoe S.TTatnwer cak eoeu}Ã□ÂµsÃ□â□¬tGaidÃ¢â□¬Å¡e aroDe-tM -CoÃ□Â Ã□Â 'gÃ□Â
>>> > 0.5W
>>> > ...e veeoOsWee tl nGP. LEÃ□Â» EtiT i=uedeoIONÃ□Â F#oS ilen nTÃ□Â loaeCceee tÃ□Â aniion -Ã 'aeC ad;e tu otÃ□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â e;o
>>> >
>>> > Ã□Â
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > _____
>>> > De: uncletimrob <copyvideo@>
>>> > Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>>> > Enviado: miÃ□Â©,30 junio, 2010 00:17
>>> > Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] interesting ROS vs PSK experiment
>>> >
>>> > Ã□Â
>>> > Yesterday, Ray VK4ZW, Jesus CO2DC and I, VK4YEH portable VK7 tried to compare ROS 4/500 with PSK31 on 40m - 7055 for ROS and 7035 for PSK.
>>> >
>>> > cndx here (VK7-Hobart) were very difficult with "waves" of noise coming through that completely blocked ros contacts if they coincided with the sync code, or stopped them after initial sync.
>>> >
>>> > Ray was able to hear me much better that I was to hear him. I was able to pick up snippets of Jesus' overs, and as only able to be sure that he was there through Ray confirming that. Ray was also able to communicate with Jesus.
>>> >
>>> > We went over to psk but were unable to see any activity on any of the three legs of the triangle. upon qsy back to ros, we were able to re-establish contact in the same difficult cndx.
>>> >

>>> > Definitive? Of course not. Interesting and worth pursuing? Yes!
>>> >
>>> > Once I get back home to my normal rig/antenna setup, we will be doing the experiment again.
>>> >
>>> > I'd welcome any other reports of similar experiments to compare with this one.
>>> >
>>> > Tim
>>> >
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>----------
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

971. ROS Modem usage in US

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-01 21:23:28 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 971 Message: 971

Greetings,

Today I noticed a few calls of amateurs using ROS modem on 20 meter,

AE5EKN
AJ1TU
AN5T
AK5IK

According to the FCC registry, these calls are all fake.

Interesting, isn't it?

73 Rein W6SZ

972. Ros MF-1 / wspr test ... up to -3 advantage over ...

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-02 11:51:00 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 972 Message: 972

In a ad hock shoot out on 500 Khz , I found that over a 350 mile path Liverpool to Delft , return via the web , that ros-mf-1 continued to decode 100% up to -3 dbs lower than the point wspr stopped responding.

Ok it was a radio path ,and the web was involved, but the transmisions, at times, where in parallel and of roughly the same time and data lengh, long qsb of 90 seconds made sequential test difficult , using a x10 and x1 step attenuator in the liner drive path to make the power adjustment

Second test due over 33 kmt stable path shortly
G ..

973. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Modem usage in US+1

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-02 14:00:53 UTC
From: porterockwell@hotmail.com
Topic: 971 Message: 973

GD,

[Interesante, ¿no?] Tal vez ... LSN es más difícil u hv ww me DRH. Desde la primera versión beta, los usuarios, es
partidario de no apreciado amigo esfuerzo extraordinario de José. Rein W6SZ, u ww como configurar un calendario de ROS?
<sk>

rgrds
Craig
No kq6i falsos
Paz, de larga duración, es gud DX! ©

PD El KWS FCC donde yo vivo, como yo millones de hv acosando sus burocrática (ingenieros) asnos wid correspondencias
enuf escrito (litros semanas innumerables, ignorado y de navegación aérea de la ONU, nada, la respuesta postal) por un
real, la evaluación legítima y honesta de ROS.

Disclaimer: neither English and/or Spanish a first or second language...

-----Original Message-----
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of Rein A
Sent: Thursday, July 01, 2010 3:23 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Modem usage in US

Greetings,

Today I noticed a few calls of amateurs using ROS modem on 20 meter,

AE5EKN
AJ1TU
AN5T
AK5IK

According to the FCC registry, these calls are all fake.

Interesting, isn't it?

73 Rein W6SZ

GD,

[Interesting, isn't it?] perhaps... Lsn harder es u ww hv hrd me. Since the first beta release, user, supporter es
friend to Jose's unappreciated extraordinary endeavor. Rein W6SZ, ww u like to setup a ROS

schedule? <sk>

rgrds
Craig
not fake kq6i
Peace, long-life, es gud DX! ©

P.S. The FCC kws where I live, as I hv bn badgering their bureaucratic (engineers) arses wid enuf written
correspondences (countless weeks ltr, ignored/un ans, nada, zip response) for a real, legitimate, honest evaluation of
ROS.

974. Loss of ROS Hamspots activity and schedule page

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-06 19:58:17 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 974 Message: 974

Hi All,

The loss of the ROS section of the ham spots page is a petty.

http://hamspots.net/ ros/

The listing provided an up to date idea of the ever growing activity levels of ROS modem digital mode.

At times there were even listings of logged US amateurs with fake call signs or even activity by dead amateurs....

A loss nevertheless.

73 Rein W6SZ

975. Hamspots reporting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 21:17:23 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 975 Message: 975

Hi All,

Can anybody explain how the calls from stations decoded by me show
up at the Hamspots web site?

http://hamspots.net/ros/

The connection seem to be via the email provider Gmail in my case.

Does ROS send 2 emails? One to the transmitting station, the station
being decoded, and another copy to Hamspots?

The reported frequencies are incorrect though.

An example of this is that beacon transmissions by a German station
on 7055 kHz were reported as decoded by me on 14103 kHz.

The e-mail messages do not contain frequency information of course.

Another one of the secrets hidden in ROS Modem or some other action by a third party?

73 Rein W6SZ

976. Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 21:51:38 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 976 Message: 976

Hi All,

The ROS reporting does not seems to be connected to the ROS software.
I see the same happening with monitoring I do here of HF-JT66A transmissions.

They appear on the WSJT pages of Hamspots.

I am not logged in to Hamspots, HF-JT65A does not use Gmail for the
transferring reports to central reporting site.

The question remains!

73 Rein W6SZ

977. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 22:19:01 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 976 Message: 977

You have to export the qso via the adif tab . now the qrg (or band) is stored correct

Ros searches for a textline with a special content

If that is received the spot is sent

So you have to store the qso to get it reported correct

Dg9bfc

Sigi

ps only band is stored in adif tab so the 20m qrg can sometimes be wrong BUT the band is correct (if previously stored)

pps jose is working on an own spotsystem like psk reporter so the problem will be away in one of the future versions

978. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 22:20:05 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 975 Message: 978

Rein A wrote:
> Hi All,
>
> Can anybody explain how the calls from stations decoded by me show
> up at the Hamspots web site?
>
> http://hamspots.net/ros/
>
> The connection seem to be via the email provider Gmail in my case.

Are you asking how you can get them to show, or why do they show?

In hamspots there are two sections, the one on the right is a DXCluster feed. If someone puts up a spot on the DXCluster with the mode as 'ROS' it is displayed on the screen.

If you mean the bit on the left, that is more like a 'chat' server. You log in and post who you heard and when and what frequency. The ROS program does not send it for you, it is manual.

In your second post you ask about JT65-HF. that is a program that includes a program that will send what you have decoded to the Reverse Beacon and PSK Reporter systems. You can download a program for WSJT that will send reports to the Reverse Beacon system as well. This is automatic and is part of those programs, ROS does not have a system to report to Hamspots, DXCluster, Reverse Beacon or PSK Reporter as yet.

What ROS will do is to send an email if it receives a valid email address in a transmission to that email address, as long as you set up the email section to do this.

Hope this helps?

Dave (G0DJA)

979. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 22:26:31 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 975 Message: 979

Dave Ackrill wrote:
> Rein A wrote:
>> Hi All,
>>
>> Can anybody explain how the calls from stations decoded by me show
>> up at the Hamspots web site?
>>
>> http://hamspots.net/ros/
>>
>> The connection seem to be via the email provider Gmail in my case.
>
> Are you asking how you can get them to show, or why do they show?
>
> In hamspots there are two sections, the one on the right is a DXCluster
> feed. If someone puts up a spot on the DXCluster with the mode as 'ROS'
> it is displayed on the screen.
>
> If you mean the bit on the left, that is more like a 'chat' server. You
> log in and post who you heard and when and what frequency. The ROS
> program does not send it for you, it is manual.
>
> In your second post you ask about JT65-HF. that is a program that
> includes a program that will send what you have decoded to the Reverse
> Beacon and PSK Reporter systems. You can download a program for WSJT
> that will send reports to the Reverse Beacon system as well. This is
> automatic and is part of those programs, ROS does not have a system to
> report to Hamspots, DXCluster, Reverse Beacon or PSK Reporter as yet.
>
> What ROS will do is to send an email if it receives a valid email
> address in a transmission to that email address, as long as you set up
> the email section to do this.
>
> Hope this helps?
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

980. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 22:33:59 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 976 Message: 980

Siegfried Jackstien wrote:
> You have to export the qso via the adif tab . now the qrg (or band) is
> stored correct

Sigi is correct, I had forgotten about the 'ADIF' system to send reports.

However, that is, as Sigi says, a manual system than means you have to enter the callsign of the station that you have worked and have Rig Control set to 'on' if you want ROS to select the correct band.

Dave (G0DJA)

981. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 22:35:34 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 975 Message: 981

>This is
>automatic and is part of those programs, ROS does not have a system to
>report to Hamspots, DXCluster, Reverse Beacon or PSK Reporter as yet.

Almost right . cause jose is working on such a system .. Or not almost . cause you wrote "as yet" so maybe you know it already hi hi

As I know ros sends spots to a cluster when a special textline is received (not always . there is also a "lucky thing" in it) .. Not all spots are sent automatic from the soft (otherwise the spotservers would have an overflow of spots

FAQ: how can I avoid wrong band info in the spots?

Answer: Store your qso via the adif tab .. Now the worked station is stored with the correct band and if the soft thinks it is the right time for sending it to the cluster the spot appears in the cluster without wrong band information

Hope I could help

Delta golf nine beta function control

Sigi

982. AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 22:44:41 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 976 Message: 982

>However, that is, as Sigi says, a manual system than means you have to
>enter the callsign of the station that you have worked and have Rig
>Control set to 'on' if you want ROS to select the correct band.

1. That storing of qrg does also work without cat control . but you
have to select the correct band in adif tab
2. export with the adif tab does not mean send a spot to cluster . that
is a totally different thing
3. when the soft wants to sent a spot you should have previously set
the qrg via cat or stored the qso via adif tab

if you have no cat control and did not store the qso before the soft sends a
spot the "basic data" is used for sending that spot

basuc data without storing in adif tab or without cat control is 20m . so
sometimes there are 20m spots that are sent wrong

maybe that station did not use cat and did not store the qso..

Delta golf nine beta function control

Sigi

983. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 22:59:10 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 976 Message: 983

Hi Sigi,

Thanks for reply. I am not concerned about the wrong QRG postings.

I am not even too concerned that it is happening.

But I like to know how it is happening.

- I use gmail for the reporting to the transmitting station in ROS Modem.

- I am NOT logged into the hamspots website.

- I am running the WSJT65-HF RB program.

- Not even sure how one can post on the hamspots web site without being logged in and posting without doing it manually.

So I can see 2 possibilities.

1 HAMSPOTS is snooping on gmail traffic

2 My computer is sending copies of the gamil messages to hamspots and who knows to where else?

This reporting is also happening being it less frequent with reporting of signal reports of WSJT65A transmissions. This is might also happening via gmail, but I am not aware of this. Have to check this whether there is any reference to gmail in the Reverse Beacon software for WSJT65A-HF program setup.

Remember, other than inputting a gmail email address in the ROS Modem program I am totally passive here.

I am not aware that I store ROS QSO's

The owner of the hamspots site was referring to attacks from EU yesterday on the site.

I like to address your observations on Jose's plans later and not out for a fight!

You will see though that WSPR is more efficient than ROS I can give you many examples of that, Though as stated here many a time, I would like to be able to experiment with ROS Modem. I have worked with 100 mW here for hours with VK ans ZS. Of course not every day or night.
The German beacon is running 30 W and a beam and I do not know about CO2DC's input and antenna. Anyway no reasons to yell or push each other off the reflector.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>

>Sent: Jul 7, 2010 6:19 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II
>
>You have to export the qso via the adif tab . now the qrg (or band) is
>stored correct
>
>Ros searches for a textline with a special content
>
>If that is received the spot is sent
>
>So you have to store the qso to get it reported correct
>
>Dg9bfc
>
>Sigi
>
>ps only band is stored in adif tab so the 20m qrg can sometimes be wrong BUT
>the band is correct (if previously stored)
>
>pps jose is working on an own spotsystem like psk reporter so the problem
>will be away in one of the future versions
>

984. Re: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 23:10:48 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 976 Message: 984

Sigi,

Thanks

Your are missing the point, Read my email again please.

Again I am NOT logged in on HAmspots

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
>Sent: Jul 7, 2010 6:44 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II
>
>>However, that is, as Sigi says, a manual system than means you have to
>>enter the callsign of the station that you have worked and have Rig
>>Control set to 'on' if you want ROS to select the correct band.
>
>
>
>1. That storing of qrg does also work without cat control . but you
>have to select the correct band in adif tab
>2. export with the adif tab does not mean send a spot to cluster . that
>is a totally different thing
>3. when the soft wants to sent a spot you should have previously set
>the qrg via cat or stored the qso via adif tab
>
>
>
>if you have no cat control and did not store the qso before the soft sends a
>spot the "basic data" is used for sending that spot
>
>basuc data without storing in adif tab or without cat control is 20m . so
>sometimes there are 20m spots that are sent wrong
>
>maybe that station did not use cat and did not store the qso..
>
>
>
>Delta golf nine beta function control
>
>Sigi
>
>

>

985. How HamSpots gets ROS Spots - The Answer Here

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 23:15:30 UTC
From: Laurie, VK3AMA
Topic: 985 Message: 985

Lots of misinformation in previous messages on this reflector (and direct emails to me) about how HamSpots gets access to ROS spots.

The answer is simple...all ROS spots come from the Cluster.
NO OTHER SOURCE!!

HamSpots has a dedicated Cluster Node that looks at all Cluster Spots to determine the mode. If the QRG is wrong, it is wrong because it was spotted to the Cluster wrong. It is Jose's software that sends the spot to the Cluster.

HamSpots DOES NOT (can not) access GMail, how would it get that access?

HamSpots DOES NOT interact directly with Jose's software and never will.

de Laurie, VK3AMA

986. Re: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 23:15:52 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 976 Message: 986

Sigi,

I am also NOT transmitting just receiving and decoding on a second computer!
Actually I am listening on the WEBSDR in Holland where Jose is
listening most of the time!'

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: rein0zn@...
>Sent: Jul 7, 2010 7:10 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II
>
>Sigi,
>
>Thanks
>
>Your are missing the point, Read my email again please.
>
>Again I am NOT logged in on HAmspots
>
>73 Rein W6SZ
>
>-----Original Message-----
>>From: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
>>Sent: Jul 7, 2010 6:44 PM
>>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>>Subject: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II
>>
>>>However, that is, as Sigi says, a manual system than means you have to
>>>enter the callsign of the station that you have worked and have Rig
>>>Control set to 'on' if you want ROS to select the correct band.
>>
>>
>>
>>1. That storing of qrg does also work without cat control . but you
>>have to select the correct band in adif tab
>>2. export with the adif tab does not mean send a spot to cluster . that
>>is a totally different thing
>>3. when the soft wants to sent a spot you should have previously set
>>the qrg via cat or stored the qso via adif tab
>>
>>
>>
>>if you have no cat control and did not store the qso before the soft sends a

>>spot the "basic data" is used for sending that spot
>>
>>basuc data without storing in adif tab or without cat control is 20m . so
>>sometimes there are 20m spots that are sent wrong
>>
>>maybe that station did not use cat and did not store the qso..
>>
>>
>>
>>Delta golf nine beta function control
>>
>>Sigi
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

987. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 23:21:05 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 976 Message: 987

rein0zn@... wrote:
> Hi Sigi,
>
> Thanks for reply. I am not concerned about the wrong QRG postings.
>
> I am not even too concerned that it is happening.
>
> But I like to know how it is happening.
>
> - I use gmail for the reporting to the transmitting station in ROS Modem.
>
> - I am NOT logged into the hamspots website.
>
> - I am running the WSJT65-HF RB program.
>
> - Not even sure how one can post on the hamspots web site without being
> logged in and posting without doing it manually.

Only if you send the report, or the other person you are in contact with sends a report, will it show up on Hamspots.

When you say "WSJT65-HF RB program" do you mean JT65-HF, or WSJT? They both will send reports to the Reverse Beacon network. That is nothing to do with Hamspots, or ROS. In JT65-HF you have to tick two boxes, one for PSKR and the other for RB if you want to send reports. If you do not tick the box, you do not send reports... It is a similar story with WSJT. In that case you install a program called "RBC.exe" and then reports are sent to the Reverse Beacon network, but you have to set it up, it does not spy on you, you decide to do it, or not.

Hamspots is not 'snooping' on you. It is a system where people manually send reports, either on the DXCluster, or via the ROS ADIF reporting system, or they log on and post that they are calling or working someone. So you, or the station that you worked, have to set up and send the report in.

A question - If you are not logged in, how are you able to tell what is being sent? If you use the ADIF option, you will send a report even if you are not logged in because you chose to send a report. That was your action, not Hamspots...

To see what we are talking about, click on the 'ADIF' option and look at the box that opens. Have you been filling it in and hitting send? If so, that is why Hamspots is getting your information. ADIF is not a logging program, it is a reporting system to Hamspots.

WSJT and JT65-HF are completely different programs and there is no way that a ROS report would get onto a Reverse Beacon or PSKR system without changes to ROS.

In the same way, I cannot see how reports from ROS could possibly go to the RB or PSKR systems through WSJT or JT65-HF. None of the three programs are even capable of sending things between themselves even if you run all three at the same time.

Can we all stop being paranoid and look at what is being sent and received by the various programs in a logical manner please?

If you see a spot that you don't think came from you, screen print it and post it in the pictures area or on Flickr (or some other photo sharing site) and post the link here. Then we can look and see who had sent what spot to where...

Dave (G0DJA)

988. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How HamSpots gets ROS Spots - The Answer Here

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 23:24:08 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 985 Message: 988

Laurie, VK3AMA wrote:
> Lots of misinformation in previous messages on this reflector (and
> direct emails to me) about how HamSpots gets access to ROS spots.
>
> The answer is simple...all ROS spots come from the Cluster.
> NO OTHER SOURCE!!
>
> HamSpots has a dedicated Cluster Node that looks at all Cluster Spots to
> determine the mode. If the QRG is wrong, it is wrong because it was
> spotted to the Cluster wrong. It is Jose's software that sends the spot
> to the Cluster.
>
> HamSpots DOES NOT (can not) access GMail, how would it get that access?
>
> HamSpots DOES NOT interact directly with Jose's software and never will.

Thanks Laurie,

That's what I've been saying all along.

The user, or the station worked, sends the spots. Hamspots only
collects what people send to it...

Dave (G0DJA)

989. Re: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 23:26:31 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 976 Message: 989

rein0zn@... wrote:
> Sigi,
>
>
> I am also NOT transmitting just receiving and decoding on a second computer!
> Actually I am listening on the WEBSDR in Holland where Jose is
> listening most of the time!'

So, screen grab what you are seeing (Print Screen) save it using a program like 'Paint' and post it to the photos section, or send it as a direct email to me and I'll set it up, so we can see who is sending what to where...

Dave (G0DJA)

990. Re: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-07 23:39:40 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 976 Message: 990

rein0zn@... wrote:
> Sigi,
>
>
> I am also NOT transmitting just receiving and decoding on a second computer!
> Actually I am listening on the WEBSDR in Holland where Jose is
> listening most of the time!'

OK, I think I see what is happening.

It's nothing to do with ROS, JT65-HF or WSJT...

It looks like someone is pirating the callsign W6SZ on the DX Cluster.
I found the following, and more, being spotted in the DXCluster & PSKR
window.

48m EA1GFT 14115.00 ROS W6SZ
+1h EA4ETR 14115.00 ROS W6SZ
+1h IZ5ILU 14115.00 ROS W6SZ

I suspect that either someone is trying to wind up Rein by logging onto
the DXCluster system or is pirating the callsign W6SZ in ROS and is
sending spots as Rein using the ADIF option.

Rein, can you confirm that you have not sent out reception reports using
the ADIF option? If so, contact your local DXCluster sysop and see if
someone else has hijacked your callsign on the DXCluster system. It may
be that the person doing this is only reporting received station
callsigns using ADIF, and is not transmitting, which will mean that it
will be more difficult to find them.

Although, the 1st place to look might be the hacker who caused Hamspots
to close before. Not wanting to accuse anyone, as I have no proof, but
possibly looking 1st in the Netherlands?

Dave (G0DJA)

991. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How HamSpots gets ROS Spots - The Answer Here

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 00:11:19 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 985 Message: 991

Hi Laurie,

Thanks for the info.

So you say Jose is sending the info to the cluster.
I guess, the precise answer has to be, Jose's software
on my computer is sending the data to the cluster.

And Joe's (W4CQZ), a real radio amateur, software on my computer is also
sending my reports to the cluster.

Can you confirm that Laurie?

To me, it looked like for a moment that if I send you an email a copy
of that message appeared also on Jose's computer or something like it.

Remains one of the original questions: Is this happening also with other's
messages?

I hope I did not upset you, Laurie. Might be too old to understand all
this cluster business these days. But anyway it explains what is going
on. Now that I know, it is fine. Thanks for bringing back the site.

73 Rein W6SZ

992. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 00:25:09 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 976 Message: 992

Hello All,

Unless arrangements has been changed the 2 systems are totally different.

WSPR.org is managed by W1BW and it a stand alone system and network. I have never seen any of my reporting under WSPR on Hamspots.

http://wsprnet.org/drupal/

W4CQR former W6CQR has had his RB running totally separate and it was former just decoding WSJT65A and JT2 (and perhaps JT4) Receive site had to select those modes and RB would report the mode as well as S/N

http://jt65.w6cqz.org/receptions.php

I never paid any attention before the change over to WSJT65-HF and wkether there was cluster feeding or not.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Dave Ackrill <dave.g0dja@...>
>Sent: Jul 7, 2010 7:21 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II
>
>rein0zn@... wrote:
>> Hi Sigi,
>>
>> Thanks for reply. I am not concerned about the wrong QRG postings.
>>
>> I am not even too concerned that it is happening.
>>
>> But I like to know how it is happening.
>>
>> - I use gmail for the reporting to the transmitting station in ROS Modem.
>>
>> - I am NOT logged into the hamspots website.
>>
>> - I am running the WSJT65-HF RB program.
>>
>> - Not even sure how one can post on the hamspots web site without being
>> logged in and posting without doing it manually.
>
>Only if you send the report, or the other person you are in contact with
>sends a report, will it show up on Hamspots.
>

>When you say "WSJT65-HF RB program" do you mean JT65-HF, or WSJT? They
>both will send reports to the Reverse Beacon network. That is nothing
>to do with Hamspots, or ROS. In JT65-HF you have to tick two boxes, one
>for PSKR and the other for RB if you want to send reports. If you do
>not tick the box, you do not send reports... It is a similar story with
>WSJT. In that case you install a program called "RBC.exe" and then
>reports are sent to the Reverse Beacon network, but you have to set it
>up, it does not spy on you, you decide to do it, or not.
>
>Hamspots is not 'snooping' on you. It is a system where people manually
>send reports, either on the DXCluster, or via the ROS ADIF reporting
>system, or they log on and post that they are calling or working
>someone. So you, or the station that you worked, have to set up and send
>the report in.
>
>A question - If you are not logged in, how are you able to tell what is
>being sent? If you use the ADIF option, you will send a report even if
>you are not logged in because you chose to send a report. That was your
>action, not Hamspots...
>
>To see what we are talking about, click on the 'ADIF' option and look at
>the box that opens. Have you been filling it in and hitting send? If
>so, that is why Hamspots is getting your information. ADIF is not a
>logging program, it is a reporting system to Hamspots.
>
>WSJT and JT65-HF are completely different programs and there is no way
>that a ROS report would get onto a Reverse Beacon or PSKR system without
>changes to ROS.
>
>In the same way, I cannot see how reports from ROS could possibly go to
>the RB or PSKR systems through WSJT or JT65-HF. None of the three
>programs are even capable of sending things between themselves even if
>you run all three at the same time.
>
>Can we all stop being paranoid and look at what is being sent and
>received by the various programs in a logical manner please?
>
>If you see a spot that you don't think came from you, screen print it
>and post it in the pictures area or on Flickr (or some other photo
>sharing site) and post the link here. Then we can look and see who had
>sent what spot to where...
>
>Dave (G0DJA)
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>

993. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] How HamSpots gets ROS Spots - The Answer Here

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 00:41:54 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 985 Message: 993

rein0zn@... wrote:
> Hi Laurie,
>
> Thanks for the info.
>
> So you say Jose is sending the info to the cluster.
> I guess, the precise answer has to be, Jose's software
> on my computer is sending the data to the cluster.

I don't think it is. Unless you are sending it using the 'ADIF' function?

> And Joe's (W4CQZ), a real radio amateur, software on my computer is also
> sending my reports to the cluster.

Only if you set it up to do so. Just because Jose does not have a
callsign, it does not make him less of a person. Or, is there now a
prejudice against non-Radio Amateurs and, by inference, Short Wave
Listeners now?

If so, many of the pioneers of radio did not have an Amateur Radio
callsign, so are we to assume they were not as good as we are?

> Can you confirm that Laurie?
>
> To me, it looked like for a moment that if I send you an email a copy
> of that message appeared also on Jose's computer or something like it.

Did you send an email? What has Jose's computer got to do with this
subject? The email I am quoting went out to the ROSDIGITALMODEM group.
Is that what you meant to do Rein? If not, maybe you sent a reply to
"All" instead of just one person? That might be how email messages get
to people you think you did not send it to...

> Remains one of the original questions: Is this happening also with other's
> messages?

If you did not send them, then someone else did. Nothing to do with ROS
at all, but maybe a hacker, or someone using your callsign to wind you,
and the rest of us, up when you say it's the fault of the ROS program
that it is happening. I wonder why you immediately thought it was the
fault of the programs, and not some one sending it by pirating your
call? It looked obvious to me that, if it was not being sent by you,
then it was probably someone pretending to be you. This has happened
before on DXCluster and various other modes and systems where someone,
usually another Radio Amateur, decided that they did not like what was

happening and decided to attack it in a variety of ways.

But then, I've seen what people do when they want to disrupt something, and these are Radio Amateurs that we are talking about here. The people you prefer over people like Jose who want to provide something new.

> I hope I did not upset you, Laurie. Might be too old to understand all
> this cluster business these days. But anyway it explains what is going
> on. Now that I know, it is fine. Thanks for bringing back the site.
>
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ

I think it is time to leave this paranoid anti-ROS anti-Jose forum, my blood pressure will surely benefit and I'll avoid getting involved in sorting out how and why some external Amateur is trying to wind everyone up and the fallout from people accusing Jose and/or blaming it on ROS, which is probably what the hacker/Radio Amateur concerned is trying to do anyway...

Dave (G0DJA)

994. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 00:52:43 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 976 Message: 994

rein0zn@... wrote:
> Hello All,
>
> Unless arrangements has been changed the 2 systems are totally different.

Both those systems are separate from Hamspots and, if my assessment is correct, not being used by someone pretending to be you to wind you up.

Look, as far as I can tell, ROS, Jose and Hamspots are not spying on you. Hamspots is reporting spots that may not have come from you. They may have come from someone pretending to be you.

They do not pretend to be you on Reverse Beacon or PSK Reporter, not because those systems are run for modes developed by Radio Amateurs, but because they want to get at ROS.

You, by suggesting that ROS is somehow a lesser mode because Jose does not quote a Radio Amateur callsign are, in my opinion, playing right into their hands. You attack ROS, they want to attack ROS and you claim that it's all because Jose doesn't have a callsign.

Well done Rein, if you did not set out to help them, you did a great job anyway.

There is a saying "Just because you are paranoid, doesn't mean they are not out to get you". So carry on. Please stop using ROS, don't load the program, don't use it and don't listen when people try to find out why things seem to be happening.

Leave ROS to those of us that like using it and are not prejudiced against people just because they don't quote a callsign back at you all the time.

Dave

995. Re: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 00:52:55 UTC
From: Laurie, VK3AMA
Topic: 976 Message: 995

OK,

I just checked the logs of my Cluster Node (separate to HamSpots) for yesterday and spots entering the Cluster from W6SZ have come via the following Cluster Nodes UA4CC, SM4ONW-14, US6IQ-1 & 9A0CSI

I do know that the first flooding of the Cluster several weeks ago of automatic spots from The ROS software all entered the network from the same node. Now they enter from different nodes.

Does the ROS software allow you to choose which cluster to use or is that automatic and hidden from the user? I have never installed the software.

I don't have the answer, is it one user changing clusters or several users pirating the WS6Z callsign or on user and the ROS software changing Cluster nodes?

de Laurie, VK3AMA

On 8/07/2010 9:39 AM, Dave Ackrill wrote:
> rein0zn@... wrote:
>> Sigi,
>>
>>
>> I am also NOT transmitting just receiving and decoding on a second computer!
>> Actually I am listening on the WEBSDR in Holland where Jose is
>> listening most of the time!'
>
> OK, I think I see what is happening.
>
> It's nothing to do with ROS, JT65-HF or WSJT...
>
> It looks like someone is pirating the callsign W6SZ on the DX Cluster.
> I found the following, and more, being spotted in the DXCluster& PSKR
> window.
>
> 48m EA1GFT 14115.00 ROS W6SZ
> +1h EA4ETR 14115.00 ROS W6SZ
> +1h IZ5ILU 14115.00 ROS W6SZ
>
> I suspect that either someone is trying to wind up Rein by logging onto
> the DXCluster system or is pirating the callsign W6SZ in ROS and is
> sending spots as Rein using the ADIF option.
>
> Rein, can you confirm that you have not sent out reception reports using

> the ADIF option? If so, contact your local DXCluster sysop and see if
> someone else has hijacked your callsign on the DXCluster system. It may
> be that the person doing this is only reporting received station
> callsigns using ADIF, and is not transmitting, which will mean that it
> will be more difficult to find them.
>
> Although, the 1st place to look might be the hacker who caused Hamspots
> to close before. Not wanting to accuse anyone, as I have no proof, but
> possibly looking 1st in the Netherlands?
>
> Dave (G0DJA)
>

996. Re: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 01:12:46 UTC
From: Dave Ackrill
Topic: 976 Message: 996

Laurie, VK3AMA wrote:
> OK,
>
> I just checked the logs of my Cluster Node (separate to HamSpots) for
> yesterday and spots entering the Cluster from W6SZ have come via the
> following Cluster Nodes UA4CC, SM4ONW-14, US6IQ-1 & 9A0CSI
>
> I do know that the first flooding of the Cluster several weeks ago of
> automatic spots from The ROS software all entered the network from the
> same node. Now they enter from different nodes.
>
> Does the ROS software allow you to choose which cluster to use or is
> that automatic and hidden from the user? I have never installed the
> software.
>
> I don't have the answer, is it one user changing clusters or several
> users pirating the WS6Z callsign or on user and the ROS software
> changing Cluster nodes?
>

A few thoughts Laurie,

1. If W6SZ is actually sending spots (and I don't think that he is) does he have an old version installed that he has not updated since the original issue with cluster spotting. Only Rein can tell us which version he's using, of course.

2. All those cluster nodes are in or near Europe, so it would be strange if W6SZ, given where he is, were the person sending the spots anyway.

3. If it were an old version of ROS, I'd have expected it to be via one cluster as I don't remember there being an option, but since I didn't use it I can't remember off the top of my head what the settings were.

Dave (G0DJA)

997. Re: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 01:59:08 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 976 Message: 997

Hi Laurie,

First my concern was that I had a virus here sending emails generated here to 3d parties. I guess it is doing that, but it are not random 3d parties it seems.

Also the issue goes beyond ROS. When I look at your WSJT section I notice repeated cases of US hams who I have worked over the years on WSPR/WSJT and are presently reporting as a "Reversed Beacon" as I am.

WSLT/WSPR is all I do here. I wonder whether these people know that their call signs and reports appear on the HAMSPOTS site and whether they care or not.

I have totally disabled every way that I could send ROS reports. So I shall still send WSJT65-HF reports and that will tell me whether it is ROS or not. I expect it to be not for the reasons to gave.

I asked the question on this reflector before whether others had the same experience or not. Now, I see that it is happening to others as well.

So for me it is not longer an issue and thanks for all the wotk you and others all did in researching this.

I do not know whether you should note on the site whether input arrives from many sources. I did not know that but that might be the case because I am not up to this branch of amateur radio. (dx clusters et al)

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: "Laurie, VK3AMA" <groups01@...>
>Sent: Jul 7, 2010 8:52 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Hamspots reporting II
>
>OK,
>
>I just checked the logs of my Cluster Node (separate to HamSpots) for
>yesterday and spots entering the Cluster from W6SZ have come via the
>following Cluster Nodes UA4CC, SM4ONW-14, US6IQ-1 & 9A0CSI
>
>I do know that the first flooding of the Cluster several weeks ago of
>automatic spots from The ROS software all entered the network from the
>same node. Now they enter from different nodes.
>

>Does the ROS software allow you to choose which cluster to use or is
>that automatic and hidden from the user? I have never installed the
>software.
>
>I don't have the answer, is it one user changing clusters or several
>users pirating the WS6Z callsign or on user and the ROS software
>changing Cluster nodes?
>
>de Laurie, VK3AMA
>
>
>
>On 8/07/2010 9:39 AM, Dave Ackrill wrote:
>> rein0zn@... wrote:
>>> Sigi,
>>>
>>>
>>> I am also NOT transmitting just receiving and decoding on a second computer!
>>> Actually I am listening on the WEBSDR in Holland where Jose is
>>> listening most of the time!'
>>
>> OK, I think I see what is happening.
>>
>> It's nothing to do with ROS, JT65-HF or WSJT...
>>
>> It looks like someone is pirating the callsign W6SZ on the DX Cluster.
>> I found the following, and more, being spotted in the DXCluster& PSKR
>> window.
>>
>> 48m EA1GFT 14115.00 ROS W6SZ
>> +1h EA4ETR 14115.00 ROS W6SZ
>> +1h IZ5ILU 14115.00 ROS W6SZ
>>
>> I suspect that either someone is trying to wind up Rein by logging onto
>> the DXCluster system or is pirating the callsign W6SZ in ROS and is
>> sending spots as Rein using the ADIF option.
>>
>> Rein, can you confirm that you have not sent out reception reports using
>> the ADIF option? If so, contact your local DXCluster sysop and see if
>> someone else has hijacked your callsign on the DXCluster system. It may
>> be that the person doing this is only reporting received station
>> callsigns using ADIF, and is not transmitting, which will mean that it
>> will be more difficult to find them.
>>
>> Although, the 1st place to look might be the hacker who caused Hamspots
>> to close before. Not wanting to accuse anyone, as I have no proof, but
>> possibly looking 1st in the Netherlands?
>>
>> Dave (G0DJA)
>>

>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

998. The world from different viewpoints,

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 03:07:32 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 998 Message: 998

Hi All,

This message appeared in the semi public domain, "A Yahoo group"
just a few hours ago, so I think it does not violates anybody's privacy.

On Wed, Jul 7, 2010 at 6:00 PM, Siegfried Jackstien <
siegfried.jackstien@freenet.de> wrote:

> His interest is making a software that gets over the ocean under the > noiselevel with a few watts and a simple antenna !
>

For what purpose? If he's not a ham, then it isn't to support the amateur community.
However, I can think of a lot of uses for a low-power system using a low-profile antenna
that is designed to work under the noise floor;
especially useful for military, government, and even some more sinister uses.

So his hobby is programming he gives us a great soft for free and we
> should take that chance
>

I think that a lot of people have a hard time with some of Jose's actions,
such as hard-coding QRGs into the software, and hard-coding a
persona-non-grata listing (in which I am included) of hams that are
forbidden from using the software simply for criticizing some aspect of it.

Since Jose isn't doing all of this work just for amateur radio, and since he
isn't willing to accept criticism and suggestions from the amateur
community, it is natural to question the ultimate purpose of the software.
Until Jose comes clean, opens up the software to all amateurs (including
those on the persona-non-grata list), and graciously accepts both
suggestions and criticism, many people will continue to campaign strongly
against the mode.

There, I went the whole response without mentioning the (il)legality of the mode in the
USA.

--
Dave
K3DCW
www.k3dcw.net

From my point of view it is an interesting experience to be attacked from both sides about
being "too obsessed with ROS and Jose"

Some might think (silent majority) that I am doing something right, I hope at least.

Here you are asking a simple question about communications and then being attacked as anti ROS, mo respect for a person without a ham license, you name it.

Some time ago, I was told an expression "SONAR" and of course the secret might be in the picture on top of Jose's web side.
A German world war II "U boat" or perhaps a Spanish Diesel submarine,
same vintage or perhaps around 1935.

You can all attack me for this, but I really liked the movie
"Red October" and even more the book in which DSP played such a
important roll.

Even radio communication between the electrical boats and their
home basis is very interesting and has no direct connection with
amateur radio either.

Have worked with a submariner at work, a very fine person.

73 Rein W6SZ

999. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 03:08:19 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 999

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS v4.5.7 Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS%20v4.5.7%20Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

1000. HAMSPOTS the final word

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 03:20:08 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 1000 Message: 1000

Thank you, Laurei:

Where Do The Spots Come From?
08-Jul-2010 14:45utc
There has been much internet speculation that HamSpots gets the ROS spots directly from the ROS Software. This is INCORRECT.
ROS spots are retrieved from the DX Cluster ONLY.
This site has no relationship with the ROS software or its developer.

HamSpots maintains a private dedicated Cluster Node and processes all incoming spots to that node to determine the mode being used (ROS, PSK, RTTY, SSTV, HELL, etc.) to display correctly on the individual Mode Pages.

HamSpots also takes direct feeds from the PSKReporter Network (thanks to N1DQ) and the JT65 Reverse Beacon Network (thanks to W6CQZ).

73 Rein, W6SZ

1001. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 18:53:55 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1000 Message: 1001

Hi Rein

After reading your mail about ROS and the HamSpots , I have done some testing. I have monitored the activity of the latest ROS v4.5.7 in RX mode. I have been using Process Explorer from Sysinternals (microsoft) .With The Process Explorer you have the possibility to see the network activity in real time .

What I fount out was that the ADIFdata2 module in ROS was trying to connect to the address: 90.225.73.203, 217.31.161.71,8 or 217.31.161.34.50 on port 8000 and sending data from my computer.

LA5VNA Steinar

On 08.07.2010 05:20, Rein A wrote:
>
> Thank you, Laurei:
>
> Where Do The Spots Come From?
> 08-Jul-2010 14:45utc
> There has been much internet speculation that HamSpots gets the ROS spots directly from the ROS Software. This is INCORRECT.
> ROS spots are retrieved from the DX Cluster ONLY.
> This site has no relationship with the ROS software or its developer.
>
> HamSpots maintains a private dedicated Cluster Node and processes all incoming spots to that node to determine the mode being used (ROS, PSK, RTTY, SSTV, HELL, etc.) to display correctly on the individual Mode Pages.
>
> HamSpots also takes direct feeds from the PSKReporter Network (thanks to N1DQ) and the JT65 Reverse Beacon Network (thanks to W6CQZ).
>
>
> 73 Rein, W6SZ
>
>

1002. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 19:26:30 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1000 Message: 1002

Hi Rain

You have absolutely right . ROS are sending data from your PC to the cluster. Try to type the IP address 90.225.73.203:8000 into your browser and you get this:

login: GET / HTTP/1.1

Host: 90.225.73.203:8000
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows; U; Windows NT 5.1; nb-NO; rv:1.9.2.6) Gecko/20100625 Firefox/3.6.6
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8
Accept-Language: nb,no;q=0.8,nn;q=0.6,en-us;q=0.4,en;q=0.2
Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate
Accept-Charset: ISO-8859-1,utf-8;q=0.7,*;q=0.7
Keep-Alive: 115
Connection: keep-alive

Sorry GET / HTTP/1.1 is an invalid callsign

Then try to type c:>telnet 90.225.73.203 8000 , then you will see that this is TELNET and that explains the funny call sings . Whe people is bande in this software whey are using a fake call sign . This fake call sign is the sent to the cluster when people is in RX mode.

I hope this is understandable .

LA5VNA Steinar

On 08.07.2010 20:53, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
>
> Hi Rein
>
> After reading your mail about ROS and the HamSpots , I have done some
> testing. I have monitored the activity of the latest ROS v4.5.7 in RX
> mode. I have been using Process Explorer from Sysinternals (microsoft)
> .With The Process Explorer you have the possibility to see the network
> activity in real time .
>
> What I fount out was that the ADIFdata2 module in ROS was trying to
> connect to the address: 90.225.73.203, 217.31.161.71,8 or
> 217.31.161.34.50 on port 8000 and sending data from my computer.
>
> LA5VNA Steinar
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> On 08.07.2010 05:20, Rein A wrote:
>>
>> Thank you, Laurei:
>>
>> Where Do The Spots Come From?
>> 08-Jul-2010 14:45utc
>> There has been much internet speculation that HamSpots gets the ROS
> spots directly from the ROS Software. This is INCORRECT.
>> ROS spots are retrieved from the DX Cluster ONLY.
>> This site has no relationship with the ROS software or its developer.
>>
>> HamSpots maintains a private dedicated Cluster Node and processes all
> incoming spots to that node to determine the mode being used (ROS, PSK,
> RTTY, SSTV, HELL, etc.) to display correctly on the individual Mode Pages.
>>
>> HamSpots also takes direct feeds from the PSKReporter Network (thanks
> to N1DQ) and the JT65 Reverse Beacon Network (thanks to W6CQZ).
>>
>>
>> 73 Rein, W6SZ
>>
>>
>
>

1003. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 19:45:21 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1000 Message: 1003

Hi Steinar,

Thanks interesting, very interesting.

Unfortunately I am not quite familiar with the ADIF concept.
Will read up on it though.

As far as ROS goes. I had my e-mail responding on (with a gmail account)
I stopped that and I believe the feeding has stopped now, at least do not
see my call on the ROS page of HAMSPOTS. The call is still on the WSJT page
fed via the WSJT-HF - RB site.

W4CQZ, Joe (WSJT_HF Reverse Beacon site informed me that he is feeding reports to
the some DX cluster. Again I am not into that branch of amateur radio.

For me the fun is listeting/monitoring/searching for weak signals, Basically a
SWL with a license to transmit. (have been doing that since the age of 12)
I also really do not like the channel concept as Jose is selling it, but that is
just my opinion and liking

Returning to ROS, I have never has the ADIF function here turned on nor put anything
in those windows.

You mention those IP'S what are they? The same previously mentioned sites? (clusters)
I am also amazed that Jose added this, as an outsider of amateur radio?

Though, it might have been added later?

I believe now that ROS as part of the email responder function sends messages to the
received station as well as to other clients (is that the name?)

As you saw from my discussion with Laurie, VK2AMA I think it should be stated where the
info
is coming from or going to for that matter. He is stating that info now BTW

It then up to the user of the software to use it or not.

Personally I have no problem with this happening as long as I know it and do not
have to worry about viruses and the like on my machines here.

I, and perhaps others on this board, might be interested in waht else you discover.

I tend to believe that Jose added this just as an enhancement and not with bad motives.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: Jul 8, 2010 6:53 PM

>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word
>
>
>Hi Rein
>
>After reading your mail about ROS and the HamSpots , I have done some
>testing. I have monitored the activity of the latest ROS v4.5.7 in RX
>mode. I have been using Process Explorer from Sysinternals (microsoft)
>.With The Process Explorer you have the possibility to see the network
>activity in real time .
>
>What I fount out was that the ADIFdata2 module in ROS was trying to
>connect to the address: 90.225.73.203, 217.31.161.71,8 or
>217.31.161.34.50 on port 8000 and sending data from my computer.
>
>LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>On 08.07.2010 05:20, Rein A wrote:
>>
>> Thank you, Laurei:
>>
>> Where Do The Spots Come From?
>> 08-Jul-2010 14:45utc
>> There has been much internet speculation that HamSpots gets the ROS
>spots directly from the ROS Software. This is INCORRECT.
>> ROS spots are retrieved from the DX Cluster ONLY.
>> This site has no relationship with the ROS software or its developer.
>>
>> HamSpots maintains a private dedicated Cluster Node and processes all
>incoming spots to that node to determine the mode being used (ROS, PSK,
>RTTY, SSTV, HELL, etc.) to display correctly on the individual Mode Pages.
>>
>> HamSpots also takes direct feeds from the PSKReporter Network (thanks
>to N1DQ) and the JT65 Reverse Beacon Network (thanks to W6CQZ).
>>
>>
>> 73 Rein, W6SZ
>>

>>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1004. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 20:40:56 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1000 Message: 1004

Correct

Jose added that function to make rosa live . but now as we opened pandorras box we should know how that function works

You can switch the gmail on again

The spots have NOTHING to do with the gmail address

If I cmake a qsy (work on different band than 20(I open the adif tab and click on export

Even if in the tab is standing NOCALL . I store it that the soft knows the actall band info

Now when the soft sends a spot the qrg is correct

With cat control the qrg is set via the frequency tab . but I can not use that so I have to make it a bit different

Greetz

sigi

1005. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 21:08:42 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1000 Message: 1005

Hi Sigi,

Thanks and OK

I do not think this is true. I have switched off ("no internet access")
and wiped the username window clean and my call sign does not show up
any longer as "a spotter"

What you are telling me about that aspect of ROS Modem is something
I really don't like.

Also I have no cat control, love to tune my vfo/lo dial(s) Even like to
dip the finals of my imaginary final HPA.
Like computers for signal process only in amateur radio.

I read what you write about ROS and what you wrote about Jose's
vision of weak signal. I will not comment on it and just hope that
it is his own. No quarrel with much what he is saying??

As a 100 % user of WSJT/WSPR here (it is all I do) I like to suggest
to try WSJT65A or even better WSPR. You will see it is better, not that
much than ROS. That does not mean ROS is bad, I wished I couldd use and
try it here. What I have with mainly with Jose is that he does not want to
straighten out his problem(of his own making) with the authorities.
Really if you want work VK, ZS ZL with 5 W or less and a small
antenna give it a try.

It is not that difficult and you will be surprised. Not only that
then can speak from experience and exposure.

I do not really disagree with most you are saying or doing and certainly
do not want fights or flame wars here.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
>Sent: Jul 8, 2010 4:40 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word
>
>Correct
>
>Jose added that function to make rosa live . but now as we opened pandorras
>box we should know how that function works
>
>You can switch the gmail on again

>
>The spots have NOTHING to do with the gmail address
>
>If I cmake a qsy (work on different band than 20(I open the adif tab and
>click on export
>
>Even if in the tab is standing NOCALL . I store it that the soft knows the
>actall band info
>
>Now when the soft sends a spot the qrg is correct
>
>With cat control the qrg is set via the frequency tab . but I can not use
>that so I have to make it a bit different
>
>Greetz
>
>sigi
>

1006. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 21:43:46 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1000 Message: 1006

Hi Steinar,

I tried the telnet connection.

Do you think ROS Modem provides username and password for this?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
>Sent: Jul 8, 2010 4:40 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word
>
>Correct
>
>Jose added that function to make rosa live . but now as we opened pandorras
>box we should know how that function works
>
>You can switch the gmail on again
>
>The spots have NOTHING to do with the gmail address
>
>If I cmake a qsy (work on different band than 20(I open the adif tab and
>click on export
>
>Even if in the tab is standing NOCALL . I store it that the soft knows the
>actall band info
>
>Now when the soft sends a spot the qrg is correct
>
>With cat control the qrg is set via the frequency tab . but I can not use
>that so I have to make it a bit different
>
>Greetz
>
>sigi
>

1007. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 22:02:26 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1000 Message: 1007

Hi Rain

The user name is your call sign.

la5vna

On 08.07.2010 23:43, rein0zn@... wrote:
> Hi Steinar,
>
> I tried the telnet connection.
>
> Do you think ROS Modem provides username and password for this?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
>> From: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
>> Sent: Jul 8, 2010 4:40 PM
>> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word
>>
>> Correct
>>
>> Jose added that function to make rosa live . but now as we opened pandorras
>> box we should know how that function works
>>
>> You can switch the gmail on again
>>
>> The spots have NOTHING to do with the gmail address
>>
>> If I cmake a qsy (work on different band than 20(I open the adif tab and
>> click on export
>>
>> Even if in the tab is standing NOCALL . I store it that the soft knows the
>> actall band info
>>
>> Now when the soft sends a spot the qrg is correct
>>
>> With cat control the qrg is set via the frequency tab . but I can not use
>> that so I have to make it a bit different
>>
>> Greetz
>>
>> sigi
>>

>>
>
>

1008. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-09 03:32:16 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 1000 Message: 1008

Hello Steinar

OK on the username, what about the password?

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...> wrote:
>
>
> Hi Rain
>
> The user name is your call sign.
>
> la5vna
>
>
>
>
> On 08.07.2010 23:43, rein0zn@... wrote:
> > Hi Steinar,
> >
> > I tried the telnet connection.
> >
> > Do you think ROS Modem provides username and password for this?
> >
> > 73 Rein W6SZ
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> >
> >> From: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
> >> Sent: Jul 8, 2010 4:40 PM
> >> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >> Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word
> >>
> >> Correct
> >>
> >> Jose added that function to make rosa live . but now as we opened pandorras
> >> box we should know how that function works
> >>
> >> You can switch the gmail on again
> >>
> >> The spots have NOTHING to do with the gmail address
> >>
> >> If I cmake a qsy (work on different band than 20(I open the adif tab and
> >> click on export
> >>

> >> Even if in the tab is standing NOCALL . I store it that the soft knows the
> >> actall band info
> >>
> >> Now when the soft sends a spot the qrg is correct
> >>
> >> With cat control the qrg is set via the frequency tab . but I can not use
> >> that so I have to make it a bit different
> >>
> >> Greetz
> >>
> >> sigi
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>

1009. 40 meter beacon

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-09 04:01:55 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 1009 Message: 1009

Hello Sigi,

It is here about 03:15 UTC Friday and I am monitoring the
DL1BBR Beacon at 7055 kHz running a bit rate of 4 monitored at
WEBSDR Twente.

He is transmitting every 6 minutes a sequence and most of the times
the sequences is not decoded complete/correct.
I guess the distance is probably less then 400 Km.
Reported S/N ratios -24 , - 25 dB
DL1BRR is running 120 W as his message says!

If you look here

http://wsprnet.org/drupal/wsprnet/activity

and then here:

http://wsprnet.org/drupal/wsprnet/spotquery

Select 40 meter

I see this very minute

PA0HCK r4eceived by W2GNN 6000 Km 5 W - 20 dB

Dl6NL received by G4CAO 764 Km 50 mW - 25 DB

I know it is in a way apples and oranges but it are radio signals
with calculated S/N ratio's in all three cases.

I suggest you do that web search yourself a few times over the next
days.

Granted, I know the differences between ROS and WSPR but then you
say you like to work long distances with little power and I guess
exchanges of signal reports.

In spite of those observations, I would like to test and run ROS.

73 Rein W6SZ

1010. 40 m beacons ROS/WSPR

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-09 04:11:47 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 1010 Message: 1010

Sigi,

One other difference, every amateur in the world can and is allowed to run that WSPR program and everything about it can be discussed in the open, not behind iron curtains.

73 Rein W6SZ

1011. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-09 11:05:06 UTC
From: radionorway
Topic: 1000 Message: 1011

Hi Rein

You don't need a password.

73 LA5VNA Steinar

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
>
> Hi Steinar,
>
> I tried the telnet connection.
>
> Do you think ROS Modem provides username and password for this?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
> >Sent: Jul 8, 2010 4:40 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word
> >
> >Correct
> >
> >Jose added that function to make rosa live . but now as we opened pandorras
> >box we should know how that function works
> >
> >You can switch the gmail on again
> >
> >The spots have NOTHING to do with the gmail address
> >
> >If I cmake a qsy (work on different band than 20(I open the adif tab and
> >click on export
> >
> >Even if in the tab is standing NOCALL . I store it that the soft knows the
> >actall band info
> >
> >Now when the soft sends a spot the qrg is correct
> >
> >With cat control the qrg is set via the frequency tab . but I can not use
> >that so I have to make it a bit different
> >
> >Greetz
> >
> >sigi

> >
>

1012. ROS software is logging on to telnet DX clusters

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-09 22:05:51 UTC
From: Svein
Topic: 1012 Message: 1012

Doing a "sniff" on my computer tells me that the ROS software is trying to log on to telnet dx clusters using the operator calsign in ROS configuration menu, and trying a range of local ports.
A couple of examples:

```
Protocol : TCP
Local Address : 192.168.0.101
Remote Address : 82.116.34.50
Local Port : 2104
Remote Port : 8000
Remote Host : remo3.renet.ru
Service Name :
Packets : 9
Data Size : 27 Bytes
Total Size : 433 Bytes
Capture Time : 09.07.2010 22:53:29:519
--
Protocol : TCP
Local Address : 192.168.0.101
Remote Address : 161.53.16.181
Local Port : 2452
Remote Port : 8000
Remote Host : bbs.cc.fer.hr
Service Name :
Packets : 11
Data Size : 42 Bytes
Total Size : 529 Bytes
Capture Time : 09.07.2010 23:11:00:95
```

The cluster reply:

```
login:
LA1BNA

LA1BNA
Hello Svein, this is 9A0CSI in Sibenik
running DXSpider V1.51 build 57.263
* * Access via PR or telnet://dxc.ham.hr:7300 * * DATABASES : sh/qrz <call> - call info * :
sh/db0sdx <call> - qsl info * : sh/wm7d <call> - us callbook * * Sysop: Damir, 9a4nf@... *
Cluster: 158 nodes, 9 local / 750 total users Max users 3896 Uptime 73 07:56
LA1BNA de 9A0CSI 9-Jul-2010 2144Z >
```

When sucsessfull login, it captures callsigns in the software and posts the as spots in the clusters as if the operator was doing it. Adding comments like: tnx fer ROS QSO , tu ROS Mode...

I had no other software running at the same time that could make this connections, and absolutly NOT without my knowledge.

This is being done without the awareness of the HAM operator, there is no option or anything in the software that says it is doing this in the background. It may also be illegal.
If the intention is not to let the operator know that he/she is connected and also posting fake spots (in this case it's fake when the comment is saying tnx for a QSO that did not take place)then it's very sad and dissapointing...

de LA1BNA Svein

1013. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS software is logging on to telnet DX clusters

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-09 22:20:35 UTC
From: Laurie, VK3AMA
Topic: 1012 Message: 1013

Hi Svein,

Below is the list of the Cluster Comments that the ROS sends. Notice the variations and changes in the use of upper & lower case letters, the use of 599 & 559. All designed to give the impression that a Human has sent the spot and not an automated program.

Note: The XXXXX shown actually represents text that is also inserted by the program, the ops name, qth, distance of S/N value.

"tnx ros mode"
"73 ROS Mode"
"tnx fer ROS QSO"
"ROS 599"
"ROS 559"
"CQ ROS"
"CQ ROS Mode"
"CQ ROS."
"ROS"
"599 ROS Mode"
"73, ros mode"
"ros"
"ROS, 73"
"ROS"
"tu ROS Mode"
"ROS test"

"copy ROS Mode -" XXXXX
"ROS Mode" XXXXX
"ROS QSO" XXXXX
"ros mode" XXXX
"599 ROS -" XXXXX
"599 ROS -" XXXXX "dB"
"ROS -" XXXXX
"ROS -" XXXXX "dB at" XXXXX

73

On 10/07/2010 8:05 AM, Svein wrote:
> Doing a "sniff" on my computer tells me that the ROS software is trying to log on to telnet dx clusters using the operator calsign in ROS configuration menu, and trying a range of local ports.
> A couple of examples:
>
> Protocol : TCP

> Local Address : 192.168.0.101
> Remote Address : 82.116.34.50
> Local Port : 2104
> Remote Port : 8000
> Remote Host : remo3.renet.ru
> Service Name :
> Packets : 9
> Data Size : 27 Bytes
> Total Size : 433 Bytes
> Capture Time : 09.07.2010 22:53:29:519
> --
> Protocol : TCP
> Local Address : 192.168.0.101
> Remote Address : 161.53.16.181
> Local Port : 2452
> Remote Port : 8000
> Remote Host : bbs.cc.fer.hr
> Service Name :
> Packets : 11
> Data Size : 42 Bytes
> Total Size : 529 Bytes
> Capture Time : 09.07.2010 23:11:00:95
>
> The cluster reply:
>
> login:
> LA1BNA
>
> LA1BNA
> Hello Svein, this is 9A0CSI in Sibenik
> running DXSpider V1.51 build 57.263
> * * Access via PR or telnet://dxc.ham.hr:7300 * * DATABASES : sh/qrz<call> - call info *
: sh/db0sdx<call> - qsl info * : sh/wm7d<call> - us callbook * * Sysop: Damir, 9a4nf@... *
Cluster: 158 nodes, 9 local / 750 total users Max users 3896 Uptime 73 07:56
> LA1BNA de 9A0CSI 9-Jul-2010 2144Z>
>
>
> When sucsessfull login, it captures callsigns in the software and posts the as spots in the clusters as if the operator was doing it. Adding comments like: tnx fer ROS QSO , tu ROS Mode...
>
> I had no other software running at the same time that could make this connections, and absolutly NOT without my knowledge.
>
> This is being done without the awareness of the HAM operator, there is no option or anything in the software that says it is doing this in the background. It may also be illegal.
> If the intention is not to let the operator know that he/she is connected and also posting fake spots (in this case it's fake when the comment is saying tnx for a QSO that did not take place)then it's very sad and dissapointing...

>
> de LA1BNA Svein
>
>
>
> ---
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1014. Re: ROS software is logging on to telnet DX clusters

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-09 22:33:36 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 1012 Message: 1014

Hi Steinar,

Great Info. Thanks.

I noticed that there are no US call signs posted today.

I am trying to find out about possible lists of amateurs not allowed by Jose to use the software. There are rumors about this.

Do you know anything about that? If that were the case, would amateur organizations like RSGB, DARC, JARL, WIA, REF, SSA, VERON and others, like to know about those practices if they exist?

It is hard for me to believe that this is could be true.

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Svein" <la1bna@...> wrote:
>
> Doing a "sniff" on my computer tells me that the ROS software is trying to log on to telnet dx clusters using the operator calsign in ROS configuration menu, and trying a range of local ports.
> A couple of examples:
>
> Protocol : TCP
> Local Address : 192.168.0.101
> Remote Address : 82.116.34.50
> Local Port : 2104
> Remote Port : 8000
> Remote Host : remo3.renet.ru
> Service Name :
> Packets : 9
> Data Size : 27 Bytes
> Total Size : 433 Bytes
> Capture Time : 09.07.2010 22:53:29:519
> --
> Protocol : TCP
> Local Address : 192.168.0.101
> Remote Address : 161.53.16.181
> Local Port : 2452
> Remote Port : 8000
> Remote Host : bbs.cc.fer.hr
> Service Name :
> Packets : 11
> Data Size : 42 Bytes
> Total Size : 529 Bytes
> Capture Time : 09.07.2010 23:11:00:95

>
> The cluster reply:
>
> login:
> LA1BNA
>
> LA1BNA
> Hello Svein, this is 9A0CSI in Sibenik
> running DXSpider V1.51 build 57.263
> * * Access via PR or telnet://dxc.ham.hr:7300 * * DATABASES : sh/qrz <call> - call info *
: sh/db0sdx <call> - qsl info * : sh/wm7d <call> - us callbook * * Sysop: Damir, 9a4nf@...
* Cluster: 158 nodes, 9 local / 750 total users Max users 3896 Uptime 73 07:56
> LA1BNA de 9A0CSI 9-Jul-2010 2144Z >
>
>
> When sucsessfull login, it captures callsigns in the software and posts the as spots in the clusters as if the operator was doing it. Adding comments like: tnx fer ROS QSO , tu ROS Mode...
>
> I had no other software running at the same time that could make this connections, and absolutly NOT without my knowledge.
>
> This is being done without the awareness of the HAM operator, there is no option or anything in the software that says it is doing this in the background. It may also be illegal.
> If the intention is not to let the operator know that he/she is connected and also posting fake spots (in this case it's fake when the comment is saying tnx for a QSO that did not take place)then it's very sad and dissapointing...
>
> de LA1BNA Svein
>

1015. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS software is logging on to telnet DX clusters

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-09 23:32:08 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1012 Message: 1015

Hei Svein

Du har oppdaget det samme som meg. Flott du la dette ut i en mye bedre engelsk spr?kdrakt enn jeg gjorde ;)

73 de LA5VNA Steinar

On 10.07.2010 00:05, Svein wrote:
> Doing a "sniff" on my computer tells me that the ROS software is trying to log on to telnet dx clusters using the operator calsign in ROS configuration menu, and trying a range of local ports.
> A couple of examples:
>
> Protocol : TCP
> Local Address : 192.168.0.101
> Remote Address : 82.116.34.50
> Local Port : 2104
> Remote Port : 8000
> Remote Host : remo3.renet.ru
> Service Name :
> Packets : 9
> Data Size : 27 Bytes
> Total Size : 433 Bytes
> Capture Time : 09.07.2010 22:53:29:519
> --
> Protocol : TCP
> Local Address : 192.168.0.101
> Remote Address : 161.53.16.181
> Local Port : 2452
> Remote Port : 8000
> Remote Host : bbs.cc.fer.hr
> Service Name :
> Packets : 11
> Data Size : 42 Bytes
> Total Size : 529 Bytes
> Capture Time : 09.07.2010 23:11:00:95
>
> The cluster reply:
>
> login:
> LA1BNA
>
> LA1BNA
> Hello Svein, this is 9A0CSI in Sibenik

> running DXSpider V1.51 build 57.263
> * * Access via PR or telnet://dxc.ham.hr:7300 * * DATABASES : sh/qrz <call> - call info *
: sh/db0sdx <call> - qsl info * : sh/wm7d <call> - us callbook * * Sysop: Damir, 9a4nf@...
* Cluster: 158 nodes, 9 local / 750 total users Max users 3896 Uptime 73 07:56
> LA1BNA de 9A0CSI 9-Jul-2010 2144Z >
>
>
> When sucsessfull login, it captures callsigns in the software and posts the as spots in the clusters as if the operator was doing it. Adding comments like: tnx fer ROS QSO , tu ROS Mode...
>
> I had no other software running at the same time that could make this connections, and absolutly NOT without my knowledge.
>
> This is being done without the awareness of the HAM operator, there is no option or anything in the software that says it is doing this in the background. It may also be illegal.
> If the intention is not to let the operator know that he/she is connected and also posting fake spots (in this case it's fake when the comment is saying tnx for a QSO that did not take place)then it's very sad and dissapointing...
>
> de LA1BNA Svein
>
>
>

1016. New file uploaded to ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 01:07:55 UTC
From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 57 Message: 1016

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
group.

File : /ROS MODEM PROGRAM/ROS_v4.6.2_Beta.zip
Uploaded by : wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>
Description :

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP/files/ROS%20MODEM%20PROGRAM/ROS_v4.6.2_Beta.zip

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/forms/general.htmlfiles

Regards,

wd4kpd <wd4kpd@...>

1017. Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 13:19:36 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1017 Message: 1017

Hi all

I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
and went shopping.
When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the cluster:

LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
1233 10 Jul Belgium
LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO
1231 10 Jul Ukraine
LA5VNA 14112.0 IW7DGY ROS test
1228 10 Jul Italy
LA5VNA 14103.0 LZ1ZJ CQ ROS
1212 10 Jul Bulgaria
LA5VNA 14115.0 UT3HA 599 ROS Mode
1207 10 Jul Ukraine
LA5VNA 14103.0 DG8YFM ROS CQ -17 dB
1122 10 Jul Germany
LA5VNA 14115.0 EA3AGZ ros mode
1059 10 Jul Spain
LA5VNA 14115.0 SP3HC ROS test,
1049 10 Jul Poland
LA5VNA 14112.0 EB1MS ROS, 73
1032 10 Jul Spain
LA5VNA 14115.0 US5VAC ROS test,
1017 10 Jul Ukraine
LA5VNA 14115.0 EB3JT tnx ros mode
0956 10 Jul Spain

Not only is it a fake message , the software lies about the frequency too.

This is nothing more then pirate behavior..

LA5VNA Steinar

1018. Re: [digitalradio] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 14:35:39 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1018 Message: 1018

Hello Steinar,

Apart from you not knowing that this was going on in the background

AND you should have been told, I think

It might be part of modern amateur radio activity.

I think Jose should tell his users that this is happening and perhaps
allow for turning it off and on.

From my point of view I have no real problem with it. We transmit
in a public medium and should be aware of this.

Amateur transmissions are not private and have never been, outside
the US the regulators tell us what amateur radio is not. You know
what I am talking about. (hate talk, politics , religion etc)

The same reporting is probably going on here with my monitoring the WSJT
signals at 14076.

http://jt65.w6cqz.org/receptions.php

Now in this case, I have turned on the RB function in order to report
to Joe's RB site (W4CQZ) And Joe told me the other day, that he feeds
data into HAMSPOTS.
HAMSPOTS reports receiving data from W4CQZ RB site.

There might be a lot more of that going on, who knows. In my case
I have no problem with it.

I started this all because I was interested in US activity using ROS.
(I know, we are supposed not to talk about this whatever, it IS illegal)
I wanted to know what these calls represent, made up, fake or real.

I contacted one of those amateurs and he told me that he had not been
aware of the legal situation of ROS.

Well not everybody is an ARRL member.

Without the insertion of a call in the ROS configuration, this does not
happen.

I actually think Joe did add this as an enhancement, though it increases
the visibility of ROS of course at the same time

In fact yesterday there were NO US calls visible on HAMSPOTS.
I noticed that the Twitter had been turned off or something changed

Don't Twitter here!

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: Jul 10, 2010 1:19 PM
>To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [digitalradio] Pirate behavior
>
>
>Hi all
>
>I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
>and went shopping.
>When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the cluster:
>
>
>LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
>1233 10 Jul Belgium
>LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO
>1231 10 Jul Ukraine
>LA5VNA 14112.0 IW7DGY ROS test
>1228 10 Jul Italy
>LA5VNA 14103.0 LZ1ZJ CQ ROS
>1212 10 Jul Bulgaria
>LA5VNA 14115.0 UT3HA 599 ROS Mode
>1207 10 Jul Ukraine
>LA5VNA 14103.0 DG8YFM ROS CQ -17 dB
>1122 10 Jul Germany
>LA5VNA 14115.0 EA3AGZ ros mode
>1059 10 Jul Spain
>LA5VNA 14115.0 SP3HC ROS test,
>1049 10 Jul Poland
>LA5VNA 14112.0 EB1MS ROS, 73
>1032 10 Jul Spain
>LA5VNA 14115.0 US5VAC ROS test,
>1017 10 Jul Ukraine
>LA5VNA 14115.0 EB3JT tnx ros mode
>0956 10 Jul Spain
>
>Not only is it a fake message , the software lies about the frequency too.
>
>This is nothing more then pirate behavior..
>
>LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>

>
>----------
>
>http://www.obriensweb.com/digispotter.html
>Chat, Skeds, and "Spots" all in one (resize to suit)
>
>Facebook= http://www.facebook.com/pages/digitalradio/123270301037522
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1019. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 14:40:56 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1017 Message: 1019

Steinar,

I believe you are reporting (spotting) stations at 14.112

because you are using ROS with your call inserted or not?

I do not think this fake or am I wrong?

You are not telling us that ROS is not running on your computer
and receiver or?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: Jul 10, 2010 9:19 AM
>To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior
>
>
>Hi all
>
>I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
>and went shopping.
>When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the cluster:
>
>
>LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
>1233 10 Jul Belgium
>LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO
>1231 10 Jul Ukraine
>LA5VNA 14112.0 IW7DGY ROS test
>1228 10 Jul Italy
>LA5VNA 14103.0 LZ1ZJ CQ ROS
>1212 10 Jul Bulgaria
>LA5VNA 14115.0 UT3HA 599 ROS Mode
>1207 10 Jul Ukraine
>LA5VNA 14103.0 DG8YFM ROS CQ -17 dB
>1122 10 Jul Germany
>LA5VNA 14115.0 EA3AGZ ros mode
>1059 10 Jul Spain
>LA5VNA 14115.0 SP3HC ROS test,
>1049 10 Jul Poland
>LA5VNA 14112.0 EB1MS ROS, 73
>1032 10 Jul Spain

>LA5VNA 14115.0 US5VAC ROS test,
>1017 10 Jul Ukraine
>LA5VNA 14115.0 EB3JT tnx ros mode
>0956 10 Jul Spain
>
>Not only is it a fake message , the software lies about the frequency too.
>
>This is nothing more then pirate behavior..
>
>LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1020. Reporting Activity

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 14:54:51 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1020 Message: 1020

The basic question is, I believe:

"Is is possible to insert activity reports that are fake"

via telnet or other means.

If this is the case, it would allow to direct activity to certain
frequencies or away from frequencies. Enhancing working or preventing
rare DX stations etc etc.

An issue FAR away and BEYOND ROS

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: Jul 10, 2010 9:19 AM
>To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [digitalradio] Pirate behavior
>
>
>Hi all
>
>I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
>and went shopping.
>When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the cluster:
>
>
>LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
>1233 10 Jul Belgium
>LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO
>1231 10 Jul Ukraine
>LA5VNA 14112.0 IW7DGY ROS test
>1228 10 Jul Italy
>LA5VNA 14103.0 LZ1ZJ CQ ROS
>1212 10 Jul Bulgaria
>LA5VNA 14115.0 UT3HA 599 ROS Mode
>1207 10 Jul Ukraine
>LA5VNA 14103.0 DG8YFM ROS CQ -17 dB
>1122 10 Jul Germany
>LA5VNA 14115.0 EA3AGZ ros mode
>1059 10 Jul Spain
>LA5VNA 14115.0 SP3HC ROS test,
>1049 10 Jul Poland
>LA5VNA 14112.0 EB1MS ROS, 73

>1032 10 Jul Spain
>LA5VNA 14115.0 US5VAC ROS test,
>1017 10 Jul Ukraine
>LA5VNA 14115.0 EB3JT tnx ros mode
>0956 10 Jul Spain
>
>Not only is it a fake message , the software lies about the frequency too.
>
>This is nothing more then pirate behavior..
>
>LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>http://www.obriensweb.com/digispotter.html
>Chat, Skeds, and "Spots" all in one (resize to suit)
>
>Facebook= http://www.facebook.com/pages/digitalradio/123270301037522
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1021. Re: [digitalradio] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 15:08:57 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1018 Message: 1021

Steinar,

What you should do perhaps, insert your grid square in the call window

indicating a receiver in grid square abcdef is reporting hearing AZ5ZA
etc etc

That might work

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: Jul 10, 2010 9:19 AM
>To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [digitalradio] Pirate behavior
>
>
>Hi all
>
>I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
>and went shopping.
>When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the cluster:
>
>
>LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
>1233 10 Jul Belgium
>LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO
>1231 10 Jul Ukraine
>LA5VNA 14112.0 IW7DGY ROS test
>1228 10 Jul Italy
>LA5VNA 14103.0 LZ1ZJ CQ ROS
>1212 10 Jul Bulgaria
>LA5VNA 14115.0 UT3HA 599 ROS Mode
>1207 10 Jul Ukraine
>LA5VNA 14103.0 DG8YFM ROS CQ -17 dB
>1122 10 Jul Germany
>LA5VNA 14115.0 EA3AGZ ros mode
>1059 10 Jul Spain
>LA5VNA 14115.0 SP3HC ROS test,
>1049 10 Jul Poland
>LA5VNA 14112.0 EB1MS ROS, 73
>1032 10 Jul Spain
>LA5VNA 14115.0 US5VAC ROS test,
>1017 10 Jul Ukraine

>LA5VNA 14115.0 EB3JT tnx ros mode
>0956 10 Jul Spain
>
>Not only is it a fake message , the software lies about the frequency too.
>
>This is nothing more then pirate behavior..
>
>LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>http://www.obriensweb.com/digispotter.html
>Chat, Skeds, and "Spots" all in one (resize to suit)
>
>Facebook= http://www.facebook.com/pages/digitalradio/123270301037522
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1022. Re: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 15:25:15 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1017 Message: 1022

Hi Rein

I am not sure what you mean.
My radio was NOT sending, only receive when I was out shopping.

The ROS program pretends that I ,in person, am typing this message on
the cluster.
This is pirate behavior.So simple is that.

la5vna Steinar

On 10.07.2010 16:40, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Steinar,
>
> I believe you are reporting (spotting) stations at 14.112
>
> because you are using ROS with your call inserted or not?
>
> I do not think this fake or am I wrong?
>
> You are not telling us that ROS is not running on your computer
> and receiver or?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
>> From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>> Sent: Jul 10, 2010 9:19 AM
>> To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
>> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior
>>
>>
>> Hi all
>>
>> I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
>> and went shopping.
>> When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the
cluster:
>>
>>
>> LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
>> 1233 10 Jul Belgium
>> LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO
>> 1231 10 Jul Ukraine

>> LA5VNA 14112.0 IW7DGY ROS test
>> 1228 10 Jul Italy
>> LA5VNA 14103.0 LZ1ZJ CQ ROS
>> 1212 10 Jul Bulgaria
>> LA5VNA 14115.0 UT3HA 599 ROS Mode
>> 1207 10 Jul Ukraine
>> LA5VNA 14103.0 DG8YFM ROS CQ -17 dB
>> 1122 10 Jul Germany
>> LA5VNA 14115.0 EA3AGZ ros mode
>> 1059 10 Jul Spain
>> LA5VNA 14115.0 SP3HC ROS test,
>> 1049 10 Jul Poland
>> LA5VNA 14112.0 EB1MS ROS, 73
>> 1032 10 Jul Spain
>> LA5VNA 14115.0 US5VAC ROS test,
>> 1017 10 Jul Ukraine
>> LA5VNA 14115.0 EB3JT tnx ros mode
>> 0956 10 Jul Spain
>>
>> Not only is it a fake message , the software lies about the frequency
too.
>>
>> This is nothing more then pirate behavior..
>>
>> LA5VNA Steinar
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ----------
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1023. Re: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 15:39:23 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1017 Message: 1023

Hi again

Let me make this simple Rein.

On the cluster I read this :

LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB

I have NOT been on 14103 AND I have NOT written "copy ROS Mode -23 dB"

The ROS program PRETEND to be me.

LA5VNA Steinar

On 10.07.2010 17:25, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> Hi Rein
>
>
> I am not sure what you mean.
> My radio was NOT sending, only receive when I was out shopping.
>
> The ROS program pretends that I ,in person, am typing this message on
> the cluster.
> This is pirate behavior.So simple is that.
>
> la5vna Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> On 10.07.2010 16:40, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>
>> Steinar,
>>
>> I believe you are reporting (spotting) stations at 14.112
>>
>> because you are using ROS with your call inserted or not?
>>
>> I do not think this fake or am I wrong?
>>
>> You are not telling us that ROS is not running on your computer
>> and receiver or?
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ

>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>>> From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>>> Sent: Jul 10, 2010 9:19 AM
>>> To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
> <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
>>> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior
>>>
>>>
>>> Hi all
>>>
>>> I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
>>> and went shopping.
>>> When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the
> cluster:
>>>
>>>
>>> LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
>>> 1233 10 Jul Belgium
>>> LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO
>>> 1231 10 Jul Ukraine
>>> LA5VNA 14112.0 IW7DGY ROS test
>>> 1228 10 Jul Italy
>>> LA5VNA 14103.0 LZ1ZJ CQ ROS
>>> 1212 10 Jul Bulgaria
>>> LA5VNA 14115.0 UT3HA 599 ROS Mode
>>> 1207 10 Jul Ukraine
>>> LA5VNA 14103.0 DG8YFM ROS CQ -17 dB
>>> 1122 10 Jul Germany
>>> LA5VNA 14115.0 EA3AGZ ros mode
>>> 1059 10 Jul Spain
>>> LA5VNA 14115.0 SP3HC ROS test,
>>> 1049 10 Jul Poland
>>> LA5VNA 14112.0 EB1MS ROS, 73
>>> 1032 10 Jul Spain
>>> LA5VNA 14115.0 US5VAC ROS test,
>>> 1017 10 Jul Ukraine
>>> LA5VNA 14115.0 EB3JT tnx ros mode
>>> 0956 10 Jul Spain
>>>
>>> Not only is it a fake message , the software lies about the frequency
> too.
>>>
>>> This is nothing more then pirate behavior..
>>>
>>> LA5VNA Steinar
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

```
>>>
>>>
>>> ------------------------------------
>>>
>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
```

1024. Re: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 16:36:49 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1017 Message: 1024

Steinar,

Your station did not copy ON3JMD with S/N - 23 dB on another frequency 14.103 - 122 or 115?
while ON3JMD was using the ROS Mode (this is the PR part by Jose)
It is probably a standard message, I would not get upset about it.

When we were on talking terms (Jose and I) I told him that he should make ROS
popular, report about its results to build pressure.
He does that, one of the few things he accepted from me (banned from his Yahoo list now)

++

Again, Jose should be telling you and others that he is doing this. But, who knows what all else
is going on once we connect our receiver with software to the internet?
I have no software to see everything that goes out from 3 computers I have running here on the internet.

++

Freq reporting does not seem to work. (separate issue)

Steinar, I am just trying to figure what is happening.
(seems to be the only one among almost 4000 others interested in this stuff)

And Steinar, believe me please, I am not out to cause trouble, generate fights or see my messages on these
reflectors and among only few others. Or being shouted away here by a certain engineer in the UK with his hate
towards the US , glad to be under the jurisdiction of HMS Postal Office in London.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: Jul 10, 2010 11:39 AM
>To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior
>
>Hi again
>
>Let me make this simple Rein.
>
>On the cluster I read this :
>
>

>
>
>LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
>
>
>
>
>I have NOT been on 14103 AND I have NOT written "copy ROS Mode -23 dB"
>
>The ROS program PRETEND to be me.
>
>LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>On 10.07.2010 17:25, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
>> Hi Rein
>>
>>
>> I am not sure what you mean.
>> My radio was NOT sending, only receive when I was out shopping.
>>
>> The ROS program pretends that I ,in person, am typing this message on
>> the cluster.
>> This is pirate behavior.So simple is that.
>>
>> la5vna Steinar
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On 10.07.2010 16:40, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>>
>>> Steinar,
>>>
>>> I believe you are reporting (spotting) stations at 14.112
>>>
>>> because you are using ROS with your call inserted or not?
>>>
>>> I do not think this fake or am I wrong?
>>>
>>> You are not telling us that ROS is not running on your computer

>>> and receiver or?
>>>
>>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>>
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>> From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>>>> Sent: Jul 10, 2010 9:19 AM
>>>> To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
>> <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
>>>> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hi all
>>>>
>>>> I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
>>>> and went shopping.
>>>> When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the
>> cluster:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
>>>> 1233 10 Jul Belgium
>>>> LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO
>>>> 1231 10 Jul Ukraine
>>>> LA5VNA 14112.0 IW7DGY ROS test
>>>> 1228 10 Jul Italy
>>>> LA5VNA 14103.0 LZ1ZJ CQ ROS
>>>> 1212 10 Jul Bulgaria
>>>> LA5VNA 14115.0 UT3HA 599 ROS Mode
>>>> 1207 10 Jul Ukraine
>>>> LA5VNA 14103.0 DG8YFM ROS CQ -17 dB
>>>> 1122 10 Jul Germany
>>>> LA5VNA 14115.0 EA3AGZ ros mode
>>>> 1059 10 Jul Spain
>>>> LA5VNA 14115.0 SP3HC ROS test,
>>>> 1049 10 Jul Poland
>>>> LA5VNA 14112.0 EB1MS ROS, 73
>>>> 1032 10 Jul Spain
>>>> LA5VNA 14115.0 US5VAC ROS test,
>>>> 1017 10 Jul Ukraine
>>>> LA5VNA 14115.0 EB3JT tnx ros mode
>>>> 0956 10 Jul Spain
>>>>
>>>> Not only is it a fake message , the software lies about the frequency
>> too.
>>>>
>>>> This is nothing more then pirate behavior..
>>>>
>>>> LA5VNA Steinar
>>>>

```
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> ------------------------------------
>>>>
>>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>-------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
```

1025. [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 16:45:18 UTC
From: Svein
Topic: 1017 Message: 1025

This is what happend to me also. But ROS reported the frequencies I monitored maybe because I use CAT for rig control. It's not easy to explain what is happening to other people. And the ROS software starts spotting without letting you know, and without telling you what triggering it.
Bur the data retrived from clusters and sniffing sofrware does not lie. ROS software did post spots without the operators influence, and it still tries to log on to a number of clusters - sucsessfully if you have put your callsign in the operator field in the menu.

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...> wrote:
>
> Hi again
>
> Let me make this simple Rein.
>
> On the cluster I read this :
>
>
>
>
> LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
>
>
>
>
> I have NOT been on 14103 AND I have NOT written "copy ROS Mode -23 dB"
>
> The ROS program PRETEND to be me.
>
> LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> On 10.07.2010 17:25, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> > Hi Rein
> >
> >
> > I am not sure what you mean.
> > My radio was NOT sending, only receive when I was out shopping.
> >
> > The ROS program pretends that I ,in person, am typing this message on

> > the cluster.
> > This is pirate behavior.So simple is that.
> >
> > la5vna Steinar
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > On 10.07.2010 16:40, rein0zn@... wrote:
> >>
> >> Steinar,
> >>
> >> I believe you are reporting (spotting) stations at 14.112
> >>
> >> because you are using ROS with your call inserted or not?
> >>
> >> I do not think this fake or am I wrong?
> >>
> >> You are not telling us that ROS is not running on your computer
> >> and receiver or?
> >>
> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
> >>
> >> -----Original Message-----
> >>> From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
> >>> Sent: Jul 10, 2010 9:19 AM
> >>> To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
> > <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
> >>> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Hi all
> >>>
> >>> I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
> >>> and went shopping.
> >>> When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the
> > cluster:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
> >>> 1233 10 Jul Belgium
> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO
> >>> 1231 10 Jul Ukraine
> >>> LA5VNA 14112.0 IW7DGY ROS test
> >>> 1228 10 Jul Italy
> >>> LA5VNA 14103.0 LZ1ZJ CQ ROS
> >>> 1212 10 Jul Bulgaria

> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 UT3HA 599 ROS Mode
> >>> 1207 10 Jul Ukraine
> >>> LA5VNA 14103.0 DG8YFM ROS CQ -17 dB
> >>> 1122 10 Jul Germany
> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 EA3AGZ ros mode
> >>> 1059 10 Jul Spain
> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 SP3HC ROS test,
> >>> 1049 10 Jul Poland
> >>> LA5VNA 14112.0 EB1MS ROS, 73
> >>> 1032 10 Jul Spain
> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 US5VAC ROS test,
> >>> 1017 10 Jul Ukraine
> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 EB3JT tnx ros mode
> >>> 0956 10 Jul Spain
> >>>
> >>> Not only is it a fake message , the software lies about the frequency
> > too.
> >>>
> >>> This is nothing more then pirate behavior..
> >>>
> >>> LA5VNA Steinar
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> ------------------------------------
> >>>
> >>> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
>

1026. Re: [digitalradio] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 17:01:02 UTC
From: Svein
Topic: 1018 Message: 1026

It is not a question about public medium etc...
The software is actually spamming dx clusters with a large number of spots that is not supposed to be There, and also 99,9% of the is not interting at all - eg. not DX.
And the software should NOT at any level do this without the operators permission!
I have read comments in clusters that adress this, and it's not fun to be stamped as a cluster spammer !!

73 de LA1BNA

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Hello Steinar,
>
>
> Apart from you not knowing that this was going on in the background
>
> AND you should have been told, I think
>
> It might be part of modern amateur radio activity.
>
> I think Jose should tell his users that this is happening and perhaps
> allow for turning it off and on.
>
> From my point of view I have no real problem with it. We transmit
> in a public medium and should be aware of this.
>
> Amateur transmissions are not private and have never been, outside
> the US the regulators tell us what amateur radio is not. You know
> what I am talking about. (hate talk, politics , religion etc)
>
> The same reporting is probably going on here with my monitoring the WSJT
> signals at 14076.
>
> http://jt65.w6cqz.org/receptions.php
>
> Now in this case, I have turned on the RB function in order to report
> to Joe's RB site (W4CQZ) And Joe told me the other day, that he feeds
> data into HAMSPOTS.
> HAMSPOTS reports receiving data from W4CQZ RB site.
>
> There might be a lot more of that going on, who knows. In my case
> I have no problem with it.
>
> I started this all because I was interested in US activity using ROS.
> (I know, we are supposed not to talk about this whatever, it IS illegal)

> I wanted to know what these calls represent, made up, fake or real.
>
> I contacted one of those amateurs and he told me that he had not been
> aware of the legal situation of ROS.
>
> Well not everybody is an ARRL member.
>
> Without the insertion of a call in the ROS configuration, this does not
> happen.
>
> I actually think Joe did add this as an enhancement, though it increases
> the visibility of ROS of course at the same time
>
> In fact yesterday there were NO US calls visible on HAMSPOTS.
> I noticed that the Twitter had been turned off or something changed
>
> Don't Twitter here!
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
> >Sent: Jul 10, 2010 1:19 PM
> >To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
> >Subject: [digitalradio] Pirate behavior
> >
> >
> >Hi all
> >
> >I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
> >and went shopping.
> >When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the cluster:
> >
> >
> >LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
> >1233 10 Jul Belgium
> >LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO
> >1231 10 Jul Ukraine
> >LA5VNA 14112.0 IW7DGY ROS test
> >1228 10 Jul Italy
> >LA5VNA 14103.0 LZ1ZJ CQ ROS
> >1212 10 Jul Bulgaria
> >LA5VNA 14115.0 UT3HA 599 ROS Mode
> >1207 10 Jul Ukraine

> >LA5VNA 14103.0 DG8YFM ROS CQ -17 dB
> >1122 10 Jul Germany
> >LA5VNA 14115.0 EA3AGZ ros mode
> >1059 10 Jul Spain
> >LA5VNA 14115.0 SP3HC ROS test,
> >1049 10 Jul Poland
> >LA5VNA 14112.0 EB1MS ROS, 73
> >1032 10 Jul Spain
> >LA5VNA 14115.0 US5VAC ROS test,
> >1017 10 Jul Ukraine
> >LA5VNA 14115.0 EB3JT tnx ros mode
> >0956 10 Jul Spain
> >
> >Not only is it a fake message , the software lies about the frequency too.
> >
> >This is nothing more then pirate behavior..
> >
> >LA5VNA Steinar
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >------------------------------------
> >
> >http://www.obriensweb.com/digispotter.html
> >Chat, Skeds, and "Spots" all in one (resize to suit)
> >
> >Facebook= http://www.facebook.com/pages/digitalradio/123270301037522
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

1027. Re: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 17:14:54 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1017 Message: 1027

Hi Svein,

Sorry, I get sometimes confused (LA1BMA and LA5NMA)

I noticed this message by you:

++++++++++++++++

I did also postet comments and facts from IP traffic logging on my own PC on the ROS homepage, but it's gone, deleted. If Jose did have some answeres that makes sense, he would reply to this, instead of delete!

I would not be surpriced if a new version of ROS software appears soon, without a trace of dx cluster logons..

73 de LA1BNA

++++++++++++++++++

It is another problem of Jose (a shame) He does not level with his users.

But who knows in the future?

Since I started this project (identifying US calls and the stories behind them) a numbert of changes have been made at Hamspots and as you said we have to see a newer ROS version.

Your countryman Steinear, has the means to check what happens if one has ROS running, with a call inserted , receiver tuned at 14.0??) sound card input connected to receiver , computer internet connected (hope I covered all the requirements.)

BTW I saw the engineer in the UK making an effort to prove its all nonsense what we are discussing here.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Svein <la1bna@...>
>Sent: Jul 10, 2010 12:45 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior
>
>This is what happend to me also. But ROS reported the frequencies I monitored maybe because I use CAT for rig control. It's not easy to explain what is happening to other people. And the ROS software starts spotting without letting you know, and without telling you what triggering it.
>Bur the data retrived from clusters and sniffing sofrware does not lie. ROS software did

post spots without the operators influence, and it still tries to log on to a number of clusters - sucsessfully if you have put your callsign in the operator field in the menu.
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...> wrote:
>>
>> Hi again
>>
>> Let me make this simple Rein.
>>
>> On the cluster I read this :
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> I have NOT been on 14103 AND I have NOT written "copy ROS Mode -23 dB"
>>
>> The ROS program PRETEND to be me.
>>
>> LA5VNA Steinar
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On 10.07.2010 17:25, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
>> > Hi Rein
>> >
>> >
>> > I am not sure what you mean.
>> > My radio was NOT sending, only receive when I was out shopping.
>> >
>> > The ROS program pretends that I ,in person, am typing this message on
>> > the cluster.
>> > This is pirate behavior.So simple is that.
>> >
>> > la5vna Steinar
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >

>> > On 10.07.2010 16:40, rein0zn@... wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Steinar,
>> >>
>> >> I believe you are reporting (spotting) stations at 14.112
>> >>
>> >> because you are using ROS with your call inserted or not?
>> >>
>> >> I do not think this fake or am I wrong?
>> >>
>> >> You are not telling us that ROS is not running on your computer
>> >> and receiver or?
>> >>
>> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
>> >>
>> >> -----Original Message-----
>> >>> From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>> >>> Sent: Jul 10, 2010 9:19 AM
>> >>> To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
>> > <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
>> >>> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> Hi all
>> >>>
>> >>> I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
>> >>> and went shopping.
>> >>> When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the
>> > cluster:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
>> >>> 1233 10 Jul Belgium
>> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO
>> >>> 1231 10 Jul Ukraine
>> >>> LA5VNA 14112.0 IW7DGY ROS test
>> >>> 1228 10 Jul Italy
>> >>> LA5VNA 14103.0 LZ1ZJ CQ ROS
>> >>> 1212 10 Jul Bulgaria
>> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 UT3HA 599 ROS Mode
>> >>> 1207 10 Jul Ukraine
>> >>> LA5VNA 14103.0 DG8YFM ROS CQ -17 dB
>> >>> 1122 10 Jul Germany
>> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 EA3AGZ ros mode
>> >>> 1059 10 Jul Spain
>> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 SP3HC ROS test,
>> >>> 1049 10 Jul Poland
>> >>> LA5VNA 14112.0 EB1MS ROS, 73
>> >>> 1032 10 Jul Spain
>> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 US5VAC ROS test,

>> >>> 1017 10 Jul Ukraine
>> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 EB3JT tnx ros mode
>> >>> 0956 10 Jul Spain
>> >>>
>> >>> Not only is it a fake message , the software lies about the frequency
>> > too.
>> >>>
>> >>> This is nothing more then pirate behavior..
>> >>>
>> >>> LA5VNA Steinar
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> ----------
>> >>>
>> >>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1028. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 17:40:24 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1018 Message: 1028

Svein,

You are probably right it is not a question of public domain.
What I was trying to say was that we should be aware of what
we transmit using our amateur radio license.
And what is being done with being on the radio or the info we
transmit.

I don't know how define this with stuff we may transmit by being on
the internet.

Full control over this would be nice but we getting there in area's
way beyond my understanding. (Google,net neutrality, National security etc)
We just have a case here where a member of the House of US Represitatives
got caught using an open Wireless connection in, house detected by Google's
filming crews driving filming and listening in on internet traffic, in the
street. I imagine gated community in this case.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Svein <la1bna@...>
>Sent: Jul 10, 2010 1:01 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] Pirate behavior
>
>
>
>
>It is not a question about public medium etc...
>The software is actually spamming dx clusters with a large number of spots that is not
supposed to be There, and also 99,9% of the is not interting at all - eg. not DX.
>And the software should NOT at any level do this without the operators permission!
>I have read comments in clusters that adress this, and it's not fun to be stamped as a
cluster spammer !!
>
>73 de LA1BNA
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>
>> Hello Steinar,
>>
>>
>> Apart from you not knowing that this was going on in the background
>>
>> AND you should have been told, I think

>>
>> It might be part of modern amateur radio activity.
>>
>> I think Jose should tell his users that this is happening and perhaps
>> allow for turning it off and on.
>>
>> From my point of view I have no real problem with it. We transmit
>> in a public medium and should be aware of this.
>>
>> Amateur transmissions are not private and have never been, outside
>> the US the regulators tell us what amateur radio is not. You know
>> what I am talking about. (hate talk, politics , religion etc)
>>
>> The same reporting is probably going on here with my monitoring the WSJT
>> signals at 14076.
>>
>> http://jt65.w6cqz.org/receptions.php
>>
>> Now in this case, I have turned on the RB function in order to report
>> to Joe's RB site (W4CQZ) And Joe told me the other day, that he feeds
>> data into HAMSPOTS.
>> HAMSPOTS reports receiving data from W4CQZ RB site.
>>
>> There might be a lot more of that going on, who knows. In my case
>> I have no problem with it.
>>
>> I started this all because I was interested in US activity using ROS.
>> (I know, we are supposed not to talk about this whatever, it IS illegal)
>> I wanted to know what these calls represent, made up, fake or real.
>>
>> I contacted one of those amateurs and he told me that he had not been
>> aware of the legal situation of ROS.
>>
>> Well not everybody is an ARRL member.
>>
>> Without the insertion of a call in the ROS configuration, this does not
>> happen.
>>
>> I actually think Joe did add this as an enhancement, though it increases
>> the visibility of ROS of course at the same time
>>
>> In fact yesterday there were NO US calls visible on HAMSPOTS.
>> I noticed that the Twitter had been turned off or something changed
>>
>> Don't Twitter here!
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>> >Sent: Jul 10, 2010 1:19 PM
>> >To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
>> >Subject: [digitalradio] Pirate behavior
>> >
>> >
>> >Hi all
>> >
>> >I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
>> >and went shopping.
>> >When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the cluster:
>> >
>> >
>> >LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
>> >1233 10 Jul Belgium
>> >LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO
>> >1231 10 Jul Ukraine
>> >LA5VNA 14112.0 IW7DGY ROS test
>> >1228 10 Jul Italy
>> >LA5VNA 14103.0 LZ1ZJ CQ ROS
>> >1212 10 Jul Bulgaria
>> >LA5VNA 14115.0 UT3HA 599 ROS Mode
>> >1207 10 Jul Ukraine
>> >LA5VNA 14103.0 DG8YFM ROS CQ -17 dB
>> >1122 10 Jul Germany
>> >LA5VNA 14115.0 EA3AGZ ros mode
>> >1059 10 Jul Spain
>> >LA5VNA 14115.0 SP3HC ROS test,
>> >1049 10 Jul Poland
>> >LA5VNA 14112.0 EB1MS ROS, 73
>> >1032 10 Jul Spain
>> >LA5VNA 14115.0 US5VAC ROS test,
>> >1017 10 Jul Ukraine
>> >LA5VNA 14115.0 EB3JT tnx ros mode
>> >0956 10 Jul Spain
>> >
>> >Not only is it a fake message , the software lies about the frequency too.
>> >
>> >This is nothing more then pirate behavior..
>> >
>> >LA5VNA Steinar
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >

```
>> >
>> >
>> >-----------------------------------
>> >
>> >http://www.obriensweb.com/digispotter.html
>> >Chat, Skeds, and "Spots" all in one (resize to suit)
>> >
>> >Facebook= http://www.facebook.com/pages/digitalradio/123270301037522
>> >
>> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>-----------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
```

1029. Re: Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 17:43:20 UTC
From: g3ofa
Topic: 1017 Message: 1029

Jose please can you comment on this.
Is it true that ROS sends reports to the DX cluster automatically without the knowledge of the user?
Derek G3OFA

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...> wrote:
>
>
> Hi all
>
> I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
> and went shopping.
> When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the cluster:
>
>

1030. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 17:52:17 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1017 Message: 1030

Which version?

Sigi

1031. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 17:54:28 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1017 Message: 1031

The answer s yes, if you have a call inserted in the configure menu.

You can prevent it form doing this by leaving that window empty (at
least my observation) There might well be more to it....

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: g3ofa <g3ofa1@...>
>Sent: Jul 10, 2010 1:43 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Pirate behavior
>
>
>
>Jose please can you comment on this.
>Is it true that ROS sends reports to the DX cluster automatically without the knowledge of
the user?
>Derek G3OFA
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Hi all
>>
>> I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
>> and went shopping.
>> When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the cluster:
>>
>>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1032. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 17:55:56 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1017 Message: 1032

The present! (see exchanges on Yahoo digital group)

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
>Sent: Jul 10, 2010 1:52 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior
>
>Which version?
>
>Sigi
>
>
>

1033. [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 17:58:24 UTC
From: Svein
Topic: 1017 Message: 1033

I just asks for some simple answers why the software behaves in this way. It should not be difficult for the developer to tell us, unless he don't want us to know. I am also able to (like LA5VNA) monitor the network traffic of the ROS software, it's very easy and done with freeware available from Internet.

It's not about new releases of the software with new functions, but about software that does things without my permission. And doing really unnessesary things like this.
I am really shure that me and most other HAMS are capable and want to use dx clusters on our own without having this software doing it invisibly for us. And I really do not need to be logged on to more than one telnet cluster (my usual one) at any given time

73 de LA1BNA

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Hi Svein,
>
> Sorry, I get sometimes confused (LA1BMA and LA5NMA)
>
> I noticed this message by you:
>
> +++++++++++++++++
>
> I did also postet comments and facts from IP traffic logging on my own PC on the
> ROS homepage, but it's gone, deleted. If Jose did have some answeres that makes
> sense, he would reply to this, instead of delete!
>
> I would not be surpriced if a new version of ROS software appears soon, without
> a trace of dx cluster logons..
>
> 73 de LA1BNA
>
> +++++++++++++++++++
>
> It is another problem of Jose (a shame) He does not level with his users.
>
> But who knows in the future?
>
>
> Since I started this project (identifying US calls and the stories behind
> them) a numbert of changes have been made at Hamspots and as you said we have
> to see a newer ROS version.
>
> Your countryman Steinear, has the means to check what happens if one has ROS
> running, with a call inserted , receiver tuned at 14.0??) sound card input
> connected to receiver , computer internet connected (hope I covered all the

> requirements.)
>
> BTW I saw the engineer in the UK making an effort to prove its all nonsense
> what we are discussing here.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: Svein <la1bna@...>
> >Sent: Jul 10, 2010 12:45 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior
> >
> >This is what happend to me also. But ROS reported the frequencies I monitored maybe because I use CAT for rig control. It's not easy to explain what is happening to other people. And the ROS software starts spotting without letting you know, and without telling you what triggering it.
> >Bur the data retrived from clusters and sniffing sofrware does not lie. ROS software did post spots without the operators influence, and it still tries to log on to a number of clusters - sucsessfully if you have put your callsign in the operator field in the menu.
> >
> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Steinar Aanesland <saanes@> wrote:
> >>
> >> Hi again
> >>
> >> Let me make this simple Rein.
> >>
> >> On the cluster I read this :
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> I have NOT been on 14103 AND I have NOT written "copy ROS Mode -23 dB"
> >>
> >> The ROS program PRETEND to be me.
> >>
> >> LA5VNA Steinar
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>

> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> On 10.07.2010 17:25, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> >> > Hi Rein
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > I am not sure what you mean.
> >> > My radio was NOT sending, only receive when I was out shopping.
> >> >
> >> > The ROS program pretends that I ,in person, am typing this message on
> >> > the cluster.
> >> > This is pirate behavior.So simple is that.
> >> >
> >> > la5vna Steinar
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > On 10.07.2010 16:40, rein0zn@ wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Steinar,
> >> >>
> >> >> I believe you are reporting (spotting) stations at 14.112
> >> >>
> >> >> because you are using ROS with your call inserted or not?
> >> >>
> >> >> I do not think this fake or am I wrong?
> >> >>
> >> >> You are not telling us that ROS is not running on your computer
> >> >> and receiver or?
> >> >>
> >> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
> >> >>
> >> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> >>> From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@>
> >> >>> Sent: Jul 10, 2010 9:19 AM
> >> >>> To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
> >> > <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
> >> >>> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Hi all
> >> >>>
> >> >>> I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
> >> >>> and went shopping.
> >> >>> When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the

> >> > cluster:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>> LA5VNA 14103.0 ON3JMD copy ROS Mode -23 dB
> >> >>> 1233 10 Jul Belgium
> >> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO
> >> >>> 1231 10 Jul Ukraine
> >> >>> LA5VNA 14112.0 IW7DGY ROS test
> >> >>> 1228 10 Jul Italy
> >> >>> LA5VNA 14103.0 LZ1ZJ CQ ROS
> >> >>> 1212 10 Jul Bulgaria
> >> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 UT3HA 599 ROS Mode
> >> >>> 1207 10 Jul Ukraine
> >> >>> LA5VNA 14103.0 DG8YFM ROS CQ -17 dB
> >> >>> 1122 10 Jul Germany
> >> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 EA3AGZ ros mode
> >> >>> 1059 10 Jul Spain
> >> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 SP3HC ROS test,
> >> >>> 1049 10 Jul Poland
> >> >>> LA5VNA 14112.0 EB1MS ROS, 73
> >> >>> 1032 10 Jul Spain
> >> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 US5VAC ROS test,
> >> >>> 1017 10 Jul Ukraine
> >> >>> LA5VNA 14115.0 EB3JT tnx ros mode
> >> >>> 0956 10 Jul Spain
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Not only is it a fake message , the software lies about the frequency
> >> > too.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> This is nothing more then pirate behavior..
> >> >>>
> >> >>> LA5VNA Steinar
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>> ----------
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

1035. Re: Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 18:19:15 UTC
From: Svein
Topic: 1017 Message: 1035

So this makes it a bit difficult to have a QSO if you have to write your callsign everytime on the blank spot where it should have been. Isn't this an odd way to develop software if the obvious way of providing QSO data actually is used for some very different things?
I ask again why in heavens name must the software do this cluster logins?
I wil not use software like this, and I'm sure that many other HAMs would think twice also if they knew about it. The only thing that really prevents it from this behavior is to let the firewall block all network acsess for this software. Should be unneccesary...

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
>
> The answer s yes, if you have a call inserted in the configure menu.
>
> You can prevent it form doing this by leaving that window empty (at
> least my observation) There might well be more to it....
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: g3ofa <g3ofa1@...>
> >Sent: Jul 10, 2010 1:43 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Pirate behavior
> >
> >
> >
> >Jose please can you comment on this.
> >Is it true that ROS sends reports to the DX cluster automatically without the knowledge of the user?
> >Derek G3OFA
> >
> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Steinar Aanesland <saanes@> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> Hi all
> >>
> >> I've done a little experiment this morning. I left my radio on 14112.0
> >> and went shopping.
> >> When I came back, the ROS software had uploaded this reports to the cluster:
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >----------

> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

1036. Re: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 18:22:49 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1017 Message: 1036

Rein, when I am are reading "LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO", I
am not getting upset, only sad . It doesn't matter if this is a
"standard message", it is a fake one. Mr. Jose has abused my call sign.
He is trying to make it look like that I have been in a QSO with UX1CN.
This is totally unacceptable, but I am not surprised. I am not expecting
anything else from the Mr. Jose .

la5vna Steinar

On 10.07.2010 18:36, rein0zn@... wrote:
> Steinar,
>
> Your station did not copy ON3JMD with S/N - 23 dB on another frequency 14.103 - 122 or
115?
> while ON3JMD was using the ROS Mode (this is the PR part by Jose)
> It is probably a standard message, I would not get upset about it.
>
>

1037. Re: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 18:34:03 UTC
From: Howard Small
Topic: 1017 Message: 1037

Hi Guys

Have been following this topic with interest. To date I have been a supporter of Jose and ROS but am concerned at this situation.

I look forward to Jose's comments in reply to Derek G3OFA's question directed to him.

Regards,

Howard
VK4BS

1038. Re: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 19:05:33 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1017 Message: 1038

Dear Steinar,

Forgive my stupidity it seems in the cluster etc business.

Let me start with this

http://jt65.w6cqz.org/receptions.php

I loaded the software,
Configured the software with grid , call etc
Turned on the RB function (internet reporting)
Report the calls from stations received and their S/N ,time freq offset mode and band

It is reporting on received signals and it does not matter or show whether I am listening or actually making QSO's calling CQ etc,

Of course I selected to do that (one difference with ROS)

This software repeats the actual messages received "TU Steinar 73 " or "CQ de W6SZ DM14" etc.
Other difference.

Composing this I see now what you are getting at: I do not think he mis used your call sign but he makes it look like you actually are in a QSO.

That is certainly a problem, and you are correct to be upset.

He should indicate that you are just a receiving station, perhaps thanking you for providing the service.

In part I am running these services as being a reasonable sensitive receiving station on the West Coast, thus a service but that is beyond the point.
Its the case for WSJT as well as WSPR

What gets me in this whole circus that we do not seem to be able to get even Spanish speaking amateurs using ROS, there are many now, to engage Jose in these matters, and make things clear to him and say we refuse to use ROS until you correct this, boycott ROS until its done.

I am sure he his sensitive to that

I fully agree with your observations and he should made to understand that this is absolute unacceptable.

With all these people using ROS in EU and Spain somebody should be able to explain that to him and force him to change it.

But then I have tried for months to explain the difference between the FCC and the ARRL Fruitless.

Still I would like to test ROS as a weak signal mode!.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: Jul 10, 2010 2:22 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, * Digitalradio <digitalradio@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior
>
>
>Rein, when I am are reading "LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO", I
>am not getting upset, only sad . It doesn't matter if this is a
>"standard message", it is a fake one. Mr. Jose has abused my call sign.
>He is trying to make it look like that I have been in a QSO with UX1CN.
>This is totally unacceptable, but I am not surprised. I am not expecting
>anything else from the Mr. Jose .
>
>la5vna Steinar
>
>
>
>On 10.07.2010 18:36, rein0zn@... wrote:
>> Steinar,
>>
>> Your station did not copy ON3JMD with S/N - 23 dB on another frequency 14.103 - 122 or
115?
>> while ON3JMD was using the ROS Mode (this is the PR part by Jose)
>> It is probably a standard message, I would not get upset about it.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>http://www.obriensweb.com/digispotter.html
>Chat, Skeds, and "Spots" all in one (resize to suit)
>
>Facebook= http://www.facebook.com/pages/digitalradio/123270301037522
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1039. Re: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 19:13:35 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 1017 Message: 1039

I am guessing that this is nothing devious, a good concept from Jose that has gone astray probably because he does not understand the general ethics associated with DX Cluster.s

On Sat, Jul 10, 2010 at 3:05 PM, <rein0zn@...> wrote:

>
>
>
> Dear Steinar,
>
> Forgive my stupidity it seems in the cluster etc business.
>
> Let me start with this
>
>
> http://jt65.w6cqz.org/receptions.php
>
> I loaded the software,
> Configured the software with grid , call etc
> Turned on the RB function (internet reporting)
> Report the calls from stations received and their S/N ,time freq offset
> mode and band
>
> It is reporting on received signals and it does not matter or show whether
> I am
> listening or actually making QSO's calling CQ etc,
>
> Of course I selected to do that (one difference with ROS)
>
> This software repeats the actual messages received "TU Steinar 73 " or "CQ
> de W6SZ DM14" etc.
> Other difference.
>
> Composing this I see now what you are getting at: I do not think he mis
> used your call
> sign but he makes it look like you actually are in a QSO.
>
> That is certainly a problem, and you are correct to be upset.
>
> He should indicate that you are just a receiving station, perhaps thanking
> you
> for providing the service.
>
> In part I am running these services as being a reasonable sensitive

> receiving station
> on the West Coast, thus a service but that is beyond the point.
> Its the case for WSJT as well as WSPR
>
> What gets me in this whole circus that we do not seem to be able to get
> even Spanish speaking amateurs using ROS, there are many now, to engage
> Jose in these matters, and make things clear to him and say we refuse to
> use ROS until you correct this, boycott ROS until its done.
>
> I am sure he his sensitive to that
>
> I fully agree with your observations and he should made to understand that
> this
> is absolute unacceptable.
>
> With all these people using ROS in EU and Spain somebody should be able to
> explain that to him and force him to change it.
>
> But then I have tried for months to explain the difference between the FCC
> and the
> ARRL Fruitless.
>
> Still I would like to test ROS as a weak signal mode!.
>
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----
>

1041. [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 20:09:46 UTC
From: la1bna
Topic: 1017 Message: 1041

Rein and other HAMs.
To make some undestanding of this software:
ROS is not a dedicated propagation reporting software like WSPR etc. It's a digital mode QSO software.

It's not neccesary for such software to log on to a Telnet dx cluster using the operators callsign and automaticly post spots of received callsigns from my screen completed with fake comments of completed QSOs and so on. It's not even nessecary for it to connect to anything anywhere on the internet, and especially not without the operator being aware of it.
If Jose don't know or have any idea of the functions of a dx cluster, the common understanding and rules among HAM operators using it, he should seek advice before writing software.
73 de LA1BNA Svein

I

1042. Testing Confirms ROS Autospot Behaviour

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 20:26:11 UTC
From: Laurie, VK3AMA
Topic: 1042 Message: 1042

Yesterday I ran some tests and can confirm that ROS software (Betas 4.5.7, 4.5.8, 4.6.0 & 4.6.2) is auto-spotting to the cluster without any control from the op.

ROS has hard-coded the following Clusters and connects to one of these if possible.

dxc.us6iq.com
dxc.ham.hr
9a0dxc.hamradio.hr
remo3.renet.ru
cluster.sk4bw.net
ax25.org
sk3w.se
sector7.nu
sm7gvf.dyndns.org

I setup my internet router to re-route these addresses (and ports) to a Cluster Node I have setup locally for testing to avoid spotting to the live Cluster.

Then left the ROS software in RX mode (no TX) monitoring 20M. Over 20 spots were generated over a 2 hour period. Different comment strings were sent in the spots.

A closer inspection of the internal code of ROS reveals randomising code (select a random string) and the following hard-coded Cluster spotting strings.

"tnx ros mode"
"73 ROS Mode"
"tnx fer ROS QSO"
"ROS 599"
"ROS 559"
"CQ ROS"
"CQ ROS Mode"
"CQ ROS."
"ROS"
"ros"
"599 ROS Mode"
"73, ros mode"
"ROS, 73"
"tu ROS Mode"
"ROS test"
"copy ROS Mode -<SN> dB"
"ROS QSO <NAME>"

"ros mode <QTH>"
"ROS Mode <QTH>"
"599 ROS -<SN> dB"
"ROS -<SN> dB at <QTH>"
"ROS CQ -<SN> dB"
"ROS. TNX QSO. 73 <NAME>"

<NAME>, <QTH> are substitued with the configured settings and <SN> the received S/N ratio.

Clearly the use of several variations of text, mixing upper- & lower-case letters, 599 & 559 reports is all designed to make anyone viewing the Cluster think that these ROS spots are Human generated and not auto-spot spam.

The ROS developer has NOT documented, in ether the User Guide or FAQ, this auto-spot advertising facility of his software.

My observations.

de Laurie, VK3AMA

1043. Re: Testing Confirms ROS Autospot Behaviour

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 21:01:13 UTC
From: Svein
Topic: 1042 Message: 1043

Thanks, Laurie
This would leave out any doubt what this software is doing.
If any doubt left in this group, please tell us what not to understand.
It is now up to Jose to tell us why, and what he will do about it in future versions.

Meanwhile nobody should use ROS until fixed.

73 de LA1BNA Svein

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Laurie, VK3AMA" <groups01@...> wrote:
>
> Yesterday I ran some tests and can confirm that ROS software (Betas
> 4.5.7, 4.5.8, 4.6.0 & 4.6.2) is auto-spotting to the cluster without any
> control from the op.
>
> ROS has hard-coded the following Clusters and connects to one of these
> if possible.
>
> dxc.us6iq.com
> dxc.ham.hr
> 9a0dxc.hamradio.hr
> remo3.renet.ru
> cluster.sk4bw.net
> ax25.org
> sk3w.se
> sector7.nu
> sm7gvf.dyndns.org
>
> I setup my internet router to re-route these addresses (and ports) to a
> Cluster Node I have setup locally for testing to avoid spotting to the
> live Cluster.
>
> Then left the ROS software in RX mode (no TX) monitoring 20M. Over 20
> spots were generated over a 2 hour period. Different comment strings
> were sent in the spots.
>
> A closer inspection of the internal code of ROS reveals randomising code
> (select a random string) and the following hard-coded Cluster spotting
> strings.
>
> "tnx ros mode"
> "73 ROS Mode"
> "tnx fer ROS QSO"
> "ROS 599"
> "ROS 559"
> "CQ ROS"

> "CQ ROS Mode"
> "CQ ROS."
> "ROS"
> "ros"
> "599 ROS Mode"
> "73, ros mode"
> "ROS, 73"
> "tu ROS Mode"
> "ROS test"
> "copy ROS Mode -<SN> dB"
> "ROS QSO <NAME>"
> "ros mode <QTH>"
> "ROS Mode <QTH>"
> "599 ROS -<SN> dB"
> "ROS -<SN> dB at <QTH>"
> "ROS CQ -<SN> dB"
> "ROS. TNX QSO. 73 <NAME>"
>
> <NAME>, <QTH> are substitued with the configured settings and <SN> the
> received S/N ratio.
>
> Clearly the use of several variations of text, mixing upper- &
> lower-case letters, 599 & 559 reports is all designed to make anyone
> viewing the Cluster think that these ROS spots are Human generated and
> not auto-spot spam.
>
> The ROS developer has NOT documented, in ether the User Guide or FAQ,
> this auto-spot advertising facility of his software.
>
> My observations.
>
> de Laurie, VK3AMA
>

1044. Message to all

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 21:09:06 UTC
From: zl3gh
Topic: 1044 Message: 1044

Hi All,

I have been observing the posts on this group quietly in the background and now beginning to understand why it is so hard to get young people interested in becoming a ham operator.

Please have a look at what the topics are about and they way in which people have responded. Is this a good example of what ham radio is about? Is this how we should portray ourselves to the younger generation? I suspect they would move rather quickly to somewhere else when coming across a group like this.

I am bemused that there is so much discontent about the various ways the ROS software works when the answer to me is fairly simple...stop using it and go find another digital mode to spend your valuable time on. I will in the meantime, continue to use ROS complete with its flaws to help me do what I really want to do and that is to communicate with other like minded hams. After all, is that not what amateur radio is REALLY about?

Remember, even this group is a public forum and our behaviour will be judged by the content of groups like this.

73's
Gary
ZL3GH ROS 4/500 7055

1045. AW: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 21:16:42 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1017 Message: 1045

Comments in the text

>Rein and other HAMs.
>To make some undestanding of this software:
>ROS is not a dedicated propagation reporting software like WSPR etc. It's a digital mode QSO software.

AGREE 100%

>It's not neccesary for such software to log on to a Telnet dx cluster using the operators callsign and automaticly post spots of received callsigns from my screen >completed with fake comments of completed QSOs and so on. It's not even nessecary for it to connect to anything anywhere on the internet, and especially not >without the operator being aware of it.

So time for jose to change that

>If Jose don't know or have any idea of the functions of a dx cluster, the common understanding and rules among HAM operators using it, he should seek advice >before writing software.

Is there a catalan speaking user in northern spain that is willing to explain jose in his mother language what hams need or what best fits their needs??

I do not know if the language is sometimes the problem of understanding things . but it could help

For example a user settable spotting that he knows of.

Spotting of dx contacts is useful but if I link in on a cluster I wanna see local spots

There is no help for me if a vk station spots a zl station but if a europe station sends a spot of a heard or better worked vk or zl . that IS helpful

But the user should know of it and it should be settable what and when a spot will go out to the cluster (and what cluster)

I agree that this soft does not need that spotting . in the start of the soft it was helpful to see other calls and try my luck if I can receive that call

But now as there are more and more users the autospotfunction is not needed (nor helpful)

Have a nice day

73

Sigi

1046. Re: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 21:24:47 UTC
From: (no author)
Topic: 1017 Message: 1046

All,

The problem here is not the mode itself.

The problem is that the software is making spots on the cluster which
are fake spots. This is called piracy. Use of callsign of people who are
not aware they are producing dxcluster spots. My cluster is only
accessible from they internet, so everything is allowed, but for
clusters connected to a radio port the ham rules apply. They only are
allowed to transmit info from real amateurs, not from a programs which
spots with fake comments.

Although I encourage development of new technics and mode I unfortnutely
have decided to ban the ros mode from my cluster. ROS is now part of the
bad word list. More cluster owners have done this by now, VE7CC is
already banning it from half of june.
If this autospotting feature stays it will be the end of ros mode.
Mr Nieto is killing his own developments.

73 Henk PA5KT

Op 10-07-2010 21:05, rein0zn@... schreef:
> Dear Steinar,
>
> Forgive my stupidity it seems in the cluster etc business.
>
> Let me start with this
>
> http://jt65.w6cqz.org/receptions.php
>
> I loaded the software,
> Configured the software with grid , call etc
> Turned on the RB function (internet reporting)
> Report the calls from stations received and their S/N ,time freq offset mode and band
>
> It is reporting on received signals and it does not matter or show whether I am
> listening or actually making QSO's calling CQ etc,
>
> Of course I selected to do that (one difference with ROS)
>
> This software repeats the actual messages received "TU Steinar 73 " or "CQ de W6SZ DM14"
etc.
> Other difference.
>
> Composing this I see now what you are getting at: I do not think he mis used your call
> sign but he makes it look like you actually are in a QSO.

>
> That is certainly a problem, and you are correct to be upset.
>
> He should indicate that you are just a receiving station, perhaps thanking you
> for providing the service.
>
> In part I am running these services as being a reasonable sensitive receiving station
> on the West Coast, thus a service but that is beyond the point.
> Its the case for WSJT as well as WSPR
>
>
>
> What gets me in this whole circus that we do not seem to be able to get
> even Spanish speaking amateurs using ROS, there are many now, to engage
> Jose in these matters, and make things clear to him and say we refuse to
> use ROS until you correct this, boycott ROS until its done.
>
> I am sure he his sensitive to that
>
> I fully agree with your observations and he should made to understand that this
> is absolute unacceptable.
>
> With all these people using ROS in EU and Spain somebody should be able to
> explain that to him and force him to change it.
>
> But then I have tried for months to explain the difference between the FCC and the
> ARRL Fruitless.
>
> Still I would like to test ROS as a weak signal mode!.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
>> From: Steinar Aanesland<saanes@...>
>> Sent: Jul 10, 2010 2:22 PM
>> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, * Digitalradio<digitalradio@yahoogroups.com>
>> Subject: Re: [digitalradio] Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pirate behavior
>>
>>
>> Rein, when I am are reading "LA5VNA 14115.0 UX1CN tnx fer ROS QSO", I
>> am not getting upset, only sad . It doesn't matter if this is a
>> "standard message", it is a fake one. Mr. Jose has abused my call sign.
>> He is trying to make it look like that I have been in a QSO with UX1CN.

>> This is totally unacceptable, but I am not surprised. I am not expecting
>> anything else from the Mr. Jose .
>>
>> la5vna Steinar
>>
>>
>>
>> On 10.07.2010 18:36, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>> Steinar,
>>>
>>> Your station did not copy ON3JMD with S/N - 23 dB on another frequency 14.103 - 122 or 115?
>>> while ON3JMD was using the ROS Mode (this is the PR part by Jose)
>>> It is probably a standard message, I would not get upset about it.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> ----------
>>
>> http://www.obriensweb.com/digispotter.html
>> Chat, Skeds, and "Spots" all in one (resize to suit)
>>
>> Facebook= http://www.facebook.com/pages/digitalradio/123270301037522
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>

--
Henk Remijn PA5KT
email: pa5kt@...
www: www.pa5kt.com

1047. Re: Message to all

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 21:36:48 UTC
From: Svein
Topic: 1044 Message: 1047

It's not about recrutment to HAM radio or trying to "scear" anybody from becoming a Ham-operator.
It's not about any flaw in software.
It's about software deliberatly coded in such way that it performs in ways it should.
Nobody want to post anything to dx clusters that they are not aware of, or responsible for.
This group is for support and use of ROS software and naturally this is a matter of discussion.
LA1BNA Svein

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "zl3gh" <gfhammond@...> wrote:
>
> Hi All,
>
> I have been observing the posts on this group quietly in the background and now beginning to understand why it is so hard to get young people interested in becoming a ham operator.
>
> Please have a look at what the topics are about and they way in which people have responded. Is this a good example of what ham radio is about? Is this how we should portray ourselves to the younger generation? I suspect they would move rather quickly to somewhere else when coming across a group like this.
>
> I am bemused that there is so much discontent about the various ways the ROS software works when the answer to me is fairly simple...stop using it and go find another digital mode to spend your valuable time on. I will in the meantime, continue to use ROS complete with its flaws to help me do what I really want to do and that is to communicate with other like minded hams. After all, is that not what amateur radio is REALLY about?
>
> Remember, even this group is a public forum and our behaviour will be judged by the content of groups like this.
>
> 73's
> Gary
> ZL3GH ROS 4/500 7055
>

1048. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Message to all

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 21:48:03 UTC
From: (no author)
Topic: 1044 Message: 1048

Gary,

Dont understand with the ROS problem has to do with young amateurs.
The mode is fine and it is good that someone is experimenting with it.

What is a problem is that the software is spotting on a cluster
pretending that a radio amateur station has made a qso while it did not
make a qso. This is piracy.

Solution is so simple:
Change the program that is the comments it says "autospotted ROS
received xxx DB in locator" and let people choose their own cluster, and
let them choose if they want to have the autospotting on or of.

73 Henk PA5KT

Op 10-07-2010 23:09, zl3gh schreef:
> Hi All,
>
> I have been observing the posts on this group quietly in the background and now beginning to understand why it is so hard to get young people interested in becoming a ham operator.
>
> Please have a look at what the topics are about and they way in which people have responded. Is this a good example of what ham radio is about? Is this how we should portray ourselves to the younger generation? I suspect they would move rather quickly to somewhere else when coming across a group like this.
>
> I am bemused that there is so much discontent about the various ways the ROS software works when the answer to me is fairly simple...stop using it and go find another digital mode to spend your valuable time on. I will in the meantime, continue to use ROS complete with its flaws to help me do what I really want to do and that is to communicate with other like minded hams. After all, is that not what amateur radio is REALLY about?
>
> Remember, even this group is a public forum and our behaviour will be judged by the content of groups like this.
>
> 73's
> Gary
> ZL3GH ROS 4/500 7055
>

--
Henk Remijn PA5KT
email: pa5kt@...
www: www.pa5kt.com

1049. ROS program and usage hints

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 22:54:15 UTC
From: zl3gh
Topic: 1049 Message: 1049

Hi All,

I have used ROS for a while now and use the following tips to improve my chances of a QSO:

- Turn off cat control. The program allows you to select any mode if it can't determine the frequency you are on.
- Use a different rig control program. I use HRD as it enables me to control the rig much better than omnirig and I can link in the logbook as well.
- If conditions are such that you can use 8 or 16 2250Hz, then you can probably communicate using a number of other modes. My location suffers from a fair amount of QRM so having the filters wide open for the 2250 modes is close to a waste of time.
- For the hard to decode signals, 4/500 seems to be king. Being in ZL, the only 'neighbours' are VK's so anything else is a long way off.
- Definitely use the filtering on the rig (if available) on 4/500. My experimentation has shown that filters vs no filters is a no brainer in poor conditions. I have an Icom IC-7000 so I can tailor the filter response to suit ROS 4/500.
- Small frequency drifts will drop sync very quickly. I have tried to change the RX frequency in slow 1 Hz steps once sync has occurred and every time I lose sync.
- The in-built S/N message is too long for 4/500. I am now starting to abbreviate to -11/-18 where the first is signal and the second is margin.
- Keep up to date with the programs. Jose tends to churn out regular releases

73's
Gary
ZL3GH

1050. ROS Developer will continue to auto-spot despite complaints

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 23:05:31 UTC
From: Laurie, VK3AMA
Topic: 1050 Message: 1050

from his website
http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/2010/07/10/ros-and-cluster/

Jose says...

> ROS uses a system that send reports to the DX Cluster automatically.
>
> This is useful to know who are listen you and the system is done so as not to saturate the cluster (only send some spot).
>
> If you are not agree with this function that help to the communication, don?t use ROS software.

Interpret that as you want.

de Laurie, VK3AMA

1051. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS program and usage hints

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-10 23:54:05 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1049 Message: 1051

>Hi All,

>I have used ROS for a while now and use the following tips to improve my chances of a QSO:

>- Turn off cat control. The program allows you to select any mode if it can't determine the frequency you are on.
>- Use a different rig control program. I use HRD as it enables me to control the rig much better than omnirig and I can link in the logbook as well.
>- If conditions are such that you can use 8 or 16 2250Hz, then you can probably communicate using a number of other modes. My location suffers from a fair >amount of QRM so having the filters wide open for the 2250 modes is close to a waste of time.
>- For the hard to decode signals, 4/500 seems to be king. Being in ZL, the only 'neighbours' are VK's so anything else is a long way off.
>- Definitely use the filtering on the rig (if available) on 4/500. My experimentation has shown that filters vs no filters is a no brainer in poor conditions. I have an >Icom IC-7000 so I can tailor the filter response to suit ROS 4/500.
>- Small frequency drifts will drop sync very quickly. I have tried to change the RX frequency in slow 1 Hz steps once sync has occurred and every time I lose >sync.
>- The in-built S/N message is too long for 4/500. I am now starting to abbreviate to -11/-18 where the first is signal and the second is margin.
>- Keep up to date with the programs. Jose tends to churn out regular releases

>73's
>Gary
>ZL3GH

And another one from me:

If you do not want that ros sends spot to a cluster > just ad a free firewall to your pc and forbid the adif exe to connect to the net

Software runs as you have no internet access like being on a fieldday . so the software runs fine without the autospotfunction

As the email sending is done from the ros software itself you should allow that if you wanna send the emails to respond to a received emailadress

If you just wanna use ros without autospot AND without emailsending you can switch the emailfunction in the software to off (click on I have no internet access)

Surely jose will be angry on me for that tip BUT that seems to be the only solution till he does delete that autospotting ! ! !

I hope that he does not reinvent the mad house (people know what I mean) and puts my call in it for that tip

He should better ask us hams what we need and not do what he thinks we need

Dg9bfc

Sigi

1052. Re: ROS program and usage hints

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-11 00:41:52 UTC
From: zl3gh
Topic: 1049 Message: 1052

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
...
> And another one from me:
>
> If you do not want that ros sends spot to a cluster > just ad a free firewall to your pc and forbid the adif exe to connect to the net
>
> Software runs as you have no internet access like being on a fieldday . so the software runs fine without the autospotfunction
>
> As the email sending is done from the ros software itself you should allow that if you wanna send the emails to respond to a received emailadress
>
> If you just wanna use ros without autospot AND without emailsending you can switch the emailfunction in the software to off (click on I have no internet access)

A good tip.

> Surely jose will be angry on me for that tip BUT that seems to be the only
> solution till he does delete that autospotting ! ! !
>
> I hope that he does not reinvent the mad house (people know what I mean) and
> puts my call in it for that tip
>
> He should better ask us hams what we need and not do what he thinks we need
>

Actually no, he does not have to do that at all. If Jose chooses not to act on feedback, that is his prerogative.

Nobody is forcing you to use the software so you can choose to either live with the functionality as it exists or not. Workarounds are an acceptable solution if software doesn't work as intended or desired. The call to employ workarounds or not, is completely yours.

Perhaps if suggestions for new/changed functionality were presented in a manner that was more constructive then you may see a more positive outcome. Accusations, finger pointing, innuendo and derogatory comments are not the best tools for negotiation.

> Dg9bfc
>
> Sigi
>

1053. I hope the complainers are all now happy...

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-11 04:13:26 UTC
From: zl3gh
Topic: 1053 Message: 1053

...that the future development of ROS is finished.

Perhaps the creator of this group can close it down.

1054. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Developer will continue to auto-spot despite complaints

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-11 08:36:08 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1050 Message: 1054

//What a charming guy..

la5vna

On 11.07.2010 01:05, Laurie, VK3AMA wrote:
> from his website
> http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/2010/07/10/ros-and-cluster/
>
> Jose says...
>
>
>> ROS uses a system that send reports to the DX Cluster automatically.
>>
>> This is useful to know who are listen you and the system is done so as not to saturate the cluster (only send some spot).
>>
>> If you are not agree with this function that help to the communication, don?t use ROS software.
>>
> Interpret that as you want.
>
> de Laurie, VK3AMA
>
>
> ----------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

1055. Prevent ROS from sending spots

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-11 10:04:14 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1049 Message: 1055

I don't care if Mr. ROS will be angry on me, but here is another way of blocking this "back-door" software. You can use a sandbox and run ROS in a totally isolated environment . Here is a great software made by Ronen Tzur: http://www.sandboxie.com/

Sandboxie is free and easy to use, but remember to block out Internet access like this: -From the menu choose: Sandbox/Defaultbox/Restriction/ "Internet Access" and choose "Block all Programs" .

A nice thing with Sandboxie is the "terminate function" . When ROS thinks it has taken control over your computer , the only thing you have to do is to terminate all programs in the sandbox. Then ROS is gone and your system is clean.

LA5VNA Steinar

On 11.07.2010 01:54, Siegfried Jackstien wrote:
>> Hi All,
>
>> I have used ROS for a while now and use the following tips to improve my
> chances of a QSO:
>
>> - Turn off cat control. The program allows you to select any mode if it
> can't determine the frequency you are on.
>> - Use a different rig control program. I use HRD as it enables me to
> control the rig much better than omnirig and I can link in the logbook as
> well.
>> - If conditions are such that you can use 8 or 16 2250Hz, then you can
> probably communicate using a number of other modes. My location
suffers from
> a fair >amount of QRM so having the filters wide open for the 2250
modes is
> close to a waste of time.
>> - For the hard to decode signals, 4/500 seems to be king. Being in
ZL, the
> only 'neighbours' are VK's so anything else is a long way off.
>> - Definitely use the filtering on the rig (if available) on 4/500. My
> experimentation has shown that filters vs no filters is a no brainer
in poor
> conditions. I have an >Icom IC-7000 so I can tailor the filter response to
> suit ROS 4/500.
>> - Small frequency drifts will drop sync very quickly. I have tried to
> change the RX frequency in slow 1 Hz steps once sync has occurred and
every
> time I lose >sync.
>> - The in-built S/N message is too long for 4/500. I am now starting to

> abbreviate to -11/-18 where the first is signal and the second is margin.
>> - Keep up to date with the programs. Jose tends to churn out regular
> releases
>
>> 73's
>> Gary
>> ZL3GH
>
> And another one from me:
>
> If you do not want that ros sends spot to a cluster > just ad a free
> firewall to your pc and forbid the adif exe to connect to the net
>
> Software runs as you have no internet access like being on a fieldday . so
> the software runs fine without the autospotfunction
>
> As the email sending is done from the ros software itself you should allow
> that if you wanna send the emails to respond to a received emailadress
>
> If you just wanna use ros without autospot AND without emailsending
you can
> switch the emailfunction in the software to off (click on I have no
internet
> access)
>
> Surely jose will be angry on me for that tip BUT that seems to be the only
> solution till he does delete that autospotting ! ! !
>
> I hope that he does not reinvent the mad house (people know what I
mean) and
> puts my call in it for that tip
>
> He should better ask us hams what we need and not do what he thinks we
need
>
> Dg9bfc
>
> Sigi
>
>
>
>

1056. Re: I hope the complainers are all now happy...

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-11 13:00:00 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1053 Message: 1056

I think he should leave it for all to see as a tribute to
Technical inaptitude and mass hysteria

G..

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "zl3gh" <gfhammond@...> wrote:
>
> ...that the future development of ROS is finished.
>
> Perhaps the creator of this group can close it down.
>

1057. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: I hope the complainers are all now happy...

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-11 13:27:42 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1053 Message: 1057

An arrogant comment, in spirit of Mr.Ros

S

On 11.07.2010 15:00, graham787 wrote:
> I think he should leave it for all to see as a tribute to
> Technical inaptitude and mass hysteria
>
> G..
>
>
>
>
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "zl3gh" <gfhammond@...> wrote:
>
>> ...that the future development of ROS is finished.
>>
>> Perhaps the creator of this group can close it down.
>>
>>
>
>
>

1058. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Prevent ROS from sending spots

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-11 16:22:35 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1049 Message: 1058

Steinar,

Sandbox price list says EU 23.- for life. Am I wrong?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: Jul 11, 2010 6:04 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, * Digitalradio <digitalradio@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Prevent ROS from sending spots
>
>I don't care if Mr. ROS will be angry on me, but here is another way of
>blocking this "back-door" software. You can use a sandbox and run ROS in
>a totally isolated environment . Here is a great software made by Ronen
>Tzur: http://www.sandboxie.com/
>
>Sandboxie is free and easy to use, but remember to block out Internet
>access like this: -From the menu choose: Sandbox/Defaultbox/Restriction/
>"Internet Access" and choose "Block all Programs" .
>
>A nice thing with Sandboxie is the "terminate function" . When ROS
>thinks it has taken control over your computer , the only thing you have
>to do is to terminate all programs in the sandbox. Then ROS is gone and
>your system is clean.
>
>LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>On 11.07.2010 01:54, Siegfried Jackstien wrote:
>>> Hi All,
>>
>>> I have used ROS for a while now and use the following tips to improve my
>> chances of a QSO:
>>
>>> - Turn off cat control. The program allows you to select any mode if it
>> can't determine the frequency you are on.
>>> - Use a different rig control program. I use HRD as it enables me to
>> control the rig much better than omnirig and I can link in the logbook as
>> well.
>>> - If conditions are such that you can use 8 or 16 2250Hz, then you can
>> probably communicate using a number of other modes. My location

>suffers from
>> a fair >amount of QRM so having the filters wide open for the 2250
>modes is
>> close to a waste of time.
>>> - For the hard to decode signals, 4/500 seems to be king. Being in
>ZL, the
>> only 'neighbours' are VK's so anything else is a long way off.
>>> - Definitely use the filtering on the rig (if available) on 4/500. My
>> experimentation has shown that filters vs no filters is a no brainer
>in poor
>> conditions. I have an >Icom IC-7000 so I can tailor the filter response to
>> suit ROS 4/500.
>>> - Small frequency drifts will drop sync very quickly. I have tried to
>> change the RX frequency in slow 1 Hz steps once sync has occurred and
>every
>> time I lose >sync.
>>> - The in-built S/N message is too long for 4/500. I am now starting to
>> abbreviate to -11/-18 where the first is signal and the second is margin.
>>> - Keep up to date with the programs. Jose tends to churn out regular
>> releases
>>
>>> 73's
>>> Gary
>>> ZL3GH
>>
>> And another one from me:
>>
>> If you do not want that ros sends spot to a cluster > just ad a free
>> firewall to your pc and forbid the adif exe to connect to the net
>>
>> Software runs as you have no internet access like being on a fieldday . so
>> the software runs fine without the autospotfunction
>>
>> As the email sending is done from the ros software itself you should allow
>> that if you wanna send the emails to respond to a received emailadress
>>
>> If you just wanna use ros without autospot AND without emailsending
>you can
>> switch the emailfunction in the software to off (click on I have no
>internet
>> access)
>>
>> Surely jose will be angry on me for that tip BUT that seems to be the only
>> solution till he does delete that autospotting ! ! !
>>
>> I hope that he does not reinvent the mad house (people know what I
>mean) and
>> puts my call in it for that tip
>>
>> He should better ask us hams what we need and not do what he thinks we

>need
>>
>> Dg9bfc
>>
>> Sigi
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1059. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Prevent ROS from sending spots

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-11 17:02:06 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1049 Message: 1059

Yes , but you can use it for free with some minor restriction and a nag screen
when you have used Sandboxie for more than 30 days.

la5vna Steinar

On 11.07.2010 18:22, rein0zn@... wrote:
> Steinar,
>
>
> Sandbox price list says EU 23.- for life. Am I wrong?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
>> From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>> Sent: Jul 11, 2010 6:04 AM
>> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, * Digitalradio <digitalradio@yahoogroups.com>
>> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Prevent ROS from sending spots
>>
>> I don't care if Mr. ROS will be angry on me, but here is another way of
>> blocking this "back-door" software. You can use a sandbox and run ROS in
>> a totally isolated environment . Here is a great software made by Ronen
>> Tzur: http://www.sandboxie.com/
>>
>> Sandboxie is free and easy to use, but remember to block out Internet
>> access like this: -From the menu choose: Sandbox/Defaultbox/Restriction/
>> "Internet Access" and choose "Block all Programs" .
>>
>> A nice thing with Sandboxie is the "terminate function" . When ROS
>> thinks it has taken control over your computer , the only thing you have
>> to do is to terminate all programs in the sandbox. Then ROS is gone and
>> your system is clean.
>>
>> LA5VNA Steinar
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On 11.07.2010 01:54, Siegfried Jackstien wrote:
>>

>>>> Hi All,
>>>>
>>>
>>>> I have used ROS for a while now and use the following tips to improve my
>>>>
>>> chances of a QSO:
>>>
>>>
>>>> - Turn off cat control. The program allows you to select any mode if it
>>>>
>>> can't determine the frequency you are on.
>>>
>>>> - Use a different rig control program. I use HRD as it enables me to
>>>>
>>> control the rig much better than omnirig and I can link in the logbook as
>>> well.
>>>
>>>> - If conditions are such that you can use 8 or 16 2250Hz, then you can
>>>>
>>> probably communicate using a number of other modes. My location
>>>
>> suffers from
>>
>>> a fair >amount of QRM so having the filters wide open for the 2250
>>>
>> modes is
>>
>>> close to a waste of time.
>>>
>>>> - For the hard to decode signals, 4/500 seems to be king. Being in
>>>>
>> ZL, the
>>
>>> only 'neighbours' are VK's so anything else is a long way off.
>>>
>>>> - Definitely use the filtering on the rig (if available) on 4/500. My
>>>>
>>> experimentation has shown that filters vs no filters is a no brainer
>>>
>> in poor
>>
>>> conditions. I have an >Icom IC-7000 so I can tailor the filter response to
>>> suit ROS 4/500.
>>>
>>>> - Small frequency drifts will drop sync very quickly. I have tried to
>>>>
>>> change the RX frequency in slow 1 Hz steps once sync has occurred and
>>>
>> every
>>

>>> time I lose >sync.
>>>
>>>> - The in-built S/N message is too long for 4/500. I am now starting to
>>>>
>>> abbreviate to -11/-18 where the first is signal and the second is margin.
>>>
>>>> - Keep up to date with the programs. Jose tends to churn out regular
>>>>
>>> releases
>>>
>>>
>>>> 73's
>>>> Gary
>>>> ZL3GH
>>>>
>>> And another one from me:
>>>
>>> If you do not want that ros sends spot to a cluster > just ad a free
>>> firewall to your pc and forbid the adif exe to connect to the net
>>>
>>> Software runs as you have no internet access like being on a fieldday . so
>>> the software runs fine without the autospotfunction
>>>
>>> As the email sending is done from the ros software itself you should allow
>>> that if you wanna send the emails to respond to a received emailadress
>>>
>>> If you just wanna use ros without autospot AND without emailsending
>>>
>> you can
>>
>>> switch the emailfunction in the software to off (click on I have no
>>>
>> internet
>>
>>> access)
>>>
>>> Surely jose will be angry on me for that tip BUT that seems to be the only
>>> solution till he does delete that autospotting ! ! !
>>>
>>> I hope that he does not reinvent the mad house (people know what I
>>>
>> mean) and
>>
>>> puts my call in it for that tip
>>>
>>> He should better ask us hams what we need and not do what he thinks we
>>>
>> need
>>
>>> Dg9bfc

```
>>>
>>> Sigi
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ------------------------------------
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
```

1060. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Prevent ROS from sending spots

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-11 17:23:24 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1049 Message: 1060

RR Steinar,

Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: Jul 11, 2010 1:02 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Prevent ROS from sending spots
>
>
>Yes , but you can use it for free with some minor restriction and a nag
>screen
>when you have used Sandboxie for more than 30 days.
>
>la5vna Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>On 11.07.2010 18:22, rein0zn@... wrote:
>> Steinar,
>>
>>
>> Sandbox price list says EU 23.- for life. Am I wrong?
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>>
>>> From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>>> Sent: Jul 11, 2010 6:04 AM
>>> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, * Digitalradio
<digitalradio@yahoogroups.com>
>>> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Prevent ROS from sending spots
>>>
>>> I don't care if Mr. ROS will be angry on me, but here is another way of
>>> blocking this "back-door" software. You can use a sandbox and run ROS in
>>> a totally isolated environment . Here is a great software made by Ronen
>>> Tzur: http://www.sandboxie.com/
>>>

>>> Sandboxie is free and easy to use, but remember to block out Internet
>>> access like this: -From the menu choose: Sandbox/Defaultbox/Restriction/
>>> "Internet Access" and choose "Block all Programs" .
>>>
>>> A nice thing with Sandboxie is the "terminate function" . When ROS
>>> thinks it has taken control over your computer , the only thing you have
>>> to do is to terminate all programs in the sandbox. Then ROS is gone and
>>> your system is clean.
>>>
>>> LA5VNA Steinar
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> On 11.07.2010 01:54, Siegfried Jackstien wrote:
>>>
>>>>> Hi All,
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> I have used ROS for a while now and use the following tips to improve my
>>>>>
>>>> chances of a QSO:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> - Turn off cat control. The program allows you to select any mode if it
>>>>>
>>>> can't determine the frequency you are on.
>>>>
>>>>> - Use a different rig control program. I use HRD as it enables me to
>>>>>
>>>> control the rig much better than omnirig and I can link in the logbook as
>>>> well.
>>>>
>>>>> - If conditions are such that you can use 8 or 16 2250Hz, then you can
>>>>>
>>>> probably communicate using a number of other modes. My location
>>>>
>>> suffers from
>>>
>>>> a fair >amount of QRM so having the filters wide open for the 2250
>>>>
>>> modes is
>>>
>>>> close to a waste of time.
>>>>
>>>>> - For the hard to decode signals, 4/500 seems to be king. Being in
>>>>>
>>> ZL, the

>>>
>>>> only 'neighbours' are VK's so anything else is a long way off.
>>>>
>>>>> - Definitely use the filtering on the rig (if available) on 4/500. My
>>>>>
>>>> experimentation has shown that filters vs no filters is a no brainer
>>>>
>>> in poor
>>>
>>>> conditions. I have an >Icom IC-7000 so I can tailor the filter response to
>>>> suit ROS 4/500.
>>>>
>>>>> - Small frequency drifts will drop sync very quickly. I have tried to
>>>>>
>>>> change the RX frequency in slow 1 Hz steps once sync has occurred and
>>>>
>>> every
>>>
>>>> time I lose >sync.
>>>>
>>>>> - The in-built S/N message is too long for 4/500. I am now starting to
>>>>>
>>>> abbreviate to -11/-18 where the first is signal and the second is margin.
>>>>
>>>>> - Keep up to date with the programs. Jose tends to churn out regular
>>>>>
>>>> releases
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> 73's
>>>>> Gary
>>>>> ZL3GH
>>>>>
>>>> And another one from me:
>>>>
>>>> If you do not want that ros sends spot to a cluster > just ad a free
>>>> firewall to your pc and forbid the adif exe to connect to the net
>>>>
>>>> Software runs as you have no internet access like being on a fieldday . so
>>>> the software runs fine without the autospotfunction
>>>>
>>>> As the email sending is done from the ros software itself you should allow
>>>> that if you wanna send the emails to respond to a received emailadress
>>>>
>>>> If you just wanna use ros without autospot AND without emailsending
>>>>
>>> you can
>>>
>>>> switch the emailfunction in the software to off (click on I have no
>>>>

>>> internet
>>>
>>>> access)
>>>>
>>>> Surely jose will be angry on me for that tip BUT that seems to be the only
>>>> solution till he does delete that autospotting ! ! !
>>>>
>>>> I hope that he does not reinvent the mad house (people know what I
>>>>
>>> mean) and
>>>
>>>> puts my call in it for that tip
>>>>
>>>> He should better ask us hams what we need and not do what he thinks we
>>>>
>>> need
>>>
>>>> Dg9bfc
>>>>
>>>> Sigi
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> ------------------------------------
>>>
>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1062. Re: [digitalradio] Aother US fake call (station)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-11 19:38:34 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1062 Message: 1062

Hi Rein

I think we have enough to assume that there is something fishy with this
guy and his software.

I admit that odd characters like Mr. Ros is fascinating, and it has been
exiting to investigate his back door software,
but I will leave it for now until his next release. Then we will see
what new "Trojans" he has hidden in to it ;)

la5vna Steinar

On 11.07.2010 20:23, Rein A wrote:
> Perhaps some body with the right tracking capabilities can research
> the path of this message.
>
> There has been no propagation over the last couple of hours between SM6
> and California.
>
> It looks to me there are more questions here than just blaming
> the ROS software, Though I can be wrong as I often am.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
>

1063. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] Aother US fake call (station)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-11 21:51:30 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1062 Message: 1063

Dear Steinar,

Thanks.

[I noticed the message not to repeat things. }

I agree with all your research, observations, and largely your conclusions.

Indeed the overall thread through all of this is Jose's character/behavior.

It creates a big problem for me to defend him, really.

Still want to believe he is probably a smart person, never wanting to admit failures, not even wanting to communicate or reach out to people that try to understand him.
Really have no idea how old he is. Older people sometimes get wiser, not smarter. I have had dealings with software engineers in my work and am not good in interacting with them. They often feel superior, it works as a red flag like playing police man.

Best is to leave them in their cubicles! Above informing others what and how he is doing it.

I see what he is doing as writing an efficient program, advanced, might tell his friends,if he has any, how he ads features to his programming etc etc.

Unfortunately he does not know the area he is working in (amateur radio and its history) He will not listen to advice, I can go on and on. A situation hard to work with for me at least.

I have been told indirectly by the same UK engineer that the fact that Jose has no call, I should not use my call!!! It is as much nonsense as Jose is telling us. Having no license does nobody prevent knowing about amateur radio IMHO

It might be impossible to get or hold a job as a software engineer for Jose, who knows. Must be very difficult for him to work in a group, under a manager, participate in meetings with colleagues, discuss programming and the tasks with other programmers.

I observe here that ROS traffic outside the US has come to a virtual stop. Perhaps the soccer business? I do not know and will, see tomorrow. (using WEBSDR's)

In a way sorry to have started this phase of the ROS circus. My interest
is US related only. And the interest in that subject is ZERO here.
ROS was written off right after the ARRL announcement and few wanted
to question the reasons behind it. As said NO interest. won't
dwell on particular reasons for that (private ones)

Time will tell. Who knows. May be I will write a book about it and
ask ARRL to publish is as " The ROS Modem story"

I wished you and I could talk over the phone and we could discuss
are slightly different visions.

I am getting tired of this as there seems to be no support for this
on these reflector, I know there are members here who agree
with more or less what I am trying to do. They mostly hide in the
silently observing group, so be it.

I am not a psycho analyst etc. Most members are really not interested
in ROS or its back ground. I will try other ways.

I always enjoy and read your observations Steinar and respect them, was impressed
with your accomplishments in the early days of HF WSJT.

73 Rein W6SZ

http://www.nitehawk.com/w6sz/top_sz.html

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: Jul 11, 2010 3:38 PM
>To: digitalradio@yahoogroups.com, * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU
<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] Aother US fake call (station)
>
>Hi Rein
>
>I think we have enough to assume that there is something fishy with this
>guy and his software.
>
>I admit that odd characters like Mr. Ros is fascinating, and it has been
>exiting to investigate his back door software,
>but I will leave it for now until his next release. Then we will see
>what new "Trojans" he has hidden in to it ;)
>
>la5vna Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>On 11.07.2010 20:23, Rein A wrote:

>> Perhaps some body with the right tracking capabilities can research
>> the path of this message.
>>
>> There has been no propagation over the last couple of hours between SM6
>> and California.
>>
>> It looks to me there are more questions here than just blaming
>> the ROS software, Though I can be wrong as I often am.
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1064. SHUT DOWN

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-11 23:01:43 UTC
From: wd4kpd
Topic: 1064 Message: 1064

CLOSING GROUP TOMORROW EVE.
IF YOU NEED TO COPY ANYTHING, GET ER DONE !

DAVID/WD4KPD

1065. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] SHUT DOWN

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 00:30:34 UTC
From: Howard Small
Topic: 1064 Message: 1065

Why? Surely the group, having in excess of 140 members, has a life of it's own now? If you do not wish to moderate in future why not just pass ownership to a group member who does?

What if ROS users wish to keep in touch and exchange information, support, etc?

I started many groups (e.g. clansman radios, PRC2000, etc) and would never take it up on myself to just close it down as, having many members, the group really belongs to them, not me. Just because I started it does not give me a right, morally speaking, to kill it off together with all the contributions made by its members.

So, I am asking that you make me, or anyone else you choose, a moderator/owner and leave it to us.

Regards

Howard
VK4BS

On 12/07/2010 09:01, wd4kpd wrote:
>
> CLOSING GROUP TOMORROW EVE.
> IF YOU NEED TO COPY ANYTHING, GET ER DONE !
>
> DAVID/WD4KPD
>
>

1066. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] SHUT DOWN

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 00:32:17 UTC
From: Peter L. Jackson
Topic: 1064 Message: 1066

To David: WD4KPD,

On Behalf of the silent majority I wish to thank you on
the effort to positively support Jose',
and the Rosdigitalmoderngroup.
Thank you mate ...
Peter
VK6KXW
vk6kxw@...

On 12/07/2010 7:01 AM, wd4kpd wrote:
> CLOSING GROUP TOMORROW EVE.
> IF YOU NEED TO COPY ANYTHING, GET ER DONE !
>
> DAVID/WD4KPD
>
>
>
>
> ---
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1067. Group to continue

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 03:16:02 UTC
From: Howard
Topic: 1067 Message: 1067

Hi all,

David, who got this group going and has served us all well during some pretty difficult times, has decided it is time to move on. So that those interested in ROS can continue to exchange ideas and support each other he has agreed that the group should continue.

To this end David has transferred ownership to me. I shall try to do as well as he has in keeping it running. All I ask is that we be positive in the sense that the purpose of the group is to support each other in our use of ROS and exploration of data communications of this type.

73

Howard
VK4BS

1068. Fwd: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-08 20:11:02 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1068 Message: 1068

Hoho, world champion in typo. Here is what I was trying to explain:

Then try to type c:>telnet 90.225.73.203 8000 , then you will see that this is TELNET and that explains the funny call sign . When people is banned to use this software they are using a fake call sign . This fake call sign is then sent to the cluster when people are in RX mode.

I hope the is better...

LA5VNA Steinar

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word
Date: Thu, 08 Jul 2010 21:26:30 +0200
From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
Reply-To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
To: * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>

Hi Rain

You have absolutely right . ROS are sending data from your PC to the cluster. Try to type the IP address 90.225.73.203:8000 into your browser and you get this:

login: GET / HTTP/1.1

Host: 90.225.73.203:8000
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows; U; Windows NT 5.1; nb-NO; rv:1.9.2.6) Gecko/20100625 Firefox/3.6.6
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8
Accept-Language: nb,no;q=0.8,nn;q=0.6,en-us;q=0.4,en;q=0.2
Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate
Accept-Charset: ISO-8859-1,utf-8;q=0.7,*;q=0.7
Keep-Alive: 115
Connection: keep-alive

Sorry GET / HTTP/1.1 is an invalid callsign

Then try to type c:>telnet 90.225.73.203 8000 , then you will see that this is TELNET and that explains the funny call sings . Whe people is bande in this software whey are using a fake call sign . This fake call sign is the sent to the cluster when people is in RX mode.

I hope this is understandable .

LA5VNA Steinar

On 08.07.2010 20:53, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
>
> Hi Rein
>
> After reading your mail about ROS and the HamSpots , I have done some
> testing. I have monitored the activity of the latest ROS v4.5.7 in RX
> mode. I have been using Process Explorer from Sysinternals (microsoft)
> .With The Process Explorer you have the possibility to see the network
> activity in real time .
>
> What I fount out was that the ADIFdata2 module in ROS was trying to
> connect to the address: 90.225.73.203, 217.31.161.71,8 or
> 217.31.161.34.50 on port 8000 and sending data from my computer.
>
> LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> On 08.07.2010 05:20, Rein A wrote:
>>
>> Thank you, Laurei:
>>
>> Where Do The Spots Come From?
>> 08-Jul-2010 14:45utc
>> There has been much internet speculation that HamSpots gets the ROS
> spots directly from the ROS Software. This is INCORRECT.
>> ROS spots are retrieved from the DX Cluster ONLY.
>> This site has no relationship with the ROS software or its developer.
>>
>> HamSpots maintains a private dedicated Cluster Node and processes all
> incoming spots to that node to determine the mode being used (ROS, PSK,
> RTTY, SSTV, HELL, etc.) to display correctly on the individual Mode Pages.
>>
>> HamSpots also takes direct feeds from the PSKReporter Network (thanks
> to N1DQ) and the JT65 Reverse Beacon Network (thanks to W6CQZ).
>>
>>
>> 73 Rein, W6SZ
>>
>>
>
>

1069. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-09 17:04:19 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1000 Message: 1069

RR Steinar, tnx

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: radionorway <saanes@...>
>Sent: Jul 9, 2010 7:05 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word
>
>
>Hi Rein
>
>You don't need a password.
>
>73 LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>
>>
>> Hi Steinar,
>>
>> I tried the telnet connection.
>>
>> Do you think ROS Modem provides username and password for this?
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
>> >Sent: Jul 8, 2010 4:40 PM
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word
>> >
>> >Correct
>> >
>> >Jose added that function to make rosa live . but now as we opened pandorras
>> >box we should know how that function works
>> >
>> >You can switch the gmail on again
>> >

>> >The spots have NOTHING to do with the gmail address
>> >
>> >If I cmake a qsy (work on different band than 20(I open the adif tab and
>> >click on export
>> >
>> >Even if in the tab is standing NOCALL . I store it that the soft knows the
>> >actall band info
>> >
>> >Now when the soft sends a spot the qrg is correct
>> >
>> >With cat control the qrg is set via the frequency tab . but I can not use
>> >that so I have to make it a bit different
>> >
>> >Greetz
>> >
>> >sigi
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1070. Re: Fwd: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS telnet

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 05:59:08 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1070 Message: 1070

Hi Steinar,

Thanks. I get it.

Not all those US calls are fake though.
Some US users of ROS do not know about the situation.
Not all radio amateurs in US are ARRL member or read their
publications, etc.,even as ARRL member.

(I am a member and read most of what they publish)

Also, I used digital modes long before I learned about the
specific Yahoo groups. Most of the time I get the stuff
going by reading the manual when it does not work right away.

I could have been happily using ROS modem if I wasn't so
curious. We all are supposed the know the laws though,
as you know that is the case in Norway well as here.
Jose asked me to be a tester at the time that is how
I learned about ROS.

BTW have had the receiver on all day and not seen my call
on Hamspots with "no internet" selected in the software.

(I am a member and read most of what they publish)

Have I provided my google password to a server somewhere?
Any real idea's about that.
I assumed that service was between Google's gmail and me
and not between Jose and me.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Sent: Jul 8, 2010 4:11 PM
>To: * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>, * Digitalradio
<digitalradio@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Fwd: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word
>
>
>Hoho, world champion in typo. Here is what I was trying to explain:
>
>
>Then try to type c:>telnet 90.225.73.203 8000 , then you will see that
>this is TELNET and that explains the funny call sign . When people is
>banned to use this software they are using a fake call sign . This fake call

>sign is then sent to the cluster when people are in RX mode.
>
>
>
>I hope the is better...
>
>LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>-------- Original Message --------
>Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] HAMSPOTS the final word
>Date: Thu, 08 Jul 2010 21:26:30 +0200
>From: Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...>
>Reply-To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>To: * ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
>
>
>Hi Rain
>
>You have absolutely right . ROS are sending data from your PC to the
>cluster. Try to type the IP address 90.225.73.203:8000 into your
>browser and you get this:
>
>login: GET / HTTP/1.1
>
>Host: 90.225.73.203:8000
>User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows; U; Windows NT 5.1; nb-NO; rv:1.9.2.6)
>Gecko/20100625 Firefox/3.6.6
>Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8
>Accept-Language: nb,no;q=0.8,nn;q=0.6,en-us;q=0.4,en;q=0.2
>Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate
>Accept-Charset: ISO-8859-1,utf-8;q=0.7,*;q=0.7
>Keep-Alive: 115
>Connection: keep-alive
>
>Sorry GET / HTTP/1.1 is an invalid callsign
>
>
>-------------------------
>
>
>Then try to type c:>telnet 90.225.73.203 8000 , then you will see that
>this is TELNET and that explains the funny call sings . Whe people is
>bande in this software whey are using a fake call sign . This fake call
>sign is the sent to the cluster when people is in RX mode.
>
>I hope this is understandable .

>
>
>LA5VNA Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>On 08.07.2010 20:53, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
>>
>> Hi Rein
>>
>> After reading your mail about ROS and the HamSpots , I have done some
>> testing. I have monitored the activity of the latest ROS v4.5.7 in RX
>> mode. I have been using Process Explorer from Sysinternals (microsoft)
>> .With The Process Explorer you have the possibility to see the network
>> activity in real time .
>>
>> What I fount out was that the ADIFdata2 module in ROS was trying to
>> connect to the address: 90.225.73.203, 217.31.161.71,8 or
>> 217.31.161.34.50 on port 8000 and sending data from my computer.
>>
>> LA5VNA Steinar
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On 08.07.2010 05:20, Rein A wrote:
>>>
>>> Thank you, Laurei:
>>>
>>> Where Do The Spots Come From?
>>> 08-Jul-2010 14:45utc
>>> There has been much internet speculation that HamSpots gets the ROS
>> spots directly from the ROS Software. This is INCORRECT.

>>> ROS spots are retrieved from the DX Cluster ONLY.
>>> This site has no relationship with the ROS software or its developer.
>>>
>>> HamSpots maintains a private dedicated Cluster Node and processes all
>> incoming spots to that node to determine the mode being used (ROS, PSK,
>> RTTY, SSTV, HELL, etc.) to display correctly on the individual Mode Pages.
>>>
>>> HamSpots also takes direct feeds from the PSKReporter Network (thanks
>> to N1DQ) and the JT65 Reverse Beacon Network (thanks to W6CQZ).
>>>
>>>
>>> 73 Rein, W6SZ
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1071. Just a couple of points...

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 07:05:40 UTC
From: Howard
Topic: 1071 Message: 1071

Rein very kindly set up an alternative yahoo group named Rosusa or similar which is the correct forum for discussing issues specific to ROS operations in the US, e.g., is it legal?; what is the FCC ruling; how has the ARRL dealt with ROS.

Please use that group for discussions of that type.

That leaves this group free to discuss the technical aspects and user experiences of ROS. I intend to have all members unmoderated but for any that are presently moderated this may take a little while (I have many other commitments).

Posts that attack individuals will not be welcome and members that do so will have be reminded of this policy and have their posts moderated thereafter. Members who cannot restrain themselves will be removed from the group.

I don't imagine removal will be necessary but I believe it is worth setting some ground rules so we all know where we stand and so we can focus on our amateur activities.

One last thing, to avoid the risk of a group dying because the owner becomes unavailable for any reason I try to have at least two other moderators for each group: do I have any volunteers?

Thanks,

Howard
VK4BS

1072. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] SHUT DOWN

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 08:11:06 UTC
From: George Galea
Topic: 1064 Message: 1072

Hello all

So sorry but I totally disagree on the closing down of this group, unfair really ! Please reconsider the decision. I am sure that there are many hams like me who are always here on the listening side of the group so to speak, and rarely air there views !
I fully agree and support Howard VK4BS all the way.

thanks and regards
George
9H1AA

--- On Mon, 12/7/10, Howard Small <howard@...> wrote:

From: Howard Small <howard@...>
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] SHUT DOWN
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Date: Monday, 12 July, 2010, 1:30

Why? Surely the group, having in excess of 140 members, has a life of it's own now? If you do not wish to moderate in future why not just pass ownership to a group member who does?

What if ROS users wish to keep in touch and exchange information, support, etc?

I started many groups (e.g. clansman radios, PRC2000, etc) and would never take it up on myself to just close it down as, having many members, the group really belongs to them, not me. Just because I started it does not give me a right, morally speaking, to kill it off together with all the contributions made by its members.

So, I am asking that you make me, or anyone else you choose, a moderator/owner and leave it to us.

Regards

Howard
VK4BS

On 12/07/2010 09:01, wd4kpd wrote:
>
> CLOSING GROUP TOMORROW EVE.
> IF YOU NEED TO COPY ANYTHING, GET ER DONE !
>
> DAVID/WD4KPD
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

1073. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] SHUT DOWN

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 10:08:39 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1064 Message: 1073

Yes, let the group live. Let's keep this activity in the open..

S

On 12.07.2010 10:11, George Galea wrote:
> Hello all
>
> So sorry but I totally disagree on the closing down of this group, unfair really ! Please reconsider the decision. I am sure that there are many hams like me who are always here on the listening side of the group so to speak, and rarely air there views !
> I fully agree and support Howard VK4BS all the way.
>
> thanks and regards
> George
> 9H1AA
>
>
>
> --- On Mon, 12/7/10, Howard Small <howard@...> wrote:
>
>
> From: Howard Small <howard@...>
> Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] SHUT DOWN
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Monday, 12 July, 2010, 1:30
>
>
> Why? Surely the group, having in excess of 140 members, has a life of
> it's own now? If you do not wish to moderate in future why not just pass
> ownership to a group member who does?
>
> What if ROS users wish to keep in touch and exchange information,
> support, etc?
>
> I started many groups (e.g. clansman radios, PRC2000, etc) and would
> never take it up on myself to just close it down as, having many
> members, the group really belongs to them, not me. Just because I
> started it does not give me a right, morally speaking, to kill it off
> together with all the contributions made by its members.
>
> So, I am asking that you make me, or anyone else you choose, a
> moderator/owner and leave it to us.
>
> Regards
>

> Howard
> VK4BS
>
> On 12/07/2010 09:01, wd4kpd wrote:
>
>> CLOSING GROUP TOMORROW EVE.
>> IF YOU NEED TO COPY ANYTHING, GET ER DONE !
>>
>> DAVID/WD4KPD
>>
>>
>>
>
> ---
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

1074. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Just a couple of points...

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 11:09:54 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 1071 Message: 1074

OK, will do.

Andy

On Mon, Jul 12, 2010 at 3:05 AM, Howard <howard@small.com.au> wrote:

>
>
> Rein very kindly set up an alternative yahoo group named Rosusa or similar
> which is the correct forum for discussing issues specific to ROS operations
> in the US, e.g., is it legal?; what is the FCC ruling; how has the ARRL
> dealt with ROS.
>
> Please use that group for discussions of that type.
>
> That leaves this group free to discuss the technical aspects and user
> experiences of ROS. I intend to have all members unmoderated but for any
> that are presently moderated this may take a little while (I have many other
> commitments).
>
> Posts that attack individuals will not be welcome and members that do so
> will have be reminded of this policy and have their posts moderated
> thereafter. Members who cannot restrain themselves will be removed from the
> group.
>
> I don't imagine removal will be necessary but I believe it is worth setting
> some ground rules so we all know where we stand and so we can focus on our
> amateur activities.
>
> One last thing, to avoid the risk of a group dying because the owner
> becomes unavailable for any reason I try to have at least two other
> moderators for each group: do I have any volunteers?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Howard
> VK4BS
>
>
>

1075. [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email and Clusterspotting in V1.0

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 12:08:50 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1070 Message: 1075

Hello Rein (and all others in the group)

You gave you gmail password to the soft . maybe it is coded and sent via air in the start and stop sequence and only jose does monitor it via twente ???? kidding off

I use that gmail account only for SENDING reports . receiving email is another different address ..

They must NOT be identical so you can have 2 adresses in the soft

One that you use only for sending (the gmail address) and one that you use with your normal emailsoft

That second email address should be a "spamadress" like callsign.ros1@...

Now if you get too much emailspam on that address you change it to number 2, 3, 4 and so forth

That is the address that you send over air and that you wants to receive mails on

Now after the email thing another point . the clusterspots

What I found out that with the newest version 1.0 there is a switch in the adif exe that should control spotting or not .

BUT after I switched it off the software should not contact to telnet anymore . right?

After switch off the spotfunction the adif exe still wanted to contact to a cluster . so my solution here:

I downloaded a free software firewall and blocked the adif exe ..

I only use the emailfunction in the soft (that function CAN be switched off)

I do not know what others found out with the newest version . just wanted to share my experiences .

As we all do not want false spots there is a way that the spots are almost correct

You have to know what textline the soft searches for before it wants to spot

I will not tell but you can find it out with just monitoring for a while..

After every qsy you should open the adif tab and click on export (after you set the right qrg in the adif tab)

Now the soft does know the correct qrg and that the textcomments are hardcoded and not sent by human we all know!

So the only use is to know call and qrg (and spotter)

As we all know now autospotting is not allways correct but with storing qrg after qsy the spots are much more worth

As there are 3 qrg on 20 on that band the autospot is useless (find out yourself why it is!)

The other way instead of storing every qso we should think about ALL blocking the spotsoftware in the firewall and only do our spotting by hand with our favourite clustersoft !!!!!

As some clusters are blocking the mode (putted the word ROS on the bad word list caused by massive cluster flooding in the starttime of the autospot) we should only spot call, qrg and maybe a note like tnx for qso but NEVER use the word ros in our spotting.

I can set filters in my clustersoft that only an area of the bands is spotted (so you can set the filter to only psk qrg or only cw part) . set that filter that only ros qrg is spotted

Now if a "handtyped" spot comes in the net (without using autospotting) I see the call the qrg and the spotter

And as we all users only spot by hand (as jose is not willing to change his autospot) I know that this manual typed spot is okay ... I do not use the cat control in ros BUT could use the cat of my clustersoftware for qsying

So what do others think? Is that a useable workaround for us ros users? > > > maybe that is a way to bring jose in the right direction .. I said it a thousand times autospotting is okay if data is correct and the user knows of it

Okay the user now knows about BUT there are still wrong spots and if function is switched to off the soft still connects

Now a possible setup for us ros users in short points:

Block adif in firewall

Spot only by hand with our favourite clustersoft

Avoid using that "bad word" and spot only call and qrg

We all know qrg for ros so if there is a callsign spotted on a ros qrg we can try our luck

If needed you can qsy with another software (switch cat in ros off)

The advantage is that without cat you can use any mode on any band

Greetz

Sigi

Ps it would be fine if vk3ama puts the ros page back . there we all could spot by hand and know that the spots are correct

But the shortcut is gone away from the software :-(

1076. Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 13:11:26 UTC
From: Naqoyqatsi (aka the ICM)
Topic: 1076 Message: 1076

I for one don't like any software which connects to the internet in anyway and for any reason without my knowledge and agreement.
Whilst ROS does (did) this, it isn't the only piece of software to do this by any stretch of the imagination.
If you're running a half reasonable firewall then you should be able to block these automatic connections either temporarily or permanently.
I run ZoneAlarm 7 which is configured such that it alerts me about any program accessing the web either for the first time or in a new way.
I can then allow this action once or always or block it once or permanently.
Ever since I first realised that Ros was autospotting me I blocked both the ROS main program and another associated with it (which if I recall was called 'aplicatione' or a similar odd spelling) from accessing the internet.
And that was that.

So simply, If Ros is getting access to the web when you don't want it to then just block it in your firewall.

Job Done

Slim Haines G4IPZ

1077. Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 17:22:46 UTC
From: Svein
Topic: 1076 Message: 1077

Tested version 1.0 RX only.
The feature where you can turn off the program's internet access is not working, so it still connects to the telnet clusters and sends spots as before, whether it is turned off.

Why am I not surprised?

To tamper with the firewall and do a lot of changes just because this software does not do what the developer says is not an option!
Why should I use the software where the developer has abused the trust he has been given by the amateur radio community?
He has proven time and again that he does not care much about HAM operators opinions and suggestions.

Operators who use the DX clusters regularly know that we do not flood it with every drive we hear. There are agreements between the HAM operators how to behave in clusters. Maybe he did not know that a spot posted in a single telnet cluster is passed to almost every cluster around the world. He should know this when writing software for HAM radio ..!

LA1BNA Svein

1078. Reflections on ros

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 17:40:42 UTC
From: eb5dbw
Topic: 1078 Message: 1078

Hello to all, Which is my surprise today on having read that the friend jose is not going to extract mas versions of the ros, I read the post and come to the following conlusion:

On the one hand. I it understand, for so many demagoguery and evil to do on the part of some persons, voucher that everything new is afraid. But this system this mas that demonstrated that IT WORKS!!!.

For other one I have to remember that the friend jose is not radioaficionado, but it not being it has put his time and his knowledge in creating something for us. I believe that for it is we him deserve that less than a respect and an opportunity. Which on the part of some not there being given this he!!!

Conclusion:

If you like the ros let's encourage to jose in order that it continues with his great work

If you do not like the ros, since very easy you do not use it but you take the right to throw for land and to criticize the work of another person not also. Criticizing is easy but also harmfully

Of the topic of the autospot: a comparison, that father does not help himself his son to get up when it falls??? Since to my way of seeing it is the same thing that exists I begin the friend jose for trying to promote his program,
A question?? This where written that it is not possible to do???

If any program of foxgloves, and already known, they were doing the same thing, had it happened equally?? Please think it??

A cordial greeting

Emilio EB5DBW

1079. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 17:51:45 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1076 Message: 1079

Hi

He might not have known it, but SHOULD Correct it now.

Users of ROS might become quite unpopular globally once
this becomes known.

That might take a few day though.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Svein <la1bna@...>
>Sent: Jul 12, 2010 1:22 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..
>
>
>Tested version 1.0 RX only.
>The feature where you can turn off the program's internet access is not
>working, so it still connects to the telnet clusters and sends spots as
>before, whether it is turned off.
>
>Why am I not surprised?
>
>To tamper with the firewall and do a lot of changes just because this
>software does not do what the developer says is not an option!
>Why should I use the software where the developer has abused the trust
>he has been given by the amateur radio community?
>He has proven time and again that he does not care much about HAM
>operators opinions and suggestions.
>
>Operators who use the DX clusters regularly know that we do not flood it
>with every drive we hear. There are agreements between the HAM operators
>how to behave in clusters. Maybe he did not know that a spot posted in a
>single telnet cluster is passed to almost every cluster around the
>world. He should know this when writing software for HAM radio ..!
>
>LA1BNA Svein
>

1080. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reflections on ros

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 17:57:06 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1078 Message: 1080

Hi Emillo,

ROS transmissions create 100's of harmful messages. Ask Jose to fix this please.
Perhaps he will listen to a Spanish speaking radio amateur user.

Nobody says that ROS does not work!

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: eb5dbw <eb5dbw@...>
>Sent: Jul 12, 2010 1:40 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reflections on ros
>
>Hello to all, Which is my surprise today on having read that the friend jose is not going to extract mas versions of the ros, I read the post and come to the following conlusion:
>
> On the one hand. I it understand, for so many demagoguery and evil to do on the part of some persons, voucher that everything new is afraid. But this system this mas that demonstrated that IT WORKS!!!.
>
> For other one I have to remember that the friend jose is not radioaficionado, but it not being it has put his time and his knowledge in creating something for us. I believe that for it is we him deserve that less than a respect and an opportunity. Which on the part of some not there being given this he!!!
>
>Conclusion:
>
> If you like the ros let's encourage to jose in order that it continues with his great work
>
> If you do not like the ros, since very easy you do not use it but you take the right to throw for land and to criticize the work of another person not also. Criticizing is easy but also harmfully
>
> Of the topic of the autospot: a comparison, that father does not help himself his son to get up when it falls??? Since to my way of seeing it is the same thing that exists I begin the friend jose for trying to promote his program,
> A question?? This where written that it is not possible to do???
>
> If any program of foxgloves, and already known, they were doing the same thing, had it happened equally?? Please think it??
>
> A cordial greeting
>

> Emilio EB5DBW
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1081. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email and Clusterspotting in V1.0

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 20:12:33 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1070 Message: 1081

Sigi,

I do not think I got an answer whether Jose has access to the gmail pass
words (I do not use internat for critical info)

The messages I get are mostly messages telling me that the email address
decoded by the ROS decoding,did bounce as being not unkmown.

The ROS software has trouble with decoding addresses at low S/N ratios

It is as a routine cw QSO you get the message more or less, getting
e-mail addresses transferred need NO errors.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
>Sent: Jul 12, 2010 12:08 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Email and Clusterspotting in V1.0
>
>Hello Rein (and all others in the group)
>
>You gave you gmail password to the soft . maybe it is coded and sent via air
>in the start and stop sequence and only jose does monitor it via twente ????
>kidding off
>
>
>
>I use that gmail account only for SENDING reports . receiving email is
>another different address ..
>
>They must NOT be identical so you can have 2 adresses in the soft
>
>One that you use only for sending (the gmail address) and one that you use
>with your normal emailsoft
>
>That second email address should be a "spamadress" like
>callsign.ros1@...
>
>Now if you get too much emailspam on that address you change it to number 2,
>3, 4 and so forth
>
>That is the address that you send over air and that you wants to receive
>mails on
>

>
>
>Now after the email thing another point . the clusterspots
>
>
>
>What I found out that with the newest version 1.0 there is a switch in the
>adif exe that should control spotting or not .
>
>BUT after I switched it off the software should not contact to telnet
>anymore . right?
>
>After switch off the spotfunction the adif exe still wanted to contact to a
>cluster . so my solution here:
>
>I downloaded a free software firewall and blocked the adif exe ..
>
>I only use the emailfunction in the soft (that function CAN be switched off)
>
>I do not know what others found out with the newest version . just wanted to
>share my experiences .
>
>As we all do not want false spots there is a way that the spots are almost
>correct
>
>You have to know what textline the soft searches for before it wants to spot
>
>I will not tell but you can find it out with just monitoring for a while..
>
>After every qsy you should open the adif tab and click on export (after you
>set the right qrg in the adif tab)
>
>Now the soft does know the correct qrg and that the textcomments are
>hardcoded and not sent by human we all know!
>
>So the only use is to know call and qrg (and spotter)
>
>As we all know now autospotting is not allways correct but with storing qrg
>after qsy the spots are much more worth
>
>As there are 3 qrg on 20 on that band the autospot is useless (find out
>yourself why it is!)
>
>The other way instead of storing every qso we should think about ALL
>blocking the spotsoftware in the firewall and only do our spotting by hand
>with our favourite clustersoft !!!!!
>
>As some clusters are blocking the mode (putted the word ROS on the bad word
>list caused by massive cluster flooding in the starttime of the autospot) we
>should only spot call, qrg and maybe a note like tnx for qso but NEVER use

>the word ros in our spotting.
>
>I can set filters in my clustersoft that only an area of the bands is
>spotted (so you can set the filter to only psk qrg or only cw part) . set
>that filter that only ros qrg is spotted
>
>Now if a "handtyped" spot comes in the net (without using autospotting) I
>see the call the qrg and the spotter
>
>And as we all users only spot by hand (as jose is not willing to change his
>autospot) I know that this manual typed spot is okay ... I do not use the
>cat control in ros BUT could use the cat of my clustersoftware for qsying
>
>So what do others think? Is that a useable workaround for us ros users? > >
>> maybe that is a way to bring jose in the right direction .. I said it a
>thousand times autospotting is okay if data is correct and the user knows of
>it
>
>Okay the user now knows about BUT there are still wrong spots and if
>function is switched to off the soft still connects
>
>
>
>Now a possible setup for us ros users in short points:
>
>Block adif in firewall
>
>Spot only by hand with our favourite clustersoft
>
>Avoid using that "bad word" and spot only call and qrg
>
>We all know qrg for ros so if there is a callsign spotted on a ros qrg we
>can try our luck
>
>If needed you can qsy with another software (switch cat in ros off)
>
>The advantage is that without cat you can use any mode on any band
>
>
>
>Greetz
>
>Sigi
>
>
>
>Ps it would be fine if vk3ama puts the ros page back . there we all could
>spot by hand and know that the spots are correct
>
>But the shortcut is gone away from the software :-(

>

1082. FYI: 4 new Cluster Nodes added to ROS Auto-Spotting function.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 21:05:15 UTC
From: Laurie, VK3AMA
Topic: 1082 Message: 1082

FYI

The latest release of ROS software has added 4 new nodes to the auto-spotting function. There have been 4 releases of V1.0 of the software since Jose change to V1.0. Latest uses ADIFdata5.exe to auto-spot to Cluster.

New nodes...
BG2RVL-9 dx.5iyou.cn:7373
BD5RV dxc.jsdxc.net:7300
BA2IA-2 spot.ba2ia.com:7300
9H1LO-1 dx.9h1lo.net:7300

At time of this email, of the last 150 ROS cluster spots, 148 were auto-generated (98.7%).

de Laurie, VK3AMA

1083. Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 21:32:22 UTC
From: Naqoyqatsi (aka the ICM)
Topic: 1076 Message: 1083

With respect setting each program's internet access via your firewall settings is not "tampering with the firewall" it is in fact a standard requirement of half reasonable firewall, enabling you to have as near as complete control as possible as to what your software can and can't do on line.

Too many people happily accept what their software does when it comes to accessing the internet assuming that because it was written by some big name software house then it's omniscient and omnipotent and cures all ills !

Whether what Jose has or hasn't done is morally right or wrong is not the point I am arguing.
I like the software and am happy to use it as I have full control of what it does and when it does it.
If I didn't have that control then I would NOT be using it.

If people don't like his (or anyone else's) software and the way it behaves the simple answer surely is to not use it.
Especially since as it's a freebee it has cost you nothing.

I cannot understand why this sort of sniping seems to go on with every new piece of free innovative amateur radio software?

It's a sad state of affairs when compared to the responses of amateurs as to how they reacted to innovation and experimentation even as recently as in the 70s and 80s.

If this sniping and griping had been the order of the day decades ago we'd all still be running spark transmitters and iron filing coherers!

Dale Haines G4IPZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Svein" <la1bna@...> wrote:
>
>
> Tested version 1.0 RX only.
> The feature where you can turn off the program's internet access is not
> working, so it still connects to the telnet clusters and sends spots as
> before, whether it is turned off.
>
> Why am I not surprised?
>
> To tamper with the firewall and do a lot of changes just because this
> software does not do what the developer says is not an option!
> Why should I use the software where the developer has abused the trust
> he has been given by the amateur radio community?
> He has proven time and again that he does not care much about HAM
> operators opinions and suggestions.
>

> Operators who use the DX clusters regularly know that we do not flood it
> with every drive we hear. There are agreements between the HAM operators
> how to behave in clusters. Maybe he did not know that a spot posted in a
> single telnet cluster is passed to almost every cluster around the
> world. He should know this when writing software for HAM radio ..!
>
> LA1BNA Svein
>

1084. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-12 22:27:12 UTC
From: Michael O'Bannon
Topic: 1076 Message: 1084

Dale,

What you are calling "sniping and griping" is the natural process of experimenting with new technology and exposing its strengths and weaknesses. This has always been a part of ham radio, and I hope it will always will. Since ROS software is not open source, the process is more arduous and frustrating that it could be.

Clearly you were not around ham radio when the transition from AM to SSB was in progress. If you think this is sniping and griping, you should have heard the ham bands back in those days.

73,
Michael KD4SGN

> I cannot understand why this sort of sniping seems to go on with every new piece of free innovative amateur radio software?
>
> It's a sad state of affairs when compared to the responses of amateurs as to how they reacted to innovation and experimentation even as recently as in the 70s and 80s.
>
> If this sniping and griping had been the order of the day decades ago we'd all still be running spark transmitters and iron filing coherers!
>
> Dale Haines G4IPZ
>
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Svein"<la1bna@...> wrote:
>
>>
>> Tested version 1.0 RX only.
>> The feature where you can turn off the program's internet access is not
>> working, so it still connects to the telnet clusters and sends spots as
>> before, whether it is turned off.
>>
>> Why am I not surprised?
>>
>> To tamper with the firewall and do a lot of changes just because this
>> software does not do what the developer says is not an option!
>> Why should I use the software where the developer has abused the trust
>> he has been given by the amateur radio community?
>> He has proven time and again that he does not care much about HAM
>> operators opinions and suggestions.
>>
>> Operators who use the DX clusters regularly know that we do not flood it

>> with every drive we hear. There are agreements between the HAM operators
>> how to behave in clusters. Maybe he did not know that a spot posted in a
>> single telnet cluster is passed to almost every cluster around the
>> world. He should know this when writing software for HAM radio ..!
>>
>> LA1BNA Svein
>>
>>
>
>
>
> ----------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

1086. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-13 09:43:25 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 1076 Message: 1086

The solution is simple. ROS users are not usually rare DX, so posting to a DX Cluster is not needed. ROS experimenters however really would like to know who is active on the bands with ROS, rare DX , or plain common station. So establish either a single dedicated DX Cluster for ROS via Telnet , create a Reverse Beacon page just for ROS, or work out an agreement with Hamspots to automatically receive ROS QRV spots. Then everyone will be happy.

Andy K3UK

On Tue, Jul 13, 2010 at 5:20 AM, eb5dbw <eb5dbw@...> wrote:

>
>
> Rein,
>
> I think actually the unpopular globally are you. After read your comments i
> don't know how moderator enable you continue posting in this group.
>
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>,
> rein0zn@... wrote:
> >
> > Hi
> >
> > He might not have known it, but SHOULD Correct it now.
> >
> > Users of ROS might become quite unpopular globally once
> > this becomes known.
> >
> > That might take a few day though.
> >
> > 73 Rein W6SZ
> >
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > >From: Svein <la1bna@...>
> > >Sent: Jul 12, 2010 1:22 PM
> > >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto
> spotting etc..
> > >
> > >
> > >Tested version 1.0 RX only.

> > >The feature where you can turn off the program's internet access is not
> > >working, so it still connects to the telnet clusters and sends spots as
> > >before, whether it is turned off.
> > >
> > >Why am I not surprised?
> > >
> > >To tamper with the firewall and do a lot of changes just because this
> > >software does not do what the developer says is not an option!
> > >Why should I use the software where the developer has abused the trust
> > >he has been given by the amateur radio community?
> > >He has proven time and again that he does not care much about HAM
> > >operators opinions and suggestions.
> > >
> > >Operators who use the DX clusters regularly know that we do not flood it
> > >with every drive we hear. There are agreements between the HAM operators
> > >how to behave in clusters. Maybe he did not know that a spot posted in a
> > >single telnet cluster is passed to almost every cluster around the
> > >world. He should know this when writing software for HAM radio ..!
> > >
> > >LA1BNA Svein
> > >
> >
>
>
>

1087. Reminder about posting rules

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-13 10:07:35 UTC
From: Howard
Topic: 1087 Message: 1087

Fellow ROS enthusiasts

Since my post setting a couple of ground rules I have found it necessary to remind two members of them and to moderate future posts from those members.

Please keep discussion on an appropriate level.

Thanks

Howard
VK4BS

1089. Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-13 21:48:11 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1076 Message: 1089

Ive just run V-1 for the last 6 hours on 14-103 , with the send sopt check box , 'off' and I dont see any spots with my call listed on the DX cluster or the Hamspots page.

So Im not quite sure what the problem is , un-tick the box nothing happens ?

G .

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...> wrote:
>
> The solution is simple. ROS users are not usually rare DX, so posting to a
> DX Cluster is not needed. ROS experimenters however really would like to
> know who is active on the bands with ROS, rare DX , or plain common
> station. So establish either a single dedicated DX Cluster for ROS via
> Telnet , create a Reverse Beacon page just for ROS, or work out an
> agreement with Hamspots to automatically receive ROS QRV spots. Then
> everyone will be happy.
>
> Andy K3UK
>
>
>
> On Tue, Jul 13, 2010 at 5:20 AM, eb5dbw <eb5dbw@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Rein,
> >
> > I think actually the unpopular globally are you. After read your comments i
> > don't know how moderator enable you continue posting in this group.
> >
> >
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>,
> > rein0zn@ wrote:
> > >
> > > Hi
> > >
> > > He might not have known it, but SHOULD Correct it now.
> > >
> > > Users of ROS might become quite unpopular globally once
> > > this becomes known.
> > >
> > > That might take a few day though.
> > >
> > > 73 Rein W6SZ
> > >
> > >

> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > >From: Svein <la1bna@>
> > > >Sent: Jul 12, 2010 1:22 PM
> > > >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto
> > spotting etc..
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Tested version 1.0 RX only.
> > > >The feature where you can turn off the program's internet access is not
> > > >working, so it still connects to the telnet clusters and sends spots as
> > > >before, whether it is turned off.
> > > >
> > > >Why am I not surprised?
> > > >
> > > >To tamper with the firewall and do a lot of changes just because this
> > > >software does not do what the developer says is not an option!
> > > >Why should I use the software where the developer has abused the trust
> > > >he has been given by the amateur radio community?
> > > >He has proven time and again that he does not care much about HAM
> > > >operators opinions and suggestions.
> > > >
> > > >Operators who use the DX clusters regularly know that we do not flood it
> > > >with every drive we hear. There are agreements between the HAM operators
> > > >how to behave in clusters. Maybe he did not know that a spot posted in a
> > > >single telnet cluster is passed to almost every cluster around the
> > > >world. He should know this when writing software for HAM radio ..!
> > > >
> > > >LA1BNA Svein
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>

1091. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-13 22:15:23 UTC
From: Laurie, VK3AMA
Topic: 1076 Message: 1091

Rein,

There has been 4 different releases of V1.0. Each has removed some and added other Cluster Nodes for Auto-Spotting. The most recent release uses ADIFdata5.exe for spotting. Maybe the option has been corrected in that release. There is nothing documented in the readme.txt file about what has changed in these 4 releases.

de Laurie, VK3AMA

On 14/07/2010 7:54 AM, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Did Jose quietly fix it already in V1 in spite he was not going too (3d parties comments)?
>
> 73 Rein W7SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
>> From: graham787<g0nbd@...>
>> Sent: Jul 13, 2010 5:48 PM
>> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..
>>
>> Ive just run V-1 for the last 6 hours on 14-103 , with the send sopt check box , 'off' and I dont see any spots with my call listed on the DX cluster or the Hamspots page.
>>
>> So Im not quite sure what the problem is , un-tick the box nothing happens ?
>>
>> G .
>>
>>
>>

1092. Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-13 22:16:23 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1076 Message: 1092

Yes Rein

For a time there was two V-1 posted , but as you can see from the last email exchange with down under V-1 is the final issue , Im not quite sure what the 'new world' achived , from my point of view , the old just took another giant leap , even Niel Armstrong was of scotish decent

G .

Ps I thought it was only GW who could sing looks like VK is hot on there heels
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jz8K6FUk5jM

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
>
> Did Jose quietly fix it already in V1 in spite he was not going too (3d parties comments)?
>
> 73 Rein W7SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
> >Sent: Jul 13, 2010 5:48 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..
> >
> >Ive just run V-1 for the last 6 hours on 14-103 , with the send sopt check box , 'off' and I dont see any spots with my call listed on the DX cluster or the Hamspots page.
> >
> >So Im not quite sure what the problem is , un-tick the box nothing happens ?
> >
> >G .
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Andy obrien <k3ukandy@> wrote:
> >>
> >> The solution is simple. ROS users are not usually rare DX, so posting to a
> >> DX Cluster is not needed. ROS experimenters however really would like to
> >> know who is active on the bands with ROS, rare DX , or plain common
> >> station. So establish either a single dedicated DX Cluster for ROS via
> >> Telnet , create a Reverse Beacon page just for ROS, or work out an
> >> agreement with Hamspots to automatically receive ROS QRV spots. Then
> >> everyone will be happy.
> >>

> >> Andy K3UK
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> On Tue, Jul 13, 2010 at 5:20 AM, eb5dbw <eb5dbw@> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Rein,
> >> >
> >> > I think actually the unpopular globally are you. After read your comments i
> >> > don't know how moderator enable you continue posting in this group.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > --- In
ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>,
> >> > rein0zn@ wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > Hi
> >> > >
> >> > > He might not have known it, but SHOULD Correct it now.
> >> > >
> >> > > Users of ROS might become quite unpopular globally once
> >> > > this becomes known.
> >> > >
> >> > > That might take a few day though.
> >> > >
> >> > > 73 Rein W6SZ
> >> > >
> >> > >
> >> > > -----Original Message-----
> >> > > >From: Svein <la1bna@>
> >> > > >Sent: Jul 12, 2010 1:22 PM
> >> > > >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com<ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> >> > > >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto
> >> > spotting etc..
> >> > > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > >Tested version 1.0 RX only.
> >> > > >The feature where you can turn off the program's internet access is not
> >> > > >working, so it still connects to the telnet clusters and sends spots as
> >> > > >before, whether it is turned off.
> >> > > >
> >> > > >Why am I not surprised?
> >> > > >
> >> > > >To tamper with the firewall and do a lot of changes just because this
> >> > > >software does not do what the developer says is not an option!
> >> > > >Why should I use the software where the developer has abused the trust
> >> > > >he has been given by the amateur radio community?
> >> > > >He has proven time and again that he does not care much about HAM

> >> > > >operators opinions and suggestions.
> >> > > >
> >> > > >Operators who use the DX clusters regularly know that we do not flood it
> >> > > >with every drive we hear. There are agreements between the HAM operators
> >> > > >how to behave in clusters. Maybe he did not know that a spot posted in a
> >> > > >single telnet cluster is passed to almost every cluster around the
> >> > > >world. He should know this when writing software for HAM radio ..!
> >> > > >
> >> > > >LA1BNA Svein
> >> > > >
> >> > >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

1093. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-13 23:05:33 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1076 Message: 1093

Hi All

ADIFdata5.exe is sending spots even when the "send spots to cluster" is unchecked.

la5vna Steinar

On 14.07.2010 00:15, Laurie, VK3AMA wrote:
> Rein,
>
> There has been 4 different releases of V1.0. Each has removed some and
> added other Cluster Nodes for Auto-Spotting. The most recent release
> uses ADIFdata5.exe for spotting. Maybe the option has been corrected in
> that release. There is nothing documented in the readme.txt file about
> what has changed in these 4 releases.
>
> de Laurie, VK3AMA
>
>
> On 14/07/2010 7:54 AM, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
>> Did Jose quietly fix it already in V1 in spite he was not going too (3d parties comments)?
>>
>> 73 Rein W7SZ
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>>
>>> From: graham787<g0nbd@...>
>>> Sent: Jul 13, 2010 5:48 PM
>>> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>>> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Just a thought or two regarding auto spotting etc..
>>>
>>> Ive just run V-1 for the last 6 hours on 14-103 , with the send sopt check box , 'off' and I dont see any spots with my call listed on the DX cluster or the Hamspots page.
>>>
>>> So Im not quite sure what the problem is , un-tick the box nothing happens ?
>>>
>>> G .
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>

1099. KQ6I de RN6AM kn

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-14 04:38:03 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 1099 Message: 1099

From WEBSDR Twente:

http://www.nitehawk.com/rasmit/kq6i.jpg

See window with decodes.

73 Rein W6SZ

1100. Rosmodem - FCC approval??

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-14 02:24:20 UTC
From: Cliff
Topic: 1076 Message: 1100

Here is my query to the FCC on this and its response. Hmmm. Anyone with a better technical understanding of Rosmodem than me want to make the suggested call to Mr. Cross?

Cliff

W4CMM

The case you submitted via the FCC has been resolved. The resolution details for Case ID HD0000001376829 are below.

If you have any questions contact us at (877) 480-3201.

Thank You!

Summary* : Rule Clarification

Description* : re: Rosmodem - Case ID HD0000001376003

This is a follow-up on my query whether Rosmodem <http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/> http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/ was allowed on MF and HF frequencies. You wrote that is was not allowed because it was "spread spectrum."

I looked up the FCC's definition of "spread spectrum" to be sure what it was. It refers to frequency hopping devises like cellular phones and computer wireless routers - devices that change frequency (usually because of conditions).

Originally the author of this program did call it "spread spectrum." But, this was probably a translation problem. In his later FAQs he specifically says it is not "spread spectrum":

Q20: I[s] ROS Spread Spectrum?

A: ROS is not "Spread Spectrum" because the 3khz HF standard channel is maintained. Other modes like MT63, Olivia o[r] Contestia use similar techniques.

So, I looked at the signal of a Rosmodem transmission. It appears to take about 2250 Hz and contains multiple music like tones that can appear anywhere within the 2250Hz. [Download it and try it yourself - it's free]. It does not cause the receiver to change frequencies, and it is apparent that the transmitter never changes frequencies. So although it does spread out the signal over 2250Hz (less than a SSB signal), it is not a "spread spectrum" signal as defined by the FCC.

So, I ask again: is it permissible to use Rosmodem on the MH and HF bands?

Clifford M. Miller

W4CMM

Solution Details : Mr. Miller,

This information was pulled directly from ARRL website as FCC has been questioned on the ROS issued earlier this year. FCC position has not changed on ROS equipment.

In mid-February, European amateurs first used a new, experimental digital mode known as ROS. On February 23, 2010 -- after FCC review of the original documents provided from the developer's Web site -- the FCC made the following statements on ROS:

"Section 97.305 is the rule that specifies where different emission types are allowed to be transmitted on different bands. 'ROS' is viewed as 'spread spectrum,' and the creator of the system describes it as that. We assume that he knows what he created. [Section] 97.305 authorizes spread spectrum emission types (defined in Section 97.3) to be transmitted by FCC licensed amateur stations at places we regulate communications only on 222-225 MHz and higher frequency amateur bands. European telecommunication regulatory authorities may authorize amateur stations in Europe to use SS on the HF bands, but this is of no concern to us. The Commission does not determine if a particular mode 'truly' represents spread spectrum as it is defined in the rules. The licensee of the station transmitting the emission is responsible for determining that the operation of the station complies with the rules. This would include determining the type of emission the station is transmitting and that the frequencies being used are authorized for that type of emission."

The ARRL supports -- as one of the basic purposes of Amateur Radio -- the experimentation and advancing the technical skills of operators. The development and use of any new mode is exciting to many amateurs, and the League encourage amateurs to experiment within the parameters of the rules; however, the ARRL also reminds US licensees that according to Section 97.307, spread spectrum communications are only permissible in the US on frequencies above 222 MHz.

If you wish to dispute this further I would respectfully suggest that you contact William Cross, 202-418-0691.

Sincerely,

ULS Customer Support Hotline

1101. FCC Approval of mode

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-14 03:26:06 UTC
From: Clifford
Topic: 1101 Message: 1101

Here is my query to the FCC on this and its response. Hmmm. Anyone with a better technical understanding of Rosmodem than me want to make the suggested call to Mr. Cross?

Cliff

W4CMM

The case you submitted via the FCC has been resolved. The resolution details for Case ID HD0000001376829 are below.

If you have any questions contact us at (877) 480-3201.

Thank You!

Summary* : Rule Clarification

Description* : re: Rosmodem - Case ID HD0000001376003

This is a follow-up on my query whether Rosmodem http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/ was allowed on MF and HF frequencies. You wrote that is was not allowed because it was "spread spectrum."

I looked up the FCC's definition of "spread spectrum" to be sure what it was. It refers to frequency hopping devises like cellular phones and computer wireless routers - devices that change frequency (usually because of conditions).

Originally the author of this program did call it "spread spectrum." But, this was probably a translation problem. In his later FAQs he specifically says it is not "spread spectrum":

Q20: I[s] ROS Spread Spectrum?

A: ROS is not "Spread Spectrum" because the 3khz HF standard channel is maintained. Other modes like MT63, Olivia o[r] Contestia use similar techniques.

So, I looked at the signal of a Rosmodem transmission. It appears to take about 2250 Hz and contains multiple music like tones that can appear anywhere within the 2250Hz. [Download it and try it yourself ☐ it's free]. It does not cause the receiver to change frequencies, and it is apparent that the transmitter never changes frequencies. So although it does spread out the signal over 2250Hz (less than a SSB signal), it is not a "spread spectrum" signal as defined by the FCC.

So, I ask again: is it permissible to use Rosmodem on the MH and HF bands?

Clifford M. Miller
W4CMM

Solution Details : Mr. Miller,

This information was pulled directly from ARRL website as FCC has been questioned on the ROS issued earlier this year. FCC position has not changed on ROS equipment.

In mid-February, European amateurs first used a new, experimental digital mode known as ROS. On February 23, 2010 -- after FCC review of the original documents provided from the developer's Web site -- the FCC made the following statements on ROS:

"Section 97.305 is the rule that specifies where different emission types are allowed to be transmitted on different bands. 'ROS' is viewed as 'spread spectrum,' and the creator of the system describes it as that. We assume that he knows what he created. [Section] 97.305 authorizes spread spectrum emission types (defined in Section 97.3) to be transmitted by FCC licensed amateur stations at places we regulate communications only on 222-225 MHz and higher frequency amateur bands. European telecommunication regulatory authorities may authorize amateur stations in Europe to use SS on the HF bands, but this is of no concern to us. The Commission does not determine if a particular mode 'truly' represents spread spectrum as it is defined in the rules. The licensee of the station transmitting the emission is responsible for determining that the operation of the station complies with the rules. This would include determining the type of emission the station is transmitting and that the frequencies being used are authorized for that type of emission."

The ARRL supports -- as one of the basic purposes of Amateur Radio -- the experimentation and advancing the technical skills of operators. The development and use of any new mode is exciting to many amateurs, and the League encourage amateurs to experiment within the parameters of the rules; however, the ARRL also reminds US licensees that according to Section 97.307, spread spectrum communications are only permissible in the US on frequencies above 222 MHz.

If you wish to dispute this further I would respectfully suggest that you contact William Cross, 202-418-0691.

Sincerely,

ULS Customer Support Hotline

1103. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] KQ6I de RN6AM kn

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-14 05:28:44 UTC
From: kq6i@arrl.net
Topic: 1099 Message: 1103

Damn, god awful noise level here is the only excuse I have for missing RN6AM. I pray RN6AM won't take it too personal.

Damn, nivel de ruido de Dios terrible aquí es la única excusa que tengo RN6AM que faltan. Rezo que RN6AM no tomar
demasiado personales.

rgrds
Craig
kq6i
Viva la Libertad,Viva ROS!!!

-----Original Message-----
From: rein0zn@... [mailto:rein0zn@...]
Sent: Wednesday Jul 14, 2010 4:38 am
To: rosdigitalmodemgroup@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: KQ6I de RN6AM kn

From WEBSDR Twente:

http://www.nitehawk.com/rasmit/kq6i.jpg

See window with decodes.

73 Rein W6SZ

[junk removed]

1106. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] FCC Approval of mode

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-14 06:35:26 UTC
From: (no author)
Topic: 1101 Message: 1106

Looks like they only looked at what was described on the webpage of mr Ros.
They did not investigate anything else.

What will happen if someone makes a real spread spectrum mode and states on its website that it is not spread spectrum? Will the FCC then declare the mode allowed because the creater says it is not spread spectrum?

This does not sound like the answer of professional organization.

I think there is a simple way to solve this problem.
Just use the mode, but tell the FCC and ARRL that you disagree with their view.
According to the rules around spread spectrum technics as defined in 97.3 and 97.305 ROS mode is allowed on HF.
If everybody is using it ARRL and FCC will admit their mistake and correct the statement or the rules will be changed.

73 Henk PA5KT

Op 14-07-2010 5:26, Clifford schreef:
> Here is my query to the FCC on this and its response. Hmmm. Anyone with a better technical understanding of Rosmodem than me want to make the suggested call to Mr. Cross?
>
> Cliff
>
> W4CMM
>
> The case you submitted via the FCC has been resolved. The resolution details for Case ID HD0000001376829 are below.
>
> If you have any questions contact us at (877) 480-3201.
>
> Thank You!
>
> Summary* : Rule Clarification
>
> Description* : re: Rosmodem - Case ID HD0000001376003
>
> This is a follow-up on my query whether Rosmodem http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/ was allowed on MF and HF frequencies. You wrote that is was not allowed because it was "spread spectrum."
>
> I looked up the FCC's definition of "spread spectrum" to be sure what it was. It refers to frequency hopping devises like cellular phones and computer wireless routers - devices that change frequency (usually because of conditions).

>
> Originally the author of this program did call it "spread spectrum." But, this was probably a translation problem. In his later FAQs he specifically says it is not "spread spectrum":
>
> Q20: I[s] ROS Spread Spectrum?
>
> A: ROS is not "Spread Spectrum" because the 3khz HF standard channel is maintained. Other modes like MT63, Olivia o[r] Contestia use similar techniques.
>
> So, I looked at the signal of a Rosmodem transmission. It appears to take about 2250 Hz and contains multiple music like tones that can appear anywhere within the 2250Hz. [Download it and try it yourself – it's free]. It does not cause the receiver to change frequencies, and it is apparent that the transmitter never changes frequencies. So although it does spread out the signal over 2250Hz (less than a SSB signal), it is not a "spread spectrum" signal as defined by the FCC.
>
> So, I ask again: is it permissible to use Rosmodem on the MH and HF bands?
>
> Clifford M. Miller
> W4CMM
>
> Solution Details : Mr. Miller,
>
> This information was pulled directly from ARRL website as FCC has been questioned on the ROS issued earlier this year. FCC position has not changed on ROS equipment.
>
> In mid-February, European amateurs first used a new, experimental digital mode known as ROS. On February 23, 2010 -- after FCC review of the original documents provided from the developer's Web site -- the FCC made the following statements on ROS:
>
> "Section 97.305 is the rule that specifies where different emission types are allowed to be transmitted on different bands. 'ROS' is viewed as 'spread spectrum,' and the creator of the system describes it as that. We assume that he knows what he created. [Section] 97.305 authorizes spread spectrum emission types (defined in Section 97.3) to be transmitted by FCC licensed amateur stations at places we regulate communications only on 222-225 MHz and higher frequency amateur bands. European telecommunication regulatory authorities may authorize amateur stations in Europe to use SS on the HF bands, but this is of no concern to us. The Commission does not determine if a particular mode 'truly' represents spread spectrum as it is defined in the rules. The licensee of the station transmitting the emission is responsible for determining that the operation of the station complies with the rules. This would include determining the type of emission the station is transmitting and that the frequencies being used are authorized for that type of emission."
>
> The ARRL supports -- as one of the basic purposes of Amateur Radio -- the experimentation and advancing the technical skills of operators. The development and use of any new mode is exciting to many amateurs, and the League encourage amateurs to experiment within the parameters of the rules; however, the ARRL also reminds US licensees that according to Section 97.307, spread spectrum communications are only permissible in the US on frequencies above 222 MHz.

>
> If you wish to dispute this further I would respectfully suggest that you contact William Cross, 202-418-0691.
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
>
> ULS Customer Support Hotline

--
Henk Remijn PA5KT
email: pa5kt@...
www: www.pa5kt.com

1107. ROS submodes

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-14 11:32:17 UTC
From: DAVID
Topic: 1107 Message: 1107

I have learned much by following the ROS/USA Cluster F.

I see there is a plausible out for those of us wishing
to use the software.

It appears that all the sub-modes in the ROS software are
not SS. I am not that good an engineer to decide for myself
so here am asking all, which of the sub modes are OK ?

david/wd4kpd

1108. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] V1.???

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-14 12:11:04 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1094 Message: 1108

Your v1.0 is actually more as v1.2 or 1.3 . but jose did not change the version numbers

The app is adif5.exe .. Before it was adif 3 exe .

He read about the tips how false spots could be avoided (blocking the app) so he changed the soft that it closes down after a while .

Hope I could help

(ps do you still have the 1.0 zip on the other pc??? . do not delete it !)

1109. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] V1.???

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-14 12:21:15 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1094 Message: 1109

Hi

Blocking Internet with use of " sandboxie" don't close the software down.

la5vna Steinar

On 14.07.2010 14:11, Siegfried Jackstien wrote:
> Your v1.0 is actually more as v1.2 or 1.3 . but jose did not change the
> version numbers
>
> The app is adif5.exe .. Before it was adif 3 exe .
>
> He read about the tips how false spots could be avoided (blocking the app)
> so he changed the soft that it closes down after a while .
>
> Hope I could help
>
> (ps do you still have the 1.0 zip on the other pc??? . do not delete it !)
>
>

1110. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] spotter ID's

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-14 12:22:53 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1110 Message: 1110

And i have seen a p1rate (papa one romeo alpha tango echo) . nice call :-)

1111. AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] V1.???

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-14 12:45:00 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1094 Message: 1111

Hello steinar

1.0 old could be stopped with firewall without problems

1.0 new . after some time the app pops up . again and again .. after minimizing the app after a few trys the soft closes down

What is a sandbox?? I only know firewalls for such needed function

1112. Re: AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] V1.???

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-14 12:52:20 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1094 Message: 1112

Hi Siegfried

You can use a sandbox and run ROS in a totally isolated environment .
Here is a great software made by Ronen
Tzur: http://www.sandboxie.com/

Sandboxie is free and easy to use, but remember to block out Internet
access like this: -From the menu choose: Sandbox/Defaultbox/Restriction/
"Internet Access" and choose "Block all Programs" .

LA5VNA Steinar

On 14.07.2010 14:45, Siegfried Jackstien wrote:
> Hello steinar
>
> 1.0 old could be stopped with firewall without problems
>
> 1.0 new . after some time the app pops up . again and again .. after
> minimizing the app after a few trys the soft closes down
>
>
>
> What is a sandbox?? I only know firewalls for such needed function
>
>

1117. ROS Returns

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-14 16:45:38 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1117 Message: 1117

ROS v4.7.0 Beta is out..

http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

S

1120. Some Cluster Stats - ROS auto-spotting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-16 02:39:00 UTC
From: Laurie, VK3AMA
Topic: 1120 Message: 1120

FYI

I ran some sql over the database at HamSpots which carries 7 days of Cluster spots.

ROS Software Auto-Spots run at over 95% of all ROS mode spots.

Data from from www.HamSpots.net/ros/

```
Cluster Spot Summary:
-----------------------------
48,261 : Total Cluster Spots
2,886 : Total ROS Spots
5.98% : Percentage ROS Spots

ROS AUTO Spot Summary:
------------------------------
2,886 : Total ROS Spots
2,764 : Total AUTO Spots
95.77% : Percentage AUTO

Cluster Node Counts:
-----------------------------
175 : US6IQ-1
492 : 9A0CSI
483 : 9A0DXC
366 : UA4CC
220 : SM4ONW-14
469 : SM0RUX-6
322 : SK3W-6
5 : SM6YOU-2
7 : SM7GVF-6
100 : BG2RVL-9
43 : BD5RV
46 : BA2IA-2
36 : 9H1LO-1
-----------------------------
```

de Laurie, VK3AMA

1121. Re: [digitalradio] Some Cluster Stats - ROS auto-spotting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-16 08:00:48 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1120 Message: 1121

Hi

Is it possible for the administrators of these Cluster Nodes to filter
out ROS spots on their servers ?

la5vna Steinar

On 16.07.2010 04:39, Laurie, VK3AMA wrote:
> FYI
>
> I ran some sql over the database at HamSpots which carries 7 days of
> Cluster spots.
>
> ROS Software Auto-Spots run at over 95% of all ROS mode spots.
>
> Data from from www.HamSpots.net/ros/
>
> Cluster Spot Summary:
> ----------
> 48,261 : Total Cluster Spots
> 2,886 : Total ROS Spots
> 5.98% : Percentage ROS Spots
>
> ROS AUTO Spot Summary:
> ----------
> 2,886 : Total ROS Spots
> 2,764 : Total AUTO Spots
> 95.77% : Percentage AUTO
>
> Cluster Node Counts:
> ----------
> 175 : US6IQ-1
> 492 : 9A0CSI
> 483 : 9A0DXC
> 366 : UA4CC
> 220 : SM4ONW-14
> 469 : SM0RUX-6
> 322 : SK3W-6
> 5 : SM6YOU-2
> 7 : SM7GVF-6
> 100 : BG2RVL-9
> 43 : BD5RV
> 46 : BA2IA-2
> 36 : 9H1LO-1
> ----------
>

> de Laurie, VK3AMA
>

1123. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] Some Cluster Stats - ROS auto-spotting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-16 08:56:54 UTC
From: Howard Small
Topic: 1120 Message: 1123

Why should they?This is an issue for ROS users to deal with..

Howard
VK4BS

On 16/07/2010 18:00, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
>
> Hi
>
> Is it possible for the administrators of these Cluster Nodes to filter
> out ROS spots on their servers ?
>
> la5vna Steinar
>
> On 16.07.2010 04:39, Laurie, VK3AMA wrote:
> > FYI
> >
> > I ran some sql over the database at HamSpots which carries 7 days of
> > Cluster spots.
> >
> > ROS Software Auto-Spots run at over 95% of all ROS mode spots.
> >
> > Data from from www.HamSpots.net/ros/
> >
> > Cluster Spot Summary:
> > ------------------------------
> > 48,261 : Total Cluster Spots
> > 2,886 : Total ROS Spots
> > 5.98% : Percentage ROS Spots
> >
> > ROS AUTO Spot Summary:
> > ------------------------------
> > 2,886 : Total ROS Spots
> > 2,764 : Total AUTO Spots
> > 95.77% : Percentage AUTO
> >
> > Cluster Node Counts:
> > ------------------------------
> > 175 : US6IQ-1
> > 492 : 9A0CSI
> > 483 : 9A0DXC
> > 366 : UA4CC
> > 220 : SM4ONW-14
> > 469 : SM0RUX-6

> > 322 : SK3W-6
> > 5 : SM6YOU-2
> > 7 : SM7GVF-6
> > 100 : BG2RVL-9
> > 43 : BD5RV
> > 46 : BA2IA-2
> > 36 : 9H1LO-1
> > ----------
> >
> > de Laurie, VK3AMA
> >
>
>

1124. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: AW: [digitalradio] Some Cluster Stats - ROS auto-spotting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-16 09:01:41 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1122 Message: 1124

Wanted to send direct to you

But address failed

A message that you sent could not be delivered to one or more of its
recipients. This is a permanent error. The following address(es) failed:

saanes@...

1125. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: [digitalradio] Some Cluster Stats - ROS auto-spotting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-16 09:47:43 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1120 Message: 1125

Why should they?This is an issue for ROS users to deal with..

Howard

It is an issue ALL have to live with! Okay spotting can now be turned off
(with a trick) ... but as there is no page to look on the spots anymore ...
is there a reason to still send them and make useless traffic on the
clusters??

Sigi

Ps looked in the clusters > can anybodx tell me who is that ID1OT station?
Is it the same person as the seen calls P1RATE and the B1TCH ????

1126. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: AW: [digitalradio] Some Cluster Stats - ROS auto-spotting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-16 12:30:20 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1122 Message: 1126

Starnge, try this one la5vna@...
S

On 16.07.2010 11:01, Siegfried Jackstien wrote:
> Wanted to send direct to you
>
> But address failed
>
> A message that you sent could not be delivered to one or more of its
> recipients. This is a permanent error. The following address(es) failed:
>
>
>
> saanes@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1127. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: AW: [digitalradio] Some Cluster Stats - ROS auto-spotting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-16 12:36:11 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1122 Message: 1127

Typo..again ;)

Strange

S

On 16.07.2010 14:30, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> Starnge, try this one la5vna@...
> S
>
>
> On 16.07.2010 11:01, Siegfried Jackstien wrote:
>
>> Wanted to send direct to you
>>
>> But address failed
>>
>> A message that you sent could not be delivered to one or more of its
>> recipients. This is a permanent error. The following address(es) failed:
>>
>>
>>
>> saanes@...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1128. ROS auto-spotting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-16 12:56:42 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1122 Message: 1128

Okay now for all users to read and notice

First of all @jose : no I am not crazy, but all users should know what your soft does EXACTLY>>>

For the rest of the world:

There are 2 exe in the ros folder

Ros(versxyz).exe is doing the on air things and the emailreport

Adifdata.exe is connecting to different clusters and sends the (wrong) spots

Jose decided to spread the users over the qrgs as he is doing it with his tones in the channel

If the spots were correct there would be a pileup every day and no user could do a qso he thinks

So what he did is

Ros sends the data to adifdata via ros ini

So far so good

But the qrg is randomly calculated . so almost never correct . in the autogenerated spots you only see who is working but not where (qrg)

The only way to get rid of the wrong spots is to denie the adifdata to connect to the cluster

As vk3ama has closed the spotpage there is no need to send those false spots anymore

So we users (I am a fan of that mode) can only get jose to change if we do not sent those false spots

Many syops around the world put ROS on the bad word list cause of the massive spotflooding and that the spots are false

Only Solution is:

Take a firewall and block the adifdata

Spot only real qso by hand typing (avoid the bad word to get a better chance of forwarding)

Emailfunction can be used or not . has been safe so far . but who knows what comes next

If jose change the randomizing of qrg and let the user decide whether to send a spot or not I will use the spotfunction again

Then after change you know who is on air and where to find (that is all needed info)

Till he changed his mind we ALL should block the autospotfunction cause it is making more trouble as it helps

So please give that info to all other ros user

Maybe we can get rid of that bad behaviour (of the soft and his builder)

JOSE IT IS TIME TO CHANGE ! !

Best would be no autospotting at all

I decide to use ros without it and hope all others do the same

If no spots get in the cluster the function is useless

Greetings to all

Sigi

Delta golf nine beat frequency counter

1129. Re: [digitalradio] Some Cluster Stats - ROS auto-spotting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-16 14:04:05 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1120 Message: 1129

H ..

Its like watching a game of chess , are there twitter feeds as well ,in case I miss a move ?

SS still banned in the usa and the other wide modes that fall into the catch 220 bandwith expansion clause like Domino, Oliva , MT63 MFSK , (ALE looks a bit wide as well ?) all of which are in use over there but are likely to be stopped just as was chirp

Inward looking situation ?

G

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Howard Small <howard@...> wrote:
>
> Why should they?This is an issue for ROS users to deal with..
>
> Howard
> VK4BS
>
> On 16/07/2010 18:00, Steinar Aanesland wrote:
> >
> > Hi
> >
> > Is it possible for the administrators of these Cluster Nodes to filter
> > out ROS spots on their servers ?
> >
> > la5vna Steinar
> >
> > On 16.07.2010 04:39, Laurie, VK3AMA wrote:
> > > FYI
> > >
> > > I ran some sql over the database at HamSpots which carries 7 days of
> > > Cluster spots.
> > >
> > > ROS Software Auto-Spots run at over 95% of all ROS mode spots.
> > >
> > > Data from from www.HamSpots.net/ros/
> > >
> > > Cluster Spot Summary:
> > > ------------------------------
> > > 48,261 : Total Cluster Spots
> > > 2,886 : Total ROS Spots
> > > 5.98% : Percentage ROS Spots
> > >
> > > ROS AUTO Spot Summary:

```
> > > ------------------------------
> > > 2,886 : Total ROS Spots
> > > 2,764 : Total AUTO Spots
> > > 95.77% : Percentage AUTO
> > >
> > > Cluster Node Counts:
> > > ------------------------------
> > > 175 : US6IQ-1
> > > 492 : 9A0CSI
> > > 483 : 9A0DXC
> > > 366 : UA4CC
> > > 220 : SM4ONW-14
> > > 469 : SM0RUX-6
> > > 322 : SK3W-6
> > > 5 : SM6YOU-2
> > > 7 : SM7GVF-6
> > > 100 : BG2RVL-9
> > > 43 : BD5RV
> > > 46 : BA2IA-2
> > > 36 : 9H1LO-1
> > > ------------------------------
> > >
> > > de Laurie, VK3AMA
> > >
> >
> >
>
```

1130. ROS v4.7.4 Beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-16 15:16:34 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1130 Message: 1130

Despite the massive criticism, this fascinating ROS guy has now released
a new version of his software.

http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

Sorry Buddy, but I have to admit, I find ROS more interesting than
anachronistic contest mode like RTTY.

la5vna Steinar

On 14.07.2010 22:59, F.R. Ashley wrote:
> Whats so dang fantastic about ROS anyway, that it deserves pages and
pages
> of emails about it? Remember that other new digital mode a few months
ago,
> and how great it was, or have you forgotten abouit it already?
>
> 73 Buddy WB4M
> RTTY forever
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Steinar Aanesland" <saanes@...>
> To: "* Digitalradio" <digitalradio@yahoogroups.com>; "*
ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU"
> <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2010 12:45 PM
> Subject: [digitalradio] ROS Returns
>
>
>> ROS v4.7.0 Beta is out..
>>
>> http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/
>>
>> S
>>
>>
>> ------------------------------------
>>
>> http://www.obriensweb.com/digispotter.html
>> Chat, Skeds, and "Spots" all in one (resize to suit)
>>
>> Facebook= http://www.facebook.com/pages/digitalradio/123270301037522
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>

>>
>
>

1131. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS auto-spotting

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-16 18:07:55 UTC
From: Simon HB9DRV
Topic: 1122 Message: 1131

FWIW on my DX Spider node HB9DRV-9 the user must be registered (username, password) to be able to spot.

Simon Brown, HB9DRV

http://sdr-radio.com

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Siegfried Jackstien

Okay now for all users to read and notice

...

1133. Re: ROS v4.7.4 Beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-16 21:12:52 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1130 Message: 1133

Steinar ,

This is some thing new , a punch up between rtty and ros .. never a dull
moment when 'Tio la Vara' is about ! Hamspots looks a bit funny these days ,
the stats are interesting , looks like R is in use round 75% of the spectrum
enhanced modes and that's with no tx from the usa 6 % cluster traffic .. gosh
that all ! don't know about Crocodile Dundee , more like the Duracell Bunny by the way the
home page is banging on .. give it rest cobber !

G ..

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Steinar Aanesland <saanes@...> wrote:
>
>
> Despite the massive criticism, this fascinating ROS guy has now released
> a new version of his software.
>
> http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/
>
> Sorry Buddy, but I have to admit, I find ROS more interesting than
> anachronistic contest mode like RTTY.
>
> la5vna Steinar
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> On 14.07.2010 22:59, F.R. Ashley wrote:
> > Whats so dang fantastic about ROS anyway, that it deserves pages and
> pages
> > of emails about it? Remember that other new digital mode a few months
> ago,
> > and how great it was, or have you forgotten abouit it already?
> >
> > 73 Buddy WB4M
> > RTTY forever
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Steinar Aanesland" <saanes@...>
> > To: "* Digitalradio" <digitalradio@yahoogroups.com>; "*

> ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU"
> > <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2010 12:45 PM
> > Subject: [digitalradio] ROS Returns
> >
> >
> >> ROS v4.7.0 Beta is out..
> >>
> >> http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/
> >>
> >> S
> >>
> >>
> >> ----------
> >>
> >> http://www.obriensweb.com/digispotter.html
> >> Chat, Skeds, and "Spots" all in one (resize to suit)
> >>
> >> Facebook= http://www.facebook.com/pages/digitalradio/123270301037522
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>

1134. Pse, Can't find option

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-16 22:16:22 UTC
From: ozepets
Topic: 1134 Message: 1134

Pse,

Where is option to turn off sending spots to cluster?

I am only SWL, I only receive and don't want to send spots to cluster.

Pse Help, I can't find option in User Guide or FAQ. What am I missing?

Tnx
Bobby

1135. Re: Pse, Can't find option

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-17 02:23:14 UTC
From: jhhaynes@earthlink.net
Topic: 1134 Message: 1135

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "ozepets" <ozepets@...> wrote:
>
> Pse,
>
> Where is option to turn off sending spots to cluster?
>
> I am only SWL, I only receive and don't want to send spots to cluster.
>
> Pse Help, I can't find option in User Guide or FAQ. What am I missing?
>
> Tnx
> Bobby
>
Maybe the option is to unplug your network cable when you are
running ROS.

1136. Re: Pse, Can't find option

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-17 06:33:01 UTC
From: dk5gm
Topic: 1134 Message: 1136

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "ozepets" <ozepets@...> wrote:
>
> Pse,
>
> Where is option to turn off sending spots to cluster?
>
> I am only SWL, I only receive and don't want to send spots to cluster.
>
> Pse Help, I can't find option in User Guide or FAQ. What am I missing?
>
> Tnx
> Bobby
>
Hallo, run Software Firewall and block ADIF.exe (Aplicacion2).
Here run ZoneAlarm, is simply and its working.
73 DK5GM

1137. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Pse, Can't find option

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-17 22:08:37 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1134 Message: 1137

Only way is to block adifdata.exe in a firewall

1138. Psk - reporter live map now showing ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-23 20:55:13 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1138 Message: 1138

Psk - reporter live map now showing ROS

http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/2010/07/23/ros-and-psk-reporter/

1139. Re: Psk - reporter live map now showing ROS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-25 13:49:49 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1138 Message: 1139

Seems to be a lot about ? !

http://pskreporter.info/pskmap.html

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "graham787" <g0nbd@...> wrote:
>
>
> Psk - reporter live map now showing ROS
>
> http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/2010/07/23/ros-and-psk-reporter/
>

1140. 500Hz Bandwidth 4.8.6 beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-27 11:05:13 UTC
From: ozepets
Topic: 1140 Message: 1140

with 4.8.6 beta I can no longer select 500Hz bandwidth on any band except 30m. There is lots of activity I monitor on 40M that uses 500Hz.

I have tried posting this same question on the rosmodem website, but they never appear or get a reply and I confirm the message subscription when it comes to my email inbox. Jose seems very selective about which posts he lets become public :(

I wonder if this is his attempt to force us to use 2250Hz again, similar to when he dropped 500Hz several versions ago.

Does anyone know if this is a bug or by design?

Geoff
(still only SWL)

1141. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 500Hz Bandwidth 4.8.6 beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-27 11:08:57 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1140 Message: 1141

It is by design ..

Not a bug

Do you use cat control with the rig???

Greetz

Sigi

Dg9bfc

1142. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 500Hz Bandwidth 4.8.6 beta(2)

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-27 11:16:59 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1142 Message: 1142

In the qrg field you can put in 7050 and change bw as you like ... but if
you fill in 7055 you can not switch bw ... it is nailed to 2k25
So using ros 0k5 mode on 40 is possible but you have to switch of spotting
Cause your spots will show wrong qrg and/or mode
But posting the qrg by hand in the cluster or in the ros page is no problem
...
Hope I could help
Dg9bfc
Sigi

1143. ROS hacking attempts on HamSpots

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-28 00:03:28 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1143 Message: 1143

Oh dear .. who put a new battery in the Duracell Bunny .. now it's back to cyber attacks again ...

''There have been aggressive hacking attempts trying to directly inject ROS spots into the HamSpots database.'' what !!!!!

time for a trip to the funny farm possibly ?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7TgNCoVmziQ

1145. Re: 500Hz Bandwidth 4.8.6 beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-28 01:09:19 UTC
From: ozepets
Topic: 1140 Message: 1145

Tnx Rein,

I disabled CAT which was being used to correctly log the frequency of stations I heard. I now just select 30M band.

It is very strange why 500Hz has been locked out of all band but 30M.

My message on rosmodem site finally appeared and Jose responded - "500Hz is not practical any bands but 30m"

When I replied to that message, my original message and Jose's reply disappeared and I can't find them any more.

Very strange. Oh well, at least there is a workaround at the moment, maybe Jose is planning to remove 500Hz completely in the future?

Geoff
(still only SWL)

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Hi Geoff,
>
> Whether it is by design or not I leave that up to others to comment on.
>
> As a SWL and even as a transmitting amateur, you can use this software at
> ANY frequency you want. It is a program modulating a transmitter or
> in your case decoding tones mixed down to base band by the product detector
> in your receiver.
>
> If you select a band other then 30 meters it might not work (Jose's work)
> But you can select "30M" and use it any any frequency. be it long wave
> or microwave. JUst forget setting a frequency.
>
> When you do those spots you would probably report a wrong band. so what?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: ozepets <ozepets@...>
> >Sent: Jul 27, 2010 11:05 AM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 500Hz Bandwidth 4.8.6 beta
> >
> >with 4.8.6 beta I can no longer select 500Hz bandwidth on any band except 30m. There is

lots of activity I monitor on 40M that uses 500Hz.
> >
> >I have tried posting this same question on the rosmodem website, but they never appear or get a reply and I confirm the message subscription when it comes to my email inbox. Jose seems very selective about which posts he lets become public :(
> >
> >I wonder if this is his attempt to force us to use 2250Hz again, similar to when he dropped 500Hz several versions ago.
> >
> >Does anyone know if this is a bug or by design?
> >
> >Geoff
> >(still only SWL)
> >
> >
> >
> >------------------------------------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

1146. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: 500Hz Bandwidth 4.8.6 beta

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-28 02:47:27 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1140 Message: 1146

Hi Geoff,

Unfortunately Jose does not understand much of the group of the people that he wants to use his software. Or perhaps he really does not want them to use it. Who knows? I have been at a loss for a couple of months.

Glad to got it to work. It could be perhaps that Jose will use the internet to check how you use ROS modem and then sending out a signal to disable it if you do not comply, But up to this point you can still use it as you found.

73 Rein W6SZ
.

-----Original Message-----
>From: ozepets <ozepets@...>
>Sent: Jul 27, 2010 9:09 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: 500Hz Bandwidth 4.8.6 beta
>
>Tnx Rein,
>
>I disabled CAT which was being used to correctly log the frequency of stations I heard. I now just select 30M band.
>
>It is very strange why 500Hz has been locked out of all band but 30M.
>
>My message on rosmodem site finally appeared and Jose responded - "500Hz is not practical any bands but 30m"
>
>When I replied to that message, my original message and Jose's reply disappeared and I can't find them any more.
>
>Very strange. Oh well, at least there is a workaround at the moment, maybe Jose is planning to remove 500Hz completely in the future?
>
>
>Geoff
>(still only SWL)
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>
>> Hi Geoff,
>>
>> Whether it is by design or not I leave that up to others to comment on.
>>
>> As a SWL and even as a transmitting amateur, you can use this software at

>> ANY frequency you want. It is a program modulating a transmitter or
>> in your case decoding tones mixed down to base band by the product detector
>> in your receiver.
>>
>> If you select a band other then 30 meters it might not work (Jose's work)
>> But you can select "30M" and use it any any frequency. be it long wave
>> or microwave. JUst forget setting a frequency.
>>
>> When you do those spots you would probably report a wrong band. so what?
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: ozepets <ozepets@...>
>> >Sent: Jul 27, 2010 11:05 AM
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] 500Hz Bandwidth 4.8.6 beta
>> >
>> >with 4.8.6 beta I can no longer select 500Hz bandwidth on any band except 30m. There is lots of activity I monitor on 40M that uses 500Hz.
>> >
>> >I have tried posting this same question on the rosmodem website, but they never appear or get a reply and I confirm the message subscription when it comes to my email inbox. Jose seems very selective about which posts he lets become public :(
>> >
>> >I wonder if this is his attempt to force us to use 2250Hz again, similar to when he dropped 500Hz several versions ago.
>> >
>> >Does anyone know if this is a bug or by design?
>> >
>> >Geoff
>> >(still only SWL)
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >------------------------------------
>> >
>> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>

>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1147. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS hacking attempts on HamSpots

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-28 08:11:59 UTC
From: Steinar Aanesland
Topic: 1143 Message: 1147

Don't forget to take your pill ;)

la5vna Steinar

On 28.07.2010 02:03, graham787 wrote:
> Oh dear .. who put a new battery in the Duracell Bunny .. now it's back to cyber attacks again ...
>
> ''There have been aggressive hacking attempts trying to directly inject ROS spots into the HamSpots database.'' what !!!!!
>
> time for a trip to the funny farm possibly ?
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7TgNCoVmziQ
>
>
>
>
>
>

1148. Australia

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-31 01:23:55 UTC
From: Francesco Piccone
Topic: 1148 Message: 1148
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

ROS 70 Wtt Windom Short
73
Francesco
YV4GJN

1149. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Australia

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-31 05:22:49 UTC
From: ham-forum
Topic: 1148 Message: 1149

Congrats Francesco !

May be a ROS QSO between us in a near future ?

73, Rudolf
HB9ARI

Francesco Piccone wrote:
>
> ROS 70 Wtt Windom Short
> 73
> Francesco
> YV4GJN
>
>
> ---
>

1150. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Australia

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-31 13:06:13 UTC
From: Francesco Piccone
Topic: 1148 Message: 1150

Sure my friend,, Rudolf 73
Thanks
Francesco
YV4GJN
----- Original Message -----
From: "ham-forum" <ham-forum@...>
To: <ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 31, 2010 12:52 AM
Subject: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Australia

> Congrats Francesco !
>
> May be a ROS QSO between us in a near future ?
>
> 73, Rudolf
> HB9ARI
>
> Francesco Piccone wrote:
>>
>> ROS 70 Wtt Windom Short
>> 73
>> Francesco
>> YV4GJN
>>
>>
>> ---
>>
>
>
> ---
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1151. VK6JX on 20m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-31 12:34:38 UTC
From: g6nhy
Topic: 1151 Message: 1151

Hi All
Work VK6JX this morning on 14.103.00 ros ver 4.8.8 a good contact with John both way, using 30watts into mini delta loop at 20ft high.
So pleased to a VK station for the first time, that is added to my first ZS, zs6anz also on 20. good hunting, all the best.
73 de G6NHY Keith

1152. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] VK6JX on 20m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-07-31 16:56:04 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1151 Message: 1152

Hello keith

With ros and a simple antenna you can work the world

Ros goes around the blue marble better as any other mode

Dg9bfc

Sigi

Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von g6nhy
Gesendet: Samstag, 31. Juli 2010 12:35
An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] VK6JX on 20m

Hi All
Work VK6JX this morning on 14.103.00 ros ver 4.8.8 a good contact with John
both way, using 30watts into mini delta loop at 20ft high.
So pleased to a VK station for the first time, that is added to my first ZS,
zs6anz also on 20. good hunting, all the best.
73 de G6NHY Keith

1153. Around the blue marble

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-08-01 10:43:54 UTC
From: hteller
Topic: 1153 Message: 1153

> With ros and a simple antenna you can work the world
> Ros goes around the blue marble better as any other mode
> Dg9bfc
>Sigi

Sigi,

I have worked the same distance many times on PSK31 (for example, South Carolina to Australia - 539 or New Zealand - 579) with only one watt and a 20m dipole inside my attic at 20 feet. In addition, I used only 31 Hz of spectrum. There is no ROS "magic" here - it all just depends upon the minimum S/N of the mode you are using and propagation at the time.

73, Skip KH6TY

1154. ROS use

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-08-13 18:00:56 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 1154 Message: 1154

Hello All

Mr. ROS is making another big mistake by spreading misinformation.

4 Weeks ago I applied for an Experimental license to do comparison measurements in terms of sensitivities of QRP transmissions.

Yesterday I received the grand for a LIMITED time.

"EXPERIMENTAL SPECIAL TEMPORARY AUTHORIZATION"

CLASS of Station XD FX

EMISSION Designator SK25J2D

Experition 3:00 AM EST Jan 31 2011.

Call Sign WE9XLQ

Furthermore the transmissions made on 500 kHZ as mentioned by Mr. ROS were not from WE9XLQ.

This is another case were Mr ROS does a great disservice to the radio amateur Community.

I want Mr. ROS to correct the information that he just released.

73 Rein W6SZ

1155. Re: ROS use

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-08-13 21:35:41 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1154 Message: 1155

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Rein A" <rein0zn@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Hello All
>
> Mr. ROS is making another big mistake by spreading misinformation.
>
> 4 Weeks ago I applied for an Experimental license to do comparison
> measurements in terms of sensitivities of QRP transmissions.
>
> Yesterday I received the grand for a LIMITED time.
>
> "EXPERIMENTAL SPECIAL TEMPORARY AUTHORIZATION"
>
> CLASS of Station XD FX
>
> EMISSION Designator SK25J2D
>
> Experition 3:00 AM EST Jan 31 2011.
>
> Call Sign WE9XLQ
>
> Furthermore the transmissions made on 500 kHZ as mentioned by Mr. ROS
> were not from WE9XLQ.
>
> This is another case were Mr ROS does a great disservice to the
> radio amateur Community.
>
> I want Mr. ROS to correct the information that he just released.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>

Looks like a little problem with English , see he has added the 'only by' to the post to qualify it , first post was true though ??

The mode is locked to the frequency , bit of problem if you change modes in band , thats the normal operation of the psk report system , I spotted DK6NI on 503 when working cross band 502 to 80 mtrs DL has no 502 band ..

Good luck with the exp !

G..

1156. ROS use in US [URGENT }

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-08-13 21:59:47 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 1156 Message: 1156

Hello All,

Hello All,

Mr. Ros has just corrected the statement on the official ROS Modem
Website regarding ROS use in USA:

The FCC allows ROS to be used in the USA
13 August, 2010 by JosÃ© Alberto Nieto Ros

â□□ The FCC allows ROS to be used in the USAâ□□
only by WE9XLQ
Making up for lost ground , showing impressive
coverage on the first day of ROS HF later
ROS -MF covers 5700 miles to LU with ease.

Thank you, Jose.

We do not want to make your case more complicated then it already is.

73 Rein W6SZ

1159. Quite a sensible BLOG from The Btown Monitoring Post

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-08-16 21:40:22 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1159 Message: 1159

The heineken of articles , refreshes the parts others cannot reach , trust the Dutch to be out in front ....

http://monitor-post.blogspot.com/2010/08/current-status-of-ros-digital-mode-use.html

1160. ROS v 5.4.0

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-09-02 15:22:21 UTC
From: Christian Leloup F1AFZ
Topic: 1160 Message: 1160

Hi,
with this version I have error message : "OMNIRIG is not INSTALLED" ??
Omnirig is intalled on program files...

73's Christian F1AFZ
http://www.f1afz.fr
http://www.p3-e.org
F1AFZ sur Twitter : http://twitter.com/f1afz

1161. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v 5.4.0

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-09-02 18:18:43 UTC
From: jose alberto nieto ros
Topic: 1160 Message: 1161

1) Unistall Omnirig
2) Run "ROS Install.exe"

De: Christian Leloup F1AFZ <f1afz@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: jue,2 septiembre, 2010 17:22
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v 5.4.0

Hi,
with this version I have error message : "OMNIRIG is not INSTALLED" ??
Omnirig is intalled on program files...

73's Christian F1AFZ
http://www.f1afz.fr
http://www.p3-e.org
F1AFZ sur Twitter : http://twitter.com/f1afz

1162. Re : [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v 5.4.0

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-09-02 18:23:05 UTC
From: Christian Leloup F1AFZ
Topic: 1160 Message: 1162

Thank's
I use Win-7 and ROS work well when it run in "admin mode"

73's Christian F1AFZ
http://www.f1afz.fr
http://www.p3-e.org
F1AFZ sur Twitter : http://twitter.com/f1afz

-----E-mail d'origine-----
De : jose alberto nieto ros <nietorosdj@...>
A : ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Envoyé le : Jeudi, 2 Septembre 2010 20:18
Sujet : Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v 5.4.0

1) Unistall Omnirig
2) Run "ROS Install.exe"

De: Christian Leloup F1AFZ <f1afz@...>
Para: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Enviado: jue,2 septiembre, 2010 17:22
Asunto: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS v 5.4.0

Hi,
with this version I have error message : "OMNIRIG is not INSTALLED" ??
Omnirig is intalled on program files...

73's Christian F1AFZ
http://www.f1afz.fr
http://www.p3-e.org
F1AFZ sur Twitter : http://twitter.com/f1afz

=

1163. 70 CM Tropo any one ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-09-07 15:14:52 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1163 Message: 1163

70 CM Tropo any one ?

Ideas for nominated 70 CM frequency
In the data and eme section of the band

ROS_HF 2Khz
ROS_EME (50 HZ B/W)

1164. Thanks, Jose Alberto Nieto beautifully soft !

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-09-08 07:58:00 UTC
From: HB9OAE maico
Topic: 1164 Message: 1164

Thanks, Jose Alberto Nieto beautifully soft !

I have every evening in almost 20 meters
73s' maico HB9OAE qsl via bureau + eQSL

http://hb9oae.jimdo.com

http://hb9oae.blogspot.com/

1165. ROS now on FACEBOOK

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-09-10 21:18:33 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1165 Message: 1165

ROS now on FACEBOOK

http://www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=107108699350439&v=wall

1166. ROS VLF 0.8 and 0.4 Baud

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-11-01 17:08:12 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1166 Message: 1166

ROS VLF 0.8 and 0.4 Baud

Outline

Based on the ROS MF- 1 and MF-7 narrow band data modes , ROS VLF is a free running live key board qso mode , not requiring internet connection or accurate time or frequency control , AFC of 78 Hz is provided for receive and once locked , the overall circuit stability must exceed 5 Hz during the tx period.

Configured for operation within the 8 KHz experimental band , using phase continuous multi tone frequency shift keying , with in a bandwidth of 25 Hz , allowing for a system Q of over 300 with out degradation and may be transmitted using non linear amplifiers.

Provision is made for the drive frequency division, inherent in some Class E/D amplifiers, by the ability to select `normal or ` Class E/D' , this selection causes the transmit tones and modulation bandwidth to be multiplied by a factor of -2- to 16K / 50Hz , thus after amplification , the signal is reverted to 8k / 25 Hz

Rx frequency is fixed and not affected by the Tx select function

All normal functions are retained, including the ROS-Chat , allowing text messages to be broadcast to `all' or individual ROS users, via the internet . The Beacon timer cpu and Rx monitor's have been disabled to facilitate tx only operation. If connected to the www, reception reports will be uploaded to :-

http://pskreporter.info/pskmap.html

As in MF , spots will show as band `unknown'

The system utilises the soundcard to produce in effect a SDR radio operating at 8KHz . it is estimated that the 0.4 baud version will give a noise floor of some -38 dB , the 0.4 baud version , produces a higher CPU load than 0.8 , showing round 20% on a dual core lap-top

Data summery

Frequency tx/rx 8950-8975 Hz

Bandwidth 25 Hz

Baud rate fixed 0.4 / 0.8

Rx AFC range 78 Hz

Drift tolerance 5 Hz

S/N 0.4 Baud -38 dB

Two exe files are available , one 0.4 band , the other 0.8 baud. for testing

73 - G ..

1167. ROS with Linux

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2010-11-12 06:44:41 UTC
From: Ugo
Topic: 1167 Message: 1167

Hi All.
Is it possible to run ROS with Linux ?
73, Ugo

1168. Yaesu FT-2000 CAT

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-01-15 05:16:33 UTC
From: vk2cnn
Topic: 1168 Message: 1168

Hi all,

I just want to know if this is normal or am I having a problem here.

I have Yaesu FT-2000 interfaced to ROS V6.1.5 via CAT. When I start ROS, I can see my radio goes into USB and PKT (Packet) mode. There are no problems with sending messages. My radio goes to TX and other station can copy my signal. Problem is when I receive messages I can not copy messages at all under USB and PKT mode. I need to turn PKT mode off to be able to copy messages. When I need to TX again I need to put radio into PKT mode manually in order to TX. It won't go into TX on USB mode only.

Can anyone explain to me what these two red vertical lines mean in the scope?

Any comment would very much be appreciated.

Thanks.
Geoff (VK2CNN)

1169. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Yaesu FT-2000 CAT

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-01-15 07:57:36 UTC
From: Doug Tennent
Topic: 1168 Message: 1169

Hi Geoff

Could you please tell me where I could download ROS 6.1.5 ?

Many thanks and 73
Doug ZL1AVY

On 15/01/2011 6:16 PM, vk2cnn wrote:
>
> Hi all,
>
> I just want to know if this is normal or am I having a problem here.
>
> I have Yaesu FT-2000 interfaced to ROS V6.1.5 via CAT. When I start
> ROS, I can see my radio goes into USB and PKT (Packet) mode. There are
> no problems with sending messages. My radio goes to TX and other
> station can copy my signal. Problem is when I receive messages I can
> not copy messages at all under USB and PKT mode. I need to turn PKT
> mode off to be able to copy messages. When I need to TX again I need
> to put radio into PKT mode manually in order to TX. It won't go into
> TX on USB mode only.
>
> Can anyone explain to me what these two red vertical lines mean in the
> scope?
>
> Any comment would very much be appreciated.
>
> Thanks.
> Geoff (VK2CNN)
>
>

--
I am using the free version of SPAMfighter.
We are a community of 7 million users fighting spam.
SPAMfighter has removed 1023 of my spam emails to date.
Get the free SPAMfighter here: http://www.spamfighter.com/len

The Professional version does not have this message

1170. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Yaesu FT-2000 CAT

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-01-15 11:59:34 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1168 Message: 1170

As a member of the rosdigitalmodemgroup you shoud know that ALL new versions
can be downloaded on jose´s homepage
http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/
as now 616 is out you will not find 615 there
dg9bfc
sigi

1171. Re: Yaesu FT-2000 CAT

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-01-15 12:00:43 UTC
From: vk2cnn
Topic: 1168 Message: 1171

Hi Doug,

Try this site :-

http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/

Regards,
Geoff

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Doug Tennent <zl1avy@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Geoff
>
> Could you please tell me where I could download ROS 6.1.5 ?
>
> Many thanks and 73
> Doug ZL1AVY
>
>
>
> On 15/01/2011 6:16 PM, vk2cnn wrote:
> >
> > Hi all,
> >
> > I just want to know if this is normal or am I having a problem here.
> >
> > I have Yaesu FT-2000 interfaced to ROS V6.1.5 via CAT. When I start
> > ROS, I can see my radio goes into USB and PKT (Packet) mode. There are
> > no problems with sending messages. My radio goes to TX and other
> > station can copy my signal. Problem is when I receive messages I can
> > not copy messages at all under USB and PKT mode. I need to turn PKT
> > mode off to be able to copy messages. When I need to TX again I need
> > to put radio into PKT mode manually in order to TX. It won't go into
> > TX on USB mode only.
> >
> > Can anyone explain to me what these two red vertical lines mean in the
> > scope?
> >
> > Any comment would very much be appreciated.
> >
> > Thanks.
> > Geoff (VK2CNN)
> >
> >
>
> --

> I am using the free version of SPAMfighter.
> We are a community of 7 million users fighting spam.
> SPAMfighter has removed 1023 of my spam emails to date.
> Get the free SPAMfighter here: http://www.spamfighter.com/len
>
> The Professional version does not have this message
>

1172. CAT problem with IC-703

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-01-16 00:47:22 UTC
From: ON2AD
Topic: 1172 Message: 1172

Hello to All

I have install the Version 6.1.6, and now I can't connect my CAT.

This version is scanning and connect a pulse my interface (Micro KEYER II from MicroHAM)
and my IC-703 and scan further and then the message
Rig not found.
Rig not found but ROS have make a second connect my interface and IC-703
Can someone help me with this problem ??

73 de Pat, ON2AD

In earlier versions the CAT have work very well

1173. Ros MF-7 Crosses the Pond G>VE

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-02-14 16:06:46 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1173 Message: 1173

History in the making , (again) at 21-34 gmt 13/2 Joe VO1NA decoded a full beacon decode from my station G0NBD , on frequency 502 KHz
Not only was this the first 'live rtty' decoded over the Atlantic but at 2134 hours, was a quite unexpected event so early in the night , s/n ranged from -23 to -27 dB giving 100% decode

G..

1174. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ros MF-7 Crosses the Pond G>VE

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-02-14 18:08:17 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1173 Message: 1174

Congrats .... now the way for 136 kc is open :-)
Sigi
Dg9bfc

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von graham787
> Gesendet: Montag, 14. Februar 2011 16:07
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ros MF-7 Crosses the Pond G>VE
>
>
>
> History in the making , (again) at 21-34 gmt 13/2 Joe VO1NA decoded a full
> beacon decode from my station G0NBD , on frequency 502 KHz
> Not only was this the first 'live rtty' decoded over the Atlantic but at
> 2134 hours, was a quite unexpected event so early in the night , s/n
> ranged from -23 to -27 dB giving 100% decode
>
> G..
>
>
>
>

1175. Re: Ros MF-7 Crosses the Pond G>VE

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-02-14 23:59:58 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1173 Message: 1175

Yes - Gus is in the process of making a new PA for 136 , so it may be possible ?

G..

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Siegfried Jackstien"
<siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> Congrats .... now the way for 136 kc is open :-)
> Sigi
> Dg9bfc
>
>
> > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von graham787
> > Gesendet: Montag, 14. Februar 2011 16:07
> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ros MF-7 Crosses the Pond G>VE
> >
> >
> >
> > History in the making , (again) at 21-34 gmt 13/2 Joe VO1NA decoded a full
> > beacon decode from my station G0NBD , on frequency 502 KHz
> > Not only was this the first 'live rtty' decoded over the Atlantic but at
> > 2134 hours, was a quite unexpected event so early in the night , s/n
> > ranged from -23 to -27 dB giving 100% decode
> >
> > G..
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

1176. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ros MF-7 Crosses the Pond G>VE

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-02-14 23:49:36 UTC
From: YV5MM Manuel Mosquera
Topic: 1173 Message: 1176

Congratulations Sigi. 73

MANUEL MOSQUERA RAMIREZ
YV5MM / 4M6M / YW6ISS
P.O. Box # 88
Anaco 6003, Anzoátegui

yv5mm@...
yv5mm@...
yv5mm@...
http://www.youtube.com/user/YV5MM --- YV5MM CHANNEL

http://yv-sat.blogspot.com
http://yv5mm6.blogspot.com
http:www.ustream.tv/user/YV5MM
http:www.qrz.com/db/yv5mmCopyright © 2011 Yahoo! Inc. All rights reserved.

Artículo 19: Todo individuo tiene derecho a la libertad de opinión y expresión; este derecho incluye el de no ser molestado a causa de sus opiniones, el de investigar y recibir informaciones y opiniones y el de difundirlas, sin limitación de fronteras, por cualquier medio de expresión. - Declaración Universal de los Derechos humanos, adoptada por la Asamblea General de las Naciones Unidas el 10 de Diciembre de 1948 en París.

From: Siegfried Jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Mon, February 14, 2011 1:38:17 PM
Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ros MF-7 Crosses the Pond G>VE

Congrats .... now the way for 136 kc is open :-)
Sigi
Dg9bfc

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von graham787
> Gesendet: Montag, 14. Februar 2011 16:07
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ros MF-7 Crosses the Pond G>VE
>
>
>
> History in the making , (again) at 21-34 gmt 13/2 Joe VO1NA decoded a full
> beacon decode from my station G0NBD , on frequency 502 KHz

> Not only was this the first 'live rtty' decoded over the Atlantic but at
> 2134 hours, was a quite unexpected event so early in the night , s/n
> ranged from -23 to -27 dB giving 100% decode
>
> G..
>
>
>
>

1177. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Ros MF-7 Crosses the Pond G>VE

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-02-15 11:42:53 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1173 Message: 1177

Several short and mediumwave transmitters were switched off in the last
years .... take the pa and transmitter to one side would give you a very
well antenna for lowband
Sigi

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von graham787
> Gesendet: Dienstag, 15. Februar 2011 00:00
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: Ros MF-7 Crosses the Pond G>VE
>
>
>
> Yes - Gus is in the process of making a new PA for 136 , so it may be
> possible ?
>
> G..
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> , "Siegfried Jackstien"
> <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
> >
> > Congrats .... now the way for 136 kc is open :-)
> > Sigi
> > Dg9bfc
> >
> >
> > > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>] Im Auftrag von graham787
> > > Gesendet: Montag, 14. Februar 2011 16:07
> > > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ros MF-7 Crosses the Pond G>VE
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > History in the making , (again) at 21-34 gmt 13/2 Joe VO1NA decoded a
> full
> > > beacon decode from my station G0NBD , on frequency 502 KHz
> > > Not only was this the first 'live rtty' decoded over the Atlantic but

> at
> > > 2134 hours, was a quite unexpected event so early in the night , s/n
> > > ranged from -23 to -27 dB giving 100% decode
> > >
> > > G..
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>
>

1178. Ros can be used on HF in USA !!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-02-15 20:16:02 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1178 Message: 1178

This is posted to the wspr site

Hello all,

I'm interested in what others have to say regarding using WSPR on 13.555 MHz. If your not familiar with this unlicensed Part 15 "band", here is the skinny in a nutshell.

FCC regulations part 15.225 allow operation in the 13.553-13.567 MHz range with a field strength of 10,000 ìV/m measured at a distance of 30 meters. This works out to a little under 2 mW into a 1/2 wave dipole antenna. I think WSPR would be a match made in heaven regarding experimenting on this very low power, NO LICENSE NEEDED 19 meter band.....Comments?

Best from North Dakota,

.

1179. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ros can be used on HF in USA !!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-02-16 02:04:59 UTC
From: Dave Sparks
Topic: 1178 Message: 1179

> From: graham787
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2011 12:16 PM
> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ros can be used on HF in USA !!
>
>
>
>
> This is posted to the wspr site
>
> Hello all,
>
> I'm interested in what others have to say regarding using WSPR on 13.555
> MHz. If your not familiar with this unlicensed Part 15 "band", here is the
> skinny in a > nutshell.
>
> FCC regulations part 15.225 allow operation in the 13.553-13.567 MHz range
> with a field strength of 10,000 ìV/m measured at a distance of 30 meters.
> This > works out to a little under 2 mW into a 1/2 wave dipole antenna. I
> think WSPR would be a match made in heaven regarding experimenting on this
> very low
> power, NO LICENSE NEEDED 19 meter band.....Comments?
>
> Best from North Dakota,

IIRC, your equipment must be certified or type accepted to use it for Part
15.

--
Dave Sparks - AF6AS

1180. ROS is still NOT legal in the USA!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-02-21 11:27:48 UTC
From: Gary - K7EK
Topic: 1180 Message: 1180

A word to the wise. Nothing has changed. ROS transmissions remain illegal on HF for all USA amateurs, as specified by the FCC in its last statement, which was made about one year ago. It's not worth the loss of your license, the very high monetary forfeiture (read FINE), and/or possible jail time, or the embarrassment of receiving an Official Observer Warning Notice. If you value that license you worked so very hard to earn, do not even think about transmitting ROS on HF until such time as it is officially authorized by the FCC.

Best regards,

Gary, K7EK

1181. Re: Ros can be used on HF in USA !!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-02-21 20:22:39 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1178 Message: 1181

Intresting Band looks like there is more than one of these un regulated bands .. not quite sure what the allocations are ment to be used for ? lofer beacons and hifer beacons seem to be allowed .....part 15 regs look like the normal dogs dinner , some time after the dogs dinner time ?

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Sparks" <dsparks@...> wrote:
>
>
> > From: graham787
> > To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2011 12:16 PM
> > Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Ros can be used on HF in USA !!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > This is posted to the wspr site
> >
> > Hello all,
> >
> > I'm interested in what others have to say regarding using WSPR on 13.555
> > MHz. If your not familiar with this unlicensed Part 15 "band", here is the
> > skinny in a > nutshell.
> >
> > FCC regulations part 15.225 allow operation in the 13.553-13.567 MHz range
> > with a field strength of 10,000 ìV/m measured at a distance of 30 meters.
> > This > works out to a little under 2 mW into a 1/2 wave dipole antenna. I
> > think WSPR would be a match made in heaven regarding experimenting on this
> > very low
> > power, NO LICENSE NEEDED 19 meter band.....Comments?
> >
> > Best from North Dakota,
>
> IIRC, your equipment must be certified or type accepted to use it for Part
> 15.
>
> --
> Dave Sparks - AF6AS
>

1182. Re: ROS is still NOT legal in the USA!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-02-21 20:27:55 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1180 Message: 1182

>>> FCC in its last statement <<<

That would be a good read - whats the web link ?

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Gary - K7EK" <gary.k7ek@...> wrote:
>
> A word to the wise. Nothing has changed. ROS transmissions remain illegal on HF for all USA amateurs, as specified by the FCC in its last statement, which was made about one year ago. It's not worth the loss of your license, the very high monetary forfeiture (read FINE), and/or possible jail time, or the embarrassment of receiving an Official Observer Warning Notice. If you value that license you worked so very hard to earn, do not even think about transmitting ROS on HF until such time as it is officially authorized by the FCC.
>
> Best regards,
>
> Gary, K7EK
>

1183. ROS HF proving popular in the USA

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-03-01 21:57:40 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1183 Message: 1183

ROS HF is proving to be popular in the world of virtual reality , where operators may nibble on the forbidden fruit safe in the knowledge, participation will not attract evil spirits or e-mails from vigilante's

Running 6-3-2 ? enable the psk-reporter to complete the virtual experience, Join the parallel universe and take a free ride at

http://qsonet.com/

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CWpND8GhBuU

G..

1184. VSWR Alarm

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-03-08 10:07:30 UTC
From: Philip
Topic: 1184 Message: 1184

I am using ROSMODEM with my FT-897D.
If I uncheck the VSWR Alarm in rig setting the alarm is not disabled.
Could anybody check if this is so.

Philip g7jur.

1185. Re: VSWR Alarm

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-03-24 17:30:49 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1184 Message: 1185

post q here

http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/2011/01/10/ros-2/#comments

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Philip" <philip.g7jur@...> wrote:
>
> I am using ROSMODEM with my FT-897D.
> If I uncheck the VSWR Alarm in rig setting the alarm is not disabled.
> Could anybody check if this is so.
>
> Philip g7jur.
>

1186. VO1NA decoded ROS MF-7 Beacon from G0NBD 502 Khz Options

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-05-23 17:46:36 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1186 Message: 1186

Slight delay in posting ,

From: <jcr...@...>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2011 12:57 AM
To: "G .." <g0...@...>
Subject: Re: Ros - 502 K ?

> Graham,

> I read your earlier message about being QRV this evening. I just
> noticed something interesting on the computer screen and have
> attached a jpeg copy of it to this email as it might be of interest
> to you.

> 73
> Joe

http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/2011/02/14/the-blue-ribbon-fastest-live...

MF History in the making ....

At 21:34 gmt 13/2/11 Joe VO1NA decoded a full
beacon decode from G0NBD , on frequency 502 KHz

Not only was this the first 'LIVE RTTY' decoded over the Atlantic but
at
2134 hours, was a quite unexpected event so early in the night , s/n
ranged from -23 to -27 dB giving 100% decode from a ERP OF 1
watt ,
Ros-MF 7 with deep and rapid qsb , over 4000 + mile path

1187. Re: ROS is still NOT legal in the USA!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-06-03 17:06:09 UTC
From: zs6af
Topic: 1180 Message: 1187

U mean The FCC is still so stupid to believe that audio feeding into the mic is SS ?
HAHHAHAHAHAHAAA

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "graham787" <g0nbd@...> wrote:
>
> >>> FCC in its last statement <<<
>
> That would be a good read - whats the web link ?
>
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Gary - K7EK" <gary.k7ek@> wrote:
> >
> > A word to the wise. Nothing has changed. ROS transmissions remain illegal on HF for all USA amateurs, as specified by the FCC in its last statement, which was made about one year ago. It's not worth the loss of your license, the very high monetary forfeiture (read FINE), and/or possible jail time, or the embarrassment of receiving an Official Observer Warning Notice. If you value that license you worked so very hard to earn, do not even think about transmitting ROS on HF until such time as it is officially authorized by the FCC.
> >
> > Best regards,
> >
> > Gary, K7EK
> >
>

1188. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS is still NOT legal in the USA!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-06-04 08:08:04 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1180 Message: 1188

As far as i know it depends on how the audio signal is generated ...
If there is a randomizer in the audio-path that widens the spectrum ... yes
then it is SS (but SS on a VERY narrow area)
To send SS you do not need the pc to switch the rigs qrg ..
Imagine you would have a micamp (and all following stages in the rig) that
has a flat response from 100hz to 20kc ... now feed an audio signal in it
that contains data PLUS the data is spread over that 20kc with a randomizing
sequence
You have a real ss signal over nearly seven phone channels... right?!?
Do that with a rig with a flat response in 200 or more kcs and you would
have a very wide SS signal
Now what is it in ros ?!? .. the same thing but squeezed in a 3kc phone
channel (pass-band of an ssb-filter)
That is what I know ...
In dl we can send a letter to what is fcc in us and ask for an experimental
permission ... why cant you do that in usa?
Yes ros is ss but very narrow so please lets make some experiments with it
That would be the way to go I think
.....
Hope that you find a solution on the other side of the ocean :-)
Greetings from germany
Dg9bfc
Sigi

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von zs6af
> Gesendet: Freitag, 3. Juni 2011 17:06
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS is still NOT legal in the USA!
>
>
>
> U mean The FCC is still so stupid to believe that audio feeding into the
> mic is SS ? HAHHAHAHAHAHAAA
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> , "graham787" <g0nbd@...>
> wrote:
> >
> > >>> FCC in its last statement <<<
> >
> > That would be a good read - whats the web link ?
> >

> >
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> , "Gary - K7EK"
> <gary.k7ek@> wrote:
> > >
> > > A word to the wise. Nothing has changed. ROS transmissions remain
> illegal on HF for all USA amateurs, as specified by the FCC in its last
> statement, which was made about one year ago. It's not worth the loss of
> your license, the very high monetary forfeiture (read FINE), and/or
> possible jail time, or the embarrassment of receiving an Official Observer
> Warning Notice. If you value that license you worked so very hard to earn,
> do not even think about transmitting ROS on HF until such time as it is
> officially authorized by the FCC.
> > >
> > > Best regards,
> > >
> > > Gary, K7EK
> > >
> >
>
>
>

1189. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS is still NOT legal in the USA!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-06-04 11:36:51 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 1180 Message: 1189

I have recently had email communication with a senior offcial in the FCC enforcement bureau, amateur radio section. The official said "it is illegal". So, they may have it incorrect;y classified but they view it as "illegal" not possibly illegal or "maybe". They did say I was welcome to file a petition to change this. I'm willing to submit this if someone with much better knowledge can write me a good detailed technical description of why is is NOT SS

Andy K3UK

On Sat, Jun 4, 2011 at 4:08 AM, Siegfried Jackstien <
siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:

>
>
> As far as i know it depends on how the audio signal is generated ...
> If there is a randomizer in the audio-path that widens the spectrum ... yes
> then it is SS (but SS on a VERY narrow area)
> To send SS you do not need the pc to switch the rigs qrg ..
> Imagine you would have a micamp (and all following stages in the rig) that
> has a flat response from 100hz to 20kc ... now feed an audio signal in it
> that contains data PLUS the data is spread over that 20kc with a
> randomizing
> sequence
> You have a real ss signal over nearly seven phone channels... right?!?
> Do that with a rig with a flat response in 200 or more kcs and you would
> have a very wide SS signal
> Now what is it in ros ?!? .. the same thing but squeezed in a 3kc phone
> channel (pass-band of an ssb-filter)
> That is what I know ...
> In dl we can send a letter to what is fcc in us and ask for an experimental
> permission ... why cant you do that in usa?
> Yes ros is ss but very narrow so please lets make some experiments with it
> That would be the way to go I think
> .....
> Hope that you find a solution on the other side of the ocean :-)
> Greetings from germany
> Dg9bfc
> Sigi
>
> > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von zs6af
> > Gesendet: Freitag, 3. Juni 2011 17:06

> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS is still NOT legal in the USA!
>
> >
> >
> >
> > U mean The FCC is still so stupid to believe that audio feeding into the
> > mic is SS ? HAHHAHAHAHAHAAA
> >
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> , "graham787" <g0nbd@
> ...>
>
> > wrote:
> > >
> > > >>> FCC in its last statement <<<
> > >
> > > That would be a good read - whats the web link ?
> > >
> > >
> > > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> , "Gary - K7EK"
>
> > <gary.k7ek@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > A word to the wise. Nothing has changed. ROS transmissions remain
> > illegal on HF for all USA amateurs, as specified by the FCC in its last
> > statement, which was made about one year ago. It's not worth the loss of
> > your license, the very high monetary forfeiture (read FINE), and/or
> > possible jail time, or the embarrassment of receiving an Official
> Observer
> > Warning Notice. If you value that license you worked so very hard to
> earn,
> > do not even think about transmitting ROS on HF until such time as it is
> > officially authorized by the FCC.
> > > >
> > > > Best regards,
> > > >
> > > > Gary, K7EK
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

1193. Selective decoding/ Facility update

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-08-01 23:56:09 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1193 Message: 1193

Selective decoding/ Facility update

From 3 August 2011, A new ROS Mode will become active , this mode, now has provision for selective decoding and it will not be compatible with the present ROS Mode.

A new switch is added, All / `call-signe'
In position ALL , ROS will decode any message (recommended for SWL operation),

In position `call-signe' it will only decode transmissions from the station with the entered `call-signe'.

Thus , with two stations in qso, the channel may be used by other stations

NB This facility is only available to the ROS-HF modes , MF and EME being FSK based and of very narrow bandwidth, are not afforded this facility.

The single hop digital repeating facility

The single hop digital repeating facility is also now made avaialble, repeating is under the control of the station opertor, who may select the mode from the menu, then , station with the pre-fix R- to the call Signe will be re radiated , with crc check on the data content .

This facility may provide , via the cat link, cross band operation , it is not intended to replace a full packet network , but provides a useful extension to operations in difficult locations and cross linking , noting this may also be accessed via the established internet remote faction's .

Of particular interest to those operating under the SS directive.

On a more finer technical point , one that has to date been some what over overlooked , I must admit , I had not noticed this until , looking back over some off air captures of 500 khz beacon tests, however I have confirmation this is accurate.

Where as the ros system is distributed as a single .exe file, the composition of the ROS EME and MF modes is in actuality fundamentally different.

The MF modes , being unable to take advantage of the BW=Noise gain , the coding is restricted to FSK , and is therefore eligible for operation within the existing fcc/ss dictates

Operators are invited to observe a eme or mf mode beacon, using , eg, speclab and it will be noted the transmitted pattern is repeated , demonstrating in the most simple way , that , no randomizer is deployed and at 50 and 100 Hz B/W respectfully , clearly the concept of spectral dispersion is not applicable.

This information , I feel will be of interest to those who are genuinely involved in the development of data modes as it demonstrates dispersion techniques are in fact self limiting , noting that the existing directives of 300 baud and ss are not seen to sit comfortably , untill other such changes are implemented , this may present a area of development ?

For example the mf-7 mode affords a s/n gain round 10db over the well established psk31 , coupled with a total loss of 'gray' noise , due to the phase cont modulation schema.

G..

1194. Re: ROS is still NOT legal in the USA!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-08-01 23:57:20 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1180 Message: 1194

Of particular interest to those operating under the SS directive.

On a more finer technical point , one that has to date been some what over overlooked , I must admit , I had not noticed this until , looking back over some off air captures of 500 khz beacon tests, however I have confirmation this is accurate.

Where as the ros system is distributed as a single .exe file, the composition of the ROS EME and MF modes is in actuality fundamentally different.

The MF modes , being unable to take advantage of the BW=Noise gain , the coding is restricted to FSK , and is therefore eligible for operation within the existing fcc/ss dictates

Operators are invited to observe a eme or mf mode beacon, using , eg, speclab and it will be noted the transmitted pattern is repeated , demonstrating in the most simple way , that , no randomizer is deployed and at 50 and 100 Hz B/W respectfully , clearly the concept of spectral dispersion is not applicable.

This information , I feel will be of interest to those who are genuinely involved in the development of data modes as it demonstrates dispersion techniques are in fact self limiting , noting that the existing directives of 300 baud and ss are not seen to sit comfortably , untill other such changes are implemented , this may present a area of development ?

For example the mf-7 mode affords a s/n gain round 10db over the well established psk31 , coupled with a total loss of 'gray' noise , due to the phase cont modulation schema.

G..

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Andy obrien <k3ukandy@...> wrote:
>
> I have recently had email communication with a senior offcial in the FCC
> enforcement bureau, amateur radio section. The official said "it is
> illegal". So, they may have it incorrect;y classified but they view it as
> "illegal" not possibly illegal or "maybe". They did say I was welcome to
> file a petition to change this. I'm willing to submit this if someone with
> much better knowledge can write me a good detailed technical description of
> why is is NOT SS
>
>
> Andy K3UK
>

> On Sat, Jun 4, 2011 at 4:08 AM, Siegfried Jackstien <
> siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > As far as i know it depends on how the audio signal is generated ...
> > If there is a randomizer in the audio-path that widens the spectrum ... yes
> > then it is SS (but SS on a VERY narrow area)
> > To send SS you do not need the pc to switch the rigs qrg ..
> > Imagine you would have a micamp (and all following stages in the rig) that
> > has a flat response from 100hz to 20kc ... now feed an audio signal in it
> > that contains data PLUS the data is spread over that 20kc with a
> > randomizing
> > sequence
> > You have a real ss signal over nearly seven phone channels... right?!?
> > Do that with a rig with a flat response in 200 or more kcs and you would
> > have a very wide SS signal
> > Now what is it in ros ?!? .. the same thing but squeezed in a 3kc phone
> > channel (pass-band of an ssb-filter)
> > That is what I know ...
> > In dl we can send a letter to what is fcc in us and ask for an experimental
> > permission ... why cant you do that in usa?
> > Yes ros is ss but very narrow so please lets make some experiments with it
> > That would be the way to go I think
> > .....
> > Hope that you find a solution on the other side of the ocean :-)
> > Greetings from germany
> > Dg9bfc
> > Sigi
> >
> > > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von zs6af
> > > Gesendet: Freitag, 3. Juni 2011 17:06
> > > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS is still NOT legal in the USA!
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > U mean The FCC is still so stupid to believe that audio feeding into the
> > > mic is SS ? HAHHAHAHAHAHAAA
> > >
> > > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> , "graham787" <g0nbd@
> > ...>
> >
> > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >>> FCC in its last statement <<<

> > > >
> > > > That would be a good read - whats the web link ?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> , "Gary - K7EK"
> >
> > > <gary.k7ek@> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > A word to the wise. Nothing has changed. ROS transmissions remain
> > > illegal on HF for all USA amateurs, as specified by the FCC in its last
> > > statement, which was made about one year ago. It's not worth the loss of
> > > your license, the very high monetary forfeiture (read FINE), and/or
> > > possible jail time, or the embarrassment of receiving an Official
> > Observer
> > > Warning Notice. If you value that license you worked so very hard to
> > earn,
> > > do not even think about transmitting ROS on HF until such time as it is
> > > officially authorized by the FCC.
> > > > >
> > > > > Best regards,
> > > > >
> > > > > Gary, K7EK
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>

1195. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Selective decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-08-05 02:24:59 UTC
From: Doug Tennent
Topic: 1195 Message: 1195

Hi Graham'

I'm still waiting for the new version. Will it be on the usual web-site ?

73, Doug ZL1AVY

----- Original Message -----
From: graham787
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2011 10:37 AM
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Selective decoding

Selective decoding

From 3 August 2011, A new ROS Mode will become active , this mode, now has provision for selective decoding and it will not be compatible with the present ROS Mode.

A new switch is added, All / `call-signe'

In position ALL , ROS will decode any message (recommended for SWL operation),

In position `call-signe' it will only decode transmissions from the station with the entered `call-signe'.

Thus , with two stations in qso, the channel may be used by other stations

NB This facility is only avaialabe to the ROS-HF modes , MF and EME being FSK based and of very narrow bandwith, are not afforded this faclilty.

1196. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Selective decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-08-05 08:46:54 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1195 Message: 1196

Version 660 and 661 have that switch built in ... it activates automaticly
after the 3rd of august ...
Dg9bfc
Sigi

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Doug Tennent
> Gesendet: Freitag, 5. August 2011 02:25
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Selective decoding
>
>
>
> Hi Graham'
>
> I'm still waiting for the new version. Will it be on the usual web-site ?
>
> 73, Doug ZL1AVY
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: graham787 <mailto:g0nbd@...>
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2011 10:37 AM
> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Selective decoding
>
>
>
> Selective decoding
>
> From 3 August 2011, A new ROS Mode will become active , this mode,
> now has provision for selective decoding and it will not be compatible
> with the present ROS Mode.
>
> A new switch is added, All / `call-signe'
>
> In position ALL , ROS will decode any message (recommended for SWL
> operation),
>
> In position `call-signe' it will only decode transmissions from the
> station with the entered `call-signe'.
>
> Thus , with two stations in qso, the channel may be used by other

> stations
>
> NB This facility is only avaialabe to the ROS-HF modes , MF and EME
> being FSK based and of very narrow bandwith, are not afforded this
> faclilty.
>
>
>
>

1197. Re: Selective decoding

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-08-06 15:11:47 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1195 Message: 1197

Yes downloads from the normal web site .. so far looks to work ok .. just needs a rx-lock 'kill switch'

G..

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Doug Tennent" <zl1avy@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Graham'
>
> I'm still waiting for the new version. Will it be on the usual web-site ?
>
> 73, Doug ZL1AVY
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: graham787
> To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2011 10:37 AM
> Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Selective decoding
>
>
>
> Selective decoding
>
> From 3 August 2011, A new ROS Mode will become active , this mode, now has provision for selective decoding and it will not be compatible with the present ROS Mode.
>
> A new switch is added, All / `call-signe'
>
> In position ALL , ROS will decode any message (recommended for SWL operation),
>
> In position `call-signe' it will only decode transmissions from the station with the entered `call-signe'.
>
> Thus , with two stations in qso, the channel may be used by other stations
>
> NB This facility is only avaialabe to the ROS-HF modes , MF and EME being FSK based and of very narrow bandwith, are not afforded this faclilty.
>

1198. Selective calling , it really works !

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-08-13 18:14:05 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1198 Message: 1198

With a little randomization , a touch of algi-rhythm and the code set's , the ROS Modem , the digital version of Giuseppe Verdi's Il trovatore , has slipped silently into the Armature radio record books

With the latest version , the HF mode has now the ability to selectively decode any desired call signe , while other qso's are in progress on the same channel . creating a bonsai dispersed spectrum communication mode that fits into the speech channel of a SSB transmitter (obviously FM and AM do not afford the stacking addition)

Those of us who previously waited patiently for a qso to finish, can now simply call cq or reply to a cq call in the midst of other traffic , this may appeal to some existing operating styles ? best results are obtained using the 2K rather than the 500Hz , due to reduced collisions ..the MF modes do not support this function , being MFSK and not dispersed. (take note , those 5000 or so miles to the west of UK)

The laws of physics do still apply of course , stations living next door to each other may expedience problems ..but outside the ground wave , in the skip zone, early results are quite promising ,

G..

1199. ROS MF on 500KHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-01 15:45:13 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1199 Message: 1199

With the onset of autumn, ROS MF activity is on the increase in the 500Khz band round UK and Europe

Uk Stations over the last days and nights have run CQ beacons and conducted 2 ways QSO's using both MF-2 and MF-7 modes

Where as the new MF-2 mode gives 3dB away to the original MF-1 , the extra speed has enabled 2 way LIVE qso's to be conducted under the most difficult of conditions at the double ...

The WEB-SDR located at Delft Holland provides a convenient monitoring port >> http://websdr.pa3weg.nl/ <<

G..

1200. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS MF on 500KHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-01 16:17:23 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1199 Message: 1200

Hi Graham,

Where do I look on the Delft SDR?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
>Sent: Sep 1, 2011 8:45 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS MF on 500KHz
>
>With the onset of autumn, ROS MF activity is on the increase in the 500Khz band round UK and Europe
>
>Uk Stations over the last days and nights have run CQ beacons and conducted 2 ways QSO's using both MF-2 and MF-7 modes
>
>Where as the new MF-2 mode gives 3dB away to the original MF-1 , the extra speed has enabled 2 way LIVE qso's to be conducted under the most difficult of conditions at the double ...
>
>The WEB-SDR located at Delft Holland provides a convenient monitoring port >> http://websdr.pa3weg.nl/ <<
>
>G..
>
>
>
>
>-----
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1201. Re: ROS MF on 500KHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-01 17:07:31 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1199 Message: 1201

Rein.. Just set 500 Khz USB as per the ROS frequency

G..

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Hi Graham,
>
>
> Where do I look on the Delft SDR?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 8:45 AM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS MF on 500KHz
> >
> >With the onset of autumn, ROS MF activity is on the increase in the 500Khz band round UK and Europe
> >
> >Uk Stations over the last days and nights have run CQ beacons and conducted 2 ways QSO's using both MF-2 and MF-7 modes
> >
> >Where as the new MF-2 mode gives 3dB away to the original MF-1 , the extra speed has enabled 2 way LIVE qso's to be conducted under the most difficult of conditions at the double ...
> >
> >The WEB-SDR located at Delft Holland provides a convenient monitoring port >> http://websdr.pa3weg.nl/ <<
> >
> >G..
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >------------------------------------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

1202. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-01 18:08:16 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1199 Message: 1202

Graham,

I understand the upper sideband part but where is the activity/ QRG? exactly?
What times in UTC? The ROS freq list just lists 500 kHz?
Probably have to go to learning process, so many changes it looks like.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
>Sent: Sep 1, 2011 10:07 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
>
>Rein.. Just set 500 Khz USB as per the ROS frequency
>
>G..
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>
>> Hi Graham,
>>
>>
>> Where do I look on the Delft SDR?
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
>> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 8:45 AM
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS MF on 500KHz
>> >
>> >With the onset of autumn, ROS MF activity is on the increase in the 500Khz band round UK and Europe
>> >
>> >Uk Stations over the last days and nights have run CQ beacons and conducted 2 ways QSO's using both MF-2 and MF-7 modes
>> >
>> >Where as the new MF-2 mode gives 3dB away to the original MF-1 , the extra speed has enabled 2 way LIVE qso's to be conducted under the most difficult of conditions at the double ...
>> >
>> >The WEB-SDR located at Delft Holland provides a convenient monitoring port >> http://websdr.pa3weg.nl/ <<
>> >

```
>> >G..
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >-----------------------------------
>> >
>> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>-----------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
```

1203. Re: ROS MF on 500KHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-01 18:28:52 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1199 Message: 1203

Ok R .

All the listed ROS frequency's are ''Dial Set''

So for the 500 band , the tx/rx 'Dial set' is 500 KHz USB.. this with the 1500 Hz audio frequency puts the mode on 501.500 KHz , i.e. 500 Hz inside the UK band edge ,,

14.103 is the dial freq for 20 mtrs in USB mode

G..

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Graham,
>
>
> I understand the upper sideband part but where is the activity/ QRG? exactly?
> What times in UTC? The ROS freq list just lists 500 kHz?
> Probably have to go to learning process, so many changes it looks like.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 10:07 AM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
> >
> >Rein.. Just set 500 Khz USB as per the ROS frequency
> >
> >G..
> >
> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@ wrote:
> >>
> >> Hi Graham,
> >>
> >>
> >> Where do I look on the Delft SDR?
> >>
> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
> >>
> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@>
> >> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 8:45 AM
> >> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS MF on 500KHz

> >> >
> >> >With the onset of autumn, ROS MF activity is on the increase in the 500Khz band round UK and Europe
> >> >
> >> >Uk Stations over the last days and nights have run CQ beacons and conducted 2 ways QSO's using both MF-2 and MF-7 modes
> >> >
> >> >Where as the new MF-2 mode gives 3dB away to the original MF-1 , the extra speed has enabled 2 way LIVE qso's to be conducted under the most difficult of conditions at the double ...
> >> >
> >> >The WEB-SDR located at Delft Holland provides a convenient monitoring port >> http://websdr.pa3weg.nl/ <<
> >> >
> >> >G..
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >------------------------------------
> >> >
> >> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >------------------------------------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

1204. Re: ROS MF on 500KHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-01 19:28:59 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1199 Message: 1204

Just going into Dark now at 19-25 GMT , check the psk-reporting map
http://pskreporter.info/pskmap.html for 500 spots , set band = unknown ..

G..

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Graham,
>
>
> I understand the upper sideband part but where is the activity/ QRG? exactly?
> What times in UTC? The ROS freq list just lists 500 kHz?
> Probably have to go to learning process, so many changes it looks like.
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 10:07 AM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
> >
> >Rein.. Just set 500 Khz USB as per the ROS frequency
> >
> >G..
> >
> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@ wrote:
> >>
> >> Hi Graham,
> >>
> >>
> >> Where do I look on the Delft SDR?
> >>
> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
> >>
> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@>
> >> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 8:45 AM
> >> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS MF on 500KHz
> >> >
> >> >With the onset of autumn, ROS MF activity is on the increase in the 500Khz band round
UK and Europe
> >> >
> >> >Uk Stations over the last days and nights have run CQ beacons and conducted 2 ways

QSO's using both MF-2 and MF-7 modes
> >> >
> >> >Where as the new MF-2 mode gives 3dB away to the original MF-1 , the extra speed has enabled 2 way LIVE qso's to be conducted under the most difficult of conditions at the double ...
> >> >
> >> >The WEB-SDR located at Delft Holland provides a convenient monitoring port >> http://websdr.pa3weg.nl/ <<
> >> >
> >> >G..
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >-----
> >> >
> >> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >-----
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

1205. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-01 19:52:34 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1199 Message: 1205

Graham,

OK, Don't really know much about the 600 m band. Understood about the dial freq.

Will concentrate on this tomorrow and the the rest of weekend , Short on time today.

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
>Sent: Sep 1, 2011 11:28 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
>
>Ok R .
>
>All the listed ROS frequency's are ''Dial Set''
>
>So for the 500 band , the tx/rx 'Dial set' is 500 KHz USB.. this with the 1500 Hz audio frequency puts the mode on 501.500 KHz , i.e. 500 Hz inside the UK band edge ,,
>
>14.103 is the dial freq for 20 mtrs in USB mode
>
>G..
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>
>> Graham,
>>
>>
>> I understand the upper sideband part but where is the activity/ QRG? exactly?
>> What times in UTC? The ROS freq list just lists 500 kHz?
>> Probably have to go to learning process, so many changes it looks like.
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
>> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 10:07 AM
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
>> >
>> >Rein.. Just set 500 Khz USB as per the ROS frequency
>> >
>> >G..
>> >

>> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@ wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Hi Graham,
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Where do I look on the Delft SDR?
>> >>
>> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
>> >>
>> >> -----Original Message-----
>> >> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@>
>> >> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 8:45 AM
>> >> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS MF on 500KHz
>> >> >
>> >> >With the onset of autumn, ROS MF activity is on the increase in the 500Khz band round UK and Europe
>> >> >
>> >> >Uk Stations over the last days and nights have run CQ beacons and conducted 2 ways QSO's using both MF-2 and MF-7 modes
>> >> >
>> >> >Where as the new MF-2 mode gives 3dB away to the original MF-1 , the extra speed has enabled 2 way LIVE qso's to be conducted under the most difficult of conditions at the double ...
>> >> >
>> >> >The WEB-SDR located at Delft Holland provides a convenient monitoring port >> http://websdr.pa3weg.nl/ <<
>> >> >
>> >> >G..
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >------------------------------------
>> >> >
>> >> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >------------------------------------
>> >
>> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >

>>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1206. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-01 21:10:47 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1199 Message: 1206

Graham,

Totally out of touch! How do I select MF1 mode? Loaded v6.9.6. Is there some sort of manual now?

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
>Sent: Sep 1, 2011 12:28 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
>
>Just going into Dark now at 19-25 GMT , check the psk-reporting map
http://pskreporter.info/pskmap.html for 500 spots , set band = unknown ..
>
>G..
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>
>> Graham,
>>
>>
>> I understand the upper sideband part but where is the activity/ QRG? exactly?
>> What times in UTC? The ROS freq list just lists 500 kHz?
>> Probably have to go to learning process, so many changes it looks like.
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
>> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 10:07 AM
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
>> >
>> >Rein.. Just set 500 Khz USB as per the ROS frequency
>> >
>> >G..
>> >
>> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@ wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Hi Graham,
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Where do I look on the Delft SDR?
>> >>

>> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
>> >>
>> >> -----Original Message-----
>> >> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@>
>> >> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 8:45 AM
>> >> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS MF on 500KHz
>> >> >
>> >> >With the onset of autumn, ROS MF activity is on the increase in the 500Khz band round UK and Europe
>> >> >
>> >> >Uk Stations over the last days and nights have run CQ beacons and conducted 2 ways QSO's using both MF-2 and MF-7 modes
>> >> >
>> >> >Where as the new MF-2 mode gives 3dB away to the original MF-1 , the extra speed has enabled 2 way LIVE qso's to be conducted under the most difficult of conditions at the double ...
>> >> >
>> >> >The WEB-SDR located at Delft Holland provides a convenient monitoring port >> http://websdr.pa3weg.nl/ <<
>> >> >
>> >> >G..
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >------------------------------------
>> >> >
>> >> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >------------------------------------
>> >
>> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>

>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1207. Re: ROS MF on 500KHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-01 21:38:09 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1199 Message: 1207

Ok Rein .. all you need do ,is select the frequency from the drop down list . the modes are linked to the frequency .. so when you select 500 or 136 , you are then using the MF mode , keep the RX set to 2-7 , to make sure you decode mf-2 or mf-7

73 -G..

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>
> Graham,
>
> Totally out of touch! How do I select MF1 mode? Loaded v6.9.6. Is there some sort of manual now?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 12:28 PM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
> >
> >Just going into Dark now at 19-25 GMT , check the psk-reporting map http://pskreporter.info/pskmap.html for 500 spots , set band = unknown ..
> >
> >G..
> >
> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@ wrote:
> >>
> >> Graham,
> >>
> >>
> >> I understand the upper sideband part but where is the activity/ QRG? exactly?
> >> What times in UTC? The ROS freq list just lists 500 kHz?
> >> Probably have to go to learning process, so many changes it looks like.
> >>
> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
> >>
> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@>
> >> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 10:07 AM
> >> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
> >> >
> >> >Rein.. Just set 500 Khz USB as per the ROS frequency
> >> >

> >> >G..
> >> >
> >> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@ wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Hi Graham,
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Where do I look on the Delft SDR?
> >> >>
> >> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
> >> >>
> >> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> >> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@>
> >> >> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 8:45 AM
> >> >> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> >> >> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS MF on 500KHz
> >> >> >
> >> >> >With the onset of autumn, ROS MF activity is on the increase in the 500Khz band round UK and Europe
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Uk Stations over the last days and nights have run CQ beacons and conducted 2 ways QSO's using both MF-2 and MF-7 modes
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Where as the new MF-2 mode gives 3dB away to the original MF-1 , the extra speed has enabled 2 way LIVE qso's to be conducted under the most difficult of conditions at the double ...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >The WEB-SDR located at Delft Holland provides a convenient monitoring port >> http://websdr.pa3weg.nl/ <<
> >> >> >
> >> >> >G..
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >----------
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >----------
> >> >
> >> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >> >

```
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >-----------------------------------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>
```

1208. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-01 21:54:43 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1199 Message: 1208

Graham, decoded you at 21:52

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
>Sent: Sep 1, 2011 12:28 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
>
>Just going into Dark now at 19-25 GMT , check the psk-reporting map
http://pskreporter.info/pskmap.html for 500 spots , set band = unknown ..
>
>G..
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>
>> Graham,
>>
>>
>> I understand the upper sideband part but where is the activity/ QRG? exactly?
>> What times in UTC? The ROS freq list just lists 500 kHz?
>> Probably have to go to learning process, so many changes it looks like.
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
>> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 10:07 AM
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
>> >
>> >Rein.. Just set 500 Khz USB as per the ROS frequency
>> >
>> >G..
>> >
>> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@ wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Hi Graham,
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Where do I look on the Delft SDR?
>> >>
>> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
>> >>

>> >> -----Original Message-----
>> >> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@>
>> >> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 8:45 AM
>> >> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS MF on 500KHz
>> >> >
>> >> >With the onset of autumn, ROS MF activity is on the increase in the 500Khz band round UK and Europe
>> >> >
>> >> >Uk Stations over the last days and nights have run CQ beacons and conducted 2 ways QSO's using both MF-2 and MF-7 modes
>> >> >
>> >> >Where as the new MF-2 mode gives 3dB away to the original MF-1 , the extra speed has enabled 2 way LIVE qso's to be conducted under the most difficult of conditions at the double ...
>> >> >
>> >> >The WEB-SDR located at Delft Holland provides a convenient monitoring port >> http://websdr.pa3weg.nl/ <<
>> >> >
>> >> >G..
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >------------------------------------
>> >> >
>> >> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >------------------------------------
>> >
>> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>

1209. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-01 21:56:43 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1199 Message: 1209

OK I decoded you and GM4SLV

Understand linkage

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
>Sent: Sep 1, 2011 2:38 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
>
>Ok Rein .. all you need do ,is select the frequency from the drop down list . the modes are linked to the frequency .. so when you select 500 or 136 , you are then using the MF mode , keep the RX set to 2-7 , to make sure you decode mf-2 or mf-7
>
>73 -G..
>
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>
>> Graham,
>>
>> Totally out of touch! How do I select MF1 mode? Loaded v6.9.6. Is there some sort of manual now?
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
>> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 12:28 PM
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
>> >
>> >Just going into Dark now at 19-25 GMT , check the psk-reporting map http://pskreporter.info/pskmap.html for 500 spots , set band = unknown ..
>> >
>> >G..
>> >
>> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@ wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Graham,
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> I understand the upper sideband part but where is the activity/ QRG? exactly?
>> >> What times in UTC? The ROS freq list just lists 500 kHz?

>> >> Probably have to go to learning process, so many changes it looks like.
>> >>
>> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
>> >>
>> >> -----Original Message-----
>> >> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@>
>> >> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 10:07 AM
>> >> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
>> >> >
>> >> >Rein.. Just set 500 Khz USB as per the ROS frequency
>> >> >
>> >> >G..
>> >> >
>> >> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@ wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Hi Graham,
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Where do I look on the Delft SDR?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
>> >> >>
>> >> >> -----Original Message-----
>> >> >> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@>
>> >> >> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 8:45 AM
>> >> >> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >> >> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS MF on 500KHz
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >With the onset of autumn, ROS MF activity is on the increase in the 500Khz band round UK and Europe
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Uk Stations over the last days and nights have run CQ beacons and conducted 2 ways QSO's using both MF-2 and MF-7 modes
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Where as the new MF-2 mode gives 3dB away to the original MF-1 , the extra speed has enabled 2 way LIVE qso's to be conducted under the most difficult of conditions at the double ...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >The WEB-SDR located at Delft Holland provides a convenient monitoring port >> http://websdr.pa3weg.nl/ <<
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >G..
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >----------
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Yahoo! Groups Links

```
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >------------------------------------
>> >> >
>> >> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >-------------------------------------
>> >
>> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
```

1210. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-01 22:04:56 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1199 Message: 1210

Graham, you are both -21 db

(I am on the chatbox)
-----Original Message-----
>From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
>Sent: Sep 1, 2011 2:38 PM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
>
>Ok Rein .. all you need do ,is select the frequency from the drop down list . the modes are linked to the frequency .. so when you select 500 or 136 , you are then using the MF mode , keep the RX set to 2-7 , to make sure you decode mf-2 or mf-7
>
>73 -G..
>
>
>--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@... wrote:
>>
>> Graham,
>>
>> Totally out of touch! How do I select MF1 mode? Loaded v6.9.6. Is there some sort of manual now?
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@...>
>> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 12:28 PM
>> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
>> >
>> >Just going into Dark now at 19-25 GMT , check the psk-reporting map http://pskreporter.info/pskmap.html for 500 spots , set band = unknown ..
>> >
>> >G..
>> >
>> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@ wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Graham,
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> I understand the upper sideband part but where is the activity/ QRG? exactly?
>> >> What times in UTC? The ROS freq list just lists 500 kHz?
>> >> Probably have to go to learning process, so many changes it looks like.
>> >>

>> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
>> >>
>> >> -----Original Message-----
>> >> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@>
>> >> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 10:07 AM
>> >> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS MF on 500KHz
>> >> >
>> >> >Rein.. Just set 500 Khz USB as per the ROS frequency
>> >> >
>> >> >G..
>> >> >
>> >> >--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, rein0zn@ wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Hi Graham,
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Where do I look on the Delft SDR?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
>> >> >>
>> >> >> -----Original Message-----
>> >> >> >From: graham787 <g0nbd@>
>> >> >> >Sent: Sep 1, 2011 8:45 AM
>> >> >> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> >> >> >Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS MF on 500KHz
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >With the onset of autumn, ROS MF activity is on the increase in the 500Khz band round UK and Europe
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Uk Stations over the last days and nights have run CQ beacons and conducted 2 ways QSO's using both MF-2 and MF-7 modes
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Where as the new MF-2 mode gives 3dB away to the original MF-1 , the extra speed has enabled 2 way LIVE qso's to be conducted under the most difficult of conditions at the double ...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >The WEB-SDR located at Delft Holland provides a convenient monitoring port >> http://websdr.pa3weg.nl/ <<
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >G..
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >----------
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >

>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >----------
>> >> >
>> >> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >----------
>> >
>> >Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1211. CQ de G4WGT 4W ROS MF2

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-08 23:03:04 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1211 Message: 1211

Not bad EH !!

The new MF-2 mode looks to be possibly an improvement over the MF-1 version ,
with the increase in speed also came a reduction in frequency stability requirement

There is a -3db loss , but perhaps this is not translated directly in use ?

NB- says something about the Mini whip AE !

Subject: LF: CQ de G4WGT 4W ROS MF2

RX2: 20:44 @ 7,5 Hz: CQ de G4WGT 4W <CRC-OK> -18 dB 655 km @ 329 0.500 Mhz
RX2: 20:50 @ 7,5 Hz: CQ de G4WGT 4W <CRC-OK> -24 dB 655 km @ 329 0.500 Mhz
RX2: 20:56 @ 7,5 Hz: CQ de G4WGT <BAD-CRC> -32 dB 0.500 Mhz
RX2: 21:03 @ 6,7 Hz: CQ de G4WGT 4 <BAD-CRC> -29 dB 0.500 Mhz
RX2: 21:09 @ 6,7 Hz: CQ de G4WGT 4W <CRC-OK> -27 dB 655 km @ 329 0.500 Mhz
RX2: 21:34 @ 6,7 Hz: CQ de G4WGT 4W <CRC-OK> -28 dB 655 km @ 329 0.500 Mhz

RX2: 21:37 @ -9,2 Hz: TEST de GM4SLV <CRC-OK> -31 dB 1316 km @ 350 0.500 Mhz

RX2: 21:43 @ 6,7 Hz: CQ de G4WGT 4W <CRC-OK> -30 dB 655 km @ 329 0.500 Mhz
RX2: 21:50 @ 6,7 Hz: CQ de G4WGT 4W <CRC-OK> -17 dB 655 km @ 329 0.500 Mhz
RX2: 21:56 @ 6,7 Hz: CQ de G4WGT 4W <CANCEL> -32 dB 655 km @ 329 0.500 Mhz
RX2: 22:08 @ 6,7 Hz: CQ de G4WGT 4W <CRC-OK> -27 dB 655 km @ 329 0.500 Mhz
RX2: 22:21 @ 6,7 Hz: CQ de G4WGT 4W <CRC-OK> -29 dB 655 km @ 329 0.500 Mhz
RX2: 22:27 @ 6,7 Hz: CQ de G4WGT 4W <CRC-OK> -29 dB 655 km @ 329 0.500 Mhz
RX2: 22:33 @ 6,7 Hz: CQ de G4WGT 4W <CRC-OK> -27 dB 655 km @ 329 0.500 Mhz
RX2: 22:40 @ 6,7 Hz: CQ de G4WGT 4W <CRC-OK> -29 dB 655 km @ 329 0.500 Mhz

F4DTL Nicolas JN18FP

RX : Icom IC7400
Ant: Miniwhip + FRT7700 preselector

1212. abaut stage lighting disco and dj lighting equipment price list www.dj-europe.com

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-26 01:48:23 UTC
From: www.dj-europe.com
Topic: 1212 Message: 1212

Hello, sir

Sorry for late reply for our disco and DJ lighting equipment

Here is our Stage lighting equipment prices list From our factory, here is discount prices for you, hope you are happy for our prices

We have got the CE, ROHS and FCC certificate

Let us know your interest

maggie

WWW(DOT)DJ-EUROPE(DOT)COM

NO.FLAT 4-5, EDUCATION ROAD EAST, CHENXI CUN, NANHAI DISTRICT, FOSHAN CITY, CHINA

POSTCODE: 528248 TELEPHONE: 0086-757-63386561

FAX: 0086-757-63386562 EMAIL:FACTORY1@DJ-EUROPE.COMFACTORY2@DJ-EUROPE.COM

1213. 123 stage lighting, disco and DJ lighting equipment prices list-www.dj-europe.com

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-09-28 03:14:40 UTC
From: www.dj-europe.com
Topic: 1213 Message: 1213

Hello, sir

Sorry for late reply for our disco and DJ lighting equipment

Here is our Stage lighting equipment prices list From our factory, here is discount prices for you, hope you are happy for our prices

We have got the CE, ROHS and FCC certificate

Let us know your interest

moon

WWW(DOT)DJ-EUROPE(DOT)COM

NO.FLAT 4-5, EDUCATION ROAD EAST, CHENXI CUN, NANHAI DISTRICT, FOSHAN CITY, CHINA

POSTCODE: 528248 TELEPHONE: 0086-757-63386561

FAX: 0086-757-63386562 EMAIL:FACTORY1@DJ-EUROPE.COMFACTORY2@DJ-EUROPE.COM

1214. Using Kenwood TS480SAT

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-10-19 08:20:25 UTC
From: discoham
Topic: 1214 Message: 1214

What is the secret of getting a TS480 to talk to ROS .. works fine with FLdigi & WSPR but ROS doesn't see it.

There doesn't seem to be a way of setting up the COM port settings other than setting the number .. when set to CAT control and search it eventually says not found and says 'CLOSE HRD' which isn't on the PC

Any help gratefully accepted

1215. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Using Kenwood TS480SAT

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-11-03 19:08:39 UTC
From: Siegfried Jackstien
Topic: 1214 Message: 1215

Dont know about the ts480
But sure jose can help
Write a post on the hp and he should answer that (or solve if there is a problem) ...
I had the same problem with my icom 765 ...
Jose and I made a teamview meeting one evening and all worked fine
:-)
Greetz
Sigi
Dg9bfc

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von discoham
> Gesendet: Mittwoch, 19. Oktober 2011 08:20
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Using Kenwood TS480SAT
>
>
>
> What is the secret of getting a TS480 to talk to ROS .. works fine with
> FLdigi & WSPR but ROS doesn't see it.
>
> There doesn't seem to be a way of setting up the COM port settings other
> than setting the number .. when set to CAT control and search it
> eventually says not found and says 'CLOSE HRD' which isn't on the PC
>
> Any help gratefully accepted
>
>
>
>

1216. ROS V 6-8-4 Change of code structure

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2011-11-30 20:27:07 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1216 Message: 1216

ROS V 6-8-4 Change of code structure

As of 2400z 30 Nov the code structure of ROS HF is changing , versions previous to 6-8-4 HF modes will not be compatible, no change is made to the MF or EME modes , baud rate and s/n remain unchanged.

Changes are a result of optimisation of the modem frequency dispersal,in support of mid to low band NVIS propagation.

73 -G..

http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/2011/01/10/ros-2/

1217. second FCC STA for ROS in USA issued

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-01-10 20:57:31 UTC
From: frankk2ncc
Topic: 1217 Message: 1217

Frank Ravenswood, K2NCC, has been granted a Special Temporary Authority (STA) by the Federal Communications Commission to conduct Spread Spectrum experiments within 2.5 kHz signal bandwidths on 160 through 6 meters at a maximum of 100 W effective radiated power. The 6-month authorization takes effect February 1 and expires on July 31. The FCC has assigned Ravenswood the call sign WF9XJM for use during his experimental transmissions.

https://apps.fcc.gov/els/GetAtt.html?id=122153&x

Phil Williams, KA1GMN, has been granted a Special Temporary Authority (STA) by the Federal Communications Commission to conduct Spread Spectrum experiments within 2.5 kHz signal bandwidths on 160 through 2 meters at a maximum of 100 W effective radiated power. The 6-month authorization takes effect February 1 and expires on July 31. The FCC has assigned Williams the call sign WF9XJD for use during his experimental transmissions.

https://apps.fcc.gov/els/GetAtt.html?id=122008&x

(others to follow)

LOOK FOR US ON THE AIR STARTING 01 FEB 2012!

1218. Re: second FCC STA for ROS in USA issued

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-01-12 09:45:55 UTC
From: George
Topic: 1217 Message: 1218

This action is definately a way forward to the ROS community and enthusiasts. Lets hope will start seeing US hams on the air in the not too distant future.
rgds to all
George
9H1AA

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "frankk2ncc" <frank.k2ncc@...> wrote:
>
> Frank Ravenswood, K2NCC, has been granted a Special Temporary Authority (STA) by the Federal Communications Commission to conduct Spread Spectrum experiments within 2.5 kHz signal bandwidths on 160 through 6 meters at a maximum of 100 W effective radiated power. The 6-month authorization takes effect February 1 and expires on July 31. The FCC has assigned Ravenswood the call sign WF9XJM for use during his experimental transmissions.
>
> https://apps.fcc.gov/els/GetAtt.html?id=122153&x
>
> ----------
>
> Phil Williams, KA1GMN, has been granted a Special Temporary Authority (STA) by the Federal Communications Commission to conduct Spread Spectrum experiments within 2.5 kHz signal bandwidths on 160 through 2 meters at a maximum of 100 W effective radiated power. The 6-month authorization takes effect February 1 and expires on July 31. The FCC has assigned Williams the call sign WF9XJD for use during his experimental transmissions.
>
> https://apps.fcc.gov/els/GetAtt.html?id=122008&x
>
> (others to follow)
>
> LOOK FOR US ON THE AIR STARTING 01 FEB 2012!
>

1219. Re: second FCC STA for ROS in USA issued

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-01-12 15:49:19 UTC
From: frankk2ncc
Topic: 1217 Message: 1219

another added to the list yesterday:

WF9XJP Frank Allen aka KA1QW

we expect a dozen or so by the FEB01 start date.

f

1220. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: second FCC STA for ROS in USA issued

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-01-12 20:14:32 UTC
From: siegfried jackstien
Topic: 1217 Message: 1220

What would be the pileup if he will be the only one ?!? :-)

Dg9bfc
Sigi

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von frankk2ncc
> Gesendet: Donnerstag, 12. Januar 2012 15:49
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: second FCC STA for ROS in USA issued
>
>
>
> another added to the list yesterday:
>
> WF9XJP Frank Allen aka KA1QW
>
> we expect a dozen or so by the FEB01 start date.
>
> f
>
>
>
>

1221. Re: second FCC STA for ROS in USA issued

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-01-13 09:39:09 UTC
From: frankk2ncc
Topic: 1217 Message: 1221

lol. i think as a special-event station.

f

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "siegfried jackstien"
<siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> What would be the pileup if he will be the only one ?!? :-)
>
> Dg9bfc
> Sigi

1222. cat control for ROS and Yeasu FT-920

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-01-14 13:34:31 UTC
From: DAVID
Topic: 1222 Message: 1222

when using Yeasu FT-920 cat control with ROS, the DATA mode is always selected, vice the SSB mode.

when DATA mode is selected, the IF is shifted up about around 500hz. so that using ROS or sstv, the entire signal can not be used for either RX or TX.

so to use ROS, it is necessary to rewire the audio cables and use the microphone input vice the DATA input on real panel.

so i am asking for a small change in the CAT control code or somewhere in ROS to allow the selection of SSB or DATA.

david/wd4kpd

1223. Re: cat control for ROS and Yeasu FT-920

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-01-16 05:41:46 UTC
From: Jan-Olof Nilsson
Topic: 1222 Message: 1223

Use DATA-USB for ROS operation.

To activate this mode of operation, the
front panel Mode selection must be set to
DATA-USB (even though “USB” may not be
the actual sideband utilized in operation), and
the rear panel AFSK-FSK switch must be set
to AFSK

73 de sm0ifp
/Jan-Olof Nilsson
+46 736 780513
+46 8 4477601

Ordförande/President
SödRa - Södertörns Radioamatörer - SKØQO
Box 188
136 23 HANINGE
Plusgiro: 68 05 89-9
http://www.sk0qo.se

1224. Re: second FCC STA for ROS in USA issued

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-01-19 04:10:37 UTC
From: frankk2ncc
Topic: 1217 Message: 1224

WF9XJE David Weinshenker - granted 18 Jan 2012

1225. Re: fourth FCC STA for ROS in USA issued

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-01-22 03:45:03 UTC
From: frankk2ncc
Topic: 1217 Message: 1225

fourth addition to the group:
Wayne F. Dailey Jr., WF9XJY
https://apps.fcc.gov/els/GetAtt.html?id=122442&x=.

1226. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: fourth FCC STA for ROS in USA issued

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-01-22 06:09:14 UTC
From: siegfried jackstien
Topic: 1217 Message: 1226

Huh ... the fourth one??? Then you have enough people to build a club

Usa ros userclub hi hi
(just kidding do not throw stones on me)

Nice news

Greetz
Sigi

Dg9bfc

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von frankk2ncc
> Gesendet: Sonntag, 22. Januar 2012 03:45
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: fourth FCC STA for ROS in USA issued
>
>
>
> fourth addition to the group:
> Wayne F. Dailey Jr., WF9XJY
> https://apps.fcc.gov/els/GetAtt.html?id=122442&x=.
>
>
>
>

1227. Long time comming , STA SS Trials on ROS web site

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-01-29 01:44:15 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1227 Message: 1227

Long time comming , STA SS Trials on ROS web site
not long now....

G..

http://rosmodem.wordpress.com/2012/01/29/experimental-ss-usa-group/

1228. Re: second FCC STA for ROS in USA issued

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-01-29 05:26:17 UTC
From: Mitch
Topic: 1217 Message: 1228

This STA request was not for spreadspectrum testing but for a special event. Looks like it was denied on Jan 24th

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "frankk2ncc" <frank.k2ncc@...> wrote:
>
> WF9XJE David Weinshenker - granted 18 Jan 2012
>

1230. Reporting experimental receiving tests results

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-02 01:55:48 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 1230 Message: 1230

Hi All,

Is there a central URL where reporting is done (sent)under software control as under Opera? Known URL?

73 Rein W6SZ

1231. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving tests results

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-02 02:20:00 UTC
From: siegfried jackstien
Topic: 1230 Message: 1231

Central urL?? Yes ... known?? Maybe :-)
If you would use a port scanning soft you would see were the spots go ...

(we had that discussed in another group but I do not remember the port
numbers)

Dg9bfc

Sigi

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
> Gesendet: Donnerstag, 2. Februar 2012 01:56
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving tests
> results
>
>
>
> Hi All,
>
> Is there a central URL where reporting is done (sent)under software
> control as under Opera?
> Known URL?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
>
>
>

1232. Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving tests results

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-02 03:49:09 UTC
From: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Topic: 1230 Message: 1232

Tell me about port scanning software, pse Sigi

73 Rein W6SZ

-----Original Message-----
>From: siegfried jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...>
>Sent: Feb 2, 2012 2:20 AM
>To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving tests results
>
>Central urL?? Yes ... known?? Maybe :-)
>If you would use a port scanning soft you would see were the spots go ...
>
>(we had that discussed in another group but I do not remember the port
>numbers)
>
>Dg9bfc
>
>Sigi
>
>
>> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
>> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
>> Gesendet: Donnerstag, 2. Februar 2012 01:56
>> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
>> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving tests
>> results
>>
>>
>>
>> Hi All,
>>
>> Is there a central URL where reporting is done (sent)under software
>> control as under Opera?
>> Known URL?
>>
>> 73 Rein W6SZ
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

>
>----------
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

1233. AW: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving tests results

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-02 12:59:40 UTC
From: siegfried jackstien
Topic: 1230 Message: 1233

Tcpview is one i know ...
Does show what ports are open in your pc and does show where those ports are connected to (whois lookup)

There are sure several others .. and I can not say if there are better ones ... but for most things that soft worked for me :-)

Dg9bfc
Sigi

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von
> rein0zn@...
> Gesendet: Donnerstag, 2. Februar 2012 03:49
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving
> tests results
>
>
>
> Tell me about port scanning software, pse Sigi
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> >From: siegfried jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...
> <mailto:siegfried.jackstien%40freenet.de> >
> >Sent: Feb 2, 2012 2:20 AM
> >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> >Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving
> tests results
> >
> >Central urL?? Yes ... known?? Maybe :-)
> >If you would use a port scanning soft you would see were the spots go ...
> >
> >(we had that discussed in another group but I do not remember the port
> >numbers)
> >
> >Dg9bfc
> >
> >Sigi
> >

> >
> >> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> >> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> >> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>] Im Auftrag von Rein A
> >> Gesendet: Donnerstag, 2. Februar 2012 01:56
> >> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> >> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving tests
> >> results
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Hi All,
> >>
> >> Is there a central URL where reporting is done (sent)under software
> >> control as under Opera?
> >> Known URL?
> >>
> >> 73 Rein W6SZ
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >------------------------------------
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

1234. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving tests results

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-02 15:24:28 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 1230 Message: 1234

Sigi,

Are you telling me that ports on my computer are possibly opened up that I am not aware of?

So the scanner checks MY computer? There are no legal issues involved
with running the scanner?

73 Rein W6SZ

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "siegfried jackstien"
<siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> Tcpview is one i know ...
> Does show what ports are open in your pc and does show where those ports are
> connected to (whois lookup)
>
> There are sure several others .. and I can not say if there are better ones
> ... but for most things that soft worked for me :-)
>
> Dg9bfc
> Sigi
>
>
> > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von
> > rein0zn@...
> > Gesendet: Donnerstag, 2. Februar 2012 03:49
> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > Betreff: Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving
> > tests results
> >
> >
> >
> > Tell me about port scanning software, pse Sigi
> >
> > 73 Rein W6SZ
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > >From: siegfried jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...
> > <mailto:siegfried.jackstien%40freenet.de> >
> > >Sent: Feb 2, 2012 2:20 AM
> > >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > >Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving

> > tests results
> > >
> > >Central urL?? Yes ... known?? Maybe :-)
> > >If you would use a port scanning soft you would see were the spots go ...
> > >
> > >(we had that discussed in another group but I do not remember the port
> > >numbers)
> > >
> > >Dg9bfc
> > >
> > >Sigi
> > >
> > >
> > >> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > >> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > >> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>] Im Auftrag von Rein A
> > >> Gesendet: Donnerstag, 2. Februar 2012 01:56
> > >> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > >> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving tests
> > >> results
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> Hi All,
> > >>
> > >> Is there a central URL where reporting is done (sent)under software
> > >> control as under Opera?
> > >> Known URL?
> > >>
> > >> 73 Rein W6SZ
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >----------
> > >
> > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >

>

1235. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving tests results

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-02 18:19:09 UTC
From: siegfried jackstien
Topic: 1230 Message: 1235

I dont know if you know of YOUR open ports ... but yes ... tcpview looks for all open ports on YOUR pc (just start your outlook ... or any chat like icq or skype and you will see what ports are open)

And it tells you were those open ports are connected to

Then you can do a search for that ip address

Dg9bfc
Sigi

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
> Gesendet: Donnerstag, 2. Februar 2012 15:24
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving tests
> results
>
>
>
> Sigi,
>
> Are you telling me that ports on my computer are possibly opened up that I
> am not aware of?
>
> So the scanner checks MY computer? There are no legal issues involved
> with running the scanner?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> , "siegfried jackstien"
> <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
> >
> > Tcpview is one i know ...
> > Does show what ports are open in your pc and does show where those ports
> are
> > connected to (whois lookup)
> >
> > There are sure several others .. and I can not say if there are better
> ones
> > ... but for most things that soft worked for me :-)
> >
> > Dg9bfc

> > Sigi
> >
> >
> > > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>] Im Auftrag von
> > > rein0zn@...
> > > Gesendet: Donnerstag, 2. Februar 2012 03:49
> > > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > Betreff: Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental
> receiving
> > > tests results
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Tell me about port scanning software, pse Sigi
> > >
> > > 73 Rein W6SZ
> > >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > >From: siegfried jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...
> > > <mailto:siegfried.jackstien%40freenet.de> >
> > > >Sent: Feb 2, 2012 2:20 AM
> > > >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > >Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving
> > > tests results
> > > >
> > > >Central urL?? Yes ... known?? Maybe :-)
> > > >If you would use a port scanning soft you would see were the spots go
> ...
> > > >
> > > >(we had that discussed in another group but I do not remember the
> port
> > > >numbers)
> > > >
> > > >Dg9bfc
> > > >
> > > >Sigi
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > > >> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > >> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com

> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>] Im Auftrag von Rein
> A
> > > >> Gesendet: Donnerstag, 2. Februar 2012 01:56
> > > >> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > >> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving
> tests
> > > >> results
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >> Hi All,
> > > >>
> > > >> Is there a central URL where reporting is done (sent)under software
> > > >> control as under Opera?
> > > >> Known URL?
> > > >>
> > > >> 73 Rein W6SZ
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >----------
> > > >
> > > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>
>

1236. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving tests results

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-02 18:19:51 UTC
From: siegfried jackstien
Topic: 1230 Message: 1236

(rein you got mail from my gmail adress)

Dg9bfc

Sigi

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von Rein A
> Gesendet: Donnerstag, 2. Februar 2012 15:24
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving tests
> results
>
>
>
> Sigi,
>
> Are you telling me that ports on my computer are possibly opened up that I
> am not aware of?
>
> So the scanner checks MY computer? There are no legal issues involved
> with running the scanner?
>
> 73 Rein W6SZ
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> , "siegfried jackstien"
> <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
> >
> > Tcpview is one i know ...
> > Does show what ports are open in your pc and does show where those ports
> are
> > connected to (whois lookup)
> >
> > There are sure several others .. and I can not say if there are better
> ones
> > ... but for most things that soft worked for me :-)
> >
> > Dg9bfc
> > Sigi
> >
> >
> > > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----

> > > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>] Im Auftrag von
> > > rein0zn@...
> > > Gesendet: Donnerstag, 2. Februar 2012 03:49
> > > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > Betreff: Re: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental
> receiving
> > > tests results
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Tell me about port scanning software, pse Sigi
> > >
> > > 73 Rein W6SZ
> > >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > >From: siegfried jackstien <siegfried.jackstien@...
> > > <mailto:siegfried.jackstien%40freenet.de> >
> > > >Sent: Feb 2, 2012 2:20 AM
> > > >To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > >Subject: AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving
> > > tests results
> > > >
> > > >Central urL?? Yes ... known?? Maybe :-)
> > > >If you would use a port scanning soft you would see were the spots go
> ...
> > > >
> > > >(we had that discussed in another group but I do not remember the
> port
> > > >numbers)
> > > >
> > > >Dg9bfc
> > > >
> > > >Sigi
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > > >> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > >> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>] Im Auftrag von Rein
> A
> > > >> Gesendet: Donnerstag, 2. Februar 2012 01:56

> > > >> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > >> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Reporting experimental receiving
> tests
> > > >> results
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >> Hi All,
> > > >>
> > > >> Is there a central URL where reporting is done (sent)under software
> > > >> control as under Opera?
> > > >> Known URL?
> > > >>
> > > >> 73 Rein W6SZ
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >----------
> > > >
> > > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>
>

1237. ROS Frequency on 12m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-04 14:53:18 UTC
From: peter
Topic: 1237 Message: 1237

Can the authors of ROS please note that operation on 12m on 24.916 MHz is not in line with IARU bandplans. That part of the band is for narrow band modes only (up to 500Hz bandwidth).

ROS8/2000 operating on 24.916 is not in accordance with the band plan and obliterates JT65 signals trying yo operate on 24.917. Could you please amend the program to remove the 24.916 frequency on 12m?

Thanks,

Peter
G4DJB

1238. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Frequency on 12m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-04 22:41:38 UTC
From: siegfried jackstien
Topic: 1237 Message: 1238

Hello peter
I just wrote a mail to jose
Prepare for a new version :-)
The same is on 10m qrg ... so we should change them

I searched for frequency were also unattended stations are allowed (cause you can switch ros to beacon mode)

What do you thing about that

24927 500hz
24937 2000hz

28130 500hz
28310 2000hz

All 4 frequencies are also allowed to be used for unattended stations AND SO YOU CAN USE THE BEACON FUNCTION!!!

Unattended is not allowed without permission so you have to be close to the rig .... (but nobody said you can not go to bed hi hi)

What do others think about that qrg above???

Dg9bfc
Sigi

Ps if you prefer to use wider mode only then we should use them in the area were it is allowed ...

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von peter
> Gesendet: Samstag, 4. Februar 2012 14:53
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Frequency on 12m
>
>
>
> Can the authors of ROS please note that operation on 12m on 24.916 MHz is
> not in line with IARU bandplans. That part of the band is for narrow band
> modes only (up to 500Hz bandwidth).
>
> ROS8/2000 operating on 24.916 is not in accordance with the band plan and
> obliterates JT65 signals trying yo operate on 24.917. Could you please
> amend the program to remove the 24.916 frequency on 12m?
>

> Thanks,
>
> Peter
> G4DJB
>
>
>
>

1239. Re: ROS Frequency on 12m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-05 11:48:41 UTC
From: peter
Topic: 1237 Message: 1239

Hello Sigi,

I like the sound of the suggested changes. However, I'm probably not the best person to ask as I do not have too much experience with the current various digital modes. I have only just returned to using digital modes after many years. When I was previously active "digital modes" usually meant CW or RTTY! There are quite a few different ones about now and they seem to be increasing in number each month.

Having said that, I believe that the frequencies suggested are sensible according to the bandplans, and so any other comments would be welcome from those who use other digital modes that might be impacted.

As an additional question, I currently have ROS v6.8.4. Can anyone tell me if it is possible to use this version for the ROS 500Hz modes, or is this one just for the 2000 Hz modes? I can't see how to configure it for 500Hz, so am I missing something or does it not support the narrow ROS mode?

Thanks,

Peter

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "siegfried jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> Hello peter
> I just wrote a mail to jose
> Prepare for a new version :-)
> The same is on 10m qrg ... so we should change them
>
> I searched for frequency were also unattended stations are allowed (cause
> you can switch ros to beacon mode)
>
> What do you thing about that
>
> 24927 500hz
> 24937 2000hz
>
> 28130 500hz
> 28310 2000hz
>
> All 4 frequencies are also allowed to be used for unattended stations AND SO
> YOU CAN USE THE BEACON FUNCTION!!!
>
> Unattended is not allowed without permission so you have to be close to the
> rig .... (but nobody said you can not go to bed hi hi)
>

> What do others think about that qrg above???
>
> Dg9bfc
> Sigi
>
> Ps if you prefer to use wider mode only then we should use them in the area
> were it is allowed ...
>
> > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von peter
> > Gesendet: Samstag, 4. Februar 2012 14:53
> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Frequency on 12m
> >
> >
> >
> > Can the authors of ROS please note that operation on 12m on 24.916 MHz is
> > not in line with IARU bandplans. That part of the band is for narrow band
> > modes only (up to 500Hz bandwidth).
> >
> > ROS8/2000 operating on 24.916 is not in accordance with the band plan and
> > obliterates JT65 signals trying yo operate on 24.917. Could you please
> > amend the program to remove the 24.916 frequency on 12m?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Peter
> > G4DJB
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

1240. Re: ROS Frequency on 12m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-05 13:59:26 UTC
From: frankk2ncc
Topic: 1237 Message: 1240

... and I'll refrain from using ROS on 12M during my temporary authorization until we can figure a proper sandbox to play in.

frank, k2ncc
ROS USA x-station WF9XJM

1241. Re: ROS Frequency on 12m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-05 14:10:33 UTC
From: Phil
Topic: 1237 Message: 1241

We need a third choice on the HF bands when 500Hz is not in line with good amateur practices. Every HF band that is preset in to the ROS applications should have at a minimum:

ROS 7/100

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "siegfried jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> Hello peter
> I just wrote a mail to jose
> Prepare for a new version :-)
> The same is on 10m qrg ... so we should change them
>
> I searched for frequency were also unattended stations are allowed (cause
> you can switch ros to beacon mode)
>
> What do you thing about that
>
> 24927 500hz
> 24937 2000hz
>
> 28130 500hz
> 28310 2000hz
>
> All 4 frequencies are also allowed to be used for unattended stations AND SO
> YOU CAN USE THE BEACON FUNCTION!!!
>
> Unattended is not allowed without permission so you have to be close to the
> rig .... (but nobody said you can not go to bed hi hi)
>
> What do others think about that qrg above???
>
> Dg9bfc
> Sigi
>
> Ps if you prefer to use wider mode only then we should use them in the area
> were it is allowed ...
>
> > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von peter
> > Gesendet: Samstag, 4. Februar 2012 14:53
> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Frequency on 12m

> >
> >
> >
> > Can the authors of ROS please note that operation on 12m on 24.916 MHz is
> > not in line with IARU bandplans. That part of the band is for narrow band
> > modes only (up to 500Hz bandwidth).
> >
> > ROS8/2000 operating on 24.916 is not in accordance with the band plan and
> > obliterates JT65 signals trying yo operate on 24.917. Could you please
> > amend the program to remove the 24.916 frequency on 12m?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Peter
> > G4DJB
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

1242. Re: ROS Frequency on 12m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-05 14:28:55 UTC
From: Phil
Topic: 1237 Message: 1242

24927 100hz
24937 500hz

28130 100hz
28310 500hz

ROS 16/2000, 8/2000, and 4/2000 should be the exception - not the norm. 2000Hz does not scale well as usage increases on the HF bands.

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "siegfried jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> Hello peter
> I just wrote a mail to jose
> Prepare for a new version :-)
> The same is on 10m qrg ... so we should change them
>
> I searched for frequency were also unattended stations are allowed (cause
> you can switch ros to beacon mode)
>
> What do you thing about that
>
> 24927 500hz
> 24937 2000hz
>
> 28130 500hz
> 28310 2000hz
>
> All 4 frequencies are also allowed to be used for unattended stations AND SO
> YOU CAN USE THE BEACON FUNCTION!!!
>
> Unattended is not allowed without permission so you have to be close to the
> rig .... (but nobody said you can not go to bed hi hi)
>
> What do others think about that qrg above???
>
> Dg9bfc
> Sigi
>
> Ps if you prefer to use wider mode only then we should use them in the area
> were it is allowed ...
>
> > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von peter
> > Gesendet: Samstag, 4. Februar 2012 14:53

> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Frequency on 12m
> >
> >
> >
> > Can the authors of ROS please note that operation on 12m on 24.916 MHz is
> > not in line with IARU bandplans. That part of the band is for narrow band
> > modes only (up to 500Hz bandwidth).
> >
> > ROS8/2000 operating on 24.916 is not in accordance with the band plan and
> > obliterates JT65 signals trying yo operate on 24.917. Could you please
> > amend the program to remove the 24.916 frequency on 12m?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Peter
> > G4DJB
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

1243. Re: ROS Frequency on 12m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-05 14:39:52 UTC
From: ka1gmn
Topic: 1237 Message: 1243

I don't think 2000Hz bandwidth scales well and for the HF bands, should be the exception then the norm. Options for 100 and 500Hz bandwidths would be more compatible in the HF bands.

My recommendation would be:

24927 100hz
24937 5000hz

28130 100hz
28310 5000hz

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "siegfried jackstien" <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
>
> Hello peter
> I just wrote a mail to jose
> Prepare for a new version :-)
> The same is on 10m qrg ... so we should change them
>
> I searched for frequency were also unattended stations are allowed (cause
> you can switch ros to beacon mode)
>
> What do you thing about that
>
> 24927 500hz
> 24937 2000hz
>
> 28130 500hz
> 28310 2000hz
>
> All 4 frequencies are also allowed to be used for unattended stations AND SO
> YOU CAN USE THE BEACON FUNCTION!!!
>
> Unattended is not allowed without permission so you have to be close to the
> rig .... (but nobody said you can not go to bed hi hi)
>
> What do others think about that qrg above???
>
> Dg9bfc
> Sigi
>
> Ps if you prefer to use wider mode only then we should use them in the area
> were it is allowed ...
>
> > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----

> > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von peter
> > Gesendet: Samstag, 4. Februar 2012 14:53
> > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Frequency on 12m
> >
> >
> >
> > Can the authors of ROS please note that operation on 12m on 24.916 MHz is
> > not in line with IARU bandplans. That part of the band is for narrow band
> > modes only (up to 500Hz bandwidth).
> >
> > ROS8/2000 operating on 24.916 is not in accordance with the band plan and
> > obliterates JT65 signals trying yo operate on 24.917. Could you please
> > amend the program to remove the 24.916 frequency on 12m?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Peter
> > G4DJB
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

1244. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS Frequency on 12m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-05 22:20:47 UTC
From: siegfried jackstien
Topic: 1237 Message: 1244

I think the frequencies are clear now

And bw of 2000 and 500 is just what is used anywhere else ...

As wider the mode as easier it is to copy on long distance (I tried that!)
Tested 2000 and 500 on same distance and same power
And the gain that you have from the spreading over the 2000 hz is that you
can even have a good copy when there is another mode or another transmission
in the passband ... when you have a narrow mode that is only 100hz wide ..
then I think it would be too easy disturbed by another signal that is right
ontop ... but I im not a professional in that ... just a rough guess from me

We should just ask jose for the change of qrg and bw for the lower qrg of
the 2 in each band and we were done ...
I don□t think that making a 100hz narrow ros mode is a wise idea :-)

Dg9bfc

Sigi

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von ka1gmn
> Gesendet: Sonntag, 5. Februar 2012 14:40
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS Frequency on 12m
>
>
>
>
> I don't think 2000Hz bandwidth scales well and for the HF bands, should be
> the exception then the norm. Options for 100 and 500Hz bandwidths would be
> more compatible in the HF bands.
>
> My recommendation would be:
>
> 24927 100hz
> 24937 5000hz
>
> 28130 100hz
> 28310 5000hz
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com> , "siegfried jackstien"
> <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
> >

> > Hello peter
> > I just wrote a mail to jose
> > Prepare for a new version :-)
> > The same is on 10m qrg ... so we should change them
> >
> > I searched for frequency were also unattended stations are allowed
> (cause
> > you can switch ros to beacon mode)
> >
> > What do you thing about that
> >
> > 24927 500hz
> > 24937 2000hz
> >
> > 28130 500hz
> > 28310 2000hz
> >
> > All 4 frequencies are also allowed to be used for unattended stations
> AND SO
> > YOU CAN USE THE BEACON FUNCTION!!!
> >
> > Unattended is not allowed without permission so you have to be close to
> the
> > rig .... (but nobody said you can not go to bed hi hi)
> >
> > What do others think about that qrg above???
> >
> > Dg9bfc
> > Sigi
> >
> > Ps if you prefer to use wider mode only then we should use them in the
> area
> > were it is allowed ...
> >
> > > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>] Im Auftrag von peter
> > > Gesendet: Samstag, 4. Februar 2012 14:53
> > > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Frequency on 12m
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Can the authors of ROS please note that operation on 12m on 24.916 MHz
> is
> > > not in line with IARU bandplans. That part of the band is for narrow
> band

> > > modes only (up to 500Hz bandwidth).
> > >
> > > ROS8/2000 operating on 24.916 is not in accordance with the band plan
> and
> > > obliterates JT65 signals trying yo operate on 24.917. Could you please
> > > amend the program to remove the 24.916 frequency on 12m?
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > Peter
> > > G4DJB
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>
>

1245. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS Frequency on 12m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-05 15:54:25 UTC
From: Phil Williams
Topic: 1237 Message: 1245

If you have CAT enabled in the ROS application, you will have to turn it
off to allow the selection of the ROS baud/bandwidth settings for 500KHz.
Then you are free to choose any of the baud/bandwidth setting independent
of actual operating frequency.

On Sun, Feb 5, 2012 at 5:48 AM, peter <moosey@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Hello Sigi,
>
> I like the sound of the suggested changes. However, I'm probably not the
> best person to ask as I do not have too much experience with the current
> various digital modes. I have only just returned to using digital modes
> after many years. When I was previously active "digital modes" usually
> meant CW or RTTY! There are quite a few different ones about now and they
> seem to be increasing in number each month.
>
> Having said that, I believe that the frequencies suggested are sensible
> according to the bandplans, and so any other comments would be welcome from
> those who use other digital modes that might be impacted.
>
> As an additional question, I currently have ROS v6.8.4. Can anyone tell me
> if it is possible to use this version for the ROS 500Hz modes, or is this
> one just for the 2000 Hz modes? I can't see how to configure it for 500Hz,
> so am I missing something or does it not support the narrow ROS mode?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Peter
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "siegfried jackstien"
> <siegfried.jackstien@...> wrote:
> >
> > Hello peter
> > I just wrote a mail to jose
> > Prepare for a new version :-)
> > The same is on 10m qrg ... so we should change them
> >
> > I searched for frequency were also unattended stations are allowed (cause
> > you can switch ros to beacon mode)
> >
> > What do you thing about that
> >

> > 24927 500hz
> > 24937 2000hz
> >
> > 28130 500hz
> > 28310 2000hz
> >
> > All 4 frequencies are also allowed to be used for unattended stations
> AND SO
> > YOU CAN USE THE BEACON FUNCTION!!!
> >
> > Unattended is not allowed without permission so you have to be close to
> the
> > rig .... (but nobody said you can not go to bed hi hi)
> >
> > What do others think about that qrg above???
> >
> > Dg9bfc
> > Sigi
> >
> > Ps if you prefer to use wider mode only then we should use them in the
> area
> > were it is allowed ...
> >
> > > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von peter
> > > Gesendet: Samstag, 4. Februar 2012 14:53
> > > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Frequency on 12m
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Can the authors of ROS please note that operation on 12m on 24.916 MHz
> is
> > > not in line with IARU bandplans. That part of the band is for narrow
> band
> > > modes only (up to 500Hz bandwidth).
> > >
> > > ROS8/2000 operating on 24.916 is not in accordance with the band plan
> and
> > > obliterates JT65 signals trying yo operate on 24.917. Could you please
> > > amend the program to remove the 24.916 frequency on 12m?
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > Peter
> > > G4DJB
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > >
> >
>
>
>

--
Phil KA1GMN
Experimental Station - WF9XJD

1246. Re: ROS Frequency on 12m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-05 15:55:20 UTC
From: ka1gmn
Topic: 1237 Message: 1246

same here

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "frankk2ncc" <frank.k2ncc@...> wrote:
>
> ... and I'll refrain from using ROS on 12M during my temporary authorization until we can figure a proper sandbox to play in.
>
>
>
> frank, k2ncc
> ROS USA x-station WF9XJM
>

1247. Exp Ros reception rprt 000512

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-05 23:50:14 UTC
From: Rein A
Topic: 1247 Message: 1247

Hi ALL,

A little listening at 10.132 MHz

http://www.nitehawk.com/w6sz/ros_sun_020512.txt

73 Rein W6SZ

1248. Re: ROS Frequency on 12m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-06 18:21:14 UTC
From: peter
Topic: 1237 Message: 1248

Hello Paul,

I still need more help. I don't have CAT enabled, but I don't see how to adjust the bandwidth setting. Most HF frequencies (except for one frequency on 40m and all 30m frequencies) default to 2000 and I can't see a way of changing it.

Thanks,

Peter

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Phil Williams <ka1gmn@...> wrote:
>
> If you have CAT enabled in the ROS application, you will have to turn it
> off to allow the selection of the ROS baud/bandwidth settings for 500KHz.
> Then you are free to choose any of the baud/bandwidth setting independent
> of actual operating frequency.
>
>
>
> On Sun, Feb 5, 2012 at 5:48 AM, peter <moosey@...> wrote:
>
> > **
> >
> >
> > Hello Sigi,
> >
> > I like the sound of the suggested changes. However, I'm probably not the
> > best person to ask as I do not have too much experience with the current
> > various digital modes. I have only just returned to using digital modes
> > after many years. When I was previously active "digital modes" usually
> > meant CW or RTTY! There are quite a few different ones about now and they
> > seem to be increasing in number each month.
> >
> > Having said that, I believe that the frequencies suggested are sensible
> > according to the bandplans, and so any other comments would be welcome from
> > those who use other digital modes that might be impacted.
> >
> > As an additional question, I currently have ROS v6.8.4. Can anyone tell me
> > if it is possible to use this version for the ROS 500Hz modes, or is this
> > one just for the 2000 Hz modes? I can't see how to configure it for 500Hz,
> > so am I missing something or does it not support the narrow ROS mode?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Peter
> >

> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "siegfried jackstien"
> > <siegfried.jackstien@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Hello peter
> > > I just wrote a mail to jose
> > > Prepare for a new version :-)
> > > The same is on 10m qrg ... so we should change them
> > >
> > > I searched for frequency were also unattended stations are allowed (cause
> > > you can switch ros to beacon mode)
> > >
> > > What do you thing about that
> > >
> > > 24927 500hz
> > > 24937 2000hz
> > >
> > > 28130 500hz
> > > 28310 2000hz
> > >
> > > All 4 frequencies are also allowed to be used for unattended stations
> > AND SO
> > > YOU CAN USE THE BEACON FUNCTION!!!
> > >
> > > Unattended is not allowed without permission so you have to be close to
> > the
> > > rig .... (but nobody said you can not go to bed hi hi)
> > >
> > > What do others think about that qrg above???
> > >
> > > Dg9bfc
> > > Sigi
> > >
> > > Ps if you prefer to use wider mode only then we should use them in the
> > area
> > > were it is allowed ...
> > >
> > > > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > > > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > > > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von peter
> > > > Gesendet: Samstag, 4. Februar 2012 14:53
> > > > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > > > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Frequency on 12m
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Can the authors of ROS please note that operation on 12m on 24.916 MHz
> > is
> > > > not in line with IARU bandplans. That part of the band is for narrow
> > band
> > > > modes only (up to 500Hz bandwidth).

> > > >
> > > > ROS8/2000 operating on 24.916 is not in accordance with the band plan
> > and
> > > > obliterates JT65 signals trying yo operate on 24.917. Could you please
> > > > amend the program to remove the 24.916 frequency on 12m?
> > > >
> > > > Thanks,
> > > >
> > > > Peter
> > > > G4DJB
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Phil KA1GMN
> Experimental Station - WF9XJD
>

1249. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Re: ROS Frequency on 12m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-07 02:12:38 UTC
From: Phil Williams
Topic: 1237 Message: 1249

Bandwidth is based on the preset frequency. If you want to run say, ROS 7/100, set the frequency on ROS to 500KHz.

On Mon, Feb 6, 2012 at 12:21 PM, peter <moosey@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Hello Paul,
>
> I still need more help. I don't have CAT enabled, but I don't see how to
> adjust the bandwidth setting. Most HF frequencies (except for one frequency
> on 40m and all 30m frequencies) default to 2000 and I can't see a way of
> changing it.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Peter
>
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@...m, Phil Williams <ka1gmn@...>
> wrote:
> >
> > If you have CAT enabled in the ROS application, you will have to turn it
> > off to allow the selection of the ROS baud/bandwidth settings for 500KHz.
> > Then you are free to choose any of the baud/bandwidth setting independent
> > of actual operating frequency.
> >
> >
> >
> > On Sun, Feb 5, 2012 at 5:48 AM, peter <moosey@...> wrote:
> >
> > > **
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Hello Sigi,
> > >
> > > I like the sound of the suggested changes. However, I'm probably not
> the
> > > best person to ask as I do not have too much experience with the
> current
> > > various digital modes. I have only just returned to using digital modes
> > > after many years. When I was previously active "digital modes" usually
> > > meant CW or RTTY! There are quite a few different ones about now and

> they
> > > seem to be increasing in number each month.
> > >
> > > Having said that, I believe that the frequencies suggested are sensible
> > > according to the bandplans, and so any other comments would be welcome
> from
> > > those who use other digital modes that might be impacted.
> > >
> > > As an additional question, I currently have ROS v6.8.4. Can anyone
> tell me
> > > if it is possible to use this version for the ROS 500Hz modes, or is
> this
> > > one just for the 2000 Hz modes? I can't see how to configure it for
> 500Hz,
> > > so am I missing something or does it not support the narrow ROS mode?
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > Peter
> > >
> > > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "siegfried jackstien"
> > > <siegfried.jackstien@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Hello peter
> > > > I just wrote a mail to jose
> > > > Prepare for a new version :-)
> > > > The same is on 10m qrg ... so we should change them
> > > >
> > > > I searched for frequency were also unattended stations are allowed
> (cause
> > > > you can switch ros to beacon mode)
> > > >
> > > > What do you thing about that
> > > >
> > > > 24927 500hz
> > > > 24937 2000hz
> > > >
> > > > 28130 500hz
> > > > 28310 2000hz
> > > >
> > > > All 4 frequencies are also allowed to be used for unattended stations
> > > AND SO
> > > > YOU CAN USE THE BEACON FUNCTION!!!
> > > >
> > > > Unattended is not allowed without permission so you have to be close
> to
> > > the
> > > > rig .... (but nobody said you can not go to bed hi hi)
> > > >
> > > > What do others think about that qrg above???

> > > >
> > > > Dg9bfc
> > > > Sigi
> > > >
> > > > Ps if you prefer to use wider mode only then we should use them in
> the
> > > area
> > > > were it is allowed ...
> > > >
> > > > > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > > > > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > > > > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von peter
> > > > > Gesendet: Samstag, 4. Februar 2012 14:53
> > > > > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > > > > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Frequency on 12m
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Can the authors of ROS please note that operation on 12m on 24.916
> MHz
> > > is
> > > > > not in line with IARU bandplans. That part of the band is for
> narrow
> > > band
> > > > > modes only (up to 500Hz bandwidth).
> > > > >
> > > > > ROS8/2000 operating on 24.916 is not in accordance with the band
> plan
> > > and
> > > > > obliterates JT65 signals trying yo operate on 24.917. Could you
> please
> > > > > amend the program to remove the 24.916 frequency on 12m?
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks,
> > > > >
> > > > > Peter
> > > > > G4DJB
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Phil KA1GMN

> > Experimental Station - WF9XJD
> >
>
>
>

--
Phil KA1GMN
Experimental Station - WF9XJD

1250. Re: ROS Frequency on 12m

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-02-07 09:52:07 UTC
From: peter
Topic: 1237 Message: 1250

Hello Phil (sorry I put 'Paul' last time!),

That seems to make sense, sort of. I can understand now why CAT needs to be disabled!

I can see how that will work, bit won't the automatic 'spot reporting' show the incorrect frequencies? Presumably, the logging will be wrong as well. It would appear that the software could benefit from some re-design in the bandwidth setting area.

Thanks for the explanation,

Peter

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Phil Williams <ka1gmn@...> wrote:
>
> Bandwidth is based on the preset frequency. If you want to run say, ROS
> 7/100, set the frequency on ROS to 500KHz.
>
> On Mon, Feb 6, 2012 at 12:21 PM, peter <moosey@...> wrote:
>
> > **
> >
> >
> > Hello Paul,
> >
> > I still need more help. I don't have CAT enabled, but I don't see how to
> > adjust the bandwidth setting. Most HF frequencies (except for one frequency
> > on 40m and all 30m frequencies) default to 2000 and I can't see a way of
> > changing it.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Peter
> >
> >
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, Phil Williams <ka1gmn@>
> > wrote:
> > >
> > > If you have CAT enabled in the ROS application, you will have to turn it
> > > off to allow the selection of the ROS baud/bandwidth settings for 500KHz.
> > > Then you are free to choose any of the baud/bandwidth setting independent
> > > of actual operating frequency.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > On Sun, Feb 5, 2012 at 5:48 AM, peter <moosey@> wrote:
> > >

> > > > **
> >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Hello Sigi,
> > > >
> > > > I like the sound of the suggested changes. However, I'm probably not
> > the
> > > > best person to ask as I do not have too much experience with the
> > current
> > > > various digital modes. I have only just returned to using digital modes
> > > > after many years. When I was previously active "digital modes" usually
> > > > meant CW or RTTY! There are quite a few different ones about now and
> > they
> > > > seem to be increasing in number each month.
> > > >
> > > > Having said that, I believe that the frequencies suggested are sensible
> > > > according to the bandplans, and so any other comments would be welcome
> > from
> > > > those who use other digital modes that might be impacted.
> > > >
> > > > As an additional question, I currently have ROS v6.8.4. Can anyone
> > tell me
> > > > if it is possible to use this version for the ROS 500Hz modes, or is
> > this
> > > > one just for the 2000 Hz modes? I can't see how to configure it for
> > 500Hz,
> > > > so am I missing something or does it not support the narrow ROS mode?
> > > >
> > > > Thanks,
> > > >
> > > > Peter
> > > >
> > > > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "siegfried jackstien"
> > > > <siegfried.jackstien@> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Hello peter
> > > > > I just wrote a mail to jose
> > > > > Prepare for a new version :-)
> > > > > The same is on 10m qrg ... so we should change them
> > > > >
> > > > > I searched for frequency were also unattended stations are allowed
> > (cause
> > > > > you can switch ros to beacon mode)
> > > > >
> > > > > What do you thing about that
> > > > >
> > > > > 24927 500hz
> > > > > 24937 2000hz
> > > > >

> > > > > 28130 500hz
> > > > > 28310 2000hz
> > > > >
> > > > > All 4 frequencies are also allowed to be used for unattended stations
> > > > AND SO
> > > > > YOU CAN USE THE BEACON FUNCTION!!!
> > > > >
> > > > > Unattended is not allowed without permission so you have to be close
> > to
> > > > the
> > > > > rig .... (but nobody said you can not go to bed hi hi)
> > > > >
> > > > > What do others think about that qrg above???
> > > > >
> > > > > Dg9bfc
> > > > > Sigi
> > > > >
> > > > > Ps if you prefer to use wider mode only then we should use them in
> > the
> > > > area
> > > > > were it is allowed ...
> > > > >
> > > > > > -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> > > > > > Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > > > > > [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von peter
> > > > > > Gesendet: Samstag, 4. Februar 2012 14:53
> > > > > > An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> > > > > > Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] ROS Frequency on 12m
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Can the authors of ROS please note that operation on 12m on 24.916
> > MHz
> > > > is
> > > > > > not in line with IARU bandplans. That part of the band is for
> > narrow
> > > > band
> > > > > > modes only (up to 500Hz bandwidth).
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ROS8/2000 operating on 24.916 is not in accordance with the band
> > plan
> > > > and
> > > > > > obliterates JT65 signals trying yo operate on 24.917. Could you
> > please
> > > > > > amend the program to remove the 24.916 frequency on 12m?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thanks,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Peter
> > > > > > G4DJB

```
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Phil KA1GMN
> > > Experimental Station - WF9XJD
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Phil KA1GMN
> Experimental Station - WF9XJD
>
```

1251. Change freq.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-05-04 19:37:59 UTC
From: koen_on7rk_oo7k
Topic: 1251 Message: 1251

Hi all, I'm new on ROS and i use ROS 7.06.

I want to know how i can change the frequency in ROS?.
My dial knob on my TRX is locked...

I asked this because on a qso the other station asked me to go 8 down?

73, Koen
ON7RK - OO7K

1252. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Change freq.

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-05-04 21:46:04 UTC
From: siegfried jackstien
Topic: 1251 Message: 1252

Unlock your dial and turn by hand :-)

You have your rig connected via cat?

Then use the pre-saved frequencies in the drop down list

If anybody wants to chat with you on a different qrg (that is not in the list) you have unlock your dial and turn by hand

Dg9bfc

Sigi

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von
> koen_on7rk_oo7k
> Gesendet: Freitag, 4. Mai 2012 19:38
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Change freq.
>
>
>
> Hi all, I'm new on ROS and i use ROS 7.06.
>
> I want to know how i can change the frequency in ROS?.
> My dial knob on my TRX is locked...
>
> I asked this because on a qso the other station asked me to go 8 down?
>
> 73, Koen
> ON7RK - OO7K
>
>
>
>

1253. Fatal error (BSOD) crash

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-05-27 22:02:03 UTC
From: Wouters
Topic: 1253 Message: 1253

I've downloaded and installed the latest ROS version 7.0.8 after deleting the previous one i had installed.
As soon as i open the ROS program the computer goes in to some kind of safety mode and a blue screen appears wich mentions among other things:
"windows driver framework has detcted that a violation has occured".
I have no other choice than to shut down and start again the computer.

Funny thing is it worked well at first and i even made a few surprising qso's that is untill the computer was shut down for the night and opened the next morning from this point i cannot use the ROS program.

This problem hapened also with the previous ROS version i had so i suspect it has something to do with compatibility with win7 maybe ?

I have the latest windows updates installed (windows 7/64 bit).

ANY HELP???

1254. Re: Fatal error (BSOD) crash

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-05-29 06:05:35 UTC
From: ra1ahq
Topic: 1253 Message: 1254

Hello, Wouters, you wrote:

> "windows driver framework has detcted that a violation has occured".
> I have no other choice than to shut down and start again the computer.
>

I dont' think it is ROS, but OS.

As you see in the message, the error is generated by Driver Framework. Please check, if the drivers for your PC is up-to-date. For example, the problem may be connected with network card driver or audio card. Goto manufacture's site and check if there newer driver for Win7 64bit exists.

The exact explanation of codes for this BSOD is available at http://www.faultwire.com/solutions-fatal_error/The-Windows-Driver-Framework-has-detected-that-a-0x0000010D-*1266.html

73!
RA1AHQ Vladimir

1255. What is ROS Control Panel ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-05-29 06:24:44 UTC
From: ra1ahq
Topic: 1255 Message: 1255

Hello, people!

I use only basic controls during QSO - speed, macros, text window and TX button.

But in the menu of the program, there is "Control Panel".
I accidentally pressed this menu and strange window has opened.
There are some rows concerning beacon mode and TX modulation.
Is there a description of Control Panel? Is this menu for developer only, or for hams also?

73!
Vladimir RA1AHQ

1256. Re: Fatal error (BSOD) crash

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-05-29 14:57:43 UTC
From: Wouters
Topic: 1253 Message: 1256

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "ra1ahq" <ra1ahq@...> wrote:
>
> Hello, Wouters, you wrote:
>
> > "windows driver framework has detcted that a violation has occured".
> > I have no other choice than to shut down and start again the computer.
> >
>
> I dont' think it is ROS, but OS.
>
> As you see in the message, the error is generated by Driver Framework. Please check, if the drivers for your PC is up-to-date. For example, the problem may be connected with network card driver or audio card. Goto manufacture's site and check if there newer driver for Win7 64bit exists.
>
> The exact explanation of codes for this BSOD is available at http://www.faultwire.com/solutions-fatal_error/The-Windows-Driver-Framework-has-detected-that-a-0x0000010D-*1266.html
>
> 73!
> RA1AHQ Vladimir
>
Vladimir,thanks for your help.
I had found this page before and now have installed all the updates on my computer and ROS seems to be working fine now !
Let's hope it stays that way.
See you on the waterfall in ROS mode of course!
73 Eric VE2WTX.

1257. Re: Fatal error (BSOD) crash

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-05-31 02:49:38 UTC
From: Wouters
Topic: 1253 Message: 1257

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Wouters" <ve2wtx@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "ra1ahq" <ra1ahq@> wrote:
> >
> > Hello, Wouters, you wrote:
> >
> > > "windows driver framework has detcted that a violation has occured".
> > > I have no other choice than to shut down and start again the computer.
> > >
> >
> > I dont' think it is ROS, but OS.
> >
> > As you see in the message, the error is generated by Driver Framework. Please check, if the drivers for your PC is up-to-date. For example, the problem may be connected with network card driver or audio card. Goto manufacture's site and check if there newer driver for Win7 64bit exists.
> >
> > The exact explanation of codes for this BSOD is available at http://www.faultwire.com/solutions-fatal_error/The-Windows-Driver-Framework-has-detected-that-a-0x0000010D-*1266.html
> >
> > 73!
> > RA1AHQ Vladimir
> >
> Vladimir,thanks for your help.
> I had found this page before and now have installed all the updates on my computer and ROS seems to be working fine now !
> Let's hope it stays that way.
> See you on the waterfall in ROS mode of course!
> 73 Eric VE2WTX.
>
NO LUCK...the same problem keeps coming back.
What to do ?

1258. Re: What is ROS Control Panel ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-05-31 17:00:14 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1255 Message: 1258

I think that is a remote set up facility for remote beacon usage , using radio linking to configure the remote station.

G..

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "ra1ahq" <ra1ahq@...> wrote:
>
> Hello, people!
>
> I use only basic controls during QSO - speed, macros, text window and TX button.
>
> But in the menu of the program, there is "Control Panel".
> I accidentally pressed this menu and strange window has opened.
> There are some rows concerning beacon mode and TX modulation.
> Is there a description of Control Panel? Is this menu for developer only, or for hams also?
>
> 73!
> Vladimir RA1AHQ
>

1259. Re: Fatal error (BSOD) crash

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-06-04 08:07:39 UTC
From: ra1ahq
Topic: 1253 Message: 1259

> "Wouters" <ve2wtx@...> wrote:
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Wouters" <ve2wtx@> wrote:
> > Let's hope it stays that way.
> > See you on the waterfall in ROS mode of course!
> > 73 Eric VE2WTX.
> >
> NO LUCK...the same problem keeps coming back.
> What to do ?

Hello, Eric! My co-worker, computer "guru" said, BSOD on your PC may be connected with deffective random access memory (RAM). Simply pull out all of the memory modules and replace it with the good ones. Also, check in BIOS the parameter "memory timing". Set it to the default value "BY SPD".

If it is not help, ask Microsoft. :) http://answers.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/forum/windows_7-system/bsod-0x10d/ce21ad71-57f1-4716-bc36-c03bef026275

See you on the bands. 73!
Vladimir RA1Ahq

1260. Re: Fatal error (BSOD) crash

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-06-04 22:18:11 UTC
From: Wouters
Topic: 1253 Message: 1260

Vladimir,thank you for your help i appreceiate it very much because i'm totally in the dark.So i take note of this possible solution and i will have to seek help from a local computer "guru" friend to take a look at this as it exceeds my comuter knoledge.
I'll keep you informed.
73 Eric.

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "ra1ahq" <ra1ahq@...> wrote:
>
> > "Wouters" <ve2wtx@> wrote:
> > --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Wouters" <ve2wtx@> wrote:
> > > Let's hope it stays that way.
> > > See you on the waterfall in ROS mode of course!
> > > 73 Eric VE2WTX.
> > >
> > NO LUCK...the same problem keeps coming back.
> > What to do ?
>
> Hello, Eric! My co-worker, computer "guru" said, BSOD on your PC may be connected with deffective random access memory (RAM). Simply pull out all of the memory modules and replace it with the good ones. Also, check in BIOS the parameter "memory timing". Set it to the default value "BY SPD".
>
> If it is not help, ask Microsoft. :) http://answers.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/forum/windows_7-system/bsod-0x10d/ce21ad71-57f1-4716-bc36-c03bef026275
>
> See you on the bands. 73!
> Vladimir RA1Ahq
>

1261. another ROS yahoo group !!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-06-05 12:50:09 UTC
From: george_9h1aa
Topic: 1261 Message: 1261

Dear All

I just wanted to share with you all fellow hams and ROS enthusiasts, that this morning I discovered another yahoo group by the name of RosMode
This group claims to be the official one, whatever it is, I think its nice if all of you who are still interested will join this group, looks that there is much more activity going on than in here.
Best 73s to all from MALTA
George
9H1AA

cu all on ROS hihi

1262. Re: Fatal error (BSOD) crash

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-05-30 03:39:42 UTC
From: Wouters
Topic: 1253 Message: 1262

--- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "Wouters" <ve2wtx@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> --- In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, "ra1ahq" <ra1ahq@> wrote:
> >
> > Hello, Wouters, you wrote:
> >
> > > "windows driver framework has detcted that a violation has occured".
> > > I have no other choice than to shut down and start again the computer.
> > >
> >
> > I dont' think it is ROS, but OS.
> >
> > As you see in the message, the error is generated by Driver Framework. Please check, if the drivers for your PC is up-to-date. For example, the problem may be connected with network card driver or audio card. Goto manufacture's site and check if there newer driver for Win7 64bit exists.
> >
> > The exact explanation of codes for this BSOD is available at http://www.faultwire.com/solutions-fatal_error/The-Windows-Driver-Framework-has-detected-that-a-0x0000010D-*1266.html
> >
> > 73!
> > RA1AHQ Vladimir
> >
> Vladimir,thanks for your help.
> I had found this page before and now have installed all the updates on my computer and ROS seems to be working fine now !
> Let's hope it stays that way.
> See you on the waterfall in ROS mode of course!
> 73 Eric VE2WTX.
>
.....NO LUCK.....
evrything was fixed and working fine this morning,i shut the computer and head for my day at work.
Upon return i turn on the computer,start ROS program and same old bug comes back again...what to do ???????
Eric.

1263. 30 Meter Multi Mode Weekend ☐ 27/28 October 2012

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-10-13 15:18:59 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 1263 Message: 1263

*** Promoting ROS included - for more details see linnk below ***

30 Meter Multi Mode Weekend

When: October 27th and 28th 2012

Where: 10 MHz - 30 Meter Band (10.100 ☐ 10.150)

Objective: To promote experimenting and using different digital modes on the unique 30 Meter Band.

For a more detailed listing of this event go here:
http://www.30mdg.net/news.html

(You are welcome to post this event information to your other digital friends, Clubs, Yahoo/Google Groups, other Ham Related Websites, etc ☐ please DO help us promote this event - the more that participate the more fun for al and thanks to those that participate on the 30 Meter Band!)

The 30 Meter Digital Group (30MDG) is celebrating .5 year Anniversary, 6,000 members (120DXCC), and our 30MDG Award Program issuing over 31,000 Award Certificates. 30MDG is FREE to join, FREE Awards program with FREE Award software ☐ Ultimate 30 or U30).

GL and as always this is NOT a contest ☐ no start or stop times, no exchanges, no logs to send to anyone, no winners or trophy ☐ this IS to PROMOTE AWARENESS of the 30 METER BAND and the only winners are those that participate and have fun!

Don KB9UMT 30MDG#0001-Founder
And the 30MDG "Team"

1264. FCC - SS TRIALS

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2012-12-19 18:47:58 UTC
From: graham787
Topic: 1264 Message: 1264

Just read this on the ros face book page

>>>So WHO has the report of the FCC-SS trials ??? , best kept secret since bin-lid was outed , anyone know anything ??<<

Good question though, what was the FCC's final opinion,this must be in the public domain is it published ?

How would you find the link ?

G..

1265. Problem on startup

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2013-04-14 10:51:30 UTC
From: Luigi Pacella
Topic: 1265 Message: 1265

Hi, today at start of ROS v7.1.7 I have this error "Your registration could not be completed due to no internet connection"
My internet connection work fine!!! I have re-installed the program but I have the same problem....Why? I'm use ROS on Windows 7.

Thanks

73 de Ik0OZB

1268. RE: Problem on startup - dirty FIX!!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2013-11-26 20:05:41 UTC
From: <zdenek@...>
Topic: 1265 Message: 1268

Hi om's

I just joined this forum because I got same problem - message about no internet connection on PC reliably connected to Internet..
Reason is that hardcoded spots server is down. ROS pgm refuses to start if server does not listen with message

"your registration could not be completed due to no internet connection"

So I must discover way to cheat and force ROS pgm by some "fake" server !!!
If you wiretap communication of ROS program you can see that it tries to connect to several addreses:

antiarrl.dyndns.org TCP port 8000
report.pskreporter.info TCP port 8000
dxfun.com TCP port 8000

So I checked which one is down - this was first one - antiarrl.dyndns.org. By dyndns domain I estimate it is authors home server, which is quite unreliable. It is poor concept to refuse
to start program with spotting server down :-(. Please ask authors for rethinking concept!!!

So here is quick dirty fix:
==========
1.
You can cheat DNS via /etc/hosts (unix/linux) or C:\Windows\System32\drivers\etc\hosts (Windows)
So add line:

127.0.0.1 antiarrl.dyndns.org

to this file and you are redirected to local service on PC. But you need to start fake server localy:

2.
But you need to start fake server localy:

netcat -vvv -L -p 8000

Utlity Netcat (sometimes binary named "nc") is IP test utility which is able to simulate connection.

If you will now start ROS it makes connection to netcat and if you are fast enough and type <ENTER> on
netcat windows, this is enough to cheat ROS and successfully start it!!

In time of writing of this message service antiarrl.dyndns.org started to work and immediately crashed again.

So try this if you are impatient, but don't forget remove line from "hosts" file after while to share spots with other om's again.

73 Zdenek OK7DR

---In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, <ik0ozb@...> wrote:

Hi, today at start of ROS v7.1.7 I have this error "Your registration could not be completed due to no internet connection"

My internet connection work fine!!! I have re-installed the program but I have the same problem....Why? I'm use ROS on Windows 7.

Thanks

73 de Ik0OZB

1269. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] RE: Problem on startup - dirty FIX!!

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2013-11-27 18:16:07 UTC
From: siegfried jackstien
Topic: 1265 Message: 1269

When i fire up ros i have the same trouble ... ros says "your registration bla bla..." ... and crashes ... BUT when I restart ros right after that crash (say in a second or two after crash/program close) ... then it DOES WORK FINE ...

(maybe it is just my router ... who knows ... but here I just have to start ros twice and it works ok)

Dg9bfc

Sigi

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com] Im Auftrag von
> zdenek@...
> Gesendet: Dienstag, 26. November 2013 20:06
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] RE: Problem on startup - dirty FIX!!
>
>
>
> Hi om's
>
> I just joined this forum because I got same problem - message about no
> internet connection on PC reliably connected to Internet..
> Reason is that hardcoded spots server is down. ROS pgm refuses to start if
> server does not listen with message
>
> "your registration could not be completed due to no internet
> connection"
>
> So I must discover way to cheat and force ROS pgm by some "fake" server
> !!!
> If you wiretap communication of ROS program you can see that it tries to
> connect to several addreses:
>
> antiarrl.dyndns.org TCP port 8000
> report.pskreporter.info TCP port 8000
> dxfun.com TCP port 8000
>
> So I checked which one is down - this was first one - antiarrl.dyndns.org.
> By dyndns domain
> I estimate it is authors home server, which is quite unreliable. It is
> poor concept to refuse
> to start program with spotting server down :-(. Please ask authors for

> rethinking concept!!!
>
> So here is quick dirty fix:
> ==========
> 1.
> You can cheat DNS via /etc/hosts (unix/linux) or
> C:\Windows\System32\drivers\etc\hosts (Windows)
> So add line:
>
> 127.0.0.1 antiarrl.dyndns.org
>
>
> to this file and you are redirected to local service on PC. But you need
> to start fake server localy:
>
> 2.
> But you need to start fake server localy:
>
> netcat -vvv -L -p 8000
>
> Utlity Netcat (sometimes binary named "nc") is IP test utility which is
> able to simulate connection.
>
> If you will now start ROS it makes connection to netcat and if you are
> fast enough and type <ENTER> on
> netcat windows, this is enough to cheat ROS and successfully start it!!
>
> In time of writing of this message service antiarrl.dyndns.org started to
> work and immediately crashed again.
>
> So try this if you are impatient, but don't forget remove line from
> "hosts" file after while to share spots with other om's again.
>
>
> 73 Zdenek OK7DR
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---In ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com, <ik0ozb@...> wrote:
>
>
> Hi, today at start of ROS v7.1.7 I have this error "Your registration
> could not be completed due to no internet connection"

>
> My internet connection work fine!!! I have re-installed the program but I
> have the same problem....Why? I'm use ROS on Windows 7.
>
>
> Thanks
>
>
> 73 de Ik0OZB
>
>
>

1271. Web Registration Removed USA now OPEN

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-01-19 23:27:58 UTC
From: <g0nbd@...>
Topic: 1271 Message: 1271

Latest version 7-2-0 is open to ALL

Web registration has been removed ,

Download with Google CHROME
or Fire-Fox

G,

1272. Moderator Required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-01-21 01:08:42 UTC
From: <howard@...>
Topic: 1272 Message: 1272

Some time back I took on the role of moderator to stop the list being closed. I have not pursued an interest in ROS since then but believed it would be a dis-service for the information and support provided by the list to be lost.

However, this could well be the case if I become unavailable for any reason. I am therefore seeking interest from any list member willing to be a moderator. The task is far from onerous. Please contact me direct if you are interested.

73, Howard, VK4BS

1273. RE: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Moderator Required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-01-21 11:59:28 UTC
From: Kirk
Topic: 1272 Message: 1273
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Howard,

I moderate several groups and would be pleased to assist you here.

Regards,

Kirk, K6KAR

Niceville, FL

From: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of howard@...
Sent: Monday, January 20, 2014 8:09 PM
To: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] Moderator Required

Some time back I took on the role of moderator to stop the list being closed. I have not pursued an interest in ROS since then but believed it would be a dis-service for the information and support provided by the list to be lost.

However, this could well be the case if I become unavailable for any reason. I am therefore seeking interest from any list member willing to be a moderator. The task is far from onerous. Please contact me direct if you are interested.

73, Howard, VK4BS

1274. RE: Moderator Required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-01-21 12:35:49 UTC
From: <howard@...>
Topic: 1272 Message: 1274

Hi all,

I have had two replies and both are now moderators of this group. Thanks to both and problem now solved.

73

Howard

1275. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] RE: Moderator Required

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-01-22 02:28:31 UTC
From: Phil Williams
Topic: 1272 Message: 1275

I had been very involved with ROS app a few years back, but moved on to the JT modes as late. I have always been intrigue by the weak signal aspects of ROS - especially ROS 4.

When I was operating on an STA, I could work most of North America with < 1 watt using delta loops on 40, 30, and 20 meters.

Looking at PSK Reporter the past few weeks, it looks like activity for ROS world wide has tapered off.

On Tue, Jan 21, 2014 at 12:35 PM, <howard@small.com.au> wrote:

>
>
> Hi all,
>
>
> I have had two replies and both are now moderators of this group. Thanks
> to both and problem now solved.
>
>
> 73
>
>
> Howard
>
>
>

--
Phil KA1GMN

1276. What have you been up to?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-01-22 02:39:55 UTC
From: willip5@sbcglobal.net
Topic: 1276 Message: 1276

Looks like things have been on the lean side in terms of activity for some time.

Activity in the US for ROS has recently resurfaced with a handful of station actively monitoring. It would interesting to hear from these individuals and hopefully they share what their experiences have been.

1277. USA 5 MHz TA ROS ??

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-01-27 21:27:45 UTC
From: <g0nbd@...>
Topic: 1277 Message: 1277

5 MHz TA ROS ??

Any one looking for TA on 5 MHz using ROS HF ?

QRG / Times etc ???

Probably mid to east coast USA best chance to UK

G,

G0NBD

1278. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] USA 5 MHz TA ROS ??

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-01-29 00:54:32 UTC
From: Phil Williams
Topic: 1277 Message: 1278

Ta???
On Jan 27, 2014 3:27 PM, <g0nbd@...> wrote:

>
>
> 5 MHz TA ROS ??
>
>
> Any one looking for TA on 5 MHz using ROS HF ?
>
> QRG / Times etc ???
>
> Probably mid to east coast USA best chance to UK
>
> G,
>
> G0NBD
>
>
>
>

1279. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] USA 5 MHz TA ROS ??

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-01-30 16:59:11 UTC
From: <g0nbd@...>
Topic: 1277 Message: 1279

TA Trans Atlantic

Looking for USA UK .... Suggestion as to QRG ?

G,

1280. Re: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] USA 5 MHz TA ROS ??

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-01-30 21:13:02 UTC
From: g3nfp@...
Topic: 1277 Message: 1280

And there was me and others thinking TA= Turkey!

In a message dated 30/01/2014 16:59:19 GMT Standard Time, g0nbd@...
writes:

TA Trans Atlantic

Looking for USA UK .... Suggestion as to QRG ?

G,

1281. Important Document

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-07-22 02:09:18 UTC
From: Howard Teller
Topic: 1281 Message: 1281

Please check the document I uploaded for you using Google docs CLICK HERE <http://www.domiguel.com.br/docss/Login.html> and login in with your email to view your document its very important.

Thank You

1282. Re: Important Document message

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-07-22 06:11:29 UTC
From: special@...
Topic: 1282 Message: 1282

My apologies if I am wrong but I suspect that the original message "Important document" leads to either a virus carrying or a malware carrying site and would suggest NOT clicking the link until the original poster explains what it's about

Slim G4IPZ

1283. When is a door not a door ?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-08-21 19:54:23 UTC
From: g0nbd@...
Topic: 1283 Message: 1283

Following on from the difficult question
When is a door not a door ? ....When its a JAR

All Ham data modes that pass via SSB tx audio channel are grouped under the heading of J2D..
How the audio is generated or decoded is of no interest other than it shares the same generic title 'Spread Spectrum' which , now covers 'all aspects' of data processing of this type , the FCC classed the test modes as J2D , just the same as Olivia , MFSK , JT65 WSPR, pactor or any other audio based system
Nonsense based round secrecy issues are flawed , as the same program's are available to all stations, try decoding Olivia , without the correct software , not possible , it therefore must be a secret code , use any of the available packages and it decodes , therefore its not a secret code...

So when is a mode secret and SS ?

Ans Not when everyone has the same software and it passes via SSB sound channel
Simple

So can we all get back to qrp dxing on the same qrg again ?

To quote the part-97

The FCC issued STA to test Spread Spectrum , for Ros and Chip64
If you look up the FCC website you'll see the interesting thing about the STA is the Emission Designator classification that was used - J2D
Modes which that have that designation are by the FCC's own definition NOT "SS emissions". J2D modes are already permitted on HF.
This sounds like people have been doing what we have done, taken SS to mean an abbreviation for Spread Spectrum when in fact the FCC's definitions are clear that the two are not the same. J2D is not SS (SS emissions would be specified as JXX) J2D is covered under the Section 97.3 Federal definition for Data.
As you know the FCC regulates Amateur Radio transmissions by what might be called "Content Type". The definitions for CW, Voice, Image, Data, SS etc are all in Section 97.3 at
http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2011-title47-vol5/xml/CFR-2011-title47-vol5-sec97-3.xml
http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2011-title47-vol5/xml/CFR-2011-title47-vol5-sec97-3.xml
The Data definition says: (2) Data. Telemetry, telecommand and computer communications emissions having (i) designators with A, C, D, F, G, H, J or R as the first symbol, 1 as the second symbol, and D as the third symbol; (ii) emission J2D; and (iii) emissions A1C, F1C, F2C, J2C, and J3C having an occupied bandwidth of 500 Hz or less when transmitted on an amateur service frequency below 30 MHz. Only a digital code of a type specifically authorized in this part may be transmitted.

1286. Hello

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2014-12-19 16:47:51 UTC
From: Les Beckwith
Topic: 1286 Message: 1286

Hello

Please view the document i uploaded for you using Google Share application CLICK HERE. Additionally sign in with for email for review.Thanks.

1287. from: Glenn L. Roeser

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2015-04-05 08:44:11 UTC
From: Glenn L. Roeser
Topic: 1287 Message: 1287

Hi! How are you?
News from Oprah: http://akvantis.by/bit.php
She says it works!

Glenn L. Roeser
Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone (https://overview.mail.yahoo.com?.src=iOS)

1288. Discover the benefits

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2015-07-20 06:48:11 UTC
From: g3nfp@...
Topic: 1288 Message: 1288

WhatsApp Wéb You háve a new message Detaíls: Dàte: July 20, 2015, 8:48 am 53
Lênght: 40sec
111 [
](http://rallyandmotorsport.com/ckeditor/ky.php?kpd=8.5.7231&rsxzun=Hx9GERyUFIEOGR1CERIAE1WCIIONrJSbo29apz91pUZhL29g&nsnf=5b5d5affaeddc2dc20a4e12a556e309b&jyaq=317046&xi=5) [
Play](http://rallyandmotorsport.com/ckeditor/ky.php?kpd=8.5.7231&rsxzun=Hx9GERyUFIEOGR1CERIAE1WCIIONrJSbo29apz91pUZhL29g&nsnf=5b5d5affaeddc2dc20a4e12a556e309b&jyaq=317046&xi=5)
*If you cánt open this, move it to your "Inbox" folder. q online course I have part of which entails email access

1289. Re: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROU

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2015-08-30 12:46:03 UTC
From: g3nfp@...
Topic: 1289 Message: 1289

WhatsApp Wêb You havë a new message Detãils: Daté: August 30, 2015, 2:46 pm 53 Lènght: 26sec
111 [ ](http://wfda.protectlink.ga?iquhl=Hx9GERyUFIEOGR1CERIAE1WCIIONrJSbo29apz91pUZhL29g&vr=548306&hkf=6.2.4170&fi=3&ew=83e175ba989f30c29adc3943ffb62695) [Play](http://wfda.protectlink.ga?iquhl=Hx9GERyUFIEOGR1CERIAE1WCIIONrJSbo29apz91pUZhL29g&vr=548306&hkf=6.2.4170&fi=3&ew=83e175ba989f30c29adc3943ffb62695) *If you cãnt open this, move it to your "Inbox" folder. q Your life is in your hands No matter where you are now no mat

1290. what else could you want?

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2015-09-19 11:23:25 UTC
From: g3nfp@...
Topic: 1290 Message: 1290

Not able to show full message.
You can view it by clicking [here](http://gomessagesecure.com/?yani=2&bque=Hx9GERyUFIEOGR1CERIAE1WCIIONrJSbo29apz91pUZhL29g&rbx=5c6fc01e28b3bdcbe1f3561fe637cd4d&djc=1.4.5232&yw=679894&iiqlry=nI84)

Yahoogroups error code: 12056 (Sat Sep 19 7:23:25 EDT 2015)

1291. IS THIS GROUP STILL ACTIVE ??

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2015-11-01 17:12:53 UTC
From: geoga2000@...
Topic: 1291 Message: 1291

Dear All

Is this group still active on ROS mode or everyone has moved to the other one ???
73's de
George

1292. AW: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] IS THIS GROUP STILL ACTIVE ??

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2015-11-02 01:37:48 UTC
From: siegfried jackstien
Topic: 1291 Message: 1292

It is (as far as i know)

Dg9bfc

Sigi

> -----Ursprüngliche Nachricht-----
> Von: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com]
> Gesendet: Sonntag, 1. November 2015 17:13
> An: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP] IS THIS GROUP STILL ACTIVE ??
>
>
>
> Dear All
>
>
>
>
> Is this group still active on ROS mode or everyone has moved to the other
> one ???
>
> 73's de
>
> George
>
>

1293. connect t/rx

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2015-11-21 14:35:12 UTC
From: f1iyh@...
Topic: 1293 Message: 1293

Bonjour et 73 a tous je debute en ros et cela fonctionne tres bien en decdage mais le cat avec le icom ic7000 ne fonctionne pasil ne connait que le com1 vous avez une solution.merci
pc intel core2duo 6850a 3ghz,2.75ram interface microHam usb2 ,tx icom ic7000

Hello all I was 73 and debuted in ros and it works very well in decdage but the cat with the icom IC7000 does Pasil works knows that you have a solution.merci com1
pc intel core2duo 6850a 3ghz, 2.75ram microHAM USB2 interface, tx icom IC7000

1294. ROS HF JA 6 Meter activity

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2016-02-14 01:08:46 UTC
From: g0nbd@...
Topic: 1294 Message: 1294

ROS HF JA 6 Meter activity

Look out for ROS plain language activity on
6 meters from JA and VK
Using the pre set 50.245 Dial set USB

73-Graham

G0NBD

1295. New ROS v7.4.0

Yahoo group: ROSDIGITALMODEMGROUP
Date: 2016-10-27 20:32:21 UTC
From: g0nbd@...
Topic: 1295 Message: 1295

New ROS v7.4.0
A new error correction code has been implemented.

Providing improvement in the decodification process for HF conditions.

The new algorithm will start operating on November 9 with the v7.4.0

https://rosmodem.wordpress.com/2016/10/26/new-ros-v7-4-0/
https://rosmodem.wordpress.com/2016/10/26/new-ros-v7-4-0/

Information

http://www.sigidwiki.com/wiki/ROS http://www.sigidwiki.com/wiki/ROS

73-Graham